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## Foreword

In my works (see the bibliography at the end of the Preface) I often expressed the view that the protracted lack of resolution of fundamental problems in science signals the needs of basically new mathematics. This is the case, for example, for: quantitative representations of biological structures; resolution of the vexing problem of grand-unification; invariant treatment of irreversibility at the classical and operator levels; identification of hadronic constituents definable in our spacetime; achievement of a classical representation of antimatter; and other basic open problems.

I have then shown that each of the above open problems admits basically novel resolutions if a new mathematics specifically conceived for the task at hand is built. I have moreover shown that no new mathematics is actually possible without new numbers. For this reason, as a physicist, I have dedicated my primary attention throughout all of my research life to the search of new numbers, because from new numbers new mathematical and physical theories can be constructed via mere compatibility arguments.

In view of the above, I would like to express my utmost appreciation to Professor Chun-Xuan Jiang for having understood the significance of the new iso-, geno-, hyper-numbers and their isoduals I identified for a resolution of the above problems. The significance of the new numbers had escaped other scholars in number theory in the past two decades since their original formulation.

I would like also to congratulate Professor Jiang for the simply monumental work he has done in this monograph, work that, to my best knowledge, has no prior occurrence in the history of number theory in regard to joint novelty, dimension, diversification, articulation and implications.

I have no doubt that Professor Jiang's monograph creates a new era in number theory which encompasses and includes as particular case all preceding work in the field.

## Ruggero Maria Santilli

President, Institute for Basic Research
P. O. Box 1577 Palm Harbor, FL 34682 U.S.A.
ibr@gte.net, http://www.i-b-r.org
Palm Harbor, Florida, January 21, 2002
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## Preface

There cannot be really new physical theories without really new mathematics, and there cannot be really new mathematics without new numbers.

Ruggero Maria Santilli

It is shown by a number of major developments in the last century that the standard set of mathematical tools and physical models are not sufficient to allow us to faithfully describe the divers complex systems that arise in all branches of science and engineering.

This monograph is dedicated to the numerical foundations of a new important mathematics called isomathematics discovered by the Italian-American physicist Ruggero Maria Santilli, President of The Institute for Basic Research, Palm Harbor, Florida, USA (e-mail: ibr@gte.net, web site: http://www.i-b-r.org).

On August 23, 1997, Prof. Santilli was invited by the Chinese Academy of Sciences to convene a workshop in Beijing, China. During that occasion, Prof. Santilli gave a series of lectures which provided new and exciting insights into some of the fundamental open problems facing contemporary mathematics, physics and other sciences at the dawn of the new millennium, with particular reference to the need for new mathematics based on new numbers.

Prof. Santilli's lectures attracted great attention from the Chinese academic community. This fact encouraged me to compile a monograph for promoting further advances in isomathematics.

The reader should be informed that the pioneering research conducted by Prof. Santilli and his associates in various countries covers over 10,000 pages of publications including over 1,000 papers, some 25 post Ph.D. level monographs and some 50 volume of conference proceedings. It is evident that I cannot possibly review this vast literature to any extent. Therefore, in this Preface I shall only outline the original contributions by Prof. Santilli as listed at the end of this Preface, and apologize to his collaborators for the impossibility of quoting their subsequent work (for the latter, one can inspect the comprehensive bibliography of Prof. Santilli's recent monograph [16]).

To outline the main ideas, I use a simple mathematical language understandable to a broader audience.

1. Ordinary Numbers. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{0}=1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the multiplicative unit and 0 the additive unit.
From (1) we define the multiplication $(\times)$ and division $(\div)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \times b=a b=c \quad a \div b=\frac{a}{b}=d . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The addition $(+)$, substraction $(-)$, multiplication $(\times)$ and division $(\div)$ form four arithmetic operations of ordinary numbers. In particular, the multiplicative unit (hereinafter simply referred to as the "unit") verifies the properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \times a=a \times 1=a, \quad 1^{n}=1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the set of numbers $a, b, c, \ldots$ is closed under the above operations it characterizes a field.
2. Santilli's Isodual Numbers. In papers [92, 93] of 1985 (see paper [129] for a technical treatment), Santilli introduced, apparently for the first time in the history of mathematics, the negative-definite unit

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\overline{0}}=-1, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which he called isodual unit, then lifted the conventional multiplication and division of numbers into the forms

$$
\begin{gather*}
a \overline{\times} b=a(-1) b=-a b, \quad a \bar{⿳} \frac{\grave{\circ}}{} b=-\frac{a}{b},  \tag{5}\\
a \overline{\times} a \overline{\times} \cdots \overline{\times} a=a^{\bar{n}}=a^{n}(-1)^{n-1}, \quad a^{-\bar{n}}=-1 \overline{\div} a^{\bar{n}}=a^{-n}(-1)^{-n-1}, \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

and proved that, if the original set of numbers forms a field, all axioms of a field remain verified under the above reformulation, resulting in a new field today called Santilli isodual field.

In particular, the isodual unit remains the correct left and right unit under the isodual product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1) \overline{\times} a=a \overline{\times}(-1)=a, \quad(-1)^{\bar{n}}=-1, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader should meditate a moment on the novelty of Santilli isodual numbers. In fact, these numbers have a negative norm; they imply the reversal of the sign of all conventional numbers; and negative isodual numbers have a meaning equivalent to that of conventional positive numbers (because the former are referred to a negative unit while the latter are referred to a positive unit).

Santilli also introduced a simple method for the construction of his isodual formulations [16] which consists in applying the following map (which is anti-canonical for classical treatments or anti-unitary for operator treatments)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x, \psi, \ldots) \rightarrow \bar{A}(\bar{x}, \bar{\psi}, \ldots)=U\left[A\left(U x U^{\dagger}, U \psi U^{\dagger}, \ldots\right)\right] U^{\dagger}, \quad U U^{\dagger}=-1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

to the totality of quantities and their operations of conventional formulations.
Santilli then reformulated most of conventional mathematics in terms of his isodual fields (see, e.g., Ref. [222]), and used the resulting new isodual mathematics for the first known classical formulation of antimatter.

It should be indicated that, prior to Santilli's studies, a mathematics for the consistent classical description of antimatter simply did not exist. This is due to the fact that the correct treatment of antimatter requires a mathematics which is anti-isomorphic to that used for the treatment of matter. Such a mathematics can indeed be constructed via charge conjugation. However, such a conjugation is only applicable in second quantization. Its application at the classical level implies the mere change of the sign of the charge resulting in a host of inconsistencies, e.g., the fact that quantization would lead to particles with the wrong sign of the charge and not to antiparticles.

In essence, Santilli identified the existence of one of the largest scientific unbalances of the 20 -th century which consisted in the treatment of matter at all levels of study, from Newton to second quantization, while antimatter was solely studied at the level of second quantization. By contrast, matter and antimatter are equivalent in the universe to such an extent that we expect the existence of entire galaxies made up of antimatter.

Santilli then understood that such an unbalance could only be resolved via the construction of new mathematics specifically built for the classical treatment of antimatter. In this way he discovered his isodual mathematics which is manifestly anti-isomorphic to the conventional mathematics (that with unit +1 ) at all levels, beginning with the units and then passing to numbers, vector fields, Hilbert spaces, geometries, symmetries, etc.

He then proved that his isodual treatment of antimatter is consistent not only at the classical level, but also at the quantum level, thanks to his new isodual
quantization for which classical antiparticles are correctly mapped into operator antiparticles. He also proved that the operator version of his isodual treatment of antimatter is equivalent to that via charge conjugation.

Via the use of these novel mathematical and physical theories, Santilli formulated potentially historical predictions, such as: the first capability in the history of science to conduct consistent quantitative studies as to whether a far away galaxy is made up of matter or of antimatter; the experimentally testable prediction that antimatter emits a new light which is different than the ordinary light emitted by matter; and the prediction of antigravity (negative curvature tensor) for antimatter in the field of matter or viceversa (see, e.g., Refs. [141, 189, 187]).
3. Santilli's Isonumbers. In two seminal memoirs, Refs. [64, 65], written at Harvard University in 1978 (see again Ref. [129] for a technical presentation), Santilli assumed, apparently for the first time in mathematics, a generalized unit which is real valued and invertible, yet otherwise arbitrary

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which he called isounit. He then generalized the multiplication and division in the forms

$$
\begin{gather*}
a \hat{\times} b=a \hat{T} b, \quad a \hat{\div} b=\hat{I} \frac{a}{b},  \tag{10}\\
a \hat{\times} a \hat{\times} \cdots a \hat{\times} a=a^{\hat{n}}=a^{n}(\hat{T})^{n-1}, a^{-\hat{n}}=\hat{I} \hat{\div} a^{\hat{n}}=a^{-n}(\hat{T})^{-n-1}=a^{-n}(\hat{I})^{n+1}, \tag{11}
\end{gather*}
$$

which he called isomultiplication and isodivision, respectively. Santilli then proved that, if the original set of number forms a field, all axioms of a field remain verified under the above reformulation, resulting in a new field today called Santilli isofield. In particular, the isounit remains the correct left and right unit under the isoproduct

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I} \hat{\times} a=a \hat{\times} \hat{I}=a, \quad(\hat{I})^{\hat{n}}=\hat{I}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Keeping unchanged addition and subtraction, $(+,-, \hat{\times}, \dot{\dot{-}})$ form four arithmetic operations of isonumbers. When $\hat{I}=1$, it is the operations of the ordinary numbers.

Santilli then conducted numerous studies for a second lifting of most of contemporary mathematics into a form admitting $\hat{I}$, rather than the trivial number 1 , as the left and right unit at all levels, resulting in a new mathematics today called Santilli isomathematics, which includes: isonumbers and isofields, isovector and isometric isospaces, isogeometries and isotopologies, isofunctional analysis and isodifferential calculus, isoalgebras and isogroups, etc. (see most of the papers [66-230], the mathematical presentation [175] being the most important for this book).

Santilli also indicated a simple method for the construction of isotopic theories consisting of the noncanonical transform at the classical level and the nonunitary transform at the operator level

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \rightarrow U A U^{\dagger}, \quad U U^{\dagger}=\hat{I} \neq 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which must be applied to the totality of quantities and their operations of the conventional theory $[16,175]$.

By using his new isomathematics, Santilli achieved the first known structural generalization of Newton's equation since Newton's time capable of an invariant treatment of extended, nonspherical and deformable particles under the most general known nonlinear, nonlocal and nonhamiltonian forces [175].

Subsequently, he achieved: the isotopies of classical Hamiltonian mechanics, today known as Hamilton-Santilli isomechanics [3, 6, 10, 11, 12]; the isotopies of quantum mechanics today known as hadronic mechanics (see, again, Refs. [66-230], the recent monograph [16] providing an excellent outline with various applications and experimental verifications); the isotopies of special relativity, including those of the Lorentz and Poincaré symmetries [85, 127]; the isotopies of the Minkowskian geometry with a geometric unification of the Minkowskian, Riemannian and other geometries and a consequential unification of the special and general relativities [216]; the only known axiomatically consistent and invariant grand-unification of electroweak and gravitational interactions [196, 218]; a new cosmological conception of the universe characterized by one fundamental symmetry (rather than the usual covariance), the Poincaré-Santilli isosymmetry [210]; and reached other very innovative advances.

By using the above novel mathematical and physical theories, Santilli was finally able to develop novel industrial applications consisting of new energies and fuels, as reviewed in the recent monograph [16]. As a matter of fact, Santilli conceived his new isomathematics and isophysical theories precisely for quantitative studies on new energies and fuels.

The main physical difference between conventional and isotopic theories is that the former can only describe point particles under local differential interactions derivable from a potential (locally Hamiltonian systems), as one can see from the basic Euclidean topology. By comparison, Santilli's isotopic formulations can describe extended, nonspherical and deformable particles under linear and nonlinear, local and nonlocal and potential-Hamiltonian as well as nonpotential-nonhamiltonian interactions.

The new energies and fuels are based precisely on the new interactions outside the descriptive capacity of contemporary mathematical and physical theories in the fixed frame of the experimenter. The need for the new mathematics was motivated by the fact that, in its absence, the theories are noninvariant, thus being afflicted by catastrophic inconsistencies studies in memoir [219]. For the case of the isotopic theories, the Hamiltonian describes conventional local-differential interactions, while all nonhamiltonian effects are represented by the isounit, with the consequential assurance of their invariant treatment since the unit is the basic invariant of all theories, whether conventional or generalized.

To my best knowledge, Santilli is the only scientist who succeeded in achieving a structural generalization of both pre-existing mathematical and physical theories, since all previous discoveries dealt with advances in mathematics or in physics, and, more particularly, with advances in a specific branch of mathematics or physics rather than their entire generalization.

In view of these results, Santilli received various honors, including the listing by the Estonia Academy of Sciences among the most illustrious applied mathematicians of all times, jointly with Gauss, Weierstrass, Lie, Hamilton, etc. Also, various scholars have praised the new isomathematics as an epoch-making contribution to mathematics and physics. They believe that the theory is leading to a great change in mathematics itself, with entire new branches of science, such as iso-physics, isochemistry, iso-biology, etc. What I have done in this monograph is limited to the study of only the most fundamental mathematical entities, Santilli isonumbers, from which all mathematical and physical isotheories can be uniquely and unambiguously derived.
4. Santilli's Isodual Isonumbers. Despite their generality, isonumbers as defined above (those characterized by a positive-definite invertible isounit) resulted to be unable to reach a consistent classical and operator description of extended, deformable and nonspherical antiparticles under unrestricted interactions, as it is the case of the isotopic treatment of matter. To avoid the repetition of an unbalance between the treatment of matter and antimatter, Santilli worked out a yet new mathematics, today called Santilli isodual isomathemartics which is characterized by arbitrary, negative-definite and invertible isodual isounits

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\overline{0}}=\bar{I}=-\hat{I}^{\dagger}=-\hat{I}=1 / \bar{T}=-1 / \hat{T} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and related operations

$$
\begin{gather*}
a \overline{\times} b=a \overline{\times} b=-a \hat{T} b, \quad a \overline{\div} b=-\hat{I} \frac{a}{b} \\
a \overline{\times} a \overline{\times} \cdots \overline{\times} a=a^{\bar{n}}(-\hat{T})^{n-1} \\
a^{-\bar{n}}=-\hat{I} \div a^{\bar{n}}=a^{-n}(-\hat{T})^{-n-1}=a^{-n}(-\hat{I})^{n+1} \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

in which case $\bar{I}$ is indeed the correct left and right unit,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{I} \overline{\times} a=a \overline{\times} \bar{I}=a, \quad(-\hat{I})^{\bar{n}}=-\hat{I} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Keeping unchanged addition and subtraction, $(+,-, \overline{\times}, \dot{\bar{\circ}})$ form four arithmetic operations of isodual isonumbers.

Santilli then constructed the isodualities of his isotopic mathematics at all essential levels, including numbers and fields, vector and metric spaces, algebras and symmetries, etc. In this way he extended is antimatter theory to much broader physical conditions.

Santilli also introduced a simple method for the construction of his isodual isomathematics via the the anti-isomorphic map,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x, \psi, \ldots) \rightarrow-A^{\dagger}\left(-x^{\dagger},-\phi^{\dagger}, \ldots\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which must be applied to all quantities and their operations of the isotopic theory with no exclusion.
5. Santilli's Genonumbers and their Isoduals. Despite the achievement of the above epoch making generalizations of all pre-existing mathematical and physical theories, Santilli remained dissatisfied because of their inability to permit an invariant representation of irreversibility at the classical and operator levels. In fact, both the conventional and isotopic theories are structurally reversible in time, in the sense that they admit no map producing an inequivalent time reversal version, which inequivalence is a necessary condition to represent irreversibility (the isodual map is inapplicable since it produces conjugation to antimatter, and not time reversal).

Santilli argued that the historical inability of the 20-th century to achieve a significant representation of the irreversibility originates from the Hermiticity of the basic unit of the theory, whether conventional or isotopic. At any rate, all known interactions (electric, magnetic, gravitational, etc.) are reversible in time, that is, their time reversal image is as causal as the conventional version. Therefore, locally Hamiltonian methods are intrinsically insufficient to represent irreversibility, thus leaving no other choice than that of representing irreversibility via the construction of another structural generalization of mathematics which is intrinsically irreversible, namely, irreversible for all possible Hamiltonians.

For this purpose Santilli introduced a new mathematics based on a generalized unit $\hat{I}$ which is invertible, but not Hermitean, as it is the case for complex numbers or real-valued nonsymmetric matrices. This implies the consequential existence of two generalized nonhermitean units with a ne interconnecting map [129],

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{I}^{>}=1 / \hat{T}^{>}, \quad<\hat{I}=1 /<\hat{T},  \tag{18}\\
\hat{I}^{>}=(<\hat{I})^{\dagger}, \tag{19}
\end{gather*}
$$

which he called forward and backward genounits.
He then assumed $\hat{I}^{>}$as the unit for theories with motion forward in time and $<\hat{I}$ as the unit for theories with motion backward in time, conjugation (19) characterizing their interconnecting map.

The selection of two different units required the construction of two different new mathematics, today known as Santilli genomathematics, because they require two different products, evidently one per each genounit. This latter goal was brilliantly achieved via the introduction of an order in the multiplication. In this way, Santilli introduced the following genoproduct to the right and to the left [loc. cit.]

$$
\begin{equation*}
a>b=a \hat{T}^{>} b, \quad a<b=a^{<} \hat{T} b, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a>\hat{I}^{>}=\hat{I}^{>}>a=a, \quad a<^{<} \hat{I}=<\hat{I}<a=a, \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the products are correspondingly restricted to be either to the right or to the left. Santilli also indicated a simple method for the construction of genotopic theories consisting of the noncanonical transform at the classical level and the nonunitary transform at the operator level,

$$
\begin{gather*}
A \rightarrow U A W^{\dagger}, \quad B \rightarrow W B U^{\dagger},  \tag{22}\\
U W^{\dagger}=\hat{I}^{>}, \quad W U^{\dagger}={ }^{<\hat{I}}, \quad U U^{\dagger} \neq 1, \quad W W^{\dagger} \neq 1, \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

which maps must be again applied to the totality of the quantities and their operations of conventional or isotopic theories with no known exclusion (to avoid inconsistencies [219]).

In this way, Santilli resolved another historical unbalance of the 20 -th century physics, the dichotomy between the evident reversibility of all mathematical and physical theories used in the 20 -th century as compared to the evident irreversibility of the real world.

Moreover, Santilli achieved for the first time an invariant formulations of irreversibility not only at the classical level, but also and most importantly at the operator level. As a matter of fact, irreversibility emerged as originating at the particle level, and then propagate at the macroscopic level of our environment, contrary to a popular view of the 20 -th century physics.

To elaborate on this historical point, recall that a rather general belief of the 20 -th century was that the particle world is reversible and irreversibility is a mere macroscopic aspect. This position was dictated by the fact that quantum mechanics is strictly and solely reversible in time.

Santilli proved the following
Theorem [94]: A classical macroscopic irreversible system cannot be consistently reduced to a finite number of elementary particles all in reversible conditions and, vice-versa, a finite number of elementary particles all in reversible conditions cannot consistently yield an irreversible macroscopic system.

It is evident that the above theorem discredited as nonscientific any attempt to reduce the irreversibility of our macroscopic world to purely reversible quantum formulations. For this purpose Santilli and his associates constructed the genotopic branch of hadronic mechanics which is intrinsically irreversible, that is, irreversible for whatever selection of a reversible Hamiltonian, thus permitting for the first time in the history of science to reduce macroscopic irreversibility to the most elementary layers of nature.

In particular, as expected, the origin of irreversibility emerged precisely in the nonhamiltonian interactions represented with the genounits, such as the contact interactions among extended particles which are dramatically outside any representational capability of quantum mechanics.

In different words, quantum mechanics applies exactly to the system for which it was constructed, the orbits of the electron in the Hydrogen atom, which system is indeed reversible in time. However, the idea that a mechanics so effective in describing electron orbits must also be exact for all other conditions in nature is purely political-nonscientific. The genotopic branch of hadronic mechanics was constructed precisely for the representation of electrons in irreversible conditions, such as an electron in the core of a star which experiences Hamiltonian interactions as well as contact-zero range (thus non-Hamiltonian) interactions dramatically outside the representational capabilities of quantum mechanics. In this way irreversibility emerged in the very ultimate layer of interior particle problems, exactly as it occurs in nature.

The resolution of the vexing problem of irreversibility via the prior construction of a new mathematics specifically conceived for the task is, perhaps the most historical contribution made by Santilli to human knowledge to date, because it implies a profound revision of our entire mathematical and physical knowledge with direct applications to new clean energies and fuels so much needed by mankind. An excellent presentation of the genotopic treatment of irreversibility at the classical and operator level, including their unique interconnecting genoquantization, can be found in the recent monograph [16].
6. Santilli's Hypernumbers and their Isoduals. Despite the above historical discoveries, Santilli remained still dissatisfied because of the insufficiency of his genotheories to achieve a quantitative representation of biological structures. In fact, all biological entities are manifestly irreversible because they are born, age and die. As such, quantum mechanics is dramatically inapplicable in biology since it would imply that all biological structures are eternal (besides being perfectly rigid and have other catastrophic inconsistencies). It is evident that Santilli's genotheories provide a resolution of the excessive limitations of conventional mathematical and physical theories.

However, studies conducted by Illert and Santilli [13] have revealed that quantitative representations of biological structures require formulations which are not only irreversible but also multi-dimensional, thus implying the insufficiency of genotheories because they are irreversible but single-valued.

This occurrence, which illustrates the complexity of the biological world, was discovered via quantitative studies of sea shells. It is well know since long time that the shape of sea shells can indeed be reproduced in our three-dimensional Euclidean geometry. The novelty discovered by Illert and Santilli deals with quantitative representations of the time evolution of sea shells. In fact, it was shown via computer simulations that, if the axioms of the Euclidean geometry are rigidly imposed during its evolution in time, sea shells first grow in a deformed way and then they crack.

Moreover, Illert and Santilli [13] showed that a quantitative representation of the growth of sea shells requires at least six dimensions, such as the doubling of each
of the three Euclidean axes. This created a very intriguing problem that occupied Santilli's mind for some time because the growth of sea shells is fully identified by our three-dimensional sensory perception (via our three Eustachian lobes). Therefore, scientific treatments of sea shells growth require a geometry which is: 1) structurally irreversible; 2) multi-dimensional; and 3) compatible with our three-dimensional sensory perception.

The brilliant solution found by Santilli for this quite intriguing geometric problem is the construction of yet a more general mathematics based on invertible, nonhermitean and multi-valued generalized units [14]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{I}^{>}=\left\{\hat{I}_{1}^{>}, \quad \hat{I}_{2}^{>}, \hat{I}_{3}^{>}, \ldots\right\}=1 / \hat{T}^{>}, \quad<\hat{I}=\left\{<\hat{I}_{1}, \quad<\hat{I}_{2},<\hat{I}_{3}, \ldots\right\}=1 /<\hat{T},  \tag{24}\\
\hat{I}^{>}=(<\hat{I})^{\dagger}, \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

which he called Santilli forward and backward hyperunits, where multi-valuedness is represented via sets. In this way we have the transition from the single-valuedness of the conventional, iso- and geno-units, to a set of values for the hyperunits.

Again, the selection of two different units required the following two different products [14]

$$
\begin{gather*}
a>b=a \hat{T}^{>} b=\left\{a \hat{T}_{1}^{>} b, a \hat{T}_{2}^{>} b, a \hat{T}_{3}^{>} b, \ldots\right\},  \tag{26}\\
a<b=a^{<} \hat{T} b=\left\{a^{<} \hat{T}_{1} b, a^{<} \hat{T}_{2} b, a^{<} \hat{T}_{3} b, \ldots\right\}, \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

Chapter 1. Introduced first are the foundations of Santilli's isonumber theory of the first kind, which is characterized by the axiom-preserving isotopic lifting of the multiplicative unit, product and elements. And then the isogroup, the isodivisibility, the unique isofactorization theorem, the isoprime number theorem, the isocongruences, etc. are studied.

In this chapter, a new branch of number theory: Santilli's additive isoprime theory is introduced. By using the arithmetic function $J_{n}(\omega)$ the following theorems have been proved.

1 There exist infinitely many twin isoprimes.
2 Every isoeven number greater than $\hat{4}$ is the sum of two isoprimes, including the Yu's Mathematical Problem.

3 There exist infinitely many isoprimes of the form: $\hat{x}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{b}$.
4 The Forbes theorems have infinitely many isoprime solutions.
5 There exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of isoprimes.
6 Santilli's isoprime $m$-chains, $p_{j+1}=m p_{j} \pm(m-1), m=2,3,4, \cdots$, including the Cunnigham chains.

7 Santilli's chains of isoprimes in arithmetic progressions.

8 Santilli's chains of prime-producing quadratics.
We study properties of the arithmetic function $J(\omega)$, and take the isogoldbach theorem as an example to study the relationships between the arithmetic function and subequations in more detail.

We study an application that the limit for the periodic table of the stable elements is Uranium with an atomic number of 92 . We suggest the Pauli-Santilli principle which can apply to natural sciences and social sciences.

Chapter 2. Introduced are the foundations of Santilli's isonumber theory of the second kind, which characterized by the axiom-preserving isotopic lifting of the unit via an element of original field, with compatible lifting of multiplication, while the element of the original field remains unchanged. We study the isogroup, the isodivibility, the prime number theorem of isoarithmetic progression, the isocongruences, etc. In this chapter, a new branch of number theory: Santilli's isoadditive prime theory is introduced. By using the arithmetic function $J_{n}(\omega)$ the following theorems are proved.

1 There exist infinitely many twin primes. This is the simplest theorem.
2 The Goldbach theorem. Every even number greater than 4 is the sum of two primes. It is the simplest theorem in Santilli's isoadditive prime theory.
3 There exist infinitely many primes of the forms: $x^{2}+1, x^{4}+1, x^{8}+1, x^{16}+1$.
4 There exist infinitely many primes of the forms: $a p^{2}+b p+c$.
5 There exist infinitely many primes of the forms: $p_{1}^{3}+2, p_{1}^{5}+2, p_{1}^{7}+2, \cdots$.
6 There exist infinitely many primes of the forms: $\left(p_{1}+1\right)\left(p_{2}+1\right)+1,\left(p_{1}+1\right)\left(p_{2}+\right.$ 1) $\left(p_{3}+1\right)+1, \cdots$.

7 There exist infinitely many triplets of consecutive integers, each being the product of $k$ distinct primes. Smallest triplets: $33=3 \times 11,34=2 \times 17,35=5 \times$ $7 ; 1727913=3 \times 11 \times 52361,1727914=2 \times 17 \times 50821,1727915=5 \times 7 \times 49369 ; \cdots$.

8 There exist infinitely many Carmichael numbers, which are the product of three primes, four primes, and five primes.

9 Every integer $m$ may be written in infinitely many ways in the forms:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}+1}{p_{1}^{k}-1},
$$

where $k=1,2,3, \cdots ; p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are primes.
10 In a table of prime numbers there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes, where $k=2,3,4, \ldots, 10^{5}$. The theory of the table of prime numbers is the queen of
number theory. An indecomposable prime can structure a stable system. It can apply to the study of the stable structure of DNA and the stable genomic sequences. A table of prime numbers is the genbank of biology. It must be a mathematical problem of the 21st century.

In this chapter, the Riemann's hypothesis is disproved. $\min \left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t_{i}\right)\right| \approx 0$ but $\neq 0$. The computation of all nontrivial zeros of $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t i\right)$ is error, which satisfies the Riemann's error hypothesis.

Chapter 3. From Fermat's mathematics six methods for proving the Fermat's last theorem can be obtained. Using $n=3$ or 4 we prove that all the Fermat's equations in the cyclic determinants have no rational solutions. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow$ $\hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ and $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{x})$ we obtain the Fermat-Santilli isotheorems. Every positive hypercomplex number has the complex hyperbolic function. Every negative hypercomplex number has the complex trigonometric functions. Every hypercomplex number has an exponential formula. Every hypercomplex function has the Cauchy-Riemann equations. We found the relationships between the hypercomplex numbers and differential equations. From Fermat's mathematics we suggest the chaotic mathematics. It is shown that the study of the stability of nonlinear equations is reduced to the study of the stability of the equation $d A / d t=\sum d N_{i} / d t$, which is much simpler.

Chapter 4. In 1994 we discovered the new arithmetic function $J_{2}(\omega)$. Using it we proved the binary Goldbach's theorem. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ every even number $N$ greater 4 is the sum of two primes. It is a generalization of Euler proof of the existence of the infinitely many primes. Since $J_{2}\left(\omega>\omega_{g}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ every even number $N$ from some point onward can be expressed as the sum of two primes using only partial primes. It is $2 / J_{2}\left(\omega_{g}\right)$ of the number of total solutions. We shall establish the additive prime theory with partial primes.

Chapter 5. In this chapter we present another important application of Santilli's isonumber theory. It deals with the isotopies of conventional cryptograms, called isocryptograms, which were first introduced by Santilli in monograph [11]. The importance of isocryptograms is that they admit an infinite number of possible isounits, thus rendering their resolution dramatically more difficult than that of conventional cryptograms, assuming that it is possible in a finite period of time. Within the context of contemporary society and its growing need for security, it is evident that Santilli's isocryptograms constitute an application of the new isonumber theory of direct societal relevance.
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## Chapter 1

## FOUNDATIONS OF SANTILLI'S ISONUMBER THEORY <br> PART I: ISONUMBER THEORY OF THE FIRST KIND


#### Abstract

Mathematics is the queen of the sciences, and the theory of numbers is the queen of mathematics.


Carl Friedrich Gauss

## 1. Introduction

In the seminal works [1, 2] Santilli has introduced a generalization of real, complex and quaternionic numbers $a=n, c, q$ based on the lifting of the unit 1 of conventional numbers into an invertible and well behaved quantity with arbitrary functional dependences on local variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \hat{I}(t, x, \dot{x}, \cdots)=1 / \hat{T} \neq 1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while jointly lifting the product $a b=a \times b$ of conventional numbers into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b \rightarrow a \hat{\times} b=a \hat{T} b \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

under which $\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T}$ is the correct left and right new unit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I} \hat{\times} a=\hat{T}^{-1} \hat{T} a=a \hat{\times} \hat{I}=a \hat{T} \hat{T}^{-1}=a \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all possible $a=n, c, q$.
Since the new multiplication $a \hat{\times} b$ is associative, Santilli $[1,2]$ has then proved that the new numbers verify all axioms of a field. The above liftings were then called
isotopic in the Greek sense of being axiom-preserving. The prefix iso is then used whenever the original axioms are preserved.

Let $F(a,+, \times)$ be a conventional field with numbers $a=n, c, q$ equipped with the conventional sum $a+b \in F$, product $a b=a \times b \in F$, additive unit $0 \in F$ and their multiplicative unit $1 \in F$.

Definition 1.1. Santilli's isofields of the first kind $\hat{F}=\hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{\times})$ are the rings with elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}=a \hat{I} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

called isonumbers, where $a=n, c, q \in F, \hat{I}=1 / \hat{T}$ is a well behaved, invertible and Hermitean quantity outside the original field $\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T} \notin F$ and $a \hat{I}$ is the multiplication in $F$ equipped with the isosum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}+\hat{b}=(a+b) \hat{I} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with conventional additive unit $0=0 \hat{I}=0, \hat{a}+0=\hat{a}+0=\hat{a}, \quad \forall \hat{a} \in \hat{F}$ and the isoproduct

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{b}=\hat{a} \hat{T} \hat{b}=a \hat{I} \hat{T} b \hat{I}=(a b) \hat{I} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

under which $\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T}$ is the correct left and right new unit $(\hat{I} \hat{\times} \hat{a}=\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{I}=\hat{a}, \quad \forall \hat{a} \in$ $\hat{F}$ ) called isounit.

Lemma 1.1 [1]. The isofields $\hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{X})$ of Definition 1.1 verify all axioms of a field. The lifting $F \rightarrow \hat{F}$ is then an isotopy. All operations depending on the product must then be lifted in $\hat{F}$ for consistency.

