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#### Abstract

In 1859 Riemann defined the zeta function $\zeta(s)$. From Gamma function he derived the zeta function with Gamma function $\bar{\zeta}(s) . \bar{\zeta}(s)$ and $\zeta(s)$ are the two different functions. It is false that $\bar{\zeta}(s)$ replaces $\zeta(s)$. Therefore Riemann hypothesis (RH) is false. The Jiang function $J_{n}(\omega)$ can replace RH.
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In 1859 Riemann defined the Riemann zeta function (RZF) [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s)=\prod_{P}\left(1-P^{-s}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\sigma+t i, i=\sqrt{-1}, \quad \sigma$ and $t$ are real, $P$ ranges over all primes. RZF is the function of the complex variable $s$ with $\sigma \geq 0, t \neq 0$, which is absolutely convergent.
In 1896 J. Hadamard and de la Vallee Poussin proved independently [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(1+t i) \neq 0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1998 Jiang proved [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s) \neq 0, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$.
Riemann paper (1859) is false [1]. We define Gamma function [1, 2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{\frac{s}{2}-1} d t \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\sigma>0$. On setting $t=n^{2} \pi x$, we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) n^{-s}=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1} e^{-n^{2} \pi x} d x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, with some care on exchanging summation and integration, for $\sigma>1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \bar{\varsigma}(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^{2} \pi x}\right) d x \\
=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}\left(\frac{\vartheta(x)-1}{2}\right) d x \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\bar{\zeta}(s)$ is called Riemann zeta function with gamma function.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta(x):=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-n^{2} \pi x} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Jacobi theta function. The functional equation for $\vartheta(x)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\frac{1}{2}} \vartheta(x)=\vartheta\left(x^{-1}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is valid for $x>0$.
Finally, using the functional equation of $\vartheta(x)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\zeta}(s)=\frac{\pi^{\frac{s}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}\left\{\frac{1}{s(s-1)}+\int_{1}^{\infty}\left(x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}+x^{-\frac{s}{2}-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{\vartheta(x)-1}{2}\right) d x\right\} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9) we obtain the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \bar{\zeta}(s)=\pi^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \bar{\zeta}(1-s) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\bar{\zeta}(s)$ satisfies the following:

1. $\bar{\zeta}(s)$ has no zero for $\sigma>1$;
2. The only pole of $\bar{\zeta}(s)$ is at $s=1$, it has residue 1 and is simple;
3. $\bar{\zeta}(s)$ has trivial zeros at $s=-2,-4, \ldots$ but $\zeta(s)$ has no zeros;
4. The nontrivial zeros lie inside the region $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and are symmetric about both the vertical line $\sigma=1 / 2$.
The strip $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ is called the critical strip and the vertical line $\sigma=1 / 2$ is called the critical line.

Conjecture (The Riemann Hypothesis). All nontrivial zeros of $\bar{\zeta}(s)$ lie on the critical line $\sigma=1 / 2$, which is false. [3]
$\bar{\zeta}(s)$ and $\zeta(s)$ are the two different functions. It is false that $\bar{\zeta}(s)$ replaces $\zeta(s)$, Pati proved that is not all complex zeros of $\bar{\zeta}(s)$ lie on the critical line: $\sigma=1 / 2$ [4].
Schadeck pointed out that the falsity of RH implies the falsity of RH for finite fields [5, 6]. RH is not directly related to prime theory. Using RH mathematicians prove many prime theorems which is false. In 1994 Jiang discovered Jiang function $J_{n}(\omega)$ which can replace RH, if $J_{n}(\omega) \neq 0$ then the prime equation has infinitely many prime solutions; and if $J_{n}(\omega)=0$ then the prime equation has finitely many prime solutions. By using $J_{n}(\omega)$ Jiang proves about 600 prime theorems including the Goldbach's theorem, twin prime theorem and theorems on arithmetic progressions in primes [7, 8].

In the same way we have a general formula involving $\bar{\zeta}(s)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{s-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F(n x) d x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{s-1} F(n x) d x \\
= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{s-1} F(y) d y=\bar{\zeta}(s) \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{s-1} F(y) d y, \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F(y)$ is arbitrary.
From (11) we obtain many zeta functions $\bar{\zeta}(s)$ which are not directly related to the number theory.Using Jiang function we prove the following theorems.