## The Santilli's commutative isogroup of the first kind

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{a}^{\hat{I}}=a \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{-\hat{I}}=a^{-1} \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{\hat{I}} \hat{\times} \hat{a}^{-\hat{I}}=\hat{a}^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 \\
\hat{a}^{\hat{b}}=a^{b} \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{-\hat{b}}=a^{-b} \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{\hat{b}} \hat{\times} \hat{a}^{-\hat{b}}=\hat{a}^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 \\
\hat{a}^{\widehat{c / b}}=a^{\frac{c}{b}} \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{-\widehat{c / b}}=a^{-\frac{c}{b}} \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{\widehat{c / b}} \hat{\times} \hat{a}^{-\widehat{c / b}}=\hat{a}^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 \\
\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{I}=\hat{a} \hat{T} \hat{I}=\hat{a} . \quad \hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{b}=a \hat{I} \hat{T} b \hat{I}=a b \hat{I}=\hat{a b} \\
\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{b}^{-\hat{2}}=a \hat{I} \hat{T} b^{-2} \hat{I}=a / b^{2} \hat{I}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\hat{I}$ is called an isounit, $\hat{T}$ is called an isoinverse of $\hat{I} ; \hat{a}^{-\hat{b}}$ is called an isoinverse of $\hat{a} \hat{b} ;\left(\hat{a}^{\hat{b}}, \hat{\times}\right)$ is called the Santilli's commutative isogroup of the first kind.

Lemma 1.2. Santilli's isofields of the second kind $\hat{F}=\hat{F}(a,+, \hat{x})$ (that is, when $a \in F$ is not lifted to $\hat{a}=a \hat{I}$ ) also verify all axioms of a field, if and only if the isounit is an element of the original field

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T} \in F \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The isoproduct is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \hat{\times} b=a \hat{T} b \in \hat{F} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## The Santilli's commutative isogroup of the second kind

$$
\begin{gathered}
a^{\hat{I}}=a, \quad a^{-\hat{I}}=a^{-1} \hat{I}^{2}, \quad a^{\hat{I}} \hat{\times} a^{-\hat{I}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\hat{2}}=a^{2} \hat{T}, \quad a^{-\hat{2}}=a^{-2} \hat{I}^{3}, \quad a^{\hat{2}} \hat{\times} a^{-\hat{2}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\hat{3}}=a^{3} \hat{T}^{2}, \quad a^{-\hat{3}}=a^{-3} \hat{I}^{4}, \quad a^{\hat{3}} \hat{\times} a^{-\hat{3}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
\ldots . \\
a^{\hat{n}}=a^{n} \hat{T}^{n-1}, \quad a^{-\hat{n}}=a^{-n} \hat{I}^{n+1}, \quad a^{\hat{n}} \hat{\times} a^{-\hat{n}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad a^{-\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad a^{\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\widehat{1 / 3}}=a^{\frac{1}{3}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad a^{-\widehat{1 / 3}}=a^{-\frac{1}{3}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{4}{3}}, \quad a^{\widehat{1 / 3}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 3}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\widehat{1 / n}}=a^{\frac{1}{n}}(\hat{I})^{1-\frac{1}{n}}, \quad a^{-\widehat{1 / n}}=a^{-\frac{1}{n}}(\hat{I})^{1+\frac{1}{n}}, \quad a^{\widehat{1 / n}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / n}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\widehat{c / b}}=a^{\frac{c}{b}}(\hat{I})^{1-\frac{c}{b}}, \quad a^{-\widehat{c / b}}=a^{-\frac{c}{b}}(\hat{I})^{1+\frac{c}{b}}, \quad a^{\widehat{c / b}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{c / b}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\hat{b}}=a^{b}(\hat{I})^{1-b}=a^{b} \hat{T}^{b-1}, \quad a^{-\hat{b}}=a^{-b}(\hat{I})^{1+b}, \quad a^{\hat{b}} \hat{\times} a^{-\hat{b}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\hat{I} \hat{\times} b^{\hat{I}}=a \hat{T} b, \quad a^{\hat{I}} \hat{\times} b^{-\hat{I}}=a b^{-1} \hat{I} .}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\hat{I}$ is called an isounit; $\hat{T}$ an isoinverse of $\hat{I} ; a^{-\hat{b}}$ an isoinverse of $a^{\hat{b}} ;\left(a^{\hat{b}}, \hat{\times}\right)$ the Santilli's commutative isogroup of the second kind.

In this Chapter we study Santilli's isonumber theory of the first kind, that based on isofields $\hat{F}=\hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})[3]$.

## 2. Foundations of Santilli's Isonumber Theory

By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{\times})$ we characterize Santilli's isonumber theory of the first kind. We can partition the positive isointegers into three classes:
(1) The isounit: $\hat{I}$;
(2) The isoprime numbers: $\hat{2}, \hat{3}, \hat{5}, \hat{7}, \cdots$;
(3) The isocomposite numbers: $\hat{4}, \hat{6}, \hat{8}, \hat{9}, \cdots$.

The Santilli's isonumber theory of the first kind is concerned primarily with isodivisibility properties of isointegers.

Definition 2.1: isodivisibility. We say $\hat{d}$ isodivides $\hat{n}$ and we write $\hat{d} \hat{\mid} \hat{n}$ whenever $\hat{n}=\hat{c} \hat{x} \hat{d}$ for some $\hat{c}$. We also say that $\hat{n}$ is an isomultiple of $\hat{d}$, that $\hat{d}$ is an isodivisor of $\hat{n}$. If $\hat{d}$ does not isodivide $\hat{n}$ we write $\hat{d} \hat{X} \hat{n}$.

Theorem 2.1. Isodivisibility has the following properties:
(1) $\hat{n} \hat{\mid} \hat{n}$
(reflexive property)
(2) $\hat{d} \hat{\mid} \hat{n}$ and $\hat{n} \hat{\mid} \hat{m}$ implies $\hat{d} \hat{\mid} \hat{m}$
(transitive property)
(3) $\hat{d} \hat{\mid} \hat{n}$ implies $\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{d} \hat{\mid a} \hat{\times} \hat{n}$
(multiplicative property)
(4) $\hat{d} \hat{\mid} \hat{n}$ and $\hat{d} \hat{\mid} \hat{m}$ implies $\hat{d} \hat{\mid}(\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{n}+\hat{b} \hat{\times} \hat{m})$
(linearity property)
(5) $\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{d} \hat{\mid} \hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{n}$ and $\hat{a} \neq \hat{0}$ implies $\hat{d} \hat{\mid} \hat{n}$
(cancellation law)
(6) $\hat{I} \hat{\mid} \hat{n}$
( $\hat{I}$ isodivides every isointeger)
Definition 2.2. If $\hat{d}$ isodivides two isointegers $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$, then $\hat{d}$ is called a common isodivisor of $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$.

Theorem 2.2. Given any two isointegers $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$, there is a common isodivisor $\hat{d}$ of $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{d}=\hat{a} \hat{x} \hat{x}+\hat{b} \hat{x} \hat{y} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ are isointegers, moreover every common isodivisor of $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ isodivides this $\hat{d}$.

The isonumber $\hat{d}$ is called the greatest common isodivisor (gcid) of $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ and is denoted by $(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$. If $(\hat{a}, \hat{b})=\hat{I}$, then $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are said to be relatively isoprime.

Theorem 2.3. The gcid has the following properties:
(1) $(\hat{a}, \hat{b})=(\hat{b}, \hat{a})$
(commutative law)
(2) $(\hat{a},(\hat{b}, \hat{c}))=((\hat{a}, \hat{b}), \hat{c})$
(associative law)
(3) $(\hat{a} \hat{\propto} \hat{c}, \hat{b} \hat{\times} \hat{c})=\hat{c} \hat{\times}(\hat{a}, \hat{b})$
(distributive law)
(4) $(\hat{a}, \hat{I})=\hat{I}$

Definition 2.3. An isointeger $\hat{n}$ is called isoprime if $\hat{n}>\hat{I}$ and if the only positive isodivisors of $\hat{n}$ are $\hat{I}$ and $\hat{n}$. If $\hat{n}>\hat{I}$ and if $\hat{n}$ is not isoprime, then $\hat{n}$ is called isocomposite.

Theorem 2.4. The unique isofactorization theorem. Every isointeger $\hat{n}>\hat{I}$ can be represented as a isoproduct of isoprime factors in only one way, apart from the order of isodivisors. We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{n}=\left(\hat{p}_{1}\right)^{\hat{a}_{1}} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times}\left(\hat{p}_{r}\right)^{\hat{a}_{r}}=n \hat{I}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

e.g., $\widehat{20}=\hat{2}^{2} \hat{\times} \hat{5}=\hat{2} \hat{\times} \hat{2} \hat{\times} \hat{5}=20 \hat{I}$.

Theorem 2.5. The isoprime number theorem. By lifting every $p \rightarrow \hat{p}$ we have the isoprime number theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(N)=\frac{N}{\log N}(1+O(1)) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi(N)$ is the number of isoprimes $\hat{p} \leq \hat{N}$.
Theorem 2.6. The isoprime number theorem for isoarithmetic progressions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{a}(k)=\hat{n} \hat{x} \hat{k}+\hat{a},(\hat{k}, \hat{a})=\hat{I}, \hat{n}=\hat{0}, \hat{1}, \hat{2}, \cdots \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{a}(N)=\frac{1}{\phi(k)} \frac{N}{\log N}(1+O(1)), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{a}(x)$ denotes the number of isoprimes in $\hat{E}_{a}(k) \leq \hat{N}$ and $\phi(k)$ denotes Euler's function.

The isogoldbach problem: $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}$. Every isoeven number $\hat{N}$ greater than $\hat{4}$ is the sum of two isoprimes, e.g., $\widehat{10}=\hat{5}+\hat{5}=\hat{3}+\hat{7}$. The twin isoprime problem: $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+2$, e.g., $\widehat{13}=\widehat{11}+\hat{2}$. Three isoprimes problem: $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}, \hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+$ $\hat{p}_{2} \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{3}$, e.g., $\widehat{11}=\hat{3}+\hat{3}+\hat{5}, \widehat{20}=\hat{5}+\hat{3} \hat{\times} \hat{5}$. Four isoprimes problem: $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}+\hat{p}_{4}$ and $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2} \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{3} \dot{\times} \hat{p}_{4}$. Five isoprimes problems: $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}+\hat{p}_{4}+\hat{p}_{5}$ and $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2} \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{3} \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{4} \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{5}$.

Theorem 2.7. The stable isoprime theorem. We prove that $\hat{1}, \hat{3}, \hat{5}, \hat{7}, \widehat{11}$, $\widehat{23}, \widehat{47}, \cdots$ are the stable isoprimes.

Theorem 2.8. The stable isoeven number theorem. We prove that $\hat{2}, \hat{4}, \hat{6}$, $\widehat{10}, \widehat{14}, \widehat{22}, \widehat{46}, \widehat{94}, \cdots$ are the stable isoeven numbers.

Any isoprime $\hat{p}$ can factorize the conventional composites with $p$ factor, e.g., $\hat{5}=10$, where $\hat{I}=2$.

Theorem 2.9. Any isocomposite $\hat{n}$ can factorize $t(n)$ conventional primes, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t(n)=\sum_{p \mid n} 1, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

e.g., $\widehat{10}=5$, where $\hat{I}=\frac{1}{2} ; \widehat{10}=2$, where $\hat{I}=1 / 5$.

Definition 2.4. Given isointegers $\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{m}$ with $\hat{m}>\hat{0}$. We say that $\hat{a}$ is isocongruent to $\hat{b}$ module $\hat{m}$ and we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a} \hat{\equiv} \hat{b}(\bmod \hat{m}) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\hat{m}$ isodivides the difference $\hat{a}-\hat{b}$, the isonumber $\hat{m}$ is called the modulus of isocongruence. The isocongruence (2.7) is equivalent to the isodivisibility relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{m} \hat{\jmath}(\hat{a}-\hat{b}) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\hat{m} \hat{X}(\hat{a}-\hat{b})$ we write $\hat{a} \hat{\equiv \equiv} \hat{b}(\bmod \hat{m})$ and say that $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are nonisocongruent $\bmod \hat{m}$.

Theorem 2.10. The isocongruence is an equivalence relation:
(1) $\hat{a} \hat{\equiv} \hat{a}(\bmod \hat{m}) \quad$ (reflexivity)
(2) $\hat{a} \hat{\equiv} \hat{b}(\bmod \hat{m})$ implies $\hat{b} \hat{\equiv} \hat{a}(\bmod \hat{m}) \quad \quad$ (symmetry)
(3) $\hat{a} \hat{\equiv} \hat{b}(\bmod \hat{m})$ and $\hat{b} \hat{\equiv} \hat{c}(\bmod \hat{m})$ implies $\hat{a} \hat{\equiv} \hat{c}(\bmod \hat{m}) \quad$ (transitivity)

Theorem 2.11. Assume $(\hat{a}, \hat{m})=\hat{I}$. Then the linear isocongruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{x} \hat{\equiv} \hat{b}(\bmod \hat{m}) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

has exactly one solution.
Definition 2.5. The quadratic isocongruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}^{\hat{2}} \hat{\equiv} \hat{n}(\bmod \hat{p}), \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{p}$ is an odd isoprime and $\hat{n} \hat{\equiv} \hat{0}(\bmod \hat{p})$. (2.10) has at most two solutions. If $\hat{x}$ is a solution so is $-\hat{x}$, hence the number of solution is either 0 or 2 .

If isocongruence (2.10) has a solution and we say that $\hat{n}$ is a quadratic residue $\bmod \hat{p}$ and we write $(\hat{\tilde{p}})=1$, where $\left(\frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{p}}\right)$ is isolegendre's symbol. If (2.10) has no solution we say that $\hat{n}$ is a quadratic nonresidue $\bmod \hat{p}$ and we write $(\hat{n})=-1$.

## Theorem 2.12.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{x}_{i}^{\hat{2}} \hat{=} \hat{a}(\bmod \hat{p}) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W_{n 0}(\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{a}), W_{n+}((\hat{\hat{p}})=1)$ and $W_{n-}\left(\left(\frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{p}}\right)=-1\right)$ denote the number of solutions of (2.11).

If $\hat{p} \hat{\equiv} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{4})$ we have the recurrence formulas:

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{n 0}=(p-1) W_{(n-1)+}, \\
W_{n+}=\frac{p-1}{2}\left(W_{(n-1)+}+W_{(n-1)-}\right)+2 W_{(n-1) 0}-2 W_{(n-1)+}, \\
W_{n-}=\frac{p-1}{2}\left(W_{(n-1)+}+W_{(n-1)-}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $\hat{p} \hat{\equiv} \hat{3}(\bmod \hat{4})$ we have the recurrence formulas:

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{n 0}=(p-1) W_{(n-1)-}, \\
W_{n+}=\frac{p-3}{2}\left(W_{(n-1)+}+W_{(n-1)-}\right)+2 W_{(n-1) 0}, \\
W_{n-}=\frac{p-1}{2}\left(W_{(n-1)+}+W_{(n-1)-}\right)+\left(W_{(n-1)+}-W_{(n-1)-}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 2.13.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{x}_{i} \hat{=} \hat{a}(\bmod \hat{p}) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{p}$ is an odd isoprime. (2.14) has exactly $J_{n}(p)+(-1)^{n}$ solutions, where $J_{n}(p)=\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}$, if $\hat{p} \hat{a}$, and (2.14) $J_{n}(p)$ solutions if $\hat{p} \hat{X} \hat{a}$.

## Theorem 2.14.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}_{1}^{2}+\hat{x}_{2}+\cdots+\hat{x}_{n} \hat{\equiv} \hat{a}(\bmod \hat{p}) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.15) has exactly $J_{n}(p)-(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{p}}\right)=1$ and (2.15) $J_{n}(p)+(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{p}}\right)=-1$ and $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{a}$.

## Theorem 2.15.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}_{1}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{x}_{2}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{x}_{3}+\cdots+\hat{x}_{n} \hat{\equiv} \hat{a}(\bmod \hat{p}), n \geq 2 . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\hat{p} \xlongequal[\equiv]{\hat{I}}(\bmod \hat{4}),(2.16)$ has exactly $J_{n}(p)-3(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{\hat{p}}{\hat{p}}\right)=1 ;(2.16)$ $J_{n}(p)+p(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{a}$; (2.16) $J_{n}(p)+(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{p}}\right)=-1$. For $\hat{p} \hat{\equiv} \hat{3}(\bmod \hat{4}),(2.16)$ has exactly $J_{n}(p)-J_{2}(p)(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{a}$; (2.16) $J_{n}(p)-(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{p}}\right)=1 ;(2.16) J_{n}(p)+3(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{\hat{\alpha}}{\hat{p}}\right)=-1$.

Theorem 2.16.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}_{1}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{x}_{2}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{x}_{3}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{x}_{4}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{x}_{5}+\cdots+\hat{x}_{n} \hat{=} \hat{a}(\bmod \hat{p}) n \geq 4 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\hat{p} \hat{\equiv} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{4}),(2.17)$ has exactly $J_{n}(p)+\left(p^{2}+5 p-5\right)(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{a} ;(2.17)$ $J_{n}(p)-(5 p+10)(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{b}}\right)=1 ;(2.17) J_{n}(p)+(3 p-2)(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{b}}\right)=-1$. For $\hat{p} \hat{\equiv} \hat{3}(\bmod \hat{4}),(2.17)$ has exactly $J_{n}(p)+\left(p^{2}-7 p-7\right)(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\hat{p} \mid \hat{a} ;(2.17) J_{n}(p)+(3 p+2)(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{b}}\right)=1$; $(2.17) J_{n}(p)-(5 p-10)(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{b}}\right)=-1$.

Theorem 2.17.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}_{1}^{\hat{3}}+\hat{x}_{2}+\cdots+\hat{x}_{n} \hat{\overline{=}} \hat{a}(\bmod \hat{p}) . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.18) has exactly $J_{n}(p)-2(-1)^{n}$ solutions if iso $a^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \hat{\equiv} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{p}) ;(2.18) J_{n}(p)$ solutions if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{a}$ and $\hat{3} \hat{X}(\hat{p}-\hat{I}) ; J_{n}(p)+(-1)^{n}$ solutions otherwise.

## Theorem 2.18.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} \hat{x}_{n+1-r}+\sum_{i=n+2-r}^{n} \hat{x}_{i} \hat{\equiv} \hat{a}(\bmod \hat{p}) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.19) has exactly $J_{n}(p)+(-1)^{r} J_{n+1-r}(p)$ solutions if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{a}$;
(2.19) $J_{n}(p)+(-1)^{r+1} J_{n-r}(p)$ solutions if $\hat{p} \hat{X} \hat{a}$.

Theorem 2.19.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} \hat{x}_{k-1} \hat{\times}\left(\hat{x}_{k}+\cdots+\hat{x}_{n}\right) \hat{\equiv} \hat{a}(\bmod \hat{p}) . \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.20) has exactly $J_{n}(p)-(-1)^{k} J_{k}(p)(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\hat{p} \hat{a}$;
(2.20) $J_{n}(p)+(-1)^{k} J_{k-1}(p)(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\hat{p} \hat{X} \hat{a}$.

Theorem 2.20.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{x}_{1}+\cdots+\hat{x}_{k}\right) \hat{\times} \hat{x}_{k+1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} \hat{x}_{n} \hat{\equiv} \hat{a}(\bmod \hat{p}) . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.21) has exactly $\left(J_{k}(p)+(-1)^{k}\right)(p-1)^{n-k}$ solutions if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{a}$; (2.21) $J_{k}(p)$ $(p-1)^{n-k}$ solutions if $\hat{p} \hat{X} \hat{a}$.

Theorem 2.21.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}\left(\hat{x}_{1}, \cdots, \hat{x}_{n}\right) \xlongequal[\equiv]{\hat{a}}(\bmod \hat{p}) . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W_{n}$ denote the number of solutions of (2.22), we has

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}=J_{n}(p)(1+O(1)) . \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Additive Isoprime Theory

Definition 3.1. We define the arithmetic progressions[4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=\omega K+p_{\alpha}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \cdots$;

$$
\omega=\prod_{2 \leq p \leq p_{i}} p,\left(p_{\alpha}, \omega\right)=1, p_{i} \leq p_{\alpha}=p_{1}, \cdots, p_{\phi}(\omega)=\omega+1
$$

where $\phi(\omega)=\prod_{2 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1) . \phi(\omega)$ is Euler function;
Every prime $p>p_{i}$ can be expressed as the form $E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)$. We define the primes and composites by $K$.

By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ we have isoarithmetic progressions from (3.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=\left(\omega K+p_{\alpha}\right) \hat{I}=E_{p_{\alpha}}(K) \hat{I} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using isofields $\hat{F}\left(\hat{E}_{p_{\alpha}}(K),+, \hat{\times}\right)$ we characterize a new branch of number theory called the Santilli's additive isoprime theory.

Theorem 3.1. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f}(\hat{p})=\hat{a}_{n} \hat{\times}(\hat{p})^{\hat{n}}+\cdots+\hat{a}_{0}=\left(a_{n} p^{n}+\cdots+a_{0}\right) \hat{I}=f(p) \hat{I} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an isopolynomial.
If there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}$ such that each of $\hat{f_{i}}(\hat{p})$ (for $i=1, \cdots, k-$ $1)$ is also an isoprime, then $\hat{f}_{i}(\hat{p})$ must satisfy two necessary and sufficient conditions:
(I) Let $\hat{f}_{i}(\hat{p})$ be $k-1$ distinct irreducible isopolynomials with isointegral coefficients and one variable $\hat{p}$.
(II) There exists an arithmetic function $J_{2}(\omega)$ that is to separate the number of subequation $k$-tuples from (3.2). It is also the number of solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \hat{f}_{i}\left(\hat{p}_{\alpha}\right), \hat{\omega}\right)=\hat{I} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \leq \phi(\omega), J_{2}(\omega)$ can be expressed as the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-H(p)) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we determinate $H(p)$, every $\hat{p}_{\alpha}>\hat{p}$ can be expressed as the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{\alpha}=\hat{p} \hat{x} \hat{g}+\hat{q}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{q}=\hat{1}, \hat{2}, \ldots, \hat{p}-\hat{I}$.

Substituting (3.6) into (3.4) we have isocongruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \hat{f}_{i}(\hat{q}) \hat{\equiv} \hat{0}(\bmod \hat{p}) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H(p)$ denote the number of solutions of (3.7) for every isointeger $\hat{q}$. If (3.7) has no solutions for every isointeger, we have $H(p)=0$ and $J_{2}(p)=\phi(p)=p-1$. (3.7) has at most $H(p)=p-1$ solutions. We have $J_{2}(p)=0$. There can be no more than one $k$-tuples of isoprimes.

Example 3.1. 3 -tuples: $\hat{p}, \hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{2}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{4}$.
From (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-H(p)) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.7) we have $H(3)=2$ and $J_{2}(3)=0$. There does not exist any 3 -tuple of isoprimes except one 3 -tuple: $\hat{3}, \hat{5}, \hat{7}$.

We deal with $\hat{p}_{i}=\hat{a}_{i} \hat{\times} \hat{p}+\hat{b}_{i}($ for $i=1, \cdots, k-1), \hat{2} \hat{\mid} \hat{a}_{i} \hat{\times} \hat{b}_{i},\left(\hat{a}_{i}, \hat{b}_{i}\right)=\hat{I}, J_{2}(\omega)$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-k-\chi_{1}(p)-\cdots-\chi_{k-1}(p)\right), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{i}(p)= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{b} \\
0 & \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{X} \hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{b}\end{cases} \\
\chi_{i}(p)= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{\mid}\left(\hat{a}_{i} \hat{\times} \hat{q}+\hat{b}_{i}\right) \text { and } \hat{p} \hat{\mid}\left(\hat{a}_{j} \hat{\times} \hat{q}+\hat{b}_{j}\right), i \neq j \\
0 & \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{X}\left(\hat{a}_{i} \hat{\times} \hat{q}+\hat{b}_{i}\right)\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

The arithmetic function $J_{2}(\omega)$ is a generalization of Euler's function. It plays an important role in the study of Santilli's additive isoprime theory.

By using the $J_{2}(\omega)$ from (3.2) it is possible to obtain the best asymptotic formulas of Santilli's additive isoprime theory.

Suppose that $t_{\alpha}$ is independent of $p_{\alpha}$, where $t_{\alpha}$ denotes the number of primes $K_{p}$ less than $m$ in $\hat{f}_{i}\left(\hat{E}_{p_{\alpha}}\left(K_{p}\right)\right)=$ isoprime. We take $t_{1}=t_{\alpha}$, where $\alpha=2, \cdots, J_{2}(\omega)$, and have asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2)=\mid\left\{\hat{p}: \hat{p} \leq \hat{N}, \hat{f}_{i}(\hat{p})=\text { isoprime }\right\} \mid=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{J_{2}(\omega)} t_{\alpha} \sim J_{2}(\omega) t_{1} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deal with one subequation $k$-tuple: $\hat{E}_{p_{1}}(K), \hat{f}_{i}\left(\hat{E}_{p_{1}}(K)\right)$. We define the sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=0,1,2, \cdots, m . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take the average value formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=\mid\left\{K_{p}: K_{p} \leq m, \hat{f}_{i}\left(\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{p}\right)\right)=\text { isoprime }\right\} \left\lvert\, \sim \frac{C\left[\pi_{1}(\omega m)\right]^{k}}{m^{k-1}}\right. \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{1}(\omega m)$ denotes the number of primes $K$ less than $m$ in $\hat{E}_{p_{1}}(K), C$ is a constant. $t_{1}$ is independent of $p_{1}$. The primes $K_{p}$ seem to be equally distributed among $J_{2}(\omega)$. (3.10) is precise statement of this fact.

Isolagrange theorem. The isopolynomial isocongruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{f_{i}} \hat{\equiv} 0(\bmod \hat{p}) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at most $\operatorname{deg} \hat{f}_{i}$ solutions. The large the $\operatorname{deg} \hat{f}_{i}$, the less the number of isoprimes representable by $\hat{f}_{i}$. We take

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\operatorname{deg} \hat{f}_{i}\right)^{-1} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N=\omega m$ and $\pi_{1}(N) \sim \frac{N}{\phi(\omega) \log N}$. Substituting it into (3.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=\frac{C \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N}(1+O(1)) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$t_{1}=0$ if $N<\omega ; t_{1} \neq 0$ if $N>\omega$ similar to (3.1). (3.15) can be applied to any $k$-tuple of subequations and $t_{1}$ is called the common factor in Santilli's additive isoprime theory. Substituting (3.14) and (3.15) into (3.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2)=\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\operatorname{deg} \hat{f}_{i}\right)^{-1} \times \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N}(1+O(1)) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\pi_{k}(N, 2)$ depends on $J_{2}(\omega)$ only. $\pi_{k}(N, 2)=0$ if $J_{2}(\omega)=0 ; \pi_{k}(N, 2) \neq 0$ if $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. Since $\pi_{k}(N, 2) \rightarrow \infty$ as $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$. This relation implies there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of isoprimes.
The prove of the theorems is transformed into studying the arithmetic functions $J_{2}(\omega)$. By using the $J_{2}(\omega)$ we prove the following theorems:

Theorem 3.1.1. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{p}+\hat{b}, \hat{2} \hat{\mid} \hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{b},(\hat{a}, \hat{b})=\hat{I}$. From (3.9) we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid a b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow 0$, there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}$ such that $\hat{p}_{1}$ is also an isoprime.

Theorem 3.1.2. Isogoldbach theorem: $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{N}-\hat{p}, \hat{2} \hat{\mid} \hat{N}$,

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, every isoeven number $\hat{N}$ greater than $\hat{4}$ is the sum of two isoprimes. It is the simplest theorem[3].

Theorem 3.1.3. Isoprime twins: $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{b}, \hat{2} \hat{\mid} \hat{b}$,

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}$ such that $\hat{p}_{1}$ is also an isoprime.

Theorem 3.1.4. $\hat{p}_{1}=(\hat{p} \pm \hat{a})^{\hat{2}}+\hat{I}$, where $\hat{a}$ is an isoodd.

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=0$ if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid}\left(\hat{a}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{I}\right) ; \chi(p)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}$ such that $\hat{p}_{1}$ is also an isoprime.

Theorem 3.1.5. $\hat{p}_{1}=(\hat{p}+\hat{a})^{\hat{2}}+\hat{a}, \hat{a} \neq-\hat{b}^{\hat{2}}$,

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=0$ if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid}\left(\hat{a}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{a}\right) ; x(p)=\left(-\frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{p}}\right)$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many isoprime $\hat{p}$ such that $\hat{p}_{1}$ is also an isoprime.

Theorem 3.1.6. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{p}+\hat{b}$, where $\hat{b}$ is an isoodd.

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=0$ if $\hat{p} \mid \hat{b}$ and $\hat{p} \mid(\hat{I}-\hat{4} \hat{\times} \hat{b}) ; \chi(p)=\left(\frac{\hat{I}-\hat{4} \hat{\times} \hat{b}}{\hat{p}}\right)$ otherwise.

Theorem 3.1.7. $\hat{p}_{i}=\hat{p}+\hat{\omega}_{g} \hat{\times} \hat{I}$, where $\hat{I}=\hat{1}, \hat{2}, \cdots, \hat{k}-\hat{1}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{g} & =\prod_{2 \leq p \leq p_{g}} p \\
J_{2}(\omega) & =\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{g}}(p-1) \prod_{p_{g+1} \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-k)
\end{aligned}
$$

$J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $k<P_{g+1} ; J_{2}\left(p_{g+1}\right)=0$ if $k=p_{g+1}$.

Theorem 3.1.8. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{2}$ and $\hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}$. From (3.9) and (3.16) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{3}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) \\
=\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{2} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{2}(p-3)}{(p-1)^{3}} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\frac{N}{\log ^{3} N} \sim \frac{\pi^{3}(N)}{N^{2}}$. We have $\pi_{3}(N, 2)=57,267,1443,8672,56506,9552915553$ if $N=10^{4}, 10^{5}, 10^{6}, 10^{7}, 10^{8}, 10^{14}$.

Theorem 3.1.9. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{2}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}$ and $\hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\hat{8}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) \\
=\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{3} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{3}(p-4)}{(p-1)^{4}} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\frac{N}{\log ^{4} N} \sim \frac{\pi^{4}(N)}{N^{3}}$. We have $\pi_{4}(N, 2)=11,39,172,866,4894,451570107$ if $N=$ $10^{4}, 10^{5}, 10^{6}, 10^{7}, 10^{8}, 10^{14}$.

Theorem 3.1.10. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{2}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\hat{8}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{12}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 \\
\pi_{5}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{4} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{4}(p-5)}{(p-1)^{5}} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
$$

We have $\pi_{5}(N, 2)=10,35,147,705$ if $N=10^{5}, 10^{6}, 10^{7}, 10^{8}$.
Theorem 3.1.11. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{4}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\widehat{10}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{12}, \hat{p}_{5}=\hat{p}+\widehat{16}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0, \\
\pi_{6}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{\phi^{6}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1)) \\
=\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{5} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{5}(p-6)}{(p-1)^{6}} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $\pi_{6}(N, 2)=18,73,337,1730,9328$ if $N=10^{7}, 10^{8}, 10^{9}, 10^{10}, 10^{11}$.
Theorem 3.1.12. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{2}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\hat{8}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{12}, \hat{p}_{5}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{18}, \hat{p}_{6}=\hat{p}+\widehat{20}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0 \\
\pi_{7}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1)) \\
=\frac{1}{48}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{6} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{6}(p-7)}{(p-1)^{7}} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $\pi_{7}(N, 2)=56,258,1250,6540$ if $N=10^{9}, 10^{10}, 10^{11}, 10^{12}$.
Theorem 3.1.13. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{2}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\widehat{12}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{14}, \hat{p}_{5}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{20}, \hat{p}_{6}=\hat{p}+\widehat{24}, \hat{p}_{7}=\hat{p}+\widehat{26}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=48 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0, \\
\pi_{8}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{7}}{\phi^{8}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{8} N}(1+O(1)) \\
=\frac{1}{120}\left(\frac{1001}{192}\right)^{7} \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{7}(p-8)}{(p-1)^{8}} \frac{N}{\log ^{8} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $\pi_{8}(N, 2)=476,2250$ if $N=10^{11}, 10^{12}$.

Theorem 3.1.14. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{2}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\hat{8}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{12}, \hat{p}_{5}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{18}, \hat{p}_{6}=\hat{p}+\widehat{20}, \hat{p}_{7}=\hat{p}+\widehat{26}, \hat{p}_{8}=\hat{p}+\widehat{30}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=15 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{9}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{8}}{\phi^{9}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{9} N}(1+O(1)) \\
=\frac{1}{384}\left(\frac{1001}{192}\right)^{8} \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{8}(p-9)}{(p-1)^{9}} \frac{N}{\log ^{9} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $\pi_{9}(N, 2)=28,118,544,2725$ if $N=10^{11}, 10^{12}, 10^{13}, 10^{14}$.
Theorem 3.1.15. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{2}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\hat{8}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{12}, \hat{p}_{5}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{18}, \hat{p}_{6}=\hat{p}+\widehat{20}, \hat{p}_{7}=\hat{p}+\widehat{26}, \hat{p}_{8}=\hat{p}+\widehat{30}, \hat{p}_{9}=\hat{p}+\widehat{32}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=10 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{10}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{9}}{\phi^{10}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{10} N}(1+O(1)) \\
=\frac{1}{576}\left(\frac{1001}{192}\right)^{9} \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{9}(p-10)}{(p-1)^{10}} \frac{N}{\log ^{10} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $\pi_{10}(N, 2)=13,52,241$ if $N=10^{12}, 10^{13}, 10^{14}$.
Theorem 3.1.16. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{4}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\widehat{10}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{16}, \hat{p}_{5}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{18}, \hat{p}_{6}=\hat{p}+\widehat{24}, \hat{p}_{7}=\hat{p}+\widehat{28}, \hat{p}_{8}=\hat{p}+\widehat{30}, \hat{p}_{9}=\hat{p}+\widehat{34}, \hat{p}_{10}=\hat{p}+\widehat{36}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=28 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{11}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{10}}{\phi^{11}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{11} N}(1+O(1)) \\
\frac{7}{23040}\left(\frac{17017}{3072}\right)^{10} \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{10}(p-11)}{(p-1)^{11}} \frac{N}{\log ^{11} N}(1+O(1))
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $\pi_{11}(N, 2)=15,69,321$ if $N=10^{14}, 10^{15}, 10^{16}$.
Theorem 3.1.17. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\widehat{6}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\widehat{10}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\widehat{12}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{16}, \hat{p}_{5}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{22}, \hat{p}_{6}=\hat{p}+\widehat{24}, \hat{p}_{7}=\hat{p}+\widehat{30}, \hat{p}_{8}=\hat{p}+\widehat{34}, \hat{p}_{9}=\hat{p}+\widehat{36}, \hat{p}_{10}=\hat{p}+\widehat{40}, \hat{p}_{11}=\hat{p}+\widehat{42}$.

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=21 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0 .
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{12}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{11}}{\phi^{12}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{12} N}(1+O(1)) . \\
=\frac{7}{30720}\left(\frac{17017}{3072}\right)^{11} \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{11}(p-12)}{(p-1)^{12}} \frac{N}{\log ^{12} N}(1+O(1))
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $\pi_{12}(N, 2)=7,31,140,693$ if $N=10^{15}, 10^{16}, 10^{17}, 10^{18}$.
Theorem 3.1.18. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\widehat{2}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{8}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\widehat{14}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{18}, \hat{p}_{5}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{20}, \hat{p}_{6}=\hat{p}+\widehat{24}, \hat{p}_{7}=\hat{p}+\widehat{30}, \hat{p}_{8}=\hat{p}+\widehat{32}, \hat{p}_{9}=\hat{p}+\widehat{38}, \hat{p}_{10}=\hat{p}+\widehat{42}, \hat{p}_{11}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{44}, \hat{p}_{12}=\hat{p}+\widehat{48}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=924 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{13}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{12}}{\phi^{13}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{13} N}(1+O(1)) \\
=\frac{7}{276480}\left(\frac{676039}{110592}\right)^{12} \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{12}(p-13)}{(p-1)^{13}} \frac{N}{\log ^{13} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $\pi_{13}(N, 2)=12,55$ if $N=10^{17}, 10^{18}$.
Theorem 3.1.19. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\widehat{2}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{8}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\widehat{14}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{18}, \hat{p}_{5}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{20}, \hat{p}_{6}=\hat{p}+\widehat{24}, \hat{p}_{7}=\hat{p}+\widehat{30}, \hat{p}_{8}=\hat{p}+\widehat{32}, \hat{p}_{9}=\hat{p}+\widehat{38}, \hat{p}_{10}=\hat{p}+\widehat{42}, \hat{p}_{11}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{44}, \hat{p}_{12}=\hat{p}+\widehat{48}, \hat{p}_{13}=\hat{p}+\widehat{50}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=300 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{14}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{13}}{\phi^{14}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{14} N}(1+O(1)) \\
=\frac{5}{608256}\left(\frac{676039}{110592}\right)^{13} \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{13}(p-14)}{(p-1)^{14}} \frac{N}{\log ^{14} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We have $\pi_{14}(N, 2)=1,2$ if $N=10^{17}, 10^{18}$.
Note that Theorems 3.1.8. to 3.1.19. are Forbes isoprime theorems. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of isoprimes, where $k=3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14$. Forbes proved that there exists one $k$-tuple [5]. They are the simplest theorems. One can understand and prove them.

Theorem 3.1.20. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{2}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\hat{8}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{12}, \hat{p}_{5}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{18}, \hat{p}_{6}=\hat{p}+\widehat{20}, \hat{p}_{7}=\hat{p}+\widehat{26}, \hat{p}_{8}=\hat{p}+\widehat{30}, \hat{p}_{9}=\hat{p}+\widehat{32}, \hat{p}_{10}=\hat{p}+\widehat{36}, \hat{p}_{11}=$
$\hat{p}+\widehat{42}, \hat{p}_{12}=\hat{p}+\widehat{48}, \hat{p}_{13}=\hat{p}+\widehat{50}, \hat{p}_{14}=\hat{p}+\widehat{56}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=96 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{15}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{14}}{\phi^{15}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{15} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.21. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{2}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\hat{8}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{12}, \hat{p}_{5}=\hat{p}+\widehat{14}$.

$$
J_{2}(5)=0 .
$$

They cannot all be prime, for at least one of the five is divisible by 5 .
Theorem 3.1.22. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{4}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}+\hat{6}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}+\widehat{10}, \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}+\widehat{12}, \hat{p}_{5}=$ $\hat{p}+\widehat{16}, \hat{p}_{6}=\hat{p}+\widehat{22}$.

$$
J_{2}(7)=0 .
$$

They cannot all be prime, for at least one of the six is divisible by 7 .
Theorem 3.1.23. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{p}+\hat{I}$ and $\hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{3} \hat{\times} \hat{p}+\hat{3}$.

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
\chi(p)= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } \hat{p}=\hat{3} \\ 2 & \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{\equiv} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{3}) \\ -2 & \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{\overline{=}}-\hat{I}(\bmod \hat{3})\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 3.1.24. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{p}+\hat{I}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{3} \hat{\times} \hat{p}+\hat{3}$ and $\hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{5} \hat{\times} \hat{p}+\hat{7}$.

$$
J_{2}(3)=0 .
$$

Theorem 3.1.25. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{p}+\widehat{41}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{3} \hat{\times} \hat{p}+\widehat{43}$.

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
\chi(p)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \hat{p}=\widehat{41} \text { and } \hat{p}=\widehat{163}, \\ 1 & \text { if } \hat{p}=\widehat{43}, \\ 2 & \text { if }\left(-\frac{\widehat{163}}{\hat{\dot{1}}}\right)=1, \\ -2 & \text { if }\left(-\frac{163}{\hat{p}}\right)=-1\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 3.1.26. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{p}+\widehat{41}, \hat{p}_{2}=\hat{p}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{3} \hat{x} \hat{p}+\widehat{43}, \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{5} \hat{\times} \hat{p}+\widehat{47}$.

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
\chi(p)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \hat{p}=\widehat{41} \text { and } \hat{p}=\widehat{163}, \\ 1 & \text { if } \hat{p}=\widehat{43}, \\ 2 & \text { if } \hat{p}=\widehat{47}, \\ 3 & \text { if }\left(-\frac{163}{\hat{p}}\right)=1, \\ -3 & \text { if }\left(-\frac{163}{\hat{p}}\right)=-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 3.2. If there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}_{j}$ (for $j=1, \cdots, n-1$ ) such that isopolynomials $\hat{f}_{i}\left(\hat{p}_{j}\right)$ (for $i=1, \cdots, k-1$ ) are all isoprimes, then $\hat{f}_{i}\left(\hat{p}_{j}\right)$ must satisfy two necessary and sufficient conditions:
(I) Let $\hat{f}_{i}\left(\hat{p}_{j}\right)$ be $k-1$ distinct irreducible isopolynomials with isointegral coefficients and $n-1$ variables: $\hat{p}_{j}$.
(II) There exists an arithmetic function $J_{n}(\omega)$ that is to separate the number of subequation $k$-tuples from (3.2). It is also the number of solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \hat{f}_{i}\left(\hat{p}_{\alpha_{j}}\right), \hat{\omega}\right)=\hat{I} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq \alpha_{j} \leq \phi(\omega), j=1, \cdots, n-1$.
Since $J_{n}(\omega) \leq \phi^{n-1}(\omega), J_{n}(\omega)$ can be expressed as the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left((p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right), \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(p)$ is the number of solutions of isocongruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \hat{f}_{i}\left(\hat{q}_{j}\right) \hat{\equiv} 0(\bmod \hat{p}) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\hat{q}_{j}=\hat{1}, \hat{2}, \cdots, \hat{p}-\hat{1} ; j=1, \cdots, n-1$.
If $H(p)=(p-1)^{n-1}$ for some isoprime, then $J_{n}(p)=0$, there exist finitely many $k$-tuples of isoprimes; If $J_{n}(\omega) \neq 0$, then there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of isoprimes. Since $(p-1)^{n-1}=\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}+\frac{(p-1)^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1}}{p}, J_{n}(\omega)$ can also be expressed as the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq P_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}-\chi(p)\right), \chi(p)=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way as in Theorem 3.1., we can derive the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{k}(N, n)=\mid\left\{\hat{p}_{j}: \hat{p}_{j} \leq \hat{N}, \hat{f}_{i}\left(\hat{p}_{j}\right)=\text { isoprime }\right\} \mid \\
=\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\operatorname{deg} \hat{f}_{i}\right)^{-1} \times \frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{(n-1)!\phi^{n+k-2}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{(\log N)^{n+k-2}}(1+O(1)) . \tag{3.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

The prove of the theorems is transformed into studying the arithmetic functions $J_{n}(\omega)$.

By using the $J_{n}(\omega)$ we prove the following theorems:
Theorem 3.2.1. $\hat{p}_{n}=\hat{N}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \hat{p}_{i}$.

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}\right) \prod_{p \mid N}\left(1+\frac{(-1)^{n} p}{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}\right) \neq 0
$$

If $n=2$, see Theorem 3.1.2. It is isogoldbach theorem.
Theorem 3.2.2. $\hat{p}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \hat{p}_{i}+\hat{b}$.

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}\right) \prod_{p \mid b}\left(1+\frac{(-1)^{n} p}{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

If $n=2$, see Theorem 3.1.3. It is twin isoprime theorem.
Theorem 3.2.3. $\hat{p}_{n}=\hat{p}_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{n-1}+\hat{b}$.

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\phi^{n-2}(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 .
$$

If $n=2$, it is twin isoprime theorem.
Theorem 3.2.4. $\hat{p}_{n}=\hat{N}-\hat{p}_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{n-1}$.

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\phi^{n-2}(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 .
$$

If $n=2$, it is isogoldbach theorem. If $n=3$, it is three isoprime theorem called $(1+2)$. If $n=4$, it is called $(1+3)$. If $n=5,(1+4)[5]$.

Theorem 3.2.5. $\hat{p}_{n}=\hat{p}_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{n-r}+\sum_{i=n+1-r}^{n-1} \hat{p}_{i}+\hat{b}$.

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi(p)=(-1)^{r} \frac{(p-1)^{n-r}-(-1)^{n-r}}{p} \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{b} \\
\chi(p)=(-1)^{r+1} \frac{(p-1)^{n-r-1}-(-1)^{n-r-1}}{p} \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{\chi} \hat{b}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $n-r=1$, see Theorem 3.2.2. Let $r=1$, see Theorem 3.2.3. Let $n=2$, and $r=1$, it is twin isoprime theorem.

Theorem 3.2.6. $\hat{p}_{n}=\hat{N}-\hat{p}_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{n-r}-\sum_{i=n+1-r}^{n-1} \hat{p}_{i}$.

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi(p)=(-1)^{r} \frac{(p-1)^{n-r}-(-1)^{n-r}}{p} \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{N} ; \\
\chi(p)=(-1)^{r+1} \frac{(p-1)^{n-r-1}-(-1)^{n-r-1}}{p} \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{X} \hat{N} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $n-r=1$, see Theorem 3.2.1. Let $r=1$, see Theorem 3.2.4. Let $n=2$, and $r=1$, it is isogoldbach theorem. Let $n=3$ and $r=1$, it is three isoprime theorem.

Theorem 3.2.7. $\hat{p}_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \hat{p}_{i}+\hat{p}_{n+1-r} \hat{x} \cdots \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{n-1}+\hat{b}$.

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi(p)=(-1)^{n-r+1} \frac{(p-1)^{r-1}-(-1)^{r-1}}{p} \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{b}, \\
\chi(p)=(-1)^{n-r+2} \frac{(p-1)^{r-2}-(-1)^{r-2}}{p} \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{\chi} \hat{b}, r \geq 2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.2.8. $\hat{p}_{n}=\hat{N}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-r} \hat{p}_{i}-\hat{p}_{n+1-r} \hat{\aleph} \cdots \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{n-1}$.

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p} \chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
\chi(p)=(-1)^{n-r+1} \frac{(p-1)^{r-1}-(-1)^{r-1}}{p} \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{N}
$$

$$
\chi(p)=(-1)^{n-r+2} \frac{(p-1)^{r-2}-(-1)^{r-2}}{p} \text { if } \hat{p} \hat{X} \hat{N}, r \geq 2 \text {. }
$$

For $r=2$, see Theorem 3.2.1. For $n=r$, see Theorem 3.2.4. For $n=r=2$, it is isogoldbach theorem. For $n=r=3$, it is $(1+2)$. Note that Theorem 3.2.1. to 3.2.8. are $n$ isoprime theorems.

Theorem 3.2.9. $\hat{p}_{4}=\left(\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}-\hat{I}\right)^{\hat{2}}+\hat{I}$ and $\hat{p}_{5}=\left(\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}+\hat{I}\right)^{\hat{2}}+\hat{I}$.

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
\chi(p)=\left(p^{2}-3 p+3\right)\left(1+2(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.2.10. $\hat{p}_{4}=\left(\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}-\hat{3}\right)^{\hat{2}}+\hat{3}$ and $\hat{p}_{5}=\left(\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}+\hat{3}\right)^{\hat{2}}+\hat{3}$.

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
\chi(3)=-1, \chi(p)=\left(p^{2}-3 p+3\right)\left(1+2\left(\frac{-\hat{3}}{\hat{p}}\right)\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.2.11. $\hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{I}, \hat{p}_{4}=\left(\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}\right)^{\hat{2}}+\hat{I}$.

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where

$$
\chi(p)=(p-2)\left(1+(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right) .
$$

Theorem 3.2.12. $\hat{p}_{3}=\left(\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}\right)^{\hat{2}}+\hat{b}, \hat{2} \quad \hat{\chi} \hat{b}, \hat{b} \neq-\hat{a}^{\hat{2}}$.

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=1$ if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{b} ; \chi(p)=(p-2)\left(\frac{-\hat{b}}{\hat{p}}\right)$ if $\hat{p} \hat{X} \hat{b}$.
Theorem 3.2.13. $\hat{p}_{3}=\hat{N}-\left(\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}\right)^{\hat{2}}, \hat{2} \hat{X} \hat{N}, \hat{N} \neq \hat{a}^{\hat{2}}$.

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=1$ if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{N} ; \chi(p)=(p-2)\left(\frac{\hat{N}}{\hat{p}}\right)$ otherwise.
Theorem 3.2.14. $\hat{p}_{4}=\left(\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}\right)^{\hat{2}}+\hat{b}, \hat{2} \hat{\mid} \hat{b}, \hat{b} \neq-\hat{a}^{2}$.

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=-1$ if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{b} ; \chi(p)=\left(p^{2}-3 p+3\right)\left(-\frac{\hat{b}}{\hat{p}}\right)$ if $\hat{p} \quad \hat{X} \hat{b}$.
Theorem 3.2.15. $\hat{p}_{4}=\hat{N}-\left(\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}\right)^{\hat{2}}, \hat{2} \hat{\mid} \hat{N}, \hat{N} \neq \hat{a}^{\hat{2}}$.

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=-1$ if $\hat{p} \hat{N} ; \chi(p)=\left(p^{2}-3 p+3\right)\left(-\frac{\hat{N}}{\hat{p}}\right)$ if $\hat{p} \quad \hat{X} \hat{N}$.
Theorem 3.2.16. $\hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}_{1}+{\hat{p_{2}}}^{\hat{3}}+{\hat{p_{3}}}^{\hat{3}}$.

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=2 p-1$ if $\hat{p} \hat{\equiv} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{3}) ; \chi(p)=1$ otherwise.
Theorem 3.2.17. $\hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}-\hat{p}_{3}$

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}+1\right) \neq 0
$$

Theorem 3.2.18. $\hat{p}_{6}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}-\hat{p}_{4}-\hat{p}_{5}$

$$
J_{6}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{6}-1}{p}+1\right) \neq 0
$$

Theorem 3.2.19. $\hat{p}_{3}={\hat{p_{1}}}_{1}+{\hat{p_{2}}}^{\hat{2}}+\hat{b}$

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3+\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=-1$ if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{b} ; \chi(p)=\left(-\frac{\hat{b}}{\hat{p}}\right)$ otherwise.
Theorem 3.2.20. $\hat{p}_{3}=\hat{N}-\hat{p}_{1}-{\hat{p_{2}}}^{\hat{2}}$

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3+\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=-1$ if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{b} ; \chi(p)=\left(\frac{\hat{N}}{\hat{p}}\right)$ otherwise.
Theorem 3.2.21. $\hat{p_{3}}=\hat{p_{1}}+{\hat{p_{2}}}^{\hat{3}}+\hat{b}$

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3+\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=1$ if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{b} ; \chi(p)=2$ if iso $b^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \hat{=} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{p})$;

$$
\chi(p)=-1 \text { if iso } b^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \hat{\equiv \equiv} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{p}), \chi(p)=0 \text { otherwise. }
$$

Theorem 3.2.22. $\hat{p_{3}}=\hat{N}-\hat{p_{1}}-{\hat{p_{2}}}^{\hat{3}}$

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3+\chi(p)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=-1$ if $\hat{p} \mid \hat{N} ; \chi(p)=2$ if $\operatorname{iso}(N)^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \hat{=} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{p})$; $\chi(p)=-1$ if $\operatorname{iso}(N)^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \hat{\equiv} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{p}), \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.

Theorem 3.2.23. $\hat{p_{3}}=\hat{p_{1}}+{\hat{p_{2}}}^{\hat{4}}+\hat{b}$

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3+\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=-1$ if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{b} ; \chi(p)=3$ if iso $(-b)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \hat{\equiv} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{p})$; $\chi(p)=-1$ if iso $(-b)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \hat{\equiv} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{p}) ; \chi(p)=\left(-\frac{\hat{b}}{\hat{p}}\right)$ otherwise.

Theorem 3.2.24. $\hat{p}_{3}=\hat{N}-\hat{p}_{1}-\hat{p_{2}}{ }^{\hat{4}}$

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3+\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=-1$ if $\hat{p} \hat{\mid} \hat{N} ; \chi(p)=3$ if iso $(N)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \hat{=} \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{p})$;

$$
\chi(p)=-1 \text { if } i s o(N)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \not \equiv \hat{I}(\bmod \hat{p}) ; \chi(p)=\left(\frac{\hat{N}}{\hat{p}}\right) \text { otherwise. }
$$

Theorem 3.2.25. $\hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}+\hat{2}, \hat{p}_{5}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}+4$

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\frac{(p-1)^{3}+1}{p}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

Theorem 3.3. The arithmetic (sifting) function $J_{n}(\omega)$ has the following properties:
(1) $J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}-\chi(p)\right)$
(2) $J_{n}\left(2^{m}\right)=\phi^{n-1}\left(2^{m}\right)=2^{(n-1)(m-1)}$
(3) $J_{n}(1)=J_{1}(\omega)=J_{n}(2)=1$
(4) $J_{n}(a b)=J_{n}(a) J_{n}(b),(a, b)=1$
(5) $a\left|b \longrightarrow J_{n}(a)\right| J_{n}(b), n>1$
(6) $J_{n}\left(\omega^{m}\right)=\omega^{(n-1)(m-1)} J_{n}(\omega)$
(7) $J_{n}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)} J_{n-1}\left(\omega, p_{\alpha}\right)$
(8) $J_{n}(a b)=\frac{d^{n-1} J_{n}(a) J_{n}(b)}{J_{n}(d)},(a, b)=d$.
(9) $\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}-\frac{(p-1)^{n-2}-(-1)^{n-2}}{p}=(p-1)^{n-2}(p-2)$
(10) $J_{n}(\omega, k-2) \geq J_{n}(\omega, k-1)$
(11) $\frac{J_{n}\left(\omega^{m}\right)\left(\omega^{m}\right)^{k-1}}{\phi^{n+k-2}\left(\omega^{m}\right)}=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{n+k-2}(\omega)}$
(12) $(p-1)^{n-1}=\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}+\frac{(p-1)^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1}}{p}$

$$
=J_{n}(p)+H(p) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{n}(p)=\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}+\frac{(p-1)^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1}}{p}-H(p) \\
=\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}-\chi(p) .
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\chi(p)=H(p)-\frac{(p-1)^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1}}{p} ; \chi(p)$ depends on the coefficients and degrees of $\hat{f_{i}}$.

For example. $\hat{p}_{1}=\hat{p}+\hat{2}, \chi(p)=0 ; \hat{p}_{3}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{I}, \chi(p)=0 ; \hat{p}_{4}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+$ $\hat{p}_{3}+\hat{2}, \chi(p)=0$.

## 4. Derivations of the best asymptotic formulas

4.1. $\hat{p}_{2}=\hat{N}-\hat{p}_{1}$.

From (3.2) we have a subequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{N}-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{1}=0,1, \ldots, m \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.2) we take the average value formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1} \sim \frac{\left[\pi_{1}(\omega m)\right]^{2}}{m}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{1}$ denotes the number of solutions in (4.1), $\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$ are two isoprimes, $\pi_{1}(\omega m)$ the number of primes less than $m$ in $\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)$. From (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}(\omega m) \sim \frac{\pi(\omega m)}{\phi(\omega)} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi(N)$ denotes the number of primes less than $N$. Let $N=\omega m$ and $\pi(N) \sim$ $N / \log N$. From (4.3) and (4.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\frac{\omega N}{\phi^{2}(\omega) \log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.5) we have the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\sum_{\hat{p}_{2}=\hat{N}-\hat{p}_{1}} 1=J_{2}(\omega) T_{1} \\
=2 \prod_{2<p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N, 2<p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)), \tag{4.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $J_{2}(\omega)$ denotes the number of the subequations.

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\omega, N-p_{\alpha}\right)}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\prod_{2<p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{2}-(-1)^{2}}{p}\right) \prod_{p \mid N, 2<p \leq p_{i}}\left(1+\frac{(-1)^{2} p}{(p-1)^{2}-(-1)^{2}}\right) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.2. $\hat{p}_{3}=\hat{N}-\hat{p}_{1}-\hat{p}_{2}$.

From (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{p_{3}}(K)=\hat{N}-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{2}\right), p_{1} \neq p_{2} . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.2) we take the average value formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1} \sim \frac{\left[\pi_{1}(\omega m)\right]^{3}}{m} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{1}$ denotes the number of solutions in (4.8), $\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right), \hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$, and $\hat{E}_{p_{3}}(K)$ are three isoprimes. In the same manner as in $\S 4.1$, from (4.9) we have the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\frac{\omega}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (4.8) there are two subequations: $\hat{E}_{p_{3}}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{N}-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$, and $\hat{E}_{p_{3}}\left(K_{4}\right)=\hat{N}-\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{1}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{2}\right)$. From (4.10) we have the asymptotic formula of every subequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\sum_{\hat{p}_{3}=\hat{N}-\hat{p}_{1}-\hat{p}_{2}} 1=J_{3}(\omega) T_{2}=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{3}(\omega)$ denotes the number of the subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{3}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)} J_{2}\left(\omega, N-p_{\alpha}\right) \\
=\prod_{2<p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{3}-(-1)^{3}}{p}\right) \prod_{p \mid N, 2<p \leq p_{i}}\left(1+\frac{(-1)^{3} p}{(p-1)^{3}-(-1)^{3}}\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Substituting (4.13) into (4.12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\prod_{2<p \leq p_{i}}\left(1+\frac{1}{(p-1)^{3}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N, 2<p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{2}-3 p+3}\right) \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1937, I. M. Vinogradov [6] obtained (4.14). Eq. (4.14) is the best asymptotic formula.
4.3. $\hat{p}_{4}=\hat{N}-\hat{p}_{1}-\hat{p}_{2}-\hat{p}_{3}$.

From (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{p_{4}}(K)=\hat{N}-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{3}}\left(K_{3}\right), \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ are three unequalable numbers. In the same manner as in $\S 4.1$, we have the asymptotic formula of (4.15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\frac{\omega}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)), \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{1}$ denotes the number of solutions of (4.15); $\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right), \hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right), \hat{E}_{p_{3}}\left(K_{3}\right)$ and $\hat{E}_{p_{4}}(K)$ are isoprimes. In (4.15) there are six subequations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{E}_{p_{4}}\left(K_{4}\right)=\hat{N}-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{3}}\left(K_{3}\right), \\
& \hat{E}_{p_{4}}\left(K_{5}\right)=\hat{N}-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{3}}\left(K_{2}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{3}\right), \\
& \hat{E}_{p_{4}}\left(K_{6}\right)=\hat{N}-\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{1}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{2}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{3}}\left(K_{3}\right), \\
& \hat{E}_{p_{4}}\left(K_{7}\right)=\hat{N}-\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{1}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{3}}\left(K_{2}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{3}\right), \\
& \hat{E}_{p_{4}}\left(K_{8}\right)=\hat{N}-\hat{E}_{p_{3}}\left(K_{1}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{2}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{3}\right), \\
& \hat{E}_{p_{4}}\left(K_{9}\right)=\hat{N}-\hat{E}_{p_{3}}\left(K_{1}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)-\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4.16) we have the asymptotic formula of every subequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}=\frac{\omega}{6 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.17) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\sum_{\hat{p}_{4}=\hat{N}-\hat{p}_{1}-\hat{p}_{2}-\hat{p}_{3}} 1=J_{4}(\omega) T_{2}=J_{4}(\omega) T_{2}=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{6 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)), \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{4}(\omega)$ denotes the number of the subequations. From (4.7) and (4.13) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{4}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)} J_{3}\left(\omega, N-p_{\alpha}\right) \\
=\prod_{2<p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-(-1)^{4}}{p}\right) \prod_{p \mid N, 2<p \leq p_{i}}\left(1+\frac{(-1)^{4} p}{(p-1)^{4}-(-1)^{4}}\right) . \tag{4.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

4.4. $\hat{p}_{n}=\hat{N}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \hat{p}_{i}$

From (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{p_{n}}(K)=\hat{N}-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} \hat{E}_{p_{\alpha}}\left(K_{\alpha}\right), \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{\alpha}$ are $(n-1)$ unequalable numbers. In the same manner as in $\S 4.1$ we have the asymptotic formula of (4.20)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\frac{\omega}{\phi(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{N}}(1+O(1)), \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{1}$ denotes the number of solutions of (4.20); $\hat{E}_{p_{n}}(K)$ and $\hat{E}_{p_{\alpha}}\left(K_{\alpha}\right)$ are $n$ isoprimes. In (4.20) there are $(n-1)$ ! subequations. From (4.21) we have the asymptotic formula of every subequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}=\frac{\omega}{(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{N}}(1+O(1)) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.22) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\sum_{\hat{p}_{n}=\hat{N}-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \hat{P}_{i}} 1=J_{n}(\omega) T_{2}=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1)) \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{n}(\omega)$ denotes the number of the subequations. From (4.7), (4.13) and (4.19) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{n}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)} J_{n-1}\left(\omega, N-p_{\alpha}\right) \\
=\prod_{2<p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}\right) \prod_{p \mid N, 2<p \leq p_{i}}\left(1+\frac{(-1)^{n} p}{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}\right) . \tag{4.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the same way it is possible to derive all asymptotic formulas in the additive isoprime number theory. They have the same form but differ in $J_{n}(\omega)$.

## 5. Determinations of the number of the subequations

Let $\omega=6$. From (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{5}(k)=(6 K+5) \hat{I}, \hat{E}_{7}(K)=(6 K+7) \hat{I} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots$
5.1. $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}$.

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}=(6 m+h) \hat{I}, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=10,12,14 ; m=0,1,2, \ldots$
If $\hat{3} \mid \hat{N}$, from (4.7) we have $J_{2}(6)=2$.
From (5.1) and (5.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\hat{N}=(6 m+12) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right) \text { (2 subequations }\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\hat{3} X \hat{N}$, from (4.7) we have $J_{2}(6)=1$. From (5.1) and (5.2) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{N}=(6 m+10) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{2}\right)(1 \text { subequations }),  \tag{5.4}\\
& \hat{N}=(6 m+14) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)(1 \text { subequations }) . \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

5.2. $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}$.

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}=(6 m+h) \hat{I}, \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=17,19,21 ; m=0,1,2, \ldots$
If $\hat{3} \mid \hat{N}$, from (4.13) we have $J_{3}(6)=2$.
From (5.1) and (5.6) we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{N}=(6 m+21) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)(1 \text { subequations }), \\
\hat{N}=[6(m-1)+21)] \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{3}\right)(1 \text { subequations }) . \tag{5.7}
\end{array}
$$

If $\hat{3} \hat{X} \hat{N}$, from (4.13) we have $J_{3}(6)=3$. From (5.1) and (5.6) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{N}=(6 m+17) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)(3 \text { subequations }) ;  \tag{5.8}\\
& \hat{N}=(6 m+19) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)(3 \text { subequations }) . \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

5.3. $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}+\hat{p}_{4}$.

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}=(6 m+h) \hat{I} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=24,26,28 ; m=0,1,2, \ldots$
If $\hat{3} \hat{\mid} \hat{N}$, from (4.19) we have $J_{4}(6)=6$.
From (5.1) and (5.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}=(6 m+24) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{4}\right)(6 \text { subequations }) . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\hat{3} \hat{X} \hat{N}$, from (4.19) we have $J_{4}(6)=5$. From (5.1) and (5.10) we have

$$
\hat{N}=(6 m+26) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{4}\right)(4 \text { subequations }),
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{N}=[6(m-1)+26] \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{3}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{4}\right)(1 \text { subequations }) ;  \tag{5.12}\\
\hat{N}=(6 m+28) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{4}\right)(1 \text { subequations }), \\
\hat{N}=[6(m-1)+28] \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{3}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{4}\right)(4 \text { subequations }) . \tag{5.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

5.4. $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}+\hat{p}_{4}+\hat{p}_{5}$.