Primes Represented by $P_{1}^{n}+m P_{2}^{n}$ [9]
(1) Let $n=3$ and $m=2$. We have

$$
P_{3}=P_{1}^{3}+2 P_{2}^{3} .
$$

We have Jiang function

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{\substack{3<P P_{1}}}\left(P^{2}-3 P+3-\chi(P)\right) \neq 0,
$$

Where $\chi(P)=2 P-1$ if $2^{\frac{3^{\frac{P}{P}-1}}{3}} \equiv 1(\bmod P) ; \quad \chi(P)=-P+2$ if $2^{\frac{P-1}{3}} \not \equiv 1$ $(\bmod P) ; \chi(P)=1$ otherwise.
Since $J_{n}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ such that $P_{3}$ is a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{2}(N, 3)=\mid\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}: P_{1}, P_{2} \leq N, P_{1}^{3}+2 P_{2}^{3}=P_{3} \text { prime }\right\} \mid \\
& \sim \frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{6 \Phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}=\frac{1}{3} \prod_{3 \leq P} \frac{P\left(P^{2}-3 P+3-\chi(P)\right)}{(P-1)^{3}} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega=\prod_{2 \leq P} P$ is called primorial, $\Phi(\omega)=\prod_{2 \leq P}(P-1)$.
It is the simplest theorem which is called the Heath-Brown problem [10].
(2) Let $n=P_{0}$ be an odd prime, $2 \mid m$ and $m \neq \pm b^{P_{0}}$.
we have

$$
P_{3}=P_{1}^{P_{0}}+m P_{2}^{P_{0}}
$$

We have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq P}\left(P^{2}-3 P+3-\chi(P)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(P)=-P+2$ if $P \mid m ; \chi(P)=\left(P_{0}-1\right) P-P_{0}+2$ if $m^{\frac{P-1}{P_{0}}} \equiv 1(\bmod$ $P) ; \chi(P)=-P+2$ if $m^{\frac{P-1}{P_{0}}} \not \neq 1(\bmod P) ; \chi(P)=1$ otherwise.
Since $J_{n}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ such that $P_{3}$ is a prime.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3) \sim \frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 P_{0} \Phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

The Polynomial $P_{1}^{n}+\left(P_{2}+1\right)^{2}$ Captures Its Primes [9]
(1) Let $n=4$, We have

$$
P_{3}=P_{1}^{4}+\left(P_{2}+1\right)^{2},
$$

We have Jiang function

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq P}\left(P^{2}-3 P+3-\chi(P)\right) \neq 0,
$$

Where $\chi(P)=P$ if $P \equiv 1(\bmod 4) ; \chi(P)=P-4 \quad$ if $P \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$; $\chi(P)=-P+2$ otherwise.
Since $J_{n}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ such that $P_{3}$ is a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{2}(N, 3)=\mid\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}: P_{1}, P_{2} \leq N, P_{1}^{4}+\left(P_{2}+1\right)^{2}=P_{3} \text { prime }\right\} \mid \\
& \sim \frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{8 \Phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is the simplest theorem which is called Friedlander-Iwaniec problem [11].
(2) Let $n=4 m$, We have

$$
P_{3}=P_{1}^{4 m}+\left(P_{2}+1\right)^{2},
$$

where $m=1,2,3, \cdots$.
We have Jiang function

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq P \leq P_{i}}\left(P^{2}-3 P+3-\chi(P)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(P)=P-4 m$ if $8 m \mid(P-1) ; \chi(P)=P-4$ if $8 \mid(P-1) ; \chi(P)=P$ if $4 \mid(P-1) ; \quad \chi(P)=-P+2$ otherwise.
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ such that $P_{3}$ is a prime. It is a generalization of Euler proof for the existence of infinitely many primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3) \sim \frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{8 m \Phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N} .
$$

(3) Let $n=2 b$. We have

$$
P_{3}=P_{1}^{2 b}+\left(P_{2}+1\right)^{2},
$$

where $b$ is an odd.
We have Jiang function

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq P}\left(P^{2}-3 P+3-\chi(P)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where

$$
\chi(P)=P-2 b \quad \text { if } \quad 4 b \mid(P-1) ; \chi(P)=P-2 \quad \text { if } \quad 4 \mid(P-1) \quad ;
$$ $\chi(P)=-P+2$ otherwise.

We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3) \sim \frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 b \Phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

(4) Let $n=P_{0}$, We have

$$
P_{3}=P_{1}^{P_{0}}+\left(P_{2}+1\right)^{2}
$$

where $P_{0}$ is an odd prime.
We have Jiang function

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq P}\left(P^{2}-3 P+3-\chi(P)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(P)=P_{0}+1$ if $P_{0} \mid(P-1) ; \chi(P)=0$ otherwise.
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ such that $P_{3}$ is a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3) \sim \frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 P_{0} \Phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

The Jiang function $J_{n}(\omega)$ is closely related to the prime distribution. Using $J_{n}(\omega)$ we are able to tackle almost all the prime problems in the prime distribution.
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