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}=(6 m+h) \hat{I}, \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=31,33,35 ; m=0,1, \ldots$
If $\hat{3} \mid \hat{N}$, from (4.24) we have $J_{5}(6)=10$.
From (5.1) and (5.14) we have

$$
\hat{N}=(6 m+33) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{4}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{5}\right)
$$

(5 subequations),

$$
\hat{N}=[6(m-1)+33] \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{3}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{4}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{5}\right)
$$

(5 subequations). (5.15)
If $\hat{3} \hat{X} \hat{N}$, from (4.24) we have $J_{5}(6)=11$. From (5.1) and (5.14) we have

$$
\hat{N}=(6 m+31) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{4}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{5}\right)
$$

(10 subequations),
$\hat{N}=[6(m-1)+31] \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{3}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{4}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{5}\right)$
(1 subequations); (5.16)

$$
\hat{N}=(6 m+35) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{4}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{5}\right)
$$

(1 subequations),

$$
\hat{N}=[6(m-1)+35] \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{5}\left(K_{3}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{4}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{5}\right)
$$

(10 subequations). (5.17)
5.5. Let $\omega=30$. From (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=\left(30 K+p_{\alpha}\right) \hat{I}, \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots ; p_{\alpha}=7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31$.
5.5.1. $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}$.

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}=(30 m+h) \hat{I}, \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=0,1,2, \ldots ; h=18,20,22,24,26,28,30,32,34,36,38,40,42,44,46$. From (5.18) and (5.19) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}=(30 m+h) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left[30\left(K_{1}+K_{2}\right)+p_{1}+p_{2}\right] \hat{I} . \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{E}_{p_{1}}(K)$ and $\hat{E}_{p_{2}}(K)$ are two isoprimes. From (5.20) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=K_{1}+K_{2}, h=p_{1}+p_{2} \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m=K_{1}+K_{2}$ is called Yu's mathematical problems, that is integers $m$ greater than 1 is the sum of $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. To prove $m=K_{1}+K_{2}$ is transformed into studying $\hat{N}=\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$.

If $\hat{3} \mid \hat{N}$, from (4.7) we have $J_{2}(30)=6$. From (5.18) and (5.20) we have six subequations: $6=2+2+2$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{N}=(30 m+18) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
= & \hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{5.22}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+24) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
= & \hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{5.23}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+36) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
= & \hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{5.24}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+42) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
= & \hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{5.25}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\hat{5} \hat{\mid} \hat{N}$, from (4.7) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) and (5.20) we have four subequations: $4=2+2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{N}=(30 m+20) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{5.26}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+40) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\hat{3}, \hat{5} \hat{\jmath} \hat{N}$, from (4.7) we have $J_{2}(30)=3$. From (5.18) and (5.20) we have three subequations: $3=2+1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{N}=(30 m+22) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{5.28}\\
\hat{N}=(30 m+26) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{5.29}\\
\hat{N}=(30 m+28) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right), \tag{5.30}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{N}=(30 m+32) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{5.31}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+34) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{5.32}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+38) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{5.33}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+44) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{5.34}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+46) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{5.35}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\hat{3}, \hat{5} \mid \hat{N}$, from (4.7) we have $J_{2}(30)=8$. From (5.18) and (5.20) we have eight subequations: $8=2+2+2+2$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{N}=(30 m+30) \hat{I}=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31} K_{2} \tag{5.36}
\end{gather*}
$$

For every subequation we have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega>30)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N, 7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, p_{1} \neq p_{2} . \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega>30) \neq 0$, we prove Yu's mathematical problems. From (3.16) we have the best asymptotic formula of solutions for Yu's mathematical problems [3]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\sum_{m=K_{1}+K_{2}} 1=\sum_{\hat{N}=\hat{E}_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)} 1 \\
=\frac{15}{32} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) . \tag{5.38}
\end{gather*}
$$

5.5.2. $\hat{N}=\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{p}_{2}+\hat{p}_{3}$.

Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}=(30 m+h) \hat{I} \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=0,1,2, \ldots h=25,27,29,31,33,35,37,39,41,43,45,47,49,51,53$. If $\hat{3} \hat{N}$, from (4.13) we have $J_{3}(30)=26$. From (5.18) and (5.39) we have twenty-six subequations: $26=3+3+3+3+6+6+1+1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{N} & =(30 m+27) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right), \tag{5.40}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{N} & =(30 m+33) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right),  \tag{5.41}\\
\hat{N} & =(30 m+39) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right),  \tag{5.42}\\
\hat{N} & =(30 m+51) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{3}\right) .(5) \tag{5.43}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\hat{5} \hat{\mid} \hat{N}$, from (4.13) we have $J_{3}(30)=36$. From (5.18) and (5.28) we have thirty-six subequations: $36=3+3+3+3+6+6+6+6$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{N} & =(30 m+25) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right),  \tag{5.44}\\
\hat{N} & =(30 m+35) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right) . \tag{5.45}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\hat{3}, \hat{5} \hat{\Lambda} \hat{N}$, from (4.13) we have $J_{3}(30)=39$. From (5.18) and (5.28) we have thirty-nine subequations: $39=3+3+3+6+6+6+6+6$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{N} & =(30 m+29) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right), \tag{5.46}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{N}=(30 m+31) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right) \text {, }  \tag{5.47}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+37) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right),  \tag{5.48}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+41) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right),  \tag{5.49}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+43) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right),  \tag{5.50}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+47) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right),  \tag{5.51}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+49) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \text {, }  \tag{5.52}\\
& \hat{N}=(30 m+53) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E}_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E}_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E}_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E}_{31}\left(K_{3}\right) \text {. } \tag{5.53}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\hat{3}, \hat{5} \hat{\mid} \hat{N}$ from (4.13) we have $J_{3}(30)=24$. From (5.18) and (5.39) we have twentyfour subequations: $24=3+3+3+3+3+3+3+3$

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{N} & =(30 m+45) \hat{I} \\
& =\hat{E_{7}}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E_{7}}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E_{31}}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E_{11}}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E_{11}}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E_{23}}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E_{13}}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E_{13}}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E_{19}}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E_{17}}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E_{17}}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E_{11}}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E_{19}}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E_{19}}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E_{7}}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E_{23}}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E_{23}}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E_{29}}\left(K_{3}\right) \\
& =\hat{E_{29}}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E_{29}}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E_{17}}\left(K_{3}\right)=\hat{E_{31}}\left(K_{1}\right)+\hat{E_{31}}\left(K_{2}\right)+\hat{E_{13}}\left(K_{3}\right) . \tag{5.54}
\end{align*}
$$

The number of the subequations is very exactly determined by $J_{n}(\omega) . J_{n}(\omega) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ is proof of the existence of infinitely many isoprimes similar to Euler's proof. It is a generalization of Euler's proof of the existence of infinitely many primes.
5.5.3. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.55) we have $J_{2}(30)=3$. From (5.18) we have three subequations

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{13}(K)=E_{11}(K)+2, E_{19}(K)=E_{17}(K)+2, E_{31}=E_{29}(K)+2 \tag{5.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every subequation has infinitely many prime solutions. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations. Each has infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.4. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{2}+1$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{5.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(p)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$.
From (5.57) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) we have four subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{2}+1, \quad E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{2}+1, \\
E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{2}+1, \quad E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{2}+1 . \tag{5.58}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every subequation has infinitely many prime solutions. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations. Each has infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.5. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+3\right)^{2}+1$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(5)=0, \chi(p)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ otherwise.
From (5.58) we have $J_{2}(30)=6$. From (5.18) we have six subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+1, \quad E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+1, \\
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+1, \quad E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+1, \\
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+1, \quad E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+1 . \tag{5.59}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every subequation has infinitely many prime solutions. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations. Each has infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.6. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+5\right)^{2}+1$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0, \tag{5.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(13)=0, \chi(p)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ otherwise.
From (5.60) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) we have four subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+1, E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+1, \\
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+1, E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+1 . \tag{5.61}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every subequation has infinitely many prime solutions. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations. Each has infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.7. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+7\right)^{2}+1$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0, \tag{5.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(5)=0, \chi(p)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ otherwise.
From (5.62) we have $J_{2}(30)=6$. From (5.18) we have six subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+7\right)^{2}+1, \quad E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+7\right)^{2}+1, \\
E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+7\right)^{2}+1, \quad E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+7\right)^{2}+1, \\
E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+7\right)^{2}+1, \quad E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+7\right)^{2}+1 . \tag{5.63}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every subequation has infinitely many prime solutions. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations. Each has infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.8. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+9\right)^{2}+1$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{5.64}
\end{equation*}
$$ where $\chi(41)=0, \chi(p)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ otherwise.

From (5.64) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) we have four subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+9\right)^{2}+1, E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+9\right)^{2}+1, \\
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+9\right)^{2}+1, E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+9\right)^{2}+1 . \tag{5.65}
\end{gather*}
$$

5.5.9. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+11\right)^{2}+1$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{5.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(61)=0, \chi(p)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ otherwise.
From (5.66) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) we have four subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+11\right)^{2}+1, E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+11\right)^{2}+1, \\
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+11\right)^{2}+1, E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+11\right)^{2}+1 . \tag{5.67}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations.

### 5.5.10. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+2\right)^{2}+2$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0, \tag{5.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(3)=0, \chi(p)=\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)$ otherwise.
From (5.68) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) we have four subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+2\right)^{2}+2, E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+2\right)^{2}+2, \\
E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+2\right)^{2}+2, E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+2\right)^{2}+2 . \tag{5.69}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every subequation has infinitely many prime solutions. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations. Each has infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.11. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+4\right)^{2}+2$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0, \tag{5.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(3)=0, \chi(p)=\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)$ otherwise.
From (5.70) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) we have four subequations

$$
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+4\right)^{2}+2, E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+4\right)^{2}+2,
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+4\right)^{2}+2, E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+4\right)^{2}+2 . \tag{5.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.5.12. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+6\right)^{2}+2$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{5.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\chi(3)=0, \chi(p)=\frac{-2}{3}\right)$. There do not exist prime solutions except $p_{2}=(3+$ $6)^{2}+2=83$.
5.5.13. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+a\right)^{2}+2$. where $6 \mid a$

We have $J_{2}(3)=0$. There do not exist prime solutions except $p_{2}=(3+a)^{2}+2=$ prime.
5.5.14. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+a\right)^{2}+2$ where $6 \nless a$

We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.15. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{2}+3$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{5.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(3)=0, \chi(p)=\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)$ otherwise.
From (5.73) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) we have four subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{2}+3, E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{2}+3, \\
E_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{2}+3, E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{2}+3 . \tag{5.74}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every subequation has infinitely many prime solutions. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow$ $\infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations and each has infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.16. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+3\right)^{2}+3$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{5.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(3)=-1, \chi(p)=\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)$ otherwise.
From (5.75) we have $J_{2}(30)=8$. From (5.18) we have eight subequations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+3, E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+3, \\
& E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+3, E_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+3,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+3, E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+3, \\
E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+3, E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+3\right)^{2}+3 . \tag{5.76}
\end{gather*}
$$

5.5.17. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+5\right)^{2}+3$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0, \tag{5.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(3)=\chi(7)=0, \chi(p)=\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)$ otherwise.
From (5.77) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) we have four subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+3, E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+3, \\
E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+3, E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+3 . \tag{5.78}
\end{gather*}
$$

5.5.18. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+2\right)^{2}+4$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-2-(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right) \neq 0, \tag{5.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.79) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) we have four subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+2\right)^{2}+4, E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+2\right)^{2}+4, \\
E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+2\right)^{2}+4, E_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+2\right)^{2}+4 . \tag{5.80}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every subequation has infinitely many prime solutions. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations. Each has infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.19. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+5\right)^{2}+5$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0, \tag{5.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(3)=0, \chi(5)=-1, \chi(p)=\left(\frac{-5}{p}\right)$ otherwise.
From (5.81) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) we have four subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+5, E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+5, \\
E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+5, E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+5\right)^{2}+5 . \tag{5.82}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every subequation has infinitely many prime solutions. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations. Each has infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.20. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{3}+2$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0, \tag{5.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(p)=2$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \equiv 1(\bmod p), \chi(p)=-1$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{3}}$ ह $=1(\bmod p), \chi(p)=0$. otherwise.

From (5.83) we have $J_{2}(30)=3$. From (5.18) we have three subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)\right)^{3}+2, E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)\right)^{3}+2, \\
E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)\right)^{3}+2 . \tag{5.84}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every subequation has infinitely many prime solutions. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations. Each has infinitely many prime solutions.

### 5.5.21. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{4}+1$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{5.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(p)=3$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8), \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$. From (5.85) we have $J_{2}(30)=8$. From (5.18) we have eight subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{4}+1, E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{4}+1, \\
E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{4}+1, E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{4}+1, \\
E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{4}+1, E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{4}+1, \\
E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{4}+1, E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=\left(E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+1\right)^{4}+1 . \tag{5.86}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every subequation has infinitely many prime solutions. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations. Each has infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.22. 3 -tuple: $p_{2}=p_{1}+2$ and $p_{3}=p_{1}+6$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 \tag{5.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.87) we have $J_{2}(30)=2$. From (5.18) we have two 2-tuples of subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{13}(K)=E_{11}(K)+2, \quad E_{17}(K)=\left(E_{11}(K)+6 ;\right. \\
E_{19}(K)=E_{17}(K)+2, \quad E_{23}(K)=E_{17}(K)+6 . \tag{5.88}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every 2-tuple of subequations has infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 2 -tuples of subequations. Each has infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes.
5.5.23. 3 -tuple: $p_{2}=p_{1}+6$ and $p_{3}=p_{1}+12$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 \tag{5.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.89) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (5.18) we have four 2-tuples of subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{13}(K)=E_{7}(K)+6, \quad E_{19}(K)=E_{7}(K)+12 \\
E_{17}(K)=E_{11}(K)+6, \\
E_{23}(K)=E_{23}(K)=E_{11}(K)+12 \\
E_{7}(K)=E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+6, \tag{5.90}
\end{gather*} \quad E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{31}(K)+12 . ~ \$
$$

Every 2-tuple of subequations has infinitely many 3-tuples of primes. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 2 -tuples of subequations. Each has infinitely many prime solutions.
5.5.24. 3 -tuple: $p_{2}=p_{1}+4$ and $p_{3}=p_{1}^{2}+4$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-(-1)^{(p-1) / 2}\right) \neq 0 \tag{5.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.91) we have $J_{2}(30)=2$. From (5.18) we have two 2 -tuples of subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{11}(K)=E_{7}(K)+4, \quad E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)=\left(E_{7}(K)\right)^{2}+4 \\
E_{17}(K)=E_{13}(K)+4, \quad E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)=\left(E_{13}(K)\right)^{2}+4 \tag{5.92}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every 2-tuple of subequations has infinitely many 3-tuples of primes. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 2 -tuples of subequations. Each has infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes.
5.5.25. 4 -tuple: $p_{2}=p_{1}+4$ and $p_{3}=p_{1}+16$ and $p_{4}=p_{1}+36$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 \tag{5.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.93) we have $J_{2}(30)=2$. From (5.18) we have two 3 -tuples of subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{11}(K)=E_{7}(K)+4, \quad E_{23}(K)=E_{7}(K)+16, \quad E_{13}(K)=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+36 \\
E_{17}(K)=E_{13}(K)+4, \quad E_{29}(K)=E_{13}(K)+16, \quad E_{19}(K)=E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+36 \tag{5.94}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every 3 -tuple of subequations has infinitely many 4 -tuples of primes. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of subequations. Each has infinitely many 4 -tuples of primes.
5.6. Let $\omega=210$. From (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p \alpha}(K)=210+p_{\alpha}, \tag{5.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots, \phi(210)=48$, that is the number of $p_{\alpha}, p_{\alpha}=11,13,17,19,23$, $29,31,37,41,43,47,53,59,61,67,71,73,79,83,89,97,101,103,107,109,113$, 121, 127, 131, 137, 139, 143,149, 151, 157, 163, 167, 169, 173, 179, 181, 187, 191, 193, 197, 199, 209,211.

Since $\phi(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist many arithmetic progressions and each has infinitely many primes. We have

$$
\lim _{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega}{\phi(\omega)}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}=\infty
$$

and $(\omega, \omega+1)=\left(\omega, p_{\alpha}\right)=1$. It is an Euclid-Euler proof of the existence of an infinity of primes. $J_{2}(\omega)$ is a generalization of Euler function $\phi(\omega)$.
5.6.1. 4 -tuple: $p_{2}=p_{1}+2, p_{3}=p_{1}+6$ and $p_{4}=p_{1}+8$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 \tag{5.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.96) we have $J_{2}(210)=3$. From (5.95) we have three 3 -tuples of subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{13}(K)=E_{11}(K)+2, \quad E_{17}(K)=E_{11}(K)+6, \quad E_{19}(K)=E_{11}(K)+8 ; \\
E_{103}(K)=E_{101}(K)+2, \quad E_{107}(K)=E_{101}(K)+6, \quad E_{109}(K)=E_{101}(K)+8 ; \\
E_{193}(K)=E_{191}(K)+2, \quad E_{197}(K)=E_{191}(K)+6, \quad E_{199}(K)=E_{191}(K)+8 . \tag{5.97}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every 3 -tuple of subequations has infinitely many 4 -tuples of primes. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of subequations. Each has infinitely many 4 -tuples of primes.
5.6.2. 5 -tuple: $p_{2}=p_{1}+4, p_{3}=p_{1}+6, p_{4}=p_{1}+36, p_{5}=p_{1}+64$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=6 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 \tag{5.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.98) we have $J_{2}(30)=6$. From (5.95) we have six 4-tuples of subequations

$$
E_{41}(K)=E_{37}(K)+4, \quad E_{53}(K)=E_{37}(K)+16
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{73}(K)=E_{37}(K)+36, \quad E_{101}(K)=E_{37}(K)+64 \\
E_{47}(K)=E_{43}(K)+4, \quad E_{59}(K)=E_{43}(K)+16 \\
E_{79}(K)=E_{43}(K)+36, \quad E_{107}(K)=E_{43}(K)+64 \\
E_{71}(K)=E_{67}(K)+4, \quad E_{83}(K)=E_{67}(K)+16 \\
E_{103}(K)=E_{67}(K)+36, \quad E_{131}(K)=E_{6} 7(K)+64 \\
E_{131}(K)=E_{127}(K)+4, \quad E_{143}(K)=E_{127}(K)+16 \\
E_{163}(K)=E_{127}(K)+36, \quad E_{191}(K)=E_{127}(K)+64 \\
E_{167}(K)=E_{163}(K)+4, \quad E_{179}(K)=E_{163}(K)+16 \\
E_{199}(K)=E_{163}(K)+36, \quad E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{163}(K)+64 \\
E_{197}(K)=E_{193}(K)+4, \quad E_{209}(K)=E_{193}(K)+16 \\
E_{19}(K)=E_{193}(K)+36, \tag{5.99}
\end{gather*} \quad E_{47}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{193}(K)+64 . ~ \$
$$

Every 4-tuple of subequations has infinitely many 5-tuples of primes. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 4-tuples of subequations. Each has infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes.
5.6.3. 5 -tuple: $p_{2}=p_{1}+2, p_{3}=p_{1}+6, p_{4}=p_{1}+8$ and $p_{5}=p_{1}+12$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 \tag{5.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.100) we have $J_{2}(210)=2$. From (5.95) we have two 4 -tuples of subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{13}(K)=E_{11}(K)+2, \quad E_{17}(K)=E_{11}(K)+6 \\
E_{19}(K)=E_{11}(K)+8, \quad E_{23}(K)=E_{11}(K)+12 \\
E_{103}(K)=E_{101}(K)+2, \quad E_{107}(K)=E_{101}(K)+6 \\
E_{109}(K)=E_{101}(K)+8, \quad E_{113}(K)=E_{101}(K)+12 \tag{5.101}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every 4-tuple of subequations has infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 4 -tuples of subequations. Each has infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes.
5.6.4. 6 -tuple: $p_{2}=p_{1}+4, p_{3}=p_{1}+6, p_{4}=p_{1}+10, p_{5}=p_{1}+12, p_{6}=p_{1}+16$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0 . \tag{5.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.102) we have $J_{2}(210)=1$. From (5.95) we have one 5 -tuple of subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{101}(K)=E_{97}(K)+4, \quad E_{103}(K)=E_{97}(K)+6, \quad E_{107}(K)=E_{97}(K)+10, \\
E_{109}(K)=E_{97}(K)+12, \quad E_{113}(K)=E_{97}(K)+16 . \tag{5.103}
\end{gather*}
$$

(5.103) has infinitely many 6 -tuples of primes. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 5 -tuples of subequations. Each has infinitely many 6 -tuples of primes.
5.6.5. 7-tuple: $p_{2}=p_{1}+4, p_{3}=p_{1}+6, p_{4}=p_{1}+10, p_{5}=p_{1}+16, p_{6}=p_{1}+18$ and $p_{7}=p_{1}+24$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0 \tag{5.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.104) we have $J_{2}(210)=2$. From (5.95) we have two 6 -tuples of subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{17}(K)=E_{13}(K)+4, \quad E_{19}(K)=E_{13}(K)+6, \quad E_{23}(K)=E_{13}(K)+10, \\
E_{29}(K)=E_{13}(K)+16, \quad E_{31}(K)=E_{13}(K)+18, \quad E_{37}(K)=E_{13}(K)+24 ; \\
E_{167}(K)=E_{163}(K)+4, \quad E_{169}(K)=E_{163}(K)+6, \quad E_{173}(K)=E_{163}(K)+10, \\
E_{179}(K)=E_{163}(K)+16, \quad E_{181}(K)=E_{163}(K)+18, \quad E_{187}(K)=E_{163}(K)+24 . \tag{5.105}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every 6 -tuple of subequations has infinitely many 7 -tuples of primes. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 6 -tuples of subequations. Each has infinitely many 7 -tuples of primes.
5.6.6. 7-tuple: $p_{2}=p_{1}+6, p_{3}=p_{1}+8, p_{4}=p_{1}+14, p_{5}=p_{1}+18, p_{6}=p_{1}+20$ and $p_{7}=p_{1}+24$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0 . \tag{5.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.106) we have $J_{2}(210)=2$. From (5.95) we have two 6 -tuples of subequations

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{29}(K)=E_{23}(K)+6, \quad E_{31}(K)=E_{23}(K)+8, \quad E_{37}(K)=E_{23}(K)+14, \\
E_{41}(K)=E_{23}(K)+18, \quad E_{43}(K)=E_{23}(K)+20, \quad E_{47}(K)=E_{23}(K)+24 ; \\
E_{179}(K)=E_{173}(K)+6, \quad E_{181}(K)=E_{173}(K)+8, \quad E_{187}(K)=E_{173}(K)+14, \\
E_{191}(K)=E_{173}(K)+18, \quad E_{193}(K)=E_{173}(K)+20, \quad E_{197}(K)=E_{173}(K)+24 . \tag{5.107}
\end{gather*}
$$

Every 6 -tuple of subequations has infinitely many 7-tuples of primes. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 6 -tuples of subequations. Each has infinitely many 7 -tuples of primes.
5.6.7. 7 -tuple: $p_{2}=p_{1}+42, p_{3}=p_{1}+48, p_{4}=p_{1}+50, p_{5}=p_{1}+56, p_{6}=p_{1}+60$ and $p_{7}=p_{1}+62$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=3 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7-\chi(p)) \neq 0 . \tag{5.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X(31)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
From (5.108) we have $J_{2}(210)=3$. From (5.95) we have three 6 -tuples of subequations

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{53}(K)=E_{11}(K)+42, \quad E_{59}(K)=E_{11}(K)+48, \quad E_{61}(K)=E_{11}(K)+50, \\
& E_{67}(K)=E_{11}(K)+56, \quad E_{71}(K)=E_{11}(K)+60, \quad E_{73}(K)=E_{11}(K)+62 ; \\
& E_{173}(K)=E_{131}(K)+42, \quad E_{179}(K)=E_{131}(K)+48, \quad E_{181}(K)=E_{131}(K)+50, \\
& E_{187}(K)=E_{131}(K)+56, \quad E_{191}(K)=E_{131}(K)+60, \quad E_{193}(K)=E_{131}(K)+62 ; \\
& E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{191}(K)+42, \quad E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{191}(K)+48, \quad E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{191}(K)+50, \\
& E_{37}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{191}(K)+56, \quad E_{41}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{191}(K)+60, \quad E_{43}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{191}(K)+62 . \tag{5.109}
\end{align*}
$$

Every 6-tuple of subequations has infinitely many 7 -tuples of primes. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 6 -tuples of subequations. Each has infinitely many 7 -tuples of primes.
5.7. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=36 K+p_{\alpha} \tag{5.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots, p_{\alpha}=5,7,11,13,17,19,23,25,29,31,35,37$.
5.7.1 $p_{2}=p_{1}+2$

We have $J_{2}(36)=6$. From (5.110) we have six 6 -tuples of subequations of twin primes

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{7}(K)=E_{5}(K)+2, \quad E_{13}(K)=E_{11}(K)+2, \quad E_{19}(K)=E_{17}(K)+2, \\
E_{25}(K)=E_{23}(K)+2, \quad E_{31}(K)=E_{29}(K)+2, \quad E_{37}(K)=E_{35}(K)+2 . \tag{5.111}
\end{gather*}
$$

5.8. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=4 K+p_{\alpha} \tag{5.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots, p_{\alpha}=3,5$.
5.8.1. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2$

We have $J_{2}(4)=\phi(4)=2$. From (5.112) we have two subequations of twin primes

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{5}(K)=E_{3}(K)+2, E_{3}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{5}(K)+2 . \tag{5.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.9. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=5 K+p_{\alpha} \tag{5.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots, p_{\alpha}=2,3,4,6$.
5.9.1. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2$

We have $J_{2}(5)=3$. From (5.114) we have three subequations of twin primes

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{4}(K)=E_{2}(K)+2, E_{6}(K)=E_{4}(K)+2, E_{3}(K)=E_{6}\left(K_{1}\right)+2 . \tag{5.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.10. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=8 K+p_{\alpha} \tag{5.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots, p_{\alpha}=3,5,7,9$.
5.10.1. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2$

We have $J_{2}(8)=\phi(8)=4$. From (5.116) we have four subequations of twin primes $E_{5}(K)=E_{3}(K)+2, E_{7}(K)=E_{5}(K)+2, E_{9}(K)=E_{7}(K)+2, E_{3}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{9}(K)+2$.
5.11. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=16 K+p_{\alpha} \tag{5.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots, p_{\alpha}=3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17$.
5.11.1. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2$

We have $J_{2}(16)=\phi(16)=8$. From (5.118) we have eight subequations of twin primes

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{5}(K)=E_{3}(K)+2, \quad E_{7}(K)=E_{5}(K)+2, \quad E_{9}(K)=E_{7}(K)+2, \\
E_{11}(K)=E_{9}(K)+2, \quad E_{13}(K)=E_{11}(K)+2, \quad E_{15}(K)=E_{13}(K)+2, \\
E_{17}(K)=E_{15}(K)+2, \quad E_{3}\left(K_{1}\right)=E_{17}(K)+2 . \tag{5.119}
\end{gather*}
$$

5.12. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=2^{n} K+p_{\alpha} \tag{5.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots, \phi\left(2^{n}\right)=2^{n-1},\left(2^{n}, p_{\alpha}\right)=1, p_{\alpha}=3,5,7, \ldots, 2^{n}+1$.
5.12.1. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2$

We have $J_{2}\left(2^{n}\right)=\phi\left(2^{n}\right)=2^{n-1}$. From (5.120) we have $2^{n-1}$ subequations of twin primes.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{5}(K)=E_{3}(K)+2, \quad E_{7}(K)=E_{5}(K)+2, \quad \ldots \tag{5.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}\left(2^{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations of twin primes and each has infinitely many twin primes.

We have the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2) \approx 2 \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} \tag{5.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.12.2. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2, p_{3}=p_{1}+6$.

We have $J_{2}\left(2^{n}\right)=\phi\left(2^{n}\right)=2^{n-1}$. From (5.120) we have $2^{n-1} 2$-tuples of subequations

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{5}(K)=E_{3}(K)+2, E_{9}(K)=E_{3}(K)+6 ; \cdots \tag{5.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}\left(2^{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 2-tuples of subequations and each has infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes.

We have the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{4 N}{\log ^{3} N} \tag{5.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.12.3. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2, p_{3}=p_{1}+6, p_{4}=p_{1}+8$.

We have $J_{2}\left(2^{n}\right)=\phi\left(2^{n}\right)=2^{n-1}$. From (5.120) we have $2^{n-1} 3$-tuples of subequations

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{5}(K)=E_{3}(K)+2, E_{9}(K)=E_{3}(K)+6, E_{11}(K)=E_{3}(K)+8 ; \cdots \tag{5.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}\left(2^{n}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of subequations and each has infinitely many 4 -tuples of primes.

We have the asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx \frac{8 N}{\log ^{4} N} \tag{5.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. Santilli's Isoprime $m$-Chains

While studying the Cunningham chains we discover the Santilli's isoprime $m$-chains that show novel properties for sufficiently large isoprimes and prove them using the arithmetic function $J_{2}(\omega)$ below.

Theorem 6.1. An increasing sequence of isoprimes $\hat{p}_{1}<\hat{p}_{2}<\cdots<p_{k}$ is called a Santilli's isoprime $m$-chain of the first kind of length $k$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{j+1}=\hat{m} \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{j}+\hat{m}-\hat{I}=\left(m^{j}\left(p_{1}+1\right)-1\right) \hat{I} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$, where $m>1$ is any positive integer.
There exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}_{1}$ such that $\hat{p}_{2}, \cdots, \hat{p}_{k}$ are all isoprimes for any length $k$.

Let $\hat{I}=1$. From (6.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j+1}=m p_{j}+m-1=m^{j}\left(p_{1}+1\right)-1 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
Proof: We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\left|\left\{p_{\alpha}: 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega),\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(m^{j}\left(p_{\alpha}+1\right)-1\right), \omega\right)=1\right\}\right|=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now calculate $\chi(p)$. The smallest positive integer $S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{S} \equiv 1(\bmod p),(m, p)=1 \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the exponent of modulo $p$, and is denoted by writing

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\exp _{p}(m) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\chi(p)=k$ if $k<S ; \chi(p)=S$ if $k \geq S ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid m(m-1)$.
From the Euler-Fermat theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \leq \phi(p)=p-1 \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(p) \leq p-1 \text { and } J_{2}(p) \geq 1 \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}_{1}$ such that $\hat{p}_{2}, \cdots, \hat{p}_{k}$ are all isoprimes for any length $k$. It is a generalization of Euler's proof of the existence of infinitely many primes. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of $k$-tuples of isoprimes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 6.2 An increasing sequence of isoprimes $\hat{p}_{1}<\hat{p}_{2}<\cdots<p_{\alpha}$ is called a Santilli's isoprime $m$-chain of the second kind of length $k$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{j+1}=\hat{m} \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{j}-\hat{m}+\hat{I}=\left(m^{j}\left(p_{1}-1\right)+1\right) \hat{I} \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
There exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}_{1}$ such that $\hat{p}_{2}, \cdots, \hat{p}_{k}$ are all isoprimes.
Let $\hat{I}=1$. From (6.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j+1}=m p_{j}-m+1=m^{j}\left(p_{1}-1\right)+1 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
Proof: Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 have the same arithmetic function

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\left|\left\{p_{\alpha}: 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega),\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(m^{j}\left(p_{\alpha}-1\right)+1\right), \omega\right)=1\right\}\right| \\
=\left|\left\{p_{\alpha}: 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega),\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(m^{j}\left(p_{\alpha}+1\right)-1\right), \omega\right)=1\right\}\right|=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 . \tag{6.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}_{1}$ such that $\hat{p}_{2}, \cdots, \hat{p}_{k}$ are all isoprimes for any length $k$.

Theorem 6.3 We deal with the Santilli's isoprime 2-chain of the first kind of any length $k$. From (6.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{j+1}=\hat{2} \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{j}+\hat{I}=\left(2^{j}\left(p_{1}+1\right)-1\right) \hat{I} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
Let $\hat{I}=1$. From (6.13) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j+1}=2 p_{j}+1=2^{j}\left(p_{1}+1\right)-1 \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
From (6.3) we have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now calculate $\chi(p)$. We define the smallest positive integer $S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{S} \equiv 1(\bmod p) . \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

Table 6.1

| $p$ | 3 | 5 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 47 | 53 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s$ | 2 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 18 | 11 | 28 | 5 | 36 | 20 | 14 | 23 | 52 | 58 | 60 | 66 | 35 |
| $p$ | 73 | 79 | 83 | 89 | 97 | 101 | 103 | 107 | 109 | 113 | 127 | 131 | 139 | 149 | 151 |  |  |  |  |
| $s$ | 9 | 39 | 82 | 11 | 48 | 100 | 51 | 106 | 36 | 28 | 7 | 130 | 138 | 148 | 15 |  |  |  |  |

$\chi(p)=k$ if $k<S ; \chi(p)=S$ if $k \geq S$. From the Euler-Fermat theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \leq \phi(p)=p-1 \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.15) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(p) \geq 1 \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of isoprimes for any length $k$.

From (6.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note: $p_{j+1}=2 p_{j}+1$ is the DNA Mathematics.
To understand theorem 6.3 we yield the more detailed proofs below.
(1) Let $k=2$. From (6.15) we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}_{1}$ such that $\hat{p}_{2}$ is also an isoprime.

From (6.19) we have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2) \approx 2 \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}
$$

(2) Let $k=3$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{2} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{2}(p-3)}{(p-1)^{3}} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

(3) Let $k=4$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 4 -tuples of isoprimes. We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{3} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{3}(p-4)}{(p-1)^{4}} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}
$$

(4) Let $k=5$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 5 -tuples of isoprimes. We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{4} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{4}(p-5)}{(p-1)^{5}} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}
$$

(5) Let $k=6$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(31)=5, \chi(p)=6$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 6 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{5} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{5}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{6}} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}
$$

(6) Let $k=7$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(31)=5, \chi(p)=7$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 7 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{6} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{6}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{7}} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}
$$

(7) Let $k=8$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(31)=5, \chi(127)=7, \chi(p)=8$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 8 -tuples of isoprimes.

We have

$$
\pi_{8}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{7} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{7}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{8}} \frac{N}{\log ^{8} N} .
$$

(8) Let $k=9$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=5,7,8$ If $p=31,127,17 ; \chi(p)=9$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 9 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{9}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{8} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{8}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{9}} \frac{N}{\log ^{9} N} .
$$

(9) Let $k=10$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=5,7,8,9$. If $p=31,127,17,73 ; \chi(p)=10$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 10 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{10}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{9} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{9}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{10}} \frac{N}{\log ^{10} N}
$$

(10) Let $k=11$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=5,7,8,9$. If $p=31,127,17,73 ; \quad \chi(p)=11$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 11-tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{11}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{120}\left(\frac{77}{16}\right)^{10} \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{10}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{11}} \frac{N}{\log ^{11} N}
$$

(11) Let $k=12$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=5,7,8,9,11,11$. If $p=31,127,17,73,23,89 ; \quad \chi(p)=12$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{12}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{120}\left(\frac{77}{16}\right)^{11} \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{11}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{12}} \frac{N}{\log ^{12} N}
$$

(12) Let $k=13$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=5,7,8,9,11,11$. If $p=31,127,17,73,23,89 ; \chi(p)=13$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{13}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{1440}\left(\frac{1001}{192}\right)^{12} \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{12}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{13}} \frac{N}{\log ^{13} N}
$$

(13) Let $k=14$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=5,7,8,9,11,11,13$. If $p=31,127,17,73,23,89,8191 ; \chi(p)=14$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{14}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{1440}\left(\frac{1001}{192}\right)^{13} \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{13}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{14}} \frac{N}{\log ^{14} N}
$$

(14) Let $k=15$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=5,7,8,9,11,11,13,14$. If $p=31,127,17,73,23,89,8191,43 ; \chi(p)=15$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{15}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{1440}\left(\frac{1001}{192}\right)^{14} \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{14}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{15}} \frac{N}{\log ^{15} N}
$$

(15) Let $k=20$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{20}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{26}$.
(16) Let $k=30$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{30}(N, 2) \approx 4$ if $N=10^{44}$.
(17) Let $k=40$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{40}(N, 2) \approx 3$ if $N=10^{63}$.
(18) Let $k=50$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{50}(N, 2) \approx 2$ if $N=10^{83}$.
(19) Let $k=100$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{100}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{195}$.
(20) Let $k=150$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{150}(N, 2) \approx 2$ if $N=10^{317}$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 150 -tuples of isoprimes.
Theorem 6.4 We deal with the Santilli's isoprime 3 -chain of the second kind of any length $k$. From (6.10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{j+1}=\hat{3} \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{j}-\hat{2} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
Let $\hat{I}=1$. From (6.20) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j+1}=3 p_{j}-2 . \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 . \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we calculate $\chi(p)$. We define the smallest positive integer $S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
3^{S} \equiv 1(\bmod p) . \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain

## Table 6.2

| p | 5 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 47 | 53 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 16 | 18 | 11 | 28 | 30 | 18 | 8 | 42 | 23 | 52 | 29 | 10 | 22 | 35 |
| p | 73 | 79 | 83 | 89 | 97 | 101 | 103 | 107 | 109 | 113 | 127 | 131 | 137 | 139 | 149 | 151 |  |  |
| s | 12 | 78 | 41 | 88 | 48 | 100 | 34 | 53 | 54 | 112 | 126 | 65 | 136 | 138 | 148 | 150 |  |  |

$\chi(p)=k$ if $k<S ; \chi(p)=S$ if $k \geq S, \chi(3)=1$. From the Euler-Fermat theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \leq \phi(p)=p-1 \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.22) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(p) \geq 1 \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of isoprimes for any length $k$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

To further understand Theorem 6.4 we yield the more detailed proofs below. (1) Let $k=2$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}_{1}$ such that $\hat{p}_{2}$ is also an isoprime.

We have

$$
\left.\pi_{2}(N, 2) \approx 3 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p(p-2)}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} .
$$

(2) Let $k=3$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\left.\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx 9 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{2}(p-3)}{(p-1)^{3}}\right) \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

(3) Let $k=4$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(13)=2, \chi(p)=4$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 4 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx 27 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{3}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{4}} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}
$$

(4) Let $k=5$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(13)=2, \chi(p)=5$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{4} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{4}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{5}} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N} .
$$

(5) Let $k=6$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=3,5$ if $p=13,11 ; \chi(p)=6$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 6 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{5} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{5}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{6}} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N} .
$$

(6) Let $k=7$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=3,5$, if $p=13,11 ; \chi(p)=7$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{6} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{6}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{7}} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}
$$

(7) Let $k=8$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=3,5,7$ if $p=13,11,1093 ; \chi(p)=8$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{8}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{7} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{7}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{8}} \frac{N}{\log ^{8} N}
$$

(8) Let $k=9$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=3,5,7,8$. If $p=13,11,1093,41 ; \chi(p)=9$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{9}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{8} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{8}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{9}} \frac{N}{\log ^{9} N} .
$$

(9) Let $k=10$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=3,5,7,8,9$. If $p=13,11,1093,41,757 ; \chi(p)=10$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{10}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{9} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{9}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{10}} \frac{N}{\log ^{10} N}
$$

(10) Let $k=11$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=120 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=7,8,9,10$. If $p=1093,41,757,61 ; \chi(p)=11$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{11}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{48}\left(\frac{1001}{192}\right)^{10} \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{10}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{11}} \frac{N}{\log ^{11} N}
$$

(11) Let $k=20$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{20}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{25}$.
(12) Let $k=30$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{30}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{44}$.
(13) Let $k=50$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{50}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{82}$.
(14) Let $k=100$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{100}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{194}$.
(15) Let $k=150$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{150}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{321}$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 150 -tuples of isoprimes, which show the novel properties for sufficiently large isoprimes.

Theorem 6.5. We deal with the Santilli's isoprime 4-chain of the first kind of any length $k$. From (6.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{j+1}=\hat{4} \hat{x} \hat{p}_{j}+3 \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
Let $\hat{I}=1$. From (6.27) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j+1}=4 p_{j}+3 \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
From (6.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we calculate $\chi(p)$. We define the smallest positive integer $S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
4^{S} \equiv 1(\operatorname{modp}) \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain
Table 6.3

| p | 5 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 47 | 53 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 5 | 18 | 10 | 7 | 23 | 26 | 29 | 30 | 33 | 35 |
| p | 73 | 79 | 83 | 89 | 97 | 101 | 103 | 107 | 109 | 113 | 127 | 131 | 137 | 139 | 149 |  |  |  |
| s | 9 | 39 | 41 | 11 | 24 | 50 | 51 | 53 | 18 | 14 | 7 | 65 | 34 | 69 | 74 |  |  |  |

$\chi(p)=k$ if $k<S ; \chi(p)=S$ if $k \geq S, \chi(3)=1$. From the Euler-Fermat theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \leq \frac{\phi(p)}{2}=\frac{p-1}{2} \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.29) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(p) \geq \frac{p+1}{2} \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of isoprimes for any length $k$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) Let $k=2$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}_{1}$ such that $\hat{p}_{2}$ is also an isoprime.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2) \approx 3 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p(p-2)}{(p-1)^{2}} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}
$$

(2) Let $k=3$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{2} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{2}(p-3)}{(p-1)^{3}} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N} .
$$

(3) Let $k=4$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(7)=3, \chi(p)=4$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 4 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{3} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{3}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{4}} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}
$$

(4) Let $k=5$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=3,4$ if $p=7,17 ; \chi(p)=5$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 5 -tuples of isoprimes.

We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{4} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{4}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{5}} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N} .
$$

(5) Let $k=6$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=24 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=4,5,5$ if $p=17,11,31 ; \chi(p)=6$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 6 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{5} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{5}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{6}} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}
$$

(6) Let $k=7$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=24 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=4,5,5,6$ if $p=17,11,31,13 ; \chi(p)=7$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{6} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{6}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{7}} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}
$$

(7) Let $k=8$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=24 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=4,5,5,6,7,7$ if $p=17,11,31,13,43,127 ; \chi(p)=8$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{8}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{7} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{7}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{8}} \frac{N}{\log ^{8} N}
$$

(8) Let $k=9$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=24 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=4,5,5,6,7,7,8$. If $p=17,11,31,13,43,127,257 ; \chi(p)=9$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{9}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{8} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{8}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{9}} \frac{N}{\log ^{9} N} .
$$

(9) Let $k=10$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=24 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=4,5,5,6,7,7,8,9,9$. If $p=17,11,31,13,43,127,257,19,73 ; \chi(p)=10$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{10}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{9} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{9}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{10}} \frac{N}{\log ^{10} N} .
$$

(10) Let $k=20$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{20}(N, 2) \approx 4$ if $N=10^{20}$.
(11) Let $k=30$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{30}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{35}$.
(12) Let $k=40$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{40}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{52}$.
(13) Let $k=50$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{50}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{70}$.
(14) Let $k=100$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{100}(N, 2) \approx 2$ if $N=10^{172}$.
(15) Let $k=150$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{150}(N, 2) \approx 3$ if $N=10^{287}$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 150 -tuples of isoprimes.
Note. The number of solutions of 4 -chains is more than 2 -chains.

Theorem 6.6 We deal with the Santilli's isoprime 5 -chain of the first kind of length $k$. From (6.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{j+1}=\hat{5} \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{j}+\hat{4} \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
Let $\hat{I}=1$. From (6.34) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j+1}=5 p_{j}+4 \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
From (6.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we calculate $\chi(p)$. We define the smallest positive integer $S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
5^{S} \equiv 1(\bmod \mathrm{p}) . \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain

Table 6.4

| p | 3 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 47 | 53 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 71 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | 2 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 16 | 9 | 22 | 14 | 3 | 36 | 20 | 42 | 46 | 52 | 29 | 30 | 22 | 5 |
| p | 73 | 79 | 83 | 89 | 97 | 101 | 103 | 107 | 109 | 113 | 127 | 131 | 137 | 139 | 149 |  |  |  |
| s | 72 | 39 | 82 | 44 | 96 | 25 | 102 | 106 | 54 | 112 | 42 | 65 | 136 | 69 | 37 |  |  |  |

$\chi(p)=k$ if $k<S ; \chi(p)=S$ if $k \geq S, \chi(5)=1$. From the Euler-Fermat theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \leq \phi(p)=p-1 . \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.38) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(p) \geq 1 \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of isoprimes for any length $k$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) Let $k=2$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}_{1}$ such that $\hat{p}_{2}$ is also an isoprime.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2) \approx \frac{15}{8} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p(p-2)}{(p-1)^{2}} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} .
$$

(2) Let $k=3$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{2} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{2}(p-3)}{(p-1)^{3}} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

(3) Let $k=4$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(31)=3, \chi(p)=4$ otherwise.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 4 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{3} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{3}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{4}} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N} .
$$

(4) Let $k=5$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=3,4$ if $p=31,13 ; \chi(p)=5$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 5 -tuples of isoprimes.
We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{4} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{4}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{5}} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N} .
$$

(5) Let $k=6$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=3,4,5,5$ if $p=31,13,11,71 ; \chi(p)=6$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{5} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{5}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{6}} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N} .
$$

(6) Let $k=7$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=3,4,5,5$ if $p=31,13,11,71 ; \chi(p)=7$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{6} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{6}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{7}} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}
$$

(7) Let $k=8$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=3,4,5,5,7$ if $p=31,13,11,71,19531 ; \chi(p)=8$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{8}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{7} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{7}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{8}} \frac{N}{\log ^{8} N}
$$

(8) Let $k=20$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{20}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{24}$.
(9) Let $k=30$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{30}(N, 2) \approx 3$ if $N=10^{42}$.
(10) Let $k=50$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{50}(N, 2) \approx 3$ if $N=10^{83}$.
(11) Let $k=100$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{100}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{194}$.
(12) Let $k=150$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{150}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{321}$.

Theorem 6.7 We deal with the Santilli's isoprime 6-chain of the first kind of length $k$. From (6.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{j+1}=\hat{6} \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{j}+\hat{5} \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
Let $\hat{I}=1$. From (6.41) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j+1}=6 p_{j}+5 \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
From (6.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we calculate $\chi(p)$. We define the smallest positive integer $S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
6^{S} \equiv 1(\operatorname{modp}) \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain

Table 6.5

| p | 7 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 47 | 53 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 71 | 73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | 2 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 6 | 4 | 40 | 3 | 23 | 26 | 58 | 60 | 33 | 35 | 24 |
| p | 79 | 83 | 89 | 97 | 101 | 103 | 107 | 109 | 113 | 127 | 131 | 137 | 139 | 149 | 151 |  |  |  |
| s | 78 | 82 | 88 | 12 | 10 | 102 | 106 | 108 | 112 | 126 | 130 | 136 | 23 | 37 | 150 |  |  |  |

$\chi(p)=k$ if $k<S ; \chi(p)=S$ if $k \geq S, \chi(3)=\chi(5)=1$. From the Euler-Fermat theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \leq \phi(p)=p-1 \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.45) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(p) \geq 1 \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of isoprimes for any length $k$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) Let $k=2$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}_{1}$ such that $\hat{p}_{2}$ is also an isoprime.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2) \approx \frac{15}{4} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p(p-2)}{(p-1)^{2}} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} .
$$

(2) Let $k=3$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(7)=2, \chi(p)=3$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{2} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{2}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{3}} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

(3) Let $k=4$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(7)=2,3$, if $p=7,43 ; \chi(p)=4$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{3} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{3}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{4}} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}
$$

(4) Let $k=5$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=2,3,4$ if $p=7,42,37 ; \chi(p)=5$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{4} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{4}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{5}} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}
$$

(5) Let $k=6$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=2,3,4,5$ if $p=7,43,37,311 ; \chi(p)=6$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{5} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{5}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{6}} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}
$$

(6) Let $k=7$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=2,3,4,5,6$ if $p=7,43,37,311,31 ; \chi(p)=7$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{6} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{6}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{7}} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}
$$

(7) Let $k=8$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=2,3,4,5,6,7$ if $p=7,43,37,311,31,55987 ; \chi(p)=8$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{8}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{7} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{7}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{8}} \frac{N}{\log ^{8} N}
$$

(8) Let $k=20$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{20}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{23}$.
(9) Let $k=30$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{30}(N, 2) \approx 2$ if $N=10^{40}$.
(10) Let $k=50$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{50}(N, 2) \approx 2$ if $N=10^{78}$.
(11) Let $k=100$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{100}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{194}$.
(12) Let $k=150$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{150}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{321}$.

Theorem 6.8 We deal with the Santilli's isoprime 16-chain of the first kind of any length $k$. From (6.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{j+1}=\hat{1} 6 \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{j}+\hat{1} 5 \tag{6.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
Let $\hat{I}=1$. From (6.48) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j+1}=16 p_{j}+15 \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
From (6.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we calculate $\chi(p)$. We define the smallest positive integer $S$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
16^{S} \equiv 1(\bmod p) \tag{6.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain

## Table 6.6

| p | 7 | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 47 | 53 | 59 | 61 | 67 | 71 | 73 | 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 23 | 13 | 29 | 15 | 33 | 35 | 9 | 39 |
| p | 83 | 89 | 97 | 101 | 103 | 107 | 109 | 113 | 127 | 131 | 137 | 139 | 149 | 151 |  |  |  |  |  |
| s | 41 | 11 | 12 | 25 | 51 | 53 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 65 | 17 | 69 | 37 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

$\chi(p)=k$ if $k<S ; \chi(p)=S$ if $k \geq S, \chi(3)=\chi(5)=1$. From the Euler-Fermat theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \leq \frac{\phi(p)}{2}=\frac{p-1}{2} \text { and } S \leq \frac{\phi(p)}{4}=\frac{p-1}{4}, \text { if } 4 \mid(p-1) . \tag{6.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.50) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(p) \geq \frac{p+1}{2} \text { and } J_{2}(p) \geq \frac{3 p+1}{4}, \text { if } 4 \mid(p-1) . \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of isoprimes for any length $k$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{6.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

To further understand theorem 6.8 we give the detailed proofs below.
(1) Let $k=2$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2) \approx \frac{15}{4} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p(p-2)}{(p-1)^{2}} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} .
$$

(2) Let $k=3$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(17)=2, \chi(p)=3$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{2} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{2}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{3}} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N} .
$$

(3) Let $k=4$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=2,3,3$ if $p=17,7,13 ; \chi(p)=4$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{3} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{3}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{4}} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}
$$

(4) Let $k=5$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=2,3,3$ if $p=17,7,13 ; \chi(p)=5$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{4} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{4}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{5}} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}
$$

(5) Let $k=6$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=2,3,3,5,5,5$ if $p=17,7,13,11,31,41 ; \chi(p)=6$ otherwise.
We have

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{5} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{5}(p-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{6}} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}
$$

(6) Let $k=20$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{20}(N, 2) \approx 2$ if $N=10^{18}$.
(7) Let $k=30$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{30}(N, 2) \approx 3$ if $N=10^{34}$.
(8) Let $k=40$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{40}(N, 2) \approx 2$ if $N=10^{50}$.
(9) Let $k=50$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{50}(N, 2) \approx 3$ if $N=10^{68}$.
(10) Let $k=100$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{100}(N, 2) \approx 7$ if $N=10^{170}$.
(11) Let $k=150$. Its smallest solution is $\pi_{150}(N, 2) \approx 1$ if $N=10^{284}$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 150 -tuples of isoprimes, which show the novel properties for sufficiently large isoprimes

Note. The number of solutions of 16 -chains is more than 4 -chains.

## 7. Santilli's Chains of Isoprimes in Arithmetic Progression

Theorem 7.1 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{g}=\prod_{2 \leq p \leq p_{g}} p \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the Santilli's chains of isoprimes in arithmetic progression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{j}=\hat{p}+\hat{\omega}_{g} \hat{\times} \hat{j} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
If $\hat{I}=1$, from (7.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=p+\omega_{g} j \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{g}}(p-1) \prod_{p_{g+1} \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-k) . \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$J_{2}\left(p_{g+1}\right)=0$ if $p_{g+1}=k ; J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $p_{g+1}>k$, there exist infinitely many isoprimes $\hat{p}$ such that $\hat{p}_{j}$ are all isoprimes for any length $k$.

We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of $k$-tuples of isoprimes

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2)=\mid\left\{p: p \leq N, p+\omega_{g} j=\text { prime for } j=1, \cdots, k-1\right\} \mid \approx \\
\prod_{2 \leq p \leq p_{g}}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{k-1} \prod_{p_{g+1} \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{k-1}(p-k)}{(p-1)^{k}} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} . \tag{7.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{g}=p_{g+2} p_{g+3} \prod_{2 \leq p \leq p_{g}}(p)^{m} . \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the Santilli's chains of isoprimes in arithmetic progression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{j}=\hat{p}+\hat{\omega}_{g} \hat{\times} \hat{j} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\frac{p_{g+2}-1}{p_{g+2}-k} \frac{p_{g+3}-1}{p_{g+3}-k} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq P_{g}}(p-1) \prod_{p_{g+1} \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-k) \neq 0 \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of $k$-tuples of isoprimes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{p_{g+2}-1}{p_{g+2}-k} \frac{p_{g+3}-1}{p_{g+3}-k} \prod_{2 \leq p \leq p_{g}}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{k-1} \prod_{p_{g+1} \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{k-1}(p-k)}{(p-1)^{k}} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To understand thr theorem 7.1 we have the detailed proofs below. (1) Let $p_{g}=3$ and $\omega_{g}=6$. We have

$$
p_{j}=p+6 j
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-k) .
$$

$J_{2}(5)=0$ if $k=5 ; J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $k \leq 4$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes. Let $k=4$. We have

$$
p_{1}=p+6, p_{2}=p+12, p_{3}=p+18
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx 27 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{3}(p-4)}{(p-1)^{4}} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N} .
$$

(2) Let $p_{g}=5$ and $\omega_{g}=30$. We have

$$
p_{j}=p+30 j
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-k) .
$$

$J_{2}(7)=0$ if $k=7 ; J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $k \leq 6$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes. Let $k=6$. We have

$$
p_{j}=p+30 j
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, 5$.
We have

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{5} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{5}(p-6)}{(p-1)^{6}} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}
$$

(3) Let $p_{g}=7$ and $\omega_{g}=210$. We have

$$
p_{j}=p+210 j
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=48 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-k)
$$

$J_{2}(11)=0$ if $k=11 ; J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $k \leq 10$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes. Let $k=10$. We have

$$
p_{j}=p+210 j
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, 9$.
We have

$$
\pi_{10}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{9} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{9}(p-10)}{(p-1)^{10}} \frac{N}{\log ^{10} N} .
$$

(4) Let $p_{g}=11$ and $\omega_{g}=2310$. We have

$$
p_{j}=p+2310 j
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=480 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-k) .
$$

$J_{2}(13)=0$ if $k=13 ; J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $k \leq 12$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes. Let $k=12$. We have

$$
p_{j}=p+2310 j
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, 11$.
We have

$$
\pi_{12}(N, 2) \approx\left(\frac{77}{16}\right)^{11} \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{11}(p-12)}{(p-1)^{12}} \frac{N}{\log ^{12} N} .
$$

(5) Let $p_{g}=23$ and $\omega_{g}=\prod_{2 \leq p \leq 23} p$. We have

$$
p_{j}=p+\omega_{g} j
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq 23}(p-1) \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-k) .
$$

$J_{2}(29)=0$ if $k=29 ; J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $k \leq 28$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes. Let $k=28$. We have

$$
p_{j}=p+\omega_{g} j
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, 27$.
We have

$$
\pi_{28}(N, 2) \approx \prod_{2 \leq p \leq 23}\left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{27} \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{27}(p-28)}{(p-1)^{28}} \frac{N}{\log ^{28} N}
$$

Note.Theorem 7.1 is the simplest one in our theory. The number of $k$-tuples of primes is very accurately calculated by (7.5) and (7.9).
(6) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{2}+6 j, j=1,2,3 .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-4-\left(\frac{-6}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)\right) . \\
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{8 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N} .
\end{gathered}
$$

(7) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{3}+6 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(5)=0 .
$$

(8) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{4}+6 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(5)=J_{2}(7)=0 .
$$

(9) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{5}+6 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(5)=0 .
$$

(10) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{6}+6 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(7)=0 .
$$

(11) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{7}+6 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(5)=0 .
$$

(12) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{8}+6 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(5)=J_{2}(7)=0 .
$$

(13) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{9}+6 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(5)=0 .
$$

(14) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{10}+6 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(5)=J_{2}(7)=0
$$

(15) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{11}+6 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(5)=0 .
$$

(16) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{12}+6 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(7)=0 .
$$

(17) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{2}+30 j, j=1,2,3,4,5
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-6-\sum_{j=1}^{5}\left(\frac{-30 j}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{6}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{32 \phi^{6}(\omega} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N} .
\end{gathered}
$$

(18) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{2}+30 j, j=1, \cdots, 6
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(7)=0
$$

(19) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{3}+30 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(7)=0
$$

(20) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{4}+30 j, j=1, \cdots, 6
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(7)=0
$$

(21) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{5}+30 j, j=1, \cdots, 6
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(7)=0 .
$$

(22) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{6}+30 j, j=1,2,3 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(7)=0 .
$$

(23) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{7}+30 j, j=1, \cdots, 6 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(7)=0 .
$$

(24) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{8}+30 j, j=1, \cdots, 6 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(7)=0 .
$$

(25) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{9}+30 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(7)=0 .
$$

(26) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{10}+30 j, j=1,2,3,4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(11)=0 .
$$

(27) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{2}+210 j, j=1, \cdots, 9 .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=48 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-10-\sum_{j=1}^{9}\left(\frac{-210 j}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{10}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{9}}{512 \phi^{10}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{10} N} .
\end{gathered}
$$

(28) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{2}+210 j, j=1, \cdots, 10 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(11)=0 .
$$

(29) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{3}+210 j, j=1, \cdots, 9
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(13)=0
$$

(30) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{4}+210 j, j=1, \cdots, 6 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(13)=0
$$

(31) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{5}+210 j, j=1, \cdots, 10 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(11)=J_{2}(13)=0
$$

(32) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{6}+210 j, j=1, \cdots, 7 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(13)=0
$$

(33) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{7}+210 j, j=1, \cdots, 10 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(11)=J_{2}(13)=0 .
$$

(34) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{8}+210 j, j=1, \cdots, 6
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(13)=0
$$

(35) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{9}+210 j, j=1, \cdots, 9 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(13)=0 .
$$

(36) Let

$$
p_{j}=p^{10}+210 j, j=1, \cdots, 10 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(11)=J_{2}(13)=0 .
$$

Note. If $J_{2}(\omega)=0$, then there are no prime solutions.

## 8. Chains of Prime-Producing Quadratics

Theorem 8.1. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=2^{j}\left(p^{2}+1\right)-1 \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
Since $p_{1}=2 p^{2}+1$ has no prime solutions except $p=3$ and $p_{1}=19,(8.1)$ has no prime solutions.

Theorem 8.2 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=2^{j}\left(p^{2}-1\right)+1 \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 . \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many prime solutions for any $k$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{2^{k-1} \phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) Let $k=3$. We have $p_{1}=2 p^{2}-1$ and $p_{2}=4 p^{2}-3$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)\right) .
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

(2) Let $k=4$. We have $p_{1}=2 p^{2}-1, p_{2}=4 p^{2}-3, p_{3}=8 p^{2}-7$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-4-\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{14}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{8 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}
$$

(3) Let $k=5$. We have $p_{1}=2 p^{2}-1, p_{2}=4 p^{2}-3, p_{3}=8 p^{2}-7, p_{4}=16 p^{2}-15$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-5-\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{3}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{14}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{15}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{16 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}
$$

Theorem 8.3 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=3^{j}\left(p^{2}+1\right)-1 \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
For $k<6$ (8.5) there exist infinitely many prime solutions. For $k=6$ we have $J_{2}(7)=0$, therefore (8.5) has no prime solutions.
(1) Let $k=5$. We have $p_{1}=3 p^{2}+2, p_{2}=9 p^{2}+8, p_{3}=27 p^{2}+26, p_{4}=81 p^{2}+80$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-5-\left(\frac{-6}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-78}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-5}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many prime solutions.
We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{16 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}
$$

Theorem 8.4. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=3^{j}\left(p^{2}-1\right)+1 \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 . \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0,(8.6)$ has infinitely many prime solutions for any length $k$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{2^{k-1} \phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.5. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=4^{j}\left(p^{2}+1\right)-1 \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 . \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many prime solutions for any length $k$.
We have

$$
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{2^{k-1} \phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N}
$$

(1) Let $k=3$. We have $p_{1}=4 p^{2}+3, p_{2}=16 p^{2}+15$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-15}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

Theorem 8.6. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=4^{j}\left(p^{2}-1\right)+1 \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many prime solutions for any length $k$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{2^{k-1} \phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.7. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=5^{j}\left(p^{2}+1\right)-1 \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
Since $p_{1}=5 p^{2}+4$ has no prime solutions, (8.14) has no prime solutions.
Theorem 8.8. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=5^{j}\left(p^{2}-1\right)+1 \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, (8.6) has infinitely many prime solutions for any length $k$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{2^{k-1} \phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.9. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=6^{j}\left(p^{2}+1\right)-1 \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
For $k<10$ (8.18) has infinitely many prime solutions. For $k=10$ we have $J_{2}(11)=0$, therefore (8.18) has no prime solutions.

Theorem 8.10. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=6^{j}\left(p^{2}-1\right)+1 \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{2^{k-1} \phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.11. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=m^{j}\left(p^{2}-1\right)+1 \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many prime solutions for any $k$ and $m$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{2^{k-1} \phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.12. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=m^{j}\left(p^{3}+1\right)-1 \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exist infinitely many prime solutions for any $k$ and $m$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{3^{k-1} \phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{8.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.13. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=m^{j}\left(p^{3}-1\right)+1 . \tag{8.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 . \tag{8.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exist infinitely many prime solutions for any $k$ and $m$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{3^{k-1} \phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} . \tag{8.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.14. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=m^{j}\left(p^{4}-1\right)+1 . \tag{8.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.

We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many prime solutions for any $k$ and $m$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{4^{k-1} \phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{8.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.15. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}=2 j(p+1)+1 \tag{8.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{8.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(p)=k$ or $k-1$ if $k<p ; \chi(p)=p-1$ if $k \geq p$.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes for any $k$.
We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{8.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1) Let $k=3$. We have

$$
p_{1}=2 p+3, p_{2}=4 p+5
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=3 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{3}{8}\left(\frac{15}{4}\right)^{2} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{2}(p-3)}{(p-1)^{3}} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

(2) Let $k=4$. We have

$$
p_{1}=2 p+3, p_{2}=4 p+5, p_{3}=6 p+7 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{2} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{3}(p-4)}{(p-1)^{4}} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}
$$

(3) Let $k=5$. We have

$$
p_{j}=2 j(p+1)+1
$$

for $j=1,2,3,4$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=3 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{16}\left(\frac{35}{8}\right)^{4} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{4}(p-5)}{(p-1)^{5}} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N} .
$$

(4) Let $k=13$. We have

$$
p_{j}=2 j(p+1)+1
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, 12$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{13}(N, 2) \approx \frac{1}{5760}\left(\frac{1001}{192}\right)^{12} \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p^{12}(p-13)}{(p-1)^{13}} \frac{N}{\log ^{13} N} .
$$

(5) Let $k=23$. We have

$$
p_{j}=2 j(p+1)+1
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, 22$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-23) \neq 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{23}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{22}}{\phi^{23}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{23} N} .
$$

Theorem 8.16. Let

$$
p_{1}=6 p+1, p_{2}=9 p+2 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0,
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes.
We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

Theorem 8.17. Let

$$
p_{1}=10 p+1, p_{2}=15 p+2, p_{3}=20 p+3, p_{4}=25 p+4 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes.
We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}
$$

Theorem 8.18. Let

$$
p_{j}=7(j+1) p+j .
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, 6$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 7 -tuples of primes.
We have

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}
$$

Theorem 8.19. Let

$$
p_{j}=11(j+1) p+j .
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, 10$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=10 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 11-tuples of primes.
We have

$$
\pi_{11}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{10}}{\phi^{11}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{11} N}
$$

Theorem 8.20. Let

$$
p_{j}=p_{0}(j+1) p+j .
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, p_{0}-1$, where $p_{0}$ is an odd prime.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\left(p_{0}-1\right) \prod_{p_{0}<p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-p_{0}\right) \neq 0,
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many $p_{0}$-tuples of primes.
We have

$$
\pi_{P_{0}}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{p_{0}-1}}{\phi^{p_{0}}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{p_{0}} N}
$$

Theorem 8.21. Let

$$
p_{1}=3 p+2, p_{2}=2 p+3, p_{3}=5 p+2, p_{4}=2 p+5 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=42 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes.
We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N} .
$$

Theorem 8.22. Let

$$
p_{1}=3 p+2, p_{2}=2 p+3, p_{3}=7 p+2, p_{4}=2 p+7 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0,
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}
$$

Theorem 8.23. Let

$$
p_{1}=3 p+2, p_{2}=2 p+3, p_{3}=9 p+2, p_{4}=2 p+9 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=42 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}
$$

It is the best asymptotic formula.
Theorem 8.24. Let

$$
p_{1}=3 p+4, p_{2}=4 p+3 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=20 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes.

We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

Let

$$
p_{1}=3 p^{2}+4, p_{2}=4 p^{2}+3 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=32 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-2\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0,
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes.
We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

Theorem 8.25. Let

$$
p_{1}=5 p+6, p_{2}=6 p+5 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=288 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

Let

$$
p_{1}=5 p^{2}+6, p_{2}=6 p^{2}+5 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=384 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-2\left(\frac{-30}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N} .
$$

Theorem 8.26. Let

$$
p_{1}=6 p+7, p_{2}=7 p+6
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2112 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

Let

$$
p_{1}=6 p^{2}+7, p_{2}=7 p^{2}+6 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4800 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-2\left(\frac{-42}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

Theorem 8.27. Let

$$
p_{1}=8 p+9, p_{2}=9 p+8 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=19200 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N} .
$$

Let

$$
p_{1}=8 p^{2}+9, p_{2}=9 p^{2}+8 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=48384 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-2\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0,
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

Theorem 8.28. Let

$$
p_{1}=9 p+10, p_{2}=10 p+9 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=609280 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

Let

$$
p_{1}=9 p^{2}+10, p_{2}=10 p^{2}+9 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=208896 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-2\left(\frac{-10}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0,
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 3-tuples of primes.
We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N} .
$$

## 9. An Application of Santilli's Isonumber Theory

In this section we prove that the limit for the periodic table of the stable elements is Uranium with an atomic number of 92 .

In studying the stability of the many-body problems we have two theorems:
(I) The stable isoprime theorem. An isoprime number is irreducible over $\hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{\times})$. It seems therefore natural to associate it with the most stable subsystem. We show that $\hat{p}=\hat{I}, \hat{3}, \hat{5}, \hat{7}, \hat{1}, \hat{23}, 47$ are the most stable isoprimes.
(II) The stable isoeven theorem. The most stable configuration of two isoprimes is then the most stable symmetric system in nature. We show that $\hat{2} \hat{\times} \hat{p}=\hat{2}, \hat{6}, \hat{10}, \hat{14}, \hat{22}, \hat{46}, \hat{94}$ are the most stable isoeven numbers.

We speculate that in an atom there are three configurations: the outermost electron configuration, the middlemost neutron one and the innermost proton one. By using the stable isoprime theorem and the stable isoeven theorem we study the innermost proton configuration.

The total number of electrons in all shells must equal the number of protons in the nucleus. Protons arrange themselves in shells in a nucleus because they take up configurations which are analogous to those of electrons in atoms, with preferred stable shells of protons.

The total quantum isonumber $\hat{n}$ and orbital quantum isonumber $\hat{p}$ determine the proton configurations of many-proton atoms[7]:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrrrrrrl}
\text { Proton shells } \hat{n} & = & \hat{I} & \hat{2} & \hat{3} & \hat{4} & \hat{5} & \hat{6} & \ldots \\
K & L & M & N & O & P & \ldots \\
\text { on subshells } \hat{2} \hat{\times} \hat{p} & = & \hat{2} & \hat{6} & \widehat{10} & \widehat{14} & \widehat{18} & \widehat{22} & \ldots \\
s & p & d & f & g & h & \ldots
\end{array}
$$

An atomic subshell that contains its full quota of protons is said to be closed. A closed $s$ subshell holds two protons, a closed $p$ subshell six protons, a closed $d$ subshell ten protons, a closed $f$ subshell fourteen protons, a closed $g$ subshell eighteen protons, a closed $h$ subshell twenty-two protons, and so on. The Pauli principle
permits $\hat{2} \hat{\times} \hat{p}$ particles per subshell. It has been proved that $\hat{2} \hat{\times} \hat{p}=\hat{2}, \hat{6}, \widehat{10}, \widehat{14}, \widehat{22}$, and $\widehat{46}$ are stable and $\hat{2} \hat{x} \hat{p}=\widehat{18}$ is unstable [8]. s, $p, d$ and $f$ subshells are stable and $g$ subshell is unstable which are called the Pauli-Santilli principle.

Table 9.1 shows the proton configurations of the elements. We shall omit hat $\wedge$ for short. From 1 to 92 of the atomic numbers every subshell is stable. It has been proved that the heaviest element that occurs naturally is Uranium with an atomic number of 92 . Beginning at the atomic number of 93 there is an unstable subshell $(5 g)$ in it. These elements get more and more unstable. Element 114 is the most unstable. The island of stability around atomic number 114 does not exist.

In the middlemost neutron configuration there are no shell one. Magic numbers and isotopes are closely related to the neutron numbers. The electron configuration and proton one have the same subshell one. Since $5 g$ is unstable, in $6 s, 6 p, 6 d, 6 f$ and $7 s$ subshells there are no electrons.

Remark: Pythagorean claims that everything is number. We claim that everything is stable number, that is, it obeys the stable isoprime theorem and the stable isoeven theorem. Above two theorems are foundations of isobiology's periodic table and structural genomics in the human genome project. Homo-sapiens is so advanced because we have 46 chromosomes ( 23 pairs) in a cell. There are the most stable sequences: 3 -bp, $5-\mathrm{bp}$, and 7 -bp in tRNA. The three nucleotides are able to form only stable sequences: $4^{3}=64$. The five nucleotides are able to form the most stable sequences: $4^{5}=1024$. The above studies can be further extended to whole biological field. From above two theorems we suggest new evolution theory in the biology. The evolution of the living organism starts with mutant of the prime number. The living organisms mutants from a prime number system to another new one which may be produced a new species to raise up seed and its structure tended to stabilize in given environment. From the above two theorems we find the analogies between the Chinese poem and the English poem, such as the iambic pentameter in English poem and five or seven characters in Chinese poem. Although the languages are different, the human brains are the same. The brain structures and the structures of the nervous system can be studied. For example, there are Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Met, Met-enkephalin and Tyr-Gly-Gly-Phe-Leu, Leu-enkephalin in brain [9-10].

Table 9.1. Proton Configurations of the Elements

|  | K |  | L |  | M |  |  | N |  |  |  | O |  |  |  | P |  | $6 p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $1 s$ | $2 s$ | $2 p$ | $3 s$ | $3 p$ | $3 d$ | $4 s$ | $4 p$ | $4 d$ | $4 f$ | $5 s$ | $5 p$ | $5 d$ | $5 f$ | $5 g$ | $6 s$ |  |
| 1 | H | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | He | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Li | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Be | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | B | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | C | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | N | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | O | 2 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | P | 2 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Ne | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | Na | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Mg | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Al | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Si | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | P | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | S | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Cl | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Ar | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | K | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Ca | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | Sc | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Ti | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | V | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | Cr | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Mn | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Fe | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Co | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | Ni | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | Cu | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | Zn | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Ga | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 | Ge | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 | As | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34 | Se | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | Br | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | Kr | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Rb | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 | Sr | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | Y | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 | Zr | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41 | Nb | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42 | Mo | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | Tc | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44 | Ru | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | Rh | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | Pd | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Ag | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48 | Cd | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 | In | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | Sn | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51 | Sb | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52 | Te | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | I | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54 | Xe | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55 | Cs | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 | Ba | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57 | La | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 | Ce | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | Pr | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 9.1. Continued |  |  |  |  | K L |  |  |  | M |  |  | N |  |  |  | O |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table | Con | $1 s$ | $2 s$ | $2 p$ | $3 s$ | $3 p$ | $3 d$ | $4 s$ | $4 p$ | 4d | $4 f$ | $5 s$ | $5 p$ | $5 d$ | $5 f$ | $5 g$ | $6 s$ | $6 p$ |
| 60 | Nd | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61 | Pm | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62 | Sm | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63 | Eu | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64 | Gd | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65 | Tb | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66 | Dy | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67 | Ho | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68 | Er | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69 | Tm | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 70 | Yb | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 71 | Lu | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 72 | Hf | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 73 | Ta | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 74 | W | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 75 | Re | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 76 | Os | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | Ir | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | Pt | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 79 | Au | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 80 | Hg | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 81 | Tl | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 82 | Pb | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 83 | Bi | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 84 | Po | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 85 | At | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 86 | Rn | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 8 |  |  |  |
| 87 | Fr | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 9 |  |  |  |
| 88 | Ra | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 89 | Ac | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |
| 90 | Th | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 12 |  |  |  |
| 91 | Pa | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 13 |  |  |  |
| 92 | U | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 |  |  |  |
| 93 | Np | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 1 |  |  |
| 94 | Pu | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 |  |  |
| 95 | Am | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 3 |  |  |
| 96 | Cm | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 4 |  |  |
| 97 | Bk | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 5 |  |  |
| 98 | Cf | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 6 |  |  |
| 99 | Es | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 7 |  |  |
| 100 | Fm | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 8 |  |  |
| 101 | Md | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 9 |  |  |
| 102 | No | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 10 |  |  |
| 103 | Lr | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 11 |  |  |
| 104 | Rf | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 12 |  |  |
| 105 | Ha | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 13 |  |  |
| 106 | Sg | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 14 |  |  |
| 107 | Ns | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 15 |  |  |
| 108 | Hs | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 16 |  |  |
| 109 | Mt | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 17 |  |  |
| 110 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 |  |  |
| 111 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 1 |  |
| 112 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 2 |  |
| 113 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 2 | 1 |
| 114 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 2 | 2 |
| 115 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 2 | 3 |
| 116 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 2 | 4 |
| 117 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 2 | 5 |
| 118 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 18 | 2 | 6 |
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## Chapter 2

## SANTILLI'S ISONUMBER THEORY PART II: ISONUMBER THEORY OF THE SECOND KIND

The organic unity of mathematics is inherent in the nature of this science, for mathematics is the foundation of all exact knowledge of natural phenomena.

David Hilbert

## 1. Introduction

In the seminal works[1,2] Santilli has introduced a generalization of real, complex and quaternionic numbers $a=n, c, q$ based on the lifting of the unit 1 of conventional numbers into an invertible and well behaved quantity with arbitrary functional dependences on local variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \hat{I}(t, x, \dot{x}, \cdots)=1 / \hat{T} \neq 1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while jointly lifting the product $a b=a \times b$ of conventional numbers into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b \rightarrow a \hat{\times} b=a \hat{T} b \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

under which $\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T}$ is the correct left and right new unit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I} \hat{\times} a=\hat{T}^{-1} \hat{T} a=a \hat{\times} \hat{I}=a \hat{T} \hat{T}^{-1}=a \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all possible $a=n, c, q$.
Since the new multiplication $a \hat{\times} b$ is associative, Santilli $[1,2]$ has then proved that the new numbers verify all axioms of a field. The above liftings were then called
isotopic in the Greek sense of being axiom-preserving. The prefix iso is then used whenever the original axioms are preserved.

Let $F(a,+, \times)$ be a conventional field with numbers $a=n, c, q$ equipped with the conventional sum $a+b \in F$, product $a b=a \times b \in F$, additive unit $0 \in F$ and their multiplicative unit $1 \in F$.

Definition 1.1. Santilli's isofields of the first kind $\hat{F}=\hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ are the rings with elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}=a \hat{I} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

called isonumbers, where $a=n, c, q \in F, \hat{I}=1 / \hat{T}$ is a well behaved, invertible and Hermitean quantity outside the original field $\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T} \notin F$ and $a \hat{I}$ is the multiplication in $F$ equipped with the isosum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}+\hat{b}=(a+b) \hat{I} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with conventional additive unit $0=0 \hat{I}=0, \hat{a}+0=0+\hat{a}=\hat{a}, \quad \forall \hat{a} \in \hat{F}$ and the isoproduct

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{b}=\hat{a} \hat{T} \hat{b}=a \hat{I} \hat{T} b \hat{I}=(a b) \hat{I} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

under which $\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T}$ is the correct left and right new unit $(\hat{I} \hat{\times} \hat{a}=\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{I}=\hat{a}, \forall \hat{a} \in \hat{F})$ called isounit.

Lemma 1.1. The isofields $\hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{\times})$ of Def.1.1 verify all axioms of a field. The lifting $F \rightarrow \hat{F}$ is then an isotopy. All operations depending on the product must then be lifted in $\hat{F}$ for consistency.

## The Santilli's commutative isogroup of the first kind

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{a}^{\hat{I}}=a \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{-\hat{I}}=a^{-1} \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{\hat{I}} \hat{x}^{-\hat{a}}=\hat{a}^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
\hat{a}^{\hat{b}}=a^{b} \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{-\hat{b}}=a^{-b} \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{\hat{b}} \hat{\times} \hat{a}^{-\hat{b}}=\hat{a}^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
\hat{a}^{\widehat{c / b}}=a^{\frac{c}{b}} \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{-\widehat{c / b}}=a^{-\frac{c}{b}} \hat{I}, \quad \hat{a}^{\widehat{c / b}} \hat{\times} \hat{a}^{-\widehat{c / b}}=\hat{a}^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{I}=\hat{a} \hat{T} \hat{I}=\hat{a} . \quad \hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{b}=a \hat{I} \hat{T} b \hat{I}=a b \hat{I}=\hat{a b} . \\
\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{b}^{-\hat{2}}=a \hat{I} \hat{T} b^{-2} \hat{I}=a / b^{2} \hat{I} .
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\hat{I}$ is called an isounit, $\hat{T}$ is called an isoinverse of $\hat{I} ; \hat{a}^{-\hat{b}}$ is called an isoinverse of $\hat{a}^{\hat{b}} ;\left(\hat{a}^{\hat{b}}, \hat{x}\right)$ is called the Santilli's commutative isogroup of the first kind.

Lemma 1.2. Sentilli's isofields of the second kind $\hat{F}=\hat{F}(a,+, \times)$ (that is, $a \in F$ is not lifted to $\hat{a}=a \hat{I})$ also verify all the axioms of a field, if and only if the isounit is an element of the original field.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T} \in F \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The isoproduct is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \hat{\times} b=a \hat{T} b \in \hat{F} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then have the isoquotient, isopower, isosquare root, etc.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \hat{\div} b=(a / b) \hat{I}, a^{\hat{n}}=a \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} a=a^{n}(\hat{T})^{n-1}, a^{\hat{1} / 2}=a^{1 / 2}(\hat{I})^{1 / 2} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 1.2. Isodual isomultiplication is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}=-\hat{T} \times \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then have isodual isoproduct

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \overline{\times} b=-a \hat{T} b . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then have the following isomultiplicative operations of second kind:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a^{\hat{I}}=a, \quad a^{-\hat{I}}=a^{-1} \hat{I}^{2}, \quad a^{\hat{I}} \hat{\times} a^{-\hat{I}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\hat{2}}=a^{2} \hat{T}, \quad a^{-\hat{2}}=a^{-2} \hat{I}^{3}, \quad a^{\hat{2}} \hat{\times} a^{-\hat{2}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\hat{3}}=a^{3} \hat{T}^{2}, \quad a^{-\hat{3}}=a^{-3} \hat{I}^{4}, \quad a^{\hat{3}} \hat{\times} a^{-\hat{3}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
\ldots . . \\
a^{\hat{n}}=a^{n} \hat{T}^{n-1}, \quad a^{-\hat{n}}=a^{-n} \hat{I}^{n+1}, \quad a^{\hat{n}} \hat{\times} a^{-\hat{n}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad a^{-\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad a^{\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\widehat{1 / 3}}=a^{\frac{1}{3}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad a^{-\widehat{1 / 3}}=a^{-\frac{1}{3}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{4}{3}}, \quad a^{\widehat{1 / 3}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 3}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\widehat{1 / n}}=a^{\frac{1}{n}}(\hat{I})^{1-\frac{1}{n}}, \quad a^{-\widehat{1 / n}}=a^{-\frac{1}{n}}(\hat{I})^{1+\frac{1}{n}}, \quad a^{\widehat{1 / n}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / n}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\widehat{c / b}}=a^{\frac{c}{b}}(\hat{I})^{1-\frac{c}{b}}, \quad a^{-\widehat{c / b}}=a^{-\frac{c}{b}}(\hat{I})^{1+\frac{c}{b}}, \quad a^{\widehat{c / b}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{c / b}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\hat{b}}=a^{b}(\hat{I})^{1-b}=a^{b} \hat{T}^{b-1}, \quad a^{-\hat{b}}=a^{-b}(\hat{I})^{1+b}, \quad a^{\hat{b}} \hat{\times} a^{-\hat{b}}=a^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}=\hat{T}^{-1} \neq 1 . \\
a^{\hat{I} \hat{\times} b^{\hat{I}}=a \hat{T} b, \quad a^{\hat{I}} \hat{\times} b^{-\hat{I}}=a b^{-1} \hat{I} .} .
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\hat{I}$ is called an isounit, $\hat{T}$ an isoinverse of $\hat{I}, a^{-\hat{b}}$ an isoinverse of $a^{\hat{b}}$, and ( $a^{\hat{b}}, \hat{\times}$ ) the Santilli's commutative isogroup of the second kind. The following examples are devoted to an exposition of the simplest properties of isomultiplications.

Example 1:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a^{\widehat{3 / 2}}=a^{\hat{I}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{\frac{3}{2}}(\hat{T})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad a^{\widehat{3 / 2}}=a^{\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{\frac{3}{2}}(\hat{T})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
a^{\widehat{3 / 2}}=a^{\hat{2}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{\frac{3}{2}}(\hat{T})^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Example 2:

$$
a^{-\widehat{3 / 2}}=a^{-\hat{I}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{-\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{-1 / 2}}=a^{-\hat{2}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{5}{2}} .
$$

Example 3:

$$
a^{\widehat{5 / 6}}=a^{\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 3}}=a^{\widehat{1 / 6}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 6}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 6}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 6}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 6}}=a^{\hat{I}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 6}}=a^{\frac{5}{6}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{1}{6}} .
$$

Example 4:
$a^{-\widehat{5 / 6}}=a^{-\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 3}}=a^{\widehat{1 / 6}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 6}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 6}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 6}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 6}}=a^{-\hat{I}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 6}}=a^{-\frac{5}{6}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{11}{6}}$.
Example 5:

$$
a^{\hat{2}}=a^{\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 2}}=a^{\hat{3}} \hat{\times} a^{-\hat{I}}=a^{2} \hat{T}
$$

Example 6:

$$
a^{\widehat{3 / 4}}=a^{\widehat{1 / 4}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 4}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 4}}=a^{\hat{I}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{1 / 4}}=a^{\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 4}}=a^{\frac{3}{4}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

Example 7:

$$
a^{\widehat{13 / 6}}=a^{\widehat{2 / 3}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{3 / 2}}=a^{\hat{2}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 6}}=a^{\frac{13}{6}}(\hat{T})^{\frac{7}{6}}
$$

Example 8:

$$
a^{-\widehat{1 / 6}}=a^{-\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{1 / 3}}=a^{\hat{I}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{7 / 6}}=a^{-\frac{1}{6}}(\hat{I})^{\frac{7}{6}} .
$$

Example 9:

$$
a^{\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} b^{\widehat{1 / 3}} \hat{\times} c^{\widehat{1 / 5}}=a^{\frac{1}{2}} b^{\frac{1}{3}} c^{\frac{1}{5}}(\hat{T})^{\frac{1}{30}} .
$$

Let $a=b=c$, we have $a^{\widehat{31 / 30}}=a^{\frac{31}{30}}(\hat{T})^{\frac{1}{30}}$.
Example 10:

$$
a^{\widehat{1 / 2}} \hat{\times} b^{\widehat{1 / 3}} \hat{\times} c^{\widehat{1 / 5}} \hat{\times} d^{\widehat{1 / 6}}=a^{\frac{1}{2}} b^{\frac{1}{3}} c^{\frac{1}{5}} d^{\frac{1}{6}}(\hat{T})^{\frac{1}{5}}
$$

Let $a=b=c=d$, we have $a^{\widehat{6 / 5}}=a^{\frac{6}{5}}(\hat{T})^{\frac{1}{5}}$
Example 11:

$$
a^{\hat{I}}=a^{\widehat{1 / 3}} \hat{\times} a^{\widehat{2 / 3}}=a \hat{I} \hat{T}=a
$$

Example 12:

$$
a^{-\hat{I}}=a^{-\widehat{1 / 3}} \hat{\times} a^{-\widehat{2 / 3}}=a^{-1} \hat{I}^{3} \hat{T}=a^{-1} \hat{I}^{2}
$$

Example 13:

$$
a^{\hat{2}} \hat{\times} b^{-\hat{2}}=\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2} \hat{I} . \quad a^{\hat{2}} \hat{\times} b^{-\hat{3}}=a^{2} b^{-3} \hat{I}^{2} .
$$

Example 14:

$$
a \hat{\times} y^{\hat{2}}+b \hat{\times} y+c=a(y \hat{T})^{2}+b(y \hat{T})+c .
$$

Example 15:

$$
a_{3} \hat{\times} y^{\hat{3}}+a_{2} \hat{\times} y^{\hat{2}}+a_{1} \hat{\times} y+a_{0}=a_{3}(y \hat{T})^{3}+a_{2}(y \hat{T})^{2}+a_{1}(y \hat{T})+a_{0} .
$$

In this chapter we study Santilli's isonumber theory of the second kind based on isofields $\hat{F}=\hat{F}(a,+, \hat{x})[3]$.

## 2. Foundations of Santilli's isonumber theory of the second kind

By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{\times})$ we study Santilli's isonumber theory of the second kind.

We can partition the positive integers into four classes:

1. The unit: 1 ,
2. The isounit: $\hat{I}$ or $\hat{T}$,
3. The prime numbers: $2,3,5, \ldots$,
4. The composite numbers: $4,6,8, \ldots$.

The Santilli's isonumber theory of the second kind is primarily concerned with isodivisibility properties of integers.

Definition 2.1. Definition of isodivisibility. We say that a nonzero integer $a$ isodivides an integer $b$, if there exists an integer $c$ such that $a \hat{\times} c=a \hat{T} c=b, c=b \hat{I} / a$. If $a$ isodivides $b$, we write $a|\hat{b}=a| \hat{I} b$. Then we have $a \mid b$ or $a \mid \hat{I}$. If $a$ does not isodivide $b$, we write $a \hat{X} b=a \nmid b \hat{I}$. Then we have $a \not \backslash b$ and $a \nmid \hat{I}$.

The following theorem gives the key properties of isodivisibility.

## Theorem 2.1.

(1) If $a$ is a nonzero integer, then $a|a=a| a \hat{I}$.
(2) If $a$ is an integer, then $1 \hat{\mid} a=1 \mid a \hat{I}$.
(3) If $a \hat{\mid} b=a \mid b \hat{I}$ and $b \hat{\mid} c=b \mid c \hat{I}$, then $a \hat{\mid} c=a \mid c \hat{I}$
(4) If $a \hat{\mid} b=a \mid b \hat{I}$ and $c$ is a nonzero integer, then $a c|b c=a c| b c \hat{I}$ and $a|b c=a| b c \hat{I}$.
(5) If $a \hat{\mid} b=a \mid b \hat{I}$ and $a \hat{\mid} c=a \mid c \hat{I}$, then for all integer $m$ and $n$ we have $a \hat{\mid}(m b+n c)=a \mid \hat{I}(m b+n c)$.
(6) If $a \hat{\mid} b=a \mid b \hat{I}$ and $b \hat{\mid} a=b \mid a \hat{I}$, then $a= \pm b$ and $\hat{I}=1$.
(7) If $a \hat{\mid} b=a \mid b \hat{I}$ and $a$ and $b$ are positive integers, then $a>b, a<\hat{I}$ and $a \mid \hat{I}$ or $a>\hat{I}, a<b$ and $a \mid b$.

Definition 2.2. If $d$ divides two integer $a$ and $b$, then $d$ is called a common divisor of $a$ and $b$. The number $d$ is called the greatest common divisor (gcd) of $a$ and $b$ and is denoted by $(a, b)$. If $(a, b)=1$, then $a$ and $b$ are said to be relatively prime.

Theorem 2.2. The prime number theorem for isoarithmetic progressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a}(K)=\omega \hat{\times} K+a=\omega \hat{T} k+a, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=0,1,2, \ldots ;(\omega \hat{T}, a)=1$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{a}(N)=\frac{1}{\phi(\omega \hat{T})} \frac{N}{\log N}(1+O(1)) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{a}(N)$ denotes the number of prime in $E_{a}(K) \leq N$ and $\phi(\omega \hat{T})$ Euler's $\phi$ function.

Santilli's isoadditive prime problems:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{2}=2 \hat{\times} \hat{p}_{1}+1=2 \hat{T} p_{1}+1, \quad p_{3}=4 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1=4 \hat{T} p_{1}+1 . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}=2 p_{1}+1, \quad p_{3}=4 p+1 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

They cannot all be prime, for at least one of the three is divisible by 3 .
There exist no 3 -tuples of primes except $p_{1}=3, \quad p_{2}=7, \quad p_{3}=13$. Let $\hat{T}=2$, and $\hat{I}=\frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}=4 p_{1}+1, \quad p_{3}=8 p_{1}+1 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exist no 3 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=3$ and $\hat{I}=\frac{1}{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}=6 p_{1}+1, p_{3}=12 p_{1}+1 . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes: $5,31,61 ; 13,79,157 ; 23,139,277$; $61,367,733 ; \ldots$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{4}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}+1\right)^{\hat{2}}+1=\hat{T}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}+1\right)^{2}+1 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\hat{T}=\left\{\hat{T}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{T}_{n}\right\}$ and $\hat{I}=\left\{\hat{I}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{I}_{n}\right\}$. In (2.7) there are $n$ additive prime equations. Every equation has an isounit.

Fermat-Santilli equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\hat{n}}+y^{\hat{n}}=1 \text {. } \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From(2.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}+y^{n}=(\hat{I})^{n-1}, \quad(x, y)=1 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n>3$, (2.9) has no rational solutions.
Pell-Santilli equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\hat{2}}-p \hat{x} y^{\hat{2}}= \pm 1 . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Santilli's isonumber theory we can extend the additive prime equations and Diophantine equations.

Definition 2.3. Given integers $a, b, m$ with $m>0$. We say that $a$ is isocongruent to $b$ modulo $m$ and we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \hat{\equiv} b(\bmod m) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m$ isodivides the difference $a-b$, the number $m$ is called the modulus of isocongruence. The isocongruence (2.11) is equivalent to the isodivisibility relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \hat{\jmath}(a-b)=m \mid \hat{I}(a-b) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m \hat{X}(a-b)$ we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \hat{\equiv} b(\bmod m) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we say that $a$ and $b$ are nonisocongruent $(\bmod m)$.
Theorem 2.3. The isocongruence is an equivalence relation:
(1) $a \hat{\overline{=} a(\bmod m)}$
(2) $a \hat{\overline{=} b(\bmod m) \text { implies } b \hat{\overline{=}} a(\bmod m) ~}$

Definition 2.4. The quadratic isocongruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\hat{2}} \hat{=} n(\bmod p) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is an odd prime. Let $(\hat{I}, p)=1$ so we can cancel $\hat{I}$. Eq. (2.14) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} \equiv n \hat{I}(\bmod p) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If congruence (2.15) has a solution and we say that $n$ is a quadratic residue $\bmod p$ and we write $\left(\frac{n \hat{I}}{p}\right)=1$, where $\left(\frac{n \hat{I}}{p}\right)$ is Legendre symbol. If (2.15) has no solution we say that $n$ is a quadratic nonresidue $\bmod p$ and we write $\left(\frac{n \hat{I}}{p}\right)=-1$.

Theorem 2.4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \triangleq a(\bmod p) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $(\hat{I}, p)=1$, we can cancel $\hat{I}$. (2.16) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \equiv a(\bmod p) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is an odd prime.
(2.17) has exactly $J_{n}(p)+(-1)^{n}$ solutions, where $J_{n}(p)=\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}$, if $p \mid a$ and (2.17) $J_{n}(p)$ solutions if $p \nmid a$.

Theorem 2.5.

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{\hat{2}}+x_{2}+\ldots+x_{n} \hat{=} a(\bmod p) . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $(\hat{I}, p)=1$, we can cancel $\hat{I},(2.18)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{2}+\hat{I}\left(x_{2}+\ldots+x_{n}\right) \equiv \hat{I} a(\bmod p) . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.19) has exactly $J_{n}(p)-(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{a \hat{I}}{p}\right)=1$ and (2.19) $J_{n}(p)+(-1)^{n}$ solutions if $\left(\frac{a \hat{I}}{p}\right)=-1$ and $p \mid a$.

## 3. Santilli's Isoadditive Prime Theory

Definition 3.1. We define the arithmetic progressions [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=\omega K+p_{\alpha}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots$;

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega=\prod_{2 \leq p \leq p_{i}} p ;\left(\omega, p_{\alpha}\right)=1 ; \\
p_{i}<p_{\alpha}=p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{\phi(\omega)}=\omega+1 ; \phi(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(p_{\alpha}, \omega\right)=1 \\
1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1)
\end{gathered}
$$

$\phi(\omega)$ is Euler's $\phi$-function.
For every $E p_{\alpha}(K)$, there exist infinitely many primes. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{p_{\alpha}}(N)=\frac{1}{\phi(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log N}(1+O(1)), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{p_{\alpha}}(N)$ denotes the number of primes $p \leq N$ in $E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)$. Since $\pi_{p_{\alpha}}(N)$ is independent of $p_{\alpha}$, the primes seem to be equally distributed among the $\phi(\omega)$ reduced residue classes $\bmod \omega$, and (3.2) is a precise statement of this fact.

We deal with the prime twins: $p_{2}=p_{1}+2$. It can be written as the form of the arithmetic progressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}+2}(K)=E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)+2 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the arithmetic function of the prime twins

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(p_{\alpha}+2, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega)<\phi(\omega)$, it is a generalization of Euler's $\phi$ function $\phi(\omega)$. Since ( $p_{\alpha}+$ $2, \omega)=1,(3.3)$ has the infinitude of the prime twins.

Let $p_{i}=3$. From (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{5}(K)=6 K+5, \quad E_{7}(K)=6 K+7, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots$
From (3.4) we have $J_{2}(6)=1$. From (3.5) we have one subequation of the prime twins

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{7}(K)=E_{5}(K)+2 . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(7,6)=1$, (3.6) has the infinitude of the prime twins.
Let $p_{i}=5$. From (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=30 K+p_{\alpha}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1, \ldots ; p_{\alpha}=7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31$.
From (3.4) we have $J_{2}(30)=3$. From (3.7) we have three subequations of the prime twins

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{13}(K)=E_{11}(K)+2, E_{19}(K)=E_{17}(K)+2, E_{31}(K)=E_{29}(K)+2 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(p_{\alpha}+2,30\right)=1$, every subequation has the infinitude of the prime twins. The prime twins seem to be equally distributed among the $J_{2}(30)$ reduced residue classes $\bmod 30$. It is a generalization of Direchlet's theorem. For $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many subequations of the prime twins, every subequation has the infinitude of the prime twins. By using this method and Santilli's isonumber theory we found the new branch of number theory: Santilli's isoadditive prime theory.

By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{\times})$ from (3.1) we have isoarithmetic progressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=\omega \hat{\times} K+p_{\alpha}=\omega \hat{T} K+p_{\alpha} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\hat{T}=\omega^{m-1}$. From (3.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=\omega^{m} K+p_{\alpha}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
p_{i}<p_{\alpha}=p_{1}, \ldots, p_{\phi\left(\omega^{m}\right)}=\omega^{m}+1 ; \phi\left(\omega^{m}\right)=\sum_{\left(p_{\alpha}, \omega^{m}\right)=1} 1=\omega^{m-1} \phi(\omega) .
$$

Theorem 3.1. If there exist infinitely many primes $p_{j}($ for $j=1, \ldots, n-1)$ such that the absolute values of polynomials $f_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)$ (for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$ ) are all prime, then $f_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)$ must satisfy two necessary and sufficient conditions:
(I) Let $f_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)$ be $k-1$ distinct polynomials with integral coefficients irreducible over the integers.
(II) There exists an arithmetic function $J_{n}\left(\omega^{m}\right)$, that is to separate the number of $k$-tuples of subequations from (3.10). It is also the number of solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} f_{i}\left(p_{\alpha_{j}}\right), \omega^{m}\right)=1 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq \alpha_{j} \leq \phi\left(\omega^{m}\right), j=1, \ldots, n-1$.
Since $J_{n}\left(\omega^{m}\right) \leq \phi^{n-1}\left(\omega^{m}\right), J_{n}\left(\omega^{m}\right)$. can be expressed as the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
J_{n}\left(\omega^{m}\right)=\sum_{\alpha_{n-1}=1}^{\phi\left(\omega^{m}\right)} \cdots \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi\left(\omega^{m}\right)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} f_{i}\left(p_{\alpha_{j}}\right), \omega^{m}\right)}\right] \\
=\omega^{(n-1)(m-1)} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left((p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right), \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $H(p)$ is the number of solutions of congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} f_{i}\left(q_{j}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$q_{j}=1,2, \ldots, p-1 ; j=1, \ldots, n-1$.
Since $(p-1)^{n-1}=\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}+\frac{(p-1)^{n-1}-(-1)^{n-1}}{p}, J_{n}\left(\omega^{m}\right)$ can also be expressed as the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}\left(\omega^{m}\right)=\omega^{(n-1)(m-1)} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{p}-\chi(p)\right), \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(p)=0, \pm 1, \ldots$
In the same way as in Chapter 1, we can derive the best asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{k}(N, n)=\mid\left\{p_{j}: p_{j} \leq N, f_{i}\left(p_{j}\right)=\text { prime }\right\} \mid \\
=\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\operatorname{deg} f_{i}\right)^{-1} \hat{\times} \frac{J_{n}\left(\omega^{m}\right)\left(\omega^{m}\right)^{k-1}}{(n-1)!\phi^{n+k-2}\left(\omega^{m}\right)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{(\log N)^{n+k-2}}(1+O(1)) . \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{n}\left(\omega^{m}\right)\left(\omega^{m}\right)^{k-1}}{\phi^{n+k-2}\left(\omega^{m}\right)}=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{n+k-2}(\omega)} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (3.16) into (3.15) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, n)=\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\operatorname{deg} f_{i}\right)^{-1} \hat{\times} \frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{(n-1)!\phi^{n+k-2}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{(\log N)^{n+k-2}}(1+O(1)) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the degree is to be understood the highest degree of any individual term, where the degree of a term such as $x_{1} x_{2}^{3} x_{3}^{4}$ is taken to be $1+3+4=8$. We prove that $\pi_{k}(N, n)$ is independent of $m$. (3.17) can be written as the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N, n)=J_{n}(\omega) t_{1} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=\prod_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(\operatorname{deg} f_{i}\right)^{-1} \hat{\times} \frac{\omega^{k-1} N^{n-1}}{(n-1)!(\phi(\omega) \log N)^{n+k-2}}(1+O(1)) \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$t_{1}$ denoting the number of $k$-tuples of primes in one $k$-tuple of subequations. $t_{1}$ can be applied to any $k$-tuple of subequations and is called the common factor in Santilli's additive prime theory. $t_{1}=0$ if $N<\omega, t_{1} \neq 0$ if $N>\omega$ similar to (3.1). $t_{1} \neq 0$ implies that there exist infinitely many prime solutions. If $J_{n}(\omega)=0$ then $\pi_{k}(N, n)=0$, there exist finitely many $k$-tuples of primes. If $J_{n}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, then there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes. It is a generalization of Euler proof of the existence of infinitely many primes.

Let $n=2$ and $k=1$. From (3.19) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=\frac{N}{\phi(\omega) \log N}(1+O(1)) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is the prime number theorem of the arithmetic progressions.
Since $k=1$, we have $J_{2}(\omega)=\phi(\omega)$. Substituting (3.20) into (3.18) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}(N, 2)=\frac{N}{\log N}(1+O(1)) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is the prime number theorem.
(3.17) is a unified asymptotic formula in the Santilli's isoadditive prime theory. To prove it is transformed into studying the arithmetic functions $J_{n}(\omega)$. By using the $J_{n}(\omega)$ we prove the following Santilli's isoadditive prime theorems:

Theorem 3.1.1. $p_{2}=a \hat{\times} p_{1}+b=a \hat{T} p_{1}+b$, where $(a \hat{T}, b)=1$ and $2 \mid a \hat{T} b$.

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(a \hat{\left.p_{p \alpha}+b, \omega\right)} \\
1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)\right.}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{\substack{p \mid a \hat{T} b}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \\
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=2 \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid a \hat{T} b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq=0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime. Let $a=1$, it is the prime twins theorem.

Theorem 3.1.2.

$$
p_{2}=a \overline{\times} p_{1}+N=-a \hat{T} p_{1}+N,
$$

Let $a=\hat{T}=1$. We have the Goldbach's theorem: $p_{2}=N-p_{1} \cdot[4]$
We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(N \leq p_{\alpha}, \omega\right)=1 \\
1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \\
\pi(N, 2)=2 \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, every even number greater than 4 is the sum of two primes.
It is the simplest theorem in Santilli's isoadditive prime theory.
Theorem 3.1.3. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+p_{1}+1=\hat{T} p_{1}^{2}+p_{1}+1$, where $\hat{T}$ is an odd.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.
Let $\hat{T}=1$. we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(p_{\alpha}^{2}+p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \leq \infty \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(3)=0 ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3), \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \equiv-1(\bmod 3)$,

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.4. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{\hat{2}}+1=\hat{T}\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{2}+1$
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(\left(p_{\alpha}+1\right)^{2}+1, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-2-(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right) \neq 0,
$$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.5. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{3}}+2=\hat{T}^{2} p_{1}^{3}+2$, where $\hat{T}$ is an odd.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime. Let $\hat{T}=1$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(p_{\alpha}^{3}+2, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=2$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{3 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.6. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{\hat{4}}+1$.
If $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, then there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also $a$ prime.

Let $\hat{T}=1$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(\left(p \alpha_{\alpha}+1\right)^{4}+1, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=3$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8) ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$,

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.7. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{5}}+2$, where $\hat{T}$ is an odd.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.
Let $\hat{T}=1$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(p_{\alpha}^{5}+2, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=4$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{5}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{5}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{5 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.8. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+4\right)^{\hat{6}}+4$ where $\hat{T}$ is an odd.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.
Let $\hat{T}=1$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(\left(p_{\alpha}+4\right)^{6}+4, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=5$ if $(4)^{\frac{p-1}{6}} \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{6}}(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $(4)^{\frac{p-1}{6}} \not \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{6}}(\bmod p)$; $\chi(p)=(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{6 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.9. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{6}}+p_{1}^{\hat{3}}+1$.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.
Let $\hat{T}=1$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(p_{\alpha}^{6}+p_{\alpha}^{3}+1, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(3)=0 ; \chi(p)=5$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 18) ; \chi(p)=-1$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{6 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.10. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{\gamma}}+2$, where $\hat{T}$ is an odd.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime. Let $\hat{T}=1$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(p_{\alpha}^{p}+2, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{\substack{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=6$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{7}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{7}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{7 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.11. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{\hat{8}}+1$.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.

Let $\hat{T}=1$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(\left(p_{\alpha}+1\right)^{8}+1, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=7$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 16), \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$,

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{8 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.12. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}+1\right)^{\widehat{16}}+1$.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.
Let $\hat{T}=1$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left.\left(P_{\alpha}+1\right)^{16}+1, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=15$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 32) ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 32)$,

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{16 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+0(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.13. $p_{3}=p_{1}^{\hat{4}}+p_{1}^{\hat{3}}+p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+p_{1}+1$, where $\hat{T}$ is an odd.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\substack{\left(p_{\alpha}^{4}+p_{\alpha}^{3}+p_{\alpha}^{2}+p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)=1 \\ 1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega)}} 1=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi(5)=0 ; \chi(p)=3 \text { if } p \equiv 1(\bmod 5) ; \chi(p)=-1 \text { if } p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 5), \\
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.14. $p_{2}=2 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{3}=4 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1$.

$$
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 \text { if } 3 \mid \hat{T} ; J_{2}(\omega)=0 \text { if } 3 \chi \hat{T} .
$$

Let $\hat{T}=3$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{2}{\left(6 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)+\left(12 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)}\right]=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0
$$

Here [ ] denotes the greatest integer,

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.15. $p_{2}=3 \hat{\times} p_{1}+2, p_{3}=2 \hat{\times} p_{1}+3$, where $\hat{T}$ is a prime greater than 3.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{2}{\left(3 p_{\alpha}+2, \omega\right)+\left(2 p_{\alpha}+3, \omega\right)}\right]=6 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 \\
\left.\pi_{3}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.16. $p_{2}=30 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{3}=60 \hat{\times} p_{1}-1$.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{2}{\left(30 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)+\left(60 p_{\alpha}-1, \omega\right)}\right]=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0, \\
\pi_{3}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.17. $p_{2}=p_{1}+4, p_{3}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+4$, where $T$ is an odd and $3 \chi(\hat{T}+4)$.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{2}{\left(p_{\alpha}+4, \omega\right)+\left(p_{\alpha}^{2}+4, \omega\right)}\right]=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{3}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.18. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2, p_{3}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+30$, where $\hat{T}$ is a prime greater than 5 . Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{2}{\left(p_{\alpha}+2, \omega\right)+\left(p_{\alpha}^{2}+30, \omega\right)}\right]=3 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-\left(-\frac{30}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0, \\
\pi_{3}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.19. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+1, p_{3}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+3$

$$
J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 \text { if } 3 \mid(\hat{T}-4) ; J_{2}(\omega)=0 \text { otherwise }
$$

Let $\hat{T}=4$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{2}{\left(4 p_{\alpha}^{2}+1, \omega\right)+\left(4 p_{\alpha}^{2}+3, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}-\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0, \\
\pi_{3}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.20. $p_{2}=2 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{3}=6 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{4}=8 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1$.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 4 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{3}{\left(2 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)+\left(6 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)+\left(8 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.21 $p_{2}=2 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{3}=3 \hat{\times} p_{1}+2, p_{4}=4 \hat{\times} p_{1}+3$, where $\hat{T}$ is a prime greater than 3 .

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 4 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{3}{\left(2 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)+\left(3 p_{\alpha}+2, \omega\right)+\left(4 p_{\alpha}+3, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.22. $p_{2}=30 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{3}=60 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{4}=90 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1$.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 4 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{3}{\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(30 i p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)}\right]=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.23. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+30, p_{3}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+60, p_{4}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+90$, where $\hat{T}$, is a prime greater than 5 .

Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2}(\omega) & =\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{3}{\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(p_{\alpha}^{2}+30 i, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4 & \left.-\left(\frac{-30}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-15}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-10}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{4}(N, 2) & =\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{8 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.1.24. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{3}}+30, p_{3}=p_{1}^{\hat{3}}+60, p_{4}=p_{1}^{\hat{3}}+90$, where $\hat{T}$ is a prime greater than 5 .

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 4 -tuples of primes.

Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{3}{\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(p_{\alpha}^{3}+30 i, \omega\right)}\right]=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-4-\chi_{1}(p)-\chi_{2}(p)-\chi_{3}(p)\right) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi_{i}(p)=2$ if $B_{i}^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi_{i}(p)=-1$ if $B_{i}^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p), B_{1}=$ $30, B_{2}=60, B_{3}=90 ; \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{27 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.25. $p_{2}=2 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{3}=6 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{4}=8 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{5}=12 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1$.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(2 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)\left(6 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)\left(8 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)\left(12 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{5}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.26. $p_{2}=30 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{3}=60 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{4}=90 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{5}=$ $120 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{2}(\omega)= & \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{4}{\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(30 i p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)}\right]=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 . \\
& \pi_{5}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.1.27. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+30, p_{3}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+60, p_{4}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+90, p_{5}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+120$, where $\hat{T}$ is a prime greater than 5 .

If $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, then there are infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes.

Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{4}{\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(p_{\alpha}^{2}+30 i, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-5-2\left(\frac{-30}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-15}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-10}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{5}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{16 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.28. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{3}}+30, p_{3}=p_{1}^{\hat{3}}+60, p_{4}=p_{1}^{\hat{3}}+90, p_{5}=p_{1}^{\hat{3}}+120$, where $\hat{T}$ is a prime greater than 5 .

If $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, then there are infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes.If $J_{2}(\omega)=0$, then there are no 5 -tuples of primes.

Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have $J_{2}(7)=0$. then there are no 5 -tuples of primes.

Theorem 3.1.29. $p_{2}=4 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{3}=6 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{4}=10 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{5}=$ $12 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{6}=16 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 6 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)= \\
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(4 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)\left(6 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)\left(10 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)\left(12 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)\left(16 p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0 \\
\pi_{6}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{\phi^{6}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1))
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.30. $p_{2}=30 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{3}=60 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{4}=90 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{5}=$ $120 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1, p_{6}=150 \hat{\times} p_{1}+1$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 6 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{5}{\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(30 i p_{\alpha}+1, \omega\right)}\right]=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0
$$

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{\phi^{6}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.31. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+30, p_{3}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+60, p_{4}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+90, p_{5}=p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+120 . p_{6}=$ $p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+150$, where $\hat{T}$ is a prime greater than 5 .

If $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, then there are infinitely many 6 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{5}{\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(p_{\alpha}^{2}+30 i, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-6-2\left(\frac{-30}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-6}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-10}{p}\right)-\left(\frac{-15}{p}\right)\right) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{6}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{32 \phi^{6}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.32. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{\hat{4}}+30, p_{3}=p_{1}^{\hat{4}}+60, p_{4}=p_{1}^{\hat{4}}+90, p_{5}=p_{1}^{\hat{4}}+120, p_{6}=$ $p_{1}^{\hat{4}}+150$, where $\hat{T}$ is a prime greater than 5 .

If $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, then there are infinitely many 6 -tuples of primes.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{5}{\sum_{i=1}^{5}\left(p_{\alpha}^{4}+30 i, \omega\right)}\right]=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-6-\sum_{i-1}^{5} \chi_{i}(p)\right) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi_{i}(p)=3$ if $B_{i}^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}(\bmod p), \chi(p)=-1$ if $B_{i}^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \not \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}(\bmod p)$, $B_{1}=30, B_{2}=60, B_{3}=90, B_{4}=120, B_{5}=150 ; \chi_{i}(p)=\left(-\frac{B_{i}}{p}\right)$ otherwise,

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{1024 \phi^{6}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.33. $p_{3}=p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2}+b$, where $(\hat{T}, b)=1$ and $2 \mid \hat{T} b$.
We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{3}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\hat{T} p_{\alpha_{1}} p_{\alpha_{2}}+b, \omega\right)}\right]=\phi(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid \hat{T} b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \\
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{1}{2} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}} \prod_{p \mid \hat{T} b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

This is three primes theorem called (1+2).
Theorem 3.1.34. $p_{3}=N-p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2}, J_{3}(\omega)=0$ if $(N, \hat{T})>1 ; J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $(N, \hat{T})=1$.

Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{3}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(N-p_{\alpha_{1}} p_{\alpha_{2}}, \omega\right)}\right]=\phi(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \\
=\frac{1}{2} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This is three primes theorem called (1+2).
Theorem 3.1.35. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{\hat{2}}+b$, where $b$ is an odd and $3 \chi(\hat{T}+b)$.
We have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\hat{T}\left(p_{\alpha_{1}}+p_{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{2}+b, \omega\right)}\right]=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=(p-2)$ if $p \mid \hat{T} b ; \quad \chi(p)=(p-2)\left(\frac{-b \hat{T}}{p}\right)$ otherwise,

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.36. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{\hat{3}}+3$, where $3 \chi \hat{T}$
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ such that $p_{3}$ is also a prime.

Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\left(p_{\alpha_{1}}+p_{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{3}+3, \omega\right)}\right]=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(3)=1, \chi(p)=2(p-2)$ if $3^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=-(p-2)$ if $3^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \not \equiv$ $1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ otherwise,

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{6 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.37. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{\hat{4}}+1$.
If $J_{3}(\omega)=0$, then there are finitely many prime solutions. If $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, then there are infinitely many prime solutions.

Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\left(p_{\alpha_{1}}+p_{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{4}+1, \omega\right)}\right]=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=3(p-2)$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8) ; \chi(p)=-(p-2)$ if $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{8 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.38. $p_{3}=p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2}^{\hat{2}}+b$, where $(\hat{T}, b)=1$ and $2 \mid \hat{T} b$.
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$ there exist infinitely many prime solutions.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{3}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p_{\alpha_{1}} p_{\alpha_{2}}^{2}+b, \omega\right)}\right]=\phi(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 \\
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{6 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.39. $p_{3}=p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2}^{\hat{3}}+b$, where $(\hat{T}, b)=1$ and $2 \mid \hat{T} b$.
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{3}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p_{\alpha_{1}} p_{\alpha_{2}}^{3}+b, \omega\right)}\right]=\phi(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \\
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{8 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.40. $p_{3}=p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2}^{\hat{4}}+b$, where $(\hat{T}, b)=1$ and $2 \mid \hat{T} b$.
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p_{\alpha_{1}} p_{\alpha_{2}}^{4}+b, \omega\right)}\right]=\phi(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0
$$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{10 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.41. $p_{4}=p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2} \hat{\times} p_{3}+b$, where $(\hat{T}, b)=1$ and $2 \mid \hat{T} b$.
Since $J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{4}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{3}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p_{\alpha_{1}} p_{\alpha_{2}} p_{\alpha_{3}}+b, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=\phi^{2}(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \\
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{1}{9} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is the four primes theorem called $(1+3)$.
Theorem 3.1.42. $p_{4}=N-p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2} \hat{\times} p_{3}$.

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=0 \text { if }(N, \hat{T})>1 ; J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0 \operatorname{if}(N, \hat{T})=1
$$

Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{4}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{3}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(N-p_{\alpha_{1}} p_{\alpha_{2}} p_{\alpha_{3}}, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=\phi^{2}(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \\
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\left|\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}: p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \leq N, p_{4}=\left|N-p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right|\right\}\right| \\
=\frac{1}{9} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is the four primes theorem called $(1+3)$.
Theorem 3.1.43. $p_{4}=p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2}+p_{3}+b$, where $\hat{T}$ and $b$ are both odds or both evens.

Since $J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{3}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p_{\alpha_{1}} p_{\alpha_{2}}+p_{\alpha_{3}}+b, \omega\right)}\right]
$$

$$
=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=p-2$ if $p \mid b ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \nmid b$.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.44. $p_{4}=p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2}^{\hat{2}}+p_{3}+b$, where $\hat{T}$ and $b$ are both odds or both evens.

Since $J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{4}(\omega) & =\sum_{\alpha_{3}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p_{\alpha_{1}} p_{\alpha_{2}}^{2}+p_{\alpha_{3}}+b, \omega\right)}\right] \\
& =\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi(p)=p-2$ if $p \mid b ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \nmid b$.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{12 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.45. $p_{4}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}-1\right)^{\hat{2}}+1, p_{5}=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}+1\right)^{\hat{2}}+1$.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{4}(\omega)= \\
& \sum_{\alpha_{3}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\left(p_{\alpha_{1}}+p_{\alpha_{2}}+p_{\alpha_{3}}-1\right)^{2}+1, \omega\right)\left(\left(p_{\alpha_{1}}+p_{\alpha_{2}}+p_{\alpha_{3}}+1\right)^{2}+1, \omega\right)}\right] \\
& =\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\chi(p)=\left(1+2(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)\left(p^{2}-3 p+3\right)$,

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{24 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Let $\hat{T}=4$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{4}(\omega)= \\
\sum_{\alpha_{3}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(4\left(p_{\alpha_{1}}+p_{\alpha_{2}}+p_{\alpha_{3}}-1\right)^{2}+1, \omega\right)\left(4\left(p_{\alpha_{1}}+p_{\alpha_{2}}+p_{\alpha_{3}}+1\right)^{2}+1, \omega\right)}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(5)=25, \chi(p)=\left(1+2(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}\right)\left(p^{2}-3 p+3\right)$,

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{24 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Since $J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many prime solutions.
Theorem 3.1.46. $p_{5}=p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2} \hat{\times} p_{3} \hat{\times} p_{4}+b$, where $(\hat{T}, b)=1$ and $2 \mid \hat{T} b$.
Since $J_{5}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{5}(\omega)= & \sum_{\alpha_{4}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{3}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p_{\alpha_{1}} p_{\alpha_{2}} p_{\alpha_{3}} p_{\alpha_{4}}+b, \omega\right)}\right] \\
& =\phi^{3}(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \\
& \pi_{2}(N, 5)=\frac{J_{5}(\omega) \omega}{96 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is the five primes theorem called $(1+4)$.
Theorem 3.1.47. $p_{5}=N-p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2} \hat{\times} p_{3} \hat{\times} p_{4}$ $J_{5}(\omega)=0$ if $(\hat{T}, N)>1 ; J_{5}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $(\hat{T}, N)=1$.

Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{5}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{4}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{3}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(N-p_{\alpha_{1}} p_{\alpha_{2}} p_{\alpha_{3}} p_{\alpha_{4}}, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=\phi^{3}(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 \\
\pi_{2}(N, 5)=\left|\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}: p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4} \leq N, p_{5}=\left|N-p_{1} p_{2} p_{3} p_{4}\right|\right\}\right| \\
=\frac{1}{48} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
\end{gathered}
$$

It is the five primes theorem called $(1+4)$.
Theorem 3.1.48. $p_{5}=p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}^{\hat{2}} \hat{\times} p_{4}^{\hat{3}}$, where $\hat{T}$ is an odd.
Since $J_{5}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many prime solutions.

Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{5}(\omega)= & \sum_{\alpha_{4}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{3}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p_{\alpha_{1}}+p_{\alpha_{2}}+p_{\alpha_{3}}^{2} p_{\alpha_{4}}^{3}, \omega\right)}\right] \\
& =\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{5}+1}{p}+p-2\right) \neq 0 . \\
& \pi_{2}(N, 5)=\frac{J_{5}(\omega) \omega}{120 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.1.49. $p_{5}=p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{3}^{\hat{2}} \hat{\times} p_{4}^{\hat{3}}+b$, where $b$ is an even and $\hat{T}$ is an odd. Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{5}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{4}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{3}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p_{\alpha_{1}}+p_{\alpha_{2}}+p_{\alpha_{3}}^{2} p_{\alpha_{4}}^{3}+b, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{5}+1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\chi(p)=1$ if $p \nmid b ; \chi(p)=-(p-2)$ if $p \mid b$.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 5)=\frac{J_{5}(\omega) \omega}{120 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.50. $p_{5}=p_{1}+p_{2} \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+p_{4}\right)+2$.
Since $J_{5}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{5}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{4}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{3}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{2}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(p_{\alpha_{1}}+p_{\alpha_{2}}\left(p_{\alpha_{3}}+p_{\alpha_{4}}\right)+2, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{5}+1}{p}-1\right) \neq 0 . \\
\pi_{2}(N, 5)=\frac{J_{5}(\omega) \omega}{48 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.51. $p_{n}=p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} p_{n-1}+b$, where $(b, \hat{T})=1$ and $2 \mid b \hat{T}$.

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{n}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{n-1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \cdots \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(\hat{T}^{n-2} p_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots p_{\alpha_{n-1}}+b, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=\phi^{n-2}(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid \hat{T} b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \\
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-1)!} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid \hat{T} b} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $n=2$, it is the prime twins theorem.
Theorem 3.1.52. $p_{n}=N-p_{1} \hat{\times} p_{2} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} p_{n-1}$.
$J_{n}(\omega)=0$ if $(N, \hat{T})>1 ; J_{n}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $(N, \hat{T})=1$.
Let $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{n}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha_{n-1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)} \cdots \sum_{\alpha_{1}=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(N-p_{\alpha_{1}} \cdots p_{\alpha_{n-1}}, \omega\right)}\right] \\
=\phi^{n-2}(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \\
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\left|\left\{p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n-1}: p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n-1} \leq N, p_{n}=\left|N-p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right|\right\}\right| \\
=\frac{2}{(n-1)(n-1)!} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1)),
\end{gathered}
$$

It is the Rényi's theorem. Let $n=2$, it is the Goldbach's theorem, see theorem 3.1.2.

Note. All sieve methods obtain only the upper estimates, but the lower estimates are more difficult. Unfortunately, it turns out there is no method which will give such a formula for general sifting function. $J_{n}(\omega)$ is a precise shifting function. The Santilli's isoadditive prime theory will take the the place of all sieve methods.

Theorem 3.1.53. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\hat{x}}\left(p_{2}+1\right)+1$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ are the odd primes.
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+4\right) \neq 0
$$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

$(1+O(1))$ means that the error $O(1)$ is negligible in comparison to 1 .
Theorem 3.1.54.

$$
p_{4}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+1\right)+1 .
$$

Since $J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{3}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=(p-3)(p-2)+1$.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.55. $p_{5}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{x}\left(p_{2}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+1\right) \hat{x}\left(p_{4}+1\right)+1$ Since $J_{5}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.

For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{5}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[\left(p_{1}\right)^{4}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-3)\left[(p-2)^{2}+1\right]$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 5)=\frac{J_{5}(\omega) \omega}{96 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.56. $p_{6}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{x} \cdots \hat{x}\left(p_{5}+1\right)+1$
Since $J_{6}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{6}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{5}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-3)\left[(p-2)^{3}+(p-2)\right]+1$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 6)=\frac{J_{6}(\omega) \omega}{5 \times 5!\phi^{6}(\omega)} \frac{N^{5}}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.57. $p_{7}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{x} \cdots \hat{x}\left(p_{6}+1\right)+1$
Since $J_{7}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.

For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{7}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{6}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=(p-3)\left[(p-2)^{4}+(p-2)+1\right]$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 7)=\frac{J_{7}(\omega) \omega}{6 \times 6!\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N^{6}}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.58. $p_{8}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{x} \cdots \hat{x}\left(p_{7}+1\right)+1$
Since $J_{8}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{8}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{7}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-3)\left[(p-2)^{5}+(p-2)^{3}+(p-2)\right]+1$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 8)=\frac{J_{8}(\omega) \omega}{7 \times 7!\phi^{8}(\omega)} \frac{N^{7}}{\log ^{8} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.59. $p_{9}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{x} \cdots \hat{x}\left(p_{8}+1\right)+1$
Since $J_{9}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{9}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{8}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-3)\left[(p-2)^{6}+(p-2)^{4}+(p-2)+1\right]$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 9)=\frac{J_{9}(\omega) \omega}{8 \times 8!\phi^{9}(\omega)} \frac{N^{8}}{\log ^{9} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.60. $p_{2 n+1}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{x} \ldots \hat{x}\left(p_{2 n}+1\right)+1$
Since $J_{2 n+1}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2 n+1}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2 n}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=(p-3)\left[(p-2)^{2 n-2}+(p-2)^{2 n-4}+\ldots+1\right]$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2 n+1)=\frac{J_{2 n+1}(\omega) \omega}{(2 n) \times(2 n)!\phi^{2 n+1}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2 n}}{\log ^{2 n+1} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.61. $p_{2 n+2}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{x} \ldots \hat{x}\left(p_{2 n+1}+1\right)+1$
Since $J_{2 n+2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2 n+2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2 n+1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-2)\left[(p-2)^{2 n-1}+(p-2)^{2 n-3}+\ldots+(p-2)\right]+1$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2 n+2)=\frac{J_{2 n+2}(\omega) \omega}{(2 n+1) \times(2 n+1)!\phi^{2 n+2}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2 n+1}}{\log ^{2 n+2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.62. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+1\right)+3$
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(3)=3, H(p)=p-3$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.63. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+1\right)+5$
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(3)=1, H(5)=7, H(p)=p-3$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.64. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+1\right)+7$
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(7)=11, H(p)=p-3$ otherwise

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.65. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+1\right)+9$
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(3)=3, H(5)=3, H(p)=p-3$ otherwise

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.66. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+1\right)+b$, where $2 \not \subset b$ Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.

For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=p-2$, if $p \mid(b+1) ; H(p)=2 p-3$, if $p \mid b ; H(p)=p-3$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.67. $p_{4}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+1\right)+b$, where $2 \nmid b$ Since $J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.

For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{3}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=3 p^{2}-9 p+7$, if $p \mid b ; H(p)=(p-3)(p-2)$, if $p \mid(b+1) ; H(p)=$ $(p-3)(p-2)+1$ otherwise

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.68. $p_{5}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{x}\left(p_{2}+1\right) \hat{x}\left(p_{3}+1\right) \hat{x}\left(p_{4}+1\right)+b, 2 \not \subset b$ Since $J_{5}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.

For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{5}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{4}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-1)^{4}-(p-2)^{4}$, if $p \mid b ; H(p)=(p-2)[(p-3)(p-2)+1]$, if $p \mid(b+1) ; H(p)=(p-3)\left[(p-2)^{2}+1\right]$ otherwise

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 5)=\frac{J_{5}(\omega) \omega}{96 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.69. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+1\right)-1$
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3\right) \neq 0, \\
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.70. $p_{4}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{x}\left(p_{2}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+1\right)-1$ Since $J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.

For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{3}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-2)^{2}-(p-2)$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.71. $p_{5}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+1\right) \hat{x}\left(p_{4}+1\right)-1$ Since $J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.

For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{5}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{4}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-2)\left[(p-2)^{2}-(p-2)+1\right]$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 5)=\frac{J_{5}(\omega) \omega}{96 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.72. $p_{n+1}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times} \ldots \hat{\times}\left(p_{n}+1\right)-1$
Since $J_{n+1}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{n+1}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=\frac{(p-2)\left[(p-2)^{n-1}+(-1)^{n}\right]}{p-1}$.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n+1}(\omega) \omega}{n \times n!\phi^{n+1}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n}}{\log ^{n+1} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.73. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}-1\right)+1$
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+4\right) \neq 0, \\
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.74. $p_{4}=\left(p_{1}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}-1\right)+1$
For any integer $\hat{T}, J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{3}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=(p-2)^{2}-(p-2)$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.75. $p_{5}=\left(p_{1}-1\right) \hat{\times} \ldots \hat{\times}\left(p_{4}-1\right)+1$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{5}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{4}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=(p-2)^{3}-(p-2)^{2}+(p-3)$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 5)=\frac{J_{5}(\omega) \omega}{96 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.76. $p_{n+1}=\left(p_{1}-1\right) \hat{\times} \ldots \hat{\times}\left(p_{n}-1\right)+1, n \geq 4$ For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{n+1}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=\frac{\left[(p-2)^{4}-1\right](p-2)^{n-4}}{p-1}$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n+1)=\frac{J_{n+1}(\omega) \omega}{n \times n!\phi^{n+1}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n}}{\log ^{n+1} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.77. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}-1\right)-1$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3\right) \neq 0, \\
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.78. $p_{4}=\left(p_{1}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}-1\right)-1$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{3}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-3)(p-2)+1$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.79. $p_{n+1}=\left(p_{1}-1\right) \hat{\propto} \ldots \hat{\times}\left(p_{n}-1\right)-1, n \geq 3$ For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{n+1}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=(p-2)^{n-3}[(p-3)(p-2)+1]$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n+1)=\frac{J_{n+1}(\omega) \omega}{n \times n!\phi^{n+1}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n}}{\log ^{n+1} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.80. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}-1\right)+1$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3\right) \neq 0, \\
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.81. $p_{4}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+1\right)+1$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{3}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-3)(p-2)$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.82. $p_{5}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{4}-1\right)+1$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{5}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{4}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-2)^{3}-(p-2)^{2}+(p-3)$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 5)=\frac{J_{5}(\omega) \omega}{96 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.83. $p_{6}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\propto}\left(p_{2}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{4}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{5}+1\right)+1$ For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{6}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{5}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-2)^{4}-(p-2)^{3}+(p-2)^{2}-(p-2)+1$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 6)=\frac{J_{6}(\omega) \omega}{600 \phi^{6}(\omega)} \frac{N^{5}}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.84. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}-1\right)-1$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(3)=0 ; H(p)=p-3$ otherwise

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.85. $p_{4}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+1\right)-1$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{3}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=(p-2)^{2}-(p-2)+1, H(3)=1$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.86. $p_{5}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{4}-1\right)-1$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{5}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{4}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-2)^{3}-(p-2)^{2}+(p-2), H(3)=1$.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 5)=\frac{J_{5}(\omega) \omega}{96 \phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{4}}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.87. $p_{6}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{4}-1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{5}+1\right)-1$ For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{6}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{5}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-2)^{4}-(p-2)^{3}+(p-2)^{2}-(p-2), H(3)=0$.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 6)=\frac{J_{6}(\omega) \omega}{600 \phi^{6}(\omega)} \frac{N^{5}}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.88. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+b\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+b\right)+b$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=0$, if $p \mid b ; H(p)=p-2$ if $p \mid(b+1) ; H(p)=p-3$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.89. $p_{3}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+2\right)+1$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(3)=1, H(p)=p-3$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.90. $p_{4}=\left(p_{1}+1\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{2}+2\right) \hat{\times}\left(p_{3}+3\right)+1$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{3}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=(p-3)(p-2)+1$

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.91. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2^{\hat{2}}=p_{1}+4 \hat{T}$
(1) $\hat{T}=2^{j}, j=0,1, \cdots$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $\hat{T}=3.6 .9$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $\hat{T}=5,10$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 .
$$

(4) $\hat{T}=7,14$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=18 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 .
$$

(5) $\hat{T}=11,22$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=150 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many prime solutions. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{\phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.92. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2^{\hat{2}}, p_{3}=p_{1}+4^{\hat{2}}$
(1) $\hat{T}=2^{j}, j=0,1,2$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $\hat{T}=3,6$, 9 , we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $\hat{T}=5,10$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0
$$

(4) $\hat{T}=7,14$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=12 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.93. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2^{\hat{2}}, p_{3}=p_{1}+4^{\hat{2}}, p_{4}=p_{1}+6^{\hat{2}}$
(1) $\hat{T}=2^{j}, j=0,1,2, \cdots$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0
$$

(2) $\hat{T}=3,6,9$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0
$$

(3) $\hat{T}=5,10$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 4-tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.94. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2^{\hat{2}}, p_{3}=p_{1}+4^{\hat{2}}, p_{4}=p_{1}+6^{\hat{2}}, p_{5}=p_{1}+8^{\hat{2}}$
(1) $\hat{T}=2^{j}, j=0,1,2, \cdots$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0
$$

(2) $\hat{T}=3,6,9$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=12 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0
$$

(3) $\hat{T}=5,10$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=12 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.95. $p_{2}=p_{1}+2^{\hat{2}}, p_{3}=p_{1}+4^{\hat{2}}, p_{4}=p_{1}+6^{\hat{2}}, p_{5}=p_{1}+8^{\hat{2}}$, $p_{6}=p_{1}+10^{\hat{2}}$
(1) $\hat{T}=2^{j}, j=0,1,2, \cdots$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $\hat{T}=3,6$, 9 , we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=12 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $\hat{T}=5,10$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=12 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 6 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{\phi^{6}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.96. $p_{j+1}=p_{1}+(2 j)^{\hat{2}}, j=1,2, \cdots, n$.
(1) $\hat{T}=2^{j}, j=0,1,2, \cdots$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{e}} \frac{p-1}{2} \prod_{p_{m} \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-n-1) \neq 0
$$

where $p_{e} \leq 2 n-1, p_{m}>2 n-1$.
(2) $\hat{T}=3,6$, 9 , we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{e}} \frac{p-1}{2} \prod_{p_{m} \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-n-1) \neq 0 .
$$

where $p_{e} \leq 2 n-1, p_{m}>2 n-1$.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many $(n+1)$-tuples of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number for $(n+1)$-tuples of primes.

$$
\pi_{n+1}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{n}}{\phi^{n+1}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{n+1} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.97. $p_{j+1}=p_{1}+(2 j)^{\hat{4}}, j=1, \cdots, 6$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4860 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

Where $\chi(29)=\chi(37)=-1, \chi(61)=-2, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.98. $p_{j+1}=p_{1}+(2 j)^{\hat{6}}, j=1, \cdots, 6$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=90000 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

Where $\chi(31)=-2, \chi(37)=\chi(43)=\chi(61)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.99. $p_{j+1}=p_{1}+(2 j)^{\hat{8}}, j=1, \cdots, 6$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=5670 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

Where $\chi(29)=\chi(37)=\chi(41)=-1, \chi(p)=0$, otherwise

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.100. $p_{j+1}=p_{1}+(2 j)^{\hat{10}}, j=1, \cdots, 6$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=54 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

Where $\chi(31)=-3, \chi(41)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.101. $p_{j+1}=p_{1}+(2 j)^{\hat{12}}, j=1, \cdots, 6$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=148500 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

Where $\chi(37)=-3, \chi(29)=\chi(31)=\chi(43)=-1, \chi(p)=0$, otherwise.

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.102. $p_{j+1}=p_{1}+(2 j)^{\hat{14}}, j=1, \cdots, 6$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=30 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

Where $\chi(29)=\chi(43)=-4, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.103. $p_{j+1}=p_{1}+(2 j)^{\hat{1} 6}, j=1, \cdots, 6$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6075 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

Where $\chi(29)=\chi(37)=-1, \chi(41)=-3, \chi(p)=0$, otherwise.

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.104. $p_{j+1}=p_{1}+(2 j)^{\hat{18}}, j=1, \cdots, 6$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=500 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

Where $\chi(19)=-5, \chi(31)=-1, \chi(37)=-4, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.105. $p_{j+1}=p_{1}+(2 j)^{\hat{20}}, j=1, \cdots, 6$
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8748 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

Where $\chi(29)=-1, \chi(31)=\chi(37)=-2, \chi(41)=-3, \chi(p)=0$, otherwise.

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.106. $p_{2}=\left(p_{1}-1\right)^{2^{n}}+1$
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely may prime solutions.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi(p)= \begin{cases}2^{n}-1 & \text { if } p \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{n+1}\right) \\
-1 & \text { if } p \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{n+1}\right)\end{cases} \\
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\left|\left\{p_{1}: p_{1} \leq N,\left(p_{1}-1\right)^{2^{n}}=p_{2}\right\}\right|=\frac{1}{2^{n}} \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{\phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) \\
=\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p(p-2-\chi(p))}{(p-1)^{2}} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume that $N \leq 31$ and $n \geq 5$, we have $\pi_{2}(31,2)=0$. Using the theorem 3.1.106, we may prove that Fermat numbers: $F_{0}=3, F_{1}=5, F_{2}=17, F_{3}=257$, and $F_{4}=65537$ are all primes. Beyond $F_{5}$ it has no further Fermat primes.

Theorem 3.1.107. $p_{3}=p_{1}+2 \hat{\times} p_{2}+b, 2 \mid b$
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid b ; \chi(p)=0$ otherwise..
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.108. $p_{3}=N-p_{1}-2 \hat{\times} p_{2}, 2 \mid N$
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many prime solutions as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=0$ otherwise..
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.109. $p_{3}=p_{1}+3 \hat{\times} p_{2}+b, 2 \nmid b$
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(3)=1$ if $3 \nmid b ; \chi(3)=-1$, if $3 \mid b ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid b ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid b$ We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.110. $p_{3}=N-p_{1}-3 \hat{\times} p_{2}, 2 \nmid N$
Since $J_{3}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many prime solutions as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(3)=1$ if $3 \not \backslash N ; \chi(3)=-1$, if $3 \mid N ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid N$ We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.111. $p_{3}=2 \hat{\times} p_{1}+3 \hat{\times} p_{2}+b, 2 \mid b$
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(3)=-1$ if $3 \mid b ; \chi(3)=1$ if $3 \chi b ; \chi(p)=1$, if $p \mid b ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid b$, We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.112. $p_{3}=N-2 \hat{\times} p_{1}+3 \hat{\times} p_{2}, 2 \mid N$
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(3)=-1$ if $3 \mid N ; \chi(3)=1$ if $3 \not \backslash N ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid N$ We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.113. $p_{3}=p_{1}+5 \hat{\times} p_{2}+b, 2 \nless b$
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(5)=-3$ if $5 \mid b ; \chi(5)=1$ if $5 \not\langle b ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p| b ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid N$ We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.114. $p_{3}=N-p_{1}-5 \hat{\times} p_{2}, 2 \nmid N$
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(5)=-3$ if $5 \mid N ; \chi(5)=1$ if $5 \not \backslash N ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid N$ We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.115. $p_{3}=p_{1}+7 \hat{\times} p_{2}+b, 2 \nless b$
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(7)=1$ if $7 \not\langle b ; \chi(7)=-5$ if 7$| b ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid b ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid b$ We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.116. $p_{3}=N-p_{1}-7 \hat{\times} p_{2}, 2 \nmid N$
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(7)=1$ if $7 \chi N ; \chi(7)=-5$ if $7 \mid N ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid N$ We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.117. $p_{3}=p_{1}+15 \hat{\times} p_{2}+b, 2 \nmid b$
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(3)=1$ if $3 \wedge b ; \chi(3)=-1$ if $3 \mid b ; \chi(5)=1$ if $5 \wedge b ; \chi(5)=-3$ if $5 \mid b ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid b ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid b$ We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.118. $p_{3}=N-p_{1}-15 \hat{\times} p_{2}, 2 \nmid N$
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(3)=1$ if $3 \Lambda N ; \chi(3)=-1$ if $3 \mid N ; \chi(5)=1$ if $5 \Lambda N ; \chi(5)=-3$ if $5 \mid N ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid N$ We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.119. $p_{4}=p_{1}+3 \hat{\times} p_{2}+5 \hat{\times} p_{3}+b, 2 \mid b$
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(3)=1$ if $3 \mid b ; \chi(3)=-1$ if $3 \wedge b ; \chi(5)=3$ if $5 \mid b ; \chi(5)=-1$ if $5 \nmid b ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \mid b ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid b$
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{6 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.120. $p_{4}=N-p_{1}-3 \hat{\times} p_{2}-5 \hat{\times} p_{3}, 2 \mid N$
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(3)=1$ if $3 \mid N ; \chi(3)=-1$ if $3 \wedge N ; \chi(5)=3$ if $5 \mid N ; \chi(5)=-1$ if $5 \nmid N ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid N$
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{6 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.121. $p_{4}=p_{1}+5 \hat{\times} p_{2}+5 \hat{\times} p_{3}+b, 2 \mid b$
Since $J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many prime solutions.
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(5)=-13$ if $5 \mid b ; \chi(5)=3$ if $5 \nmid b ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \mid b ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid b$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{6 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.122. $p_{4}=N-p_{1}-5 \hat{\times} p_{2}-5 \hat{\times} p_{3}, 2 \mid N$
Since $J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many prime solutions, as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
For $\hat{T}=1$ we have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(5)=-13$ if $5 \mid N ; \chi(5)=3$ if $5 \nmid N ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid N$; We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{6 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.123. $p_{n}=2 \hat{\times} p_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} p_{n-1} \pm 1$.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{n}(p)=\left|\left\{q_{i} \cdot q_{i}=1, \cdots, p-1, i=1, \cdots, n-1,\left(2 q_{1} \cdots q_{n-1} \pm 1, p\right)=1\right\}\right|, \\
J_{n}(\omega)=\phi^{(n-2)}(\omega) \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $J_{n}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there must exist infinitely many prime solutions.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. There exist infinitely many primes $p$ for which $\omega \pm 1$ is prime. There exist infinitely many primes $p$ for which $\omega \pm p_{i+1}$ is prime.

Theorem 3.1.124. $\quad p_{n}=\left(2 \hat{\times} p_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} p_{n-1}\right)^{\hat{2}}+1$.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{n}(p)=\left|\left\{q_{i} \cdot q_{i}=1, \cdots, p-1, i=1, \cdots, n-1,\left(\left(2 q_{1} \cdots q_{n-1}\right)^{2}+1, p\right)=1\right\}\right| \\
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $H(p)=2(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=1 ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{2(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. There exist infinitely many primes $p$ for which $\omega^{2}+1$ is prime. There exist infinitely many primes $p$ for which $\omega^{2} \pm p_{i+1}$ is prime.

Theorem 3.1.125. $p_{n}=\left(2 \hat{\times} p_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} p_{n-1}\right)^{\hat{3}}+b, b=3,5,7,11,13$.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=3(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $b^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $b^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, and $p \mid b ; H(p)=(p-1)^{n-2}$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{3(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.126. $\quad p_{n}=\left(2 \hat{\times} p_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} p_{n-1}\right)^{\hat{4}}+1$.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
J_{n}(p)=\left|\left\{q_{i} \cdot q_{i}=1, \cdots, p-1, i=1, \cdots, n-1,\left(\left(2 q_{1} \cdots q_{n-1}\right)^{4}+1, p\right)=1\right\}\right| \\
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $H(p)=4(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 8) ; H(p)=0$ if $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{4(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.127. $p_{n}=\left(2 \hat{\times} p_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} p_{n-1}\right)^{\hat{4}}+b, b=3,5,7,9,11,13$.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=4(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $b^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \equiv(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $b^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \not \equiv$ $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}(\bmod p) ; H(p)=2(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $\left(\frac{-b}{p}\right)=1$ and $4 \Lambda(p-1) ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{-b}{p}\right)=-1,4 X(p-1)$ and $p \mid b$.
${ }^{p}$ We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{4(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.128. $p_{n}=\left(2 \hat{\times} p_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} p_{n-1}\right)^{\hat{5}}+b, b=3,5,7,9,11,13$.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=5(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $b^{\frac{p-1}{5}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $b^{\frac{p-1}{5}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ and $p \mid b ; H(p)=(p-1)^{n-2}$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{5(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.129. $p_{n}=\left(2 \hat{\times} p_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} p_{n-1}\right)^{\hat{8}}+1$.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=8(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 16) ; H(p)=0$ if $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{8(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.130. $p_{n}=\left(2 \hat{\times} p_{1} \hat{\times} \cdots \hat{\times} p_{n-1}\right)^{\hat{9}}+b, \quad b=3.5 .7 .9 .11 .13$.
For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=9(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $b^{\frac{p-1}{9}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $b^{\frac{p-1}{g}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ and $p \mid b ; H(p)=(p-1)^{n-2}$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{9(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Taking $n=2$, we have $p_{2}=\left(2 p_{1}\right)^{9}+b$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.

Theorem 3.1.131. $p_{n}=\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{2}-2$.
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=2(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=1 ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=-1$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{2(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.132. $p_{n}=\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{3}-2$.
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=3(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p), H(p)=$ $(p-1)^{n-2}$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{3(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.133. $p_{n}=\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{4}-2$.
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=4(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; H(p)=$ $2(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=1$ and $4 X(p-1) ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=-1$ and $4 X(p-1)$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{4(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Taking $n=2$, we have $p_{2}=p_{1}^{4}-2$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.

Theorem 3.1.134. $p_{n}=\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{5}-2$.
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=5(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{5}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $2^{\frac{p-1}{5}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; H(p)=$ $(p-1)^{n-2}$ otherwise.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{5(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Remark. Taking $n=2$, we have $p_{2}=p_{1}^{5}-2$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.

Theorem 3.1.135. $\quad p_{n}=\frac{\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{p_{0}-1}}{p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}-1}$, where $p_{0}$ is an odd prime.
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=\left(p_{0}-1\right)(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $p \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{0}\right) ; H(p)=0$ if $p \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{0}\right) ; H\left(p_{0}\right)=$ $\left(p_{0}-1\right)^{n-2}$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{(n-1)\left(p_{0}-1\right)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Taking $n=2$, we have $p_{2}=\frac{p_{1}^{p_{0}}-1}{p_{1}-1}$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.

Theorem 3.1.136. $2 p_{n}=\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{2^{m}}+1$,
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=2^{m}(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $p \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right) ; H(p)=0$ if $p \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{m+1}\right)$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{2^{m}(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Taking $n=2$, we have $2 p_{2}=p_{1}^{2^{m}}+1$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.

Theorem 3.1.137. $4 p_{n}=3\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{2}+1$,
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=2(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)=1 ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)=-1 ; H(3)=0$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{2(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Remark. We have $4 p_{2}=3 p_{1}^{2}+1,4 p_{3}=3 p_{2}^{2}+1,4 p_{4}=3 p_{3}^{2}+1$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}, p_{3}$ and $p_{4}$ are primes.

Theorem 3.1.138. $3 p_{n}=\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{2}+2$.
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=2(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)=1 ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{-2}{p}\right)=-1 ; H(3)=0$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{2(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. We have $3 p_{2}=p_{1}^{2}+2,3 p_{3}=p_{2}^{2}+2,3 p_{4}=p_{3}^{2}+2$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}, p_{3}$ and $p_{4}$ are primes.

Theorem 3.1.139. $\quad 4 p_{n}=\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{4}+3$.
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=4(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $3^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $3^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \not \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}(\bmod p)$; $H(p)=2(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)=1$ and $4 \Lambda(p-1) ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)=-1$ and $4 X(p-1) ; H(3)=0$.

We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{4(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. taking $n=2$, we have $4 p_{2}=p_{1}^{4}+3$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.

Theorem 3.1.140. $8 p_{n}=\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{4}+7$.
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=4(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $7^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $7^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \not \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}(\bmod p)$; $H(p)=2(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $\left(\frac{-7}{p}\right)=1$ and $4 \Lambda(p-1) ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{-7}{p}\right)=-1$ and $4 X(p-1) ; H(7)=0$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{4(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Taking $n=2$, we have $8 p_{2}=p_{1}^{4}+7$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.

Theorem 3.1.141. $\quad 5 p_{n}=\left(2 p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{4}+9$.
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=4(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $9^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $9^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \not \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}(\bmod p)$; $H(p)=2(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=1$ and $4 \Lambda(p-1) ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1$ and $4 X(p-1) ; H(3)=0$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{4(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Remark. Taking $n=2$, we have $5 p_{2}=\left(2 p_{1}\right)^{4}+9$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.

Theorem 3.1.142. $10 p_{n}=\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{4}+9$.
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=4(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $9^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $9^{\frac{p-1}{4}} \not \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{4}}(\bmod p)$; $H(p)=2(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=1$ and $4 \Lambda(p-1) ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1$ and $4 X(p-1) ; H(3)=0$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{4(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Remark. Taking $n=2$, we have $10 p_{2}=\left(p_{1}\right)^{4}+9$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is also a prime.

Theorem 3.1.143. $4 p_{n}=\left(p_{1} \cdots p_{n-1}\right)^{2 p_{0}}+3, \quad p_{0}$ being a given odd prime.
We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{n-1}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=2 p_{0}(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $3^{\frac{p-1}{2 p_{0}}} \equiv(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2 p_{0}}}(\bmod p) ; H(p)=0$ if $3^{\frac{p-1}{2 p_{0}}} \not \equiv$ $(-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2 p_{0}}}(\bmod p) ; H(p)=2(p-1)^{n-2}$ if $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)=1$ and $2 p_{0} \Lambda(p-1) ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right)=-1$ and $2 p_{0} X(p-1) ; H(3)=0$.
${ }^{p}$ We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, n)=\frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega}{2 p_{0}(n-1)(n-1)!\phi^{n}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Remark. Taking $n=2$, we have $4 p_{2}=\left(p_{1}\right)^{2 p_{0}}+3$. Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime.

Theorem 3.1.144. $p_{2}=p_{1}^{4}-p_{1}^{2}+1$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=3$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 12) ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 12)$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.145. $p_{3}=2 p_{1}^{2}-p_{2}^{2}$.
We have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=2(p-1)$ if $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=1 ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)=-1$.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.146. $p_{3}=4 p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}$.
We have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2}-H(p)\right] \neq 0,
$$

where $H(p)=2(p-1)$ if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=1 ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1$.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.147. $2 p_{3}=p_{1}^{2}+p_{2}^{2}$.
We have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left[(p-1)^{2}-H(p)\right] \neq 0
$$

where $H(p)=2(p-1)$ if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=1 ; H(p)=0$ if $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)=-1$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.148. $p_{3}=2 \hat{\chi}\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)+3$.

For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(3)=1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 3)=\frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{3} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.149. $p_{2}=a \hat{\times} p_{1}^{\hat{2}}+b \hat{\times} p_{1}+c,(a, b, c)=1,2 \nmid(a+b+c), b^{2}-4 a c$ not a perfect square.

For $\hat{T}=1$, we have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=\left(\frac{b^{2}-4 a c}{p}\right), \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid\left(b^{2}-4 a c\right)$.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.150. $p_{4}=p_{1}^{3}+p_{2}^{3}+p_{3}^{3}$,
We have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=-\frac{(p-1)^{3}+1}{p}$ if $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 3) ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \not \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.151. $p_{4}=p_{1}^{3}+p_{2}^{3}+p_{3}$,
We have

$$
J_{4}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{4}-1}{p}+\chi(p)\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=2 p-1$ if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 3) ; \chi(p)=1$ otherwise.

We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 4)=\frac{J_{4}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{3}}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.152. $p_{2}=\frac{p_{1}\left(p_{1}+1\right)}{2}+1$
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=\left(\frac{-7}{p}\right), \chi(7)=0$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.153. $\quad p_{2}=\frac{p_{1}\left(p_{1}+1\right)}{2}-2$
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=\left(\frac{17}{p}\right), \chi(17)=0$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.154.[5] $p_{2}=10 p_{1}+1, p_{3}=15 p_{1}+2, p_{4}=6 p_{1}+1$
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=3 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, then there must exist infinitely many integers $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ are primes.

We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

$\pi_{4}(N, 2)$ denotes the number of triples of consecutive integers.
From above we have $3 p_{2}+1=30 p_{1}+4=2 p_{3}, 3 p_{2}+2=30 p_{1}+5=5 p_{4}$. So $3 p_{2}, 3 p_{2}+1,3 p_{2}+2$ are product of two distinct primes. We prove that there must exist infinitely many triples of consecutive integers, each being the product of two distinct primes. For examples $213=3 \times 71,214=2 \times 107,215=5 \times 43 ; 393=$ $3 \times 131,394=2 \times 197,395=5 \times 79$.

Theorem 3.1.155. $p_{2}=70 p_{1}+1, p_{3}=105 p_{1}+2, p_{4}=42 p_{1}+1$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=18 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

From above we have $3 p_{2}+1=210 p_{1}+4=2 p_{3}, 3 p_{2}+2=210 p_{1}+5=5 p_{4}$. So $3 p_{2}, 3 p_{2}+1,3 p_{2}+2$ are product of two distinct primes. We prove that there must exist infinitely many triples of consecutive integers, each being the product of two distinct primes. For examples $44313=3 \times 14771,44314=2 \times 22157,44315=$ $5 \times 8863 . \pi_{4}(N, 2)$ denotes the number of triples of consecutive integers, $p_{1} \leq N$.

Theorem 3.1.156. $p_{2}=2380 p_{1}+1, p_{3}=2310 p_{1}+1, p_{4}=2244 p_{1}+1$
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=-2$ if $p=3,5,7,11,17 ; \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many integers $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ are primes.

We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

From above we have $33 p_{2}+1=34 p_{3}, 33 p_{2}+2=35 p_{4}$. So $33 p_{2}, 33 p_{2}+1,33 p_{2}+2$ are product of three distinct primes. We have prove that there must exist infinitely many triples of consecutive integers, each being the product of three distinct primes. For example, $1727913=3 \times 11 \times 52361,1727914=2 \times 17 \times 50821,1727915=$ $5 \times 7 \times 49369$. $\pi_{4}(N, 2)$ denotes the number of triples of consecutive integers, $p_{1} \leq N$.

Theorem 3.1.157. Taking $m_{1}=1727913=3 \times 11 \times 52361, m_{2}=m_{1}+1=$ $1727914=2 \times 17 \times 50821, m_{3}=m_{1}+2=1727915=5 \times 7 \times 49369$. $m$ is least common multiple of integers $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$, that is $m=\operatorname{lcm}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}\right)=2 \times 3 \times$ $5 \times 7 \times 11 \times 17 \times 49369 \times 50821 \times 52361=m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}$.

We define the Diophantine equations

$$
p_{2}=\frac{2 m}{m_{1}} p_{1}+1, p_{3}=\frac{2 m}{m_{2}} p_{1}+1, p_{4}=\frac{2 m}{m_{3}} p_{1}+1
$$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=-2$ if $p \mid m, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many integers $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ are primes.

We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

From above we have $m_{1} p_{2}+1=2 m p_{1}+m_{1}+1=m_{2} p_{3}, m_{1} p_{2}+2=2 m p_{1}+m_{1}+2=$ $m_{3} p_{4}$ So $m_{1} p_{2}, m_{1} p_{2}+1, m_{1} p_{2}+2$ are product of four distinct primes. We have prove that there must exist infinitely many triples of consecutive integers, each being the product of four distinct primes. $\pi_{4}(N, 2)$ denotes the number of triples of consecutive integers, $p_{1} \leq N$.

Theorem 3.1.158. Suppose that $m_{1}, m_{2}=m_{1}+1, m_{3}=m_{1}+2$ are three consecutive integers, each being the product of $k-1$ distinct primes. $m$ is least common multiple of the integers $m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$, that is $m=m_{1} m_{2} m_{3}$

We define the Diophantine equations

$$
p_{2}=\frac{2 m}{m_{1}} p_{1}+1, p_{3}=\frac{2 m}{m_{2}} p_{1}+1, p_{4}=\frac{2 m}{m_{3}} p_{1}+1
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=-2$ if $p \mid m, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many integers $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ are primes.

We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

From above we have $m_{1} p_{2}+1=2 m p_{1}+m_{1}+1=m_{2} p_{3}, m_{1} p_{2}+2=2 m p_{1}+m_{1}+2=$ $m_{3} p_{4}$. So $m_{1} p_{2}, m_{1} p_{2}+1, m_{1} p_{2}+2$ are product of $k$ distinct primes. We prove that there exist infinitely many triples of consecutive integers, each being the product of $k$ distinct primes. $\pi_{4}(N, 2)$ denotes the number of triples of consecutive integers, $p_{1}$ such that $p_{1} \leq N$.

Now we study the Carmichael numbers. By definition[5], the Carmichael numbers are the composites $n$ such that $a^{n-1} \equiv 1(\bmod n)$ for every integer $a, 1<a<n$, such that $a$ is relatively prime to $n$. In 1939, Chernick gave the following method to obtain Carmichael numbers. Let $m \geq 1$ and $M_{3}(m)=(6 m+1)(12 m+1)(18 m+1)$. If $m$ is such that all three factors above are primes, then $M_{3}(m)$ is Carmichael number. This yields Carmichael numbers with three prime factors. Hitherto it is not even known if there exist infinitely many Carmichael numbers, which are product of three primes.

If $k \geq 4, m \geq 1$, let

$$
M_{k}(m)=(6 m+1)(12 m+1) \prod_{i=1}^{k-2}\left(9 \times 2^{i} m+1\right)
$$

If $m$ is such that all $k$ factors are primes, then $M_{k}(m)$ is a Carmichael number with $k$ prime factors.

Theorem 3.1.159. $p_{1}=6 m+1, p_{2}=12 m+1, p_{3}=18 m+1$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many integers $m$ such that $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ are primes.

We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N}(1+O(1))
$$

$\pi_{4}(N, 2)$ denotes the number of Carmichael numbers $m$ such that $m \leq N$.
We have proved that there exist infinitely many Carmichael numbers, which are product of exactly three primes.

Theorem 3.1.160. $p_{1}=6 m+1, p_{2}=12 m+1, p_{3}=18 m+1 p_{4}=36 m+1$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many integers $m$ such that $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}$ are primes.

We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N}(1+O(1))
$$

$\pi_{5}(N, 2)$ denotes the number of Carmichael numbers $m$ such that $m \leq N$.
We have proved that there exist infinitely many Carmichael numbers, which are product of exactly three primes.

Theorem 3.1.161. $p_{1}=6 m+1, p_{2}=12 m+1, p_{3}=18 m+1 p_{4}=36 m+1, p_{5}=$ $72 m+1$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=12 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many integers $m$ such that $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}, p_{5}$ are primes.

We have

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{\phi^{6}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1))
$$

$\pi_{6}(N, 2)$ denotes the number of Carmichael numbers $m$ such that $m \leq N$.
We have proved that there exist infinitely many Carmichael numbers, which are product of exactly five primes.

Theorem 3.1.162. $p_{1}+\cdots+p_{m}=p_{m+1}+\cdots+p_{2 m}, p_{i} \leq N, i=1, \cdots, 2 m-$ $1, m>1$.

We have

$$
J_{2 m}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(\frac{(p-1)^{2 m}-1}{p}+1\right) \neq 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{2}(N, 2 m)=\frac{J_{2 m}(\omega) \omega}{(2 m-1)!\phi^{2 m}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2 m-1}}{\log ^{2 m} N}(1+O(1)) \\
=\frac{2}{(2 m-1)!} \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1+\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2 m-1}}\right) \frac{N^{2 m-1}}{\log ^{2 m} N}(1+O(1)) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 3.1.163. Every positive integer $m$ may be written in finitely many ways in the form[5]:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2} \pm 1}{p_{1} \pm 1},
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are odd primes.
From above we have the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1} \pm(m-1) .
$$

Both have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid m(m-1)} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every positive integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{\phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.164. Every positive integer $m$ may be written in finitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}-1}{p_{1}+1},
$$

$p_{1}, p_{2}$ being odd primes.
From above we have the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}+m+1
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid m(m+1)} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every positive integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{\phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Taking $m=1$, we have $p_{2}=p_{1}+2$. It is the twin primes theorem.
Theorem 3.1.165. Every positive integer $m$ may be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}+1}{p_{1}^{2}-1}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are odd primes.
From above we have the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{2}-m-1
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=\left(\frac{m(m+1)}{p}\right) ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \mid m(m+1)$.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every positive integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Theorem 3.1.166. Every positive integer $m>1$ may be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}-1}{p_{1}^{2}-1}
$$

$p_{1}, p_{2}$ being odd primes.
From above we have the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{2}-m+1
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=\left(\frac{m(m-1)}{p}\right) ; \chi(p)=-1$ if $p \mid m(m-1)$.
We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{2 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every positive integer $m>1$.

Theorem 3.1.167. Every positive integer $m$ may be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2} \pm 1}{p_{1}^{3} \mp 1}
$$

$p_{1}, p_{2}$ being odd primes.
We define The Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{3} \mp(m+1)
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=3$ if $\left[m^{2}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{2}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \not \equiv$ $1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1) ; \chi(p)=1$ otherwise.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every positive integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{3 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{l_{0}^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{3}$, we have $\chi(p)=3$ if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{3}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.168. Every positive integer $m>1$ may be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}-1}{p_{1}^{4}-1}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are odd primes.
We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{4}-m+1
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=2,4$ if $\left[m^{3}(m-1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{3}(m-1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv$ $1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m-1)$;

Since $J_{4}(\omega) \neq 0$ there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every positive integer $m>1$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{b}$, we have $\chi(p)=b=2,4$, if $(m-1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.169. Every positive integer $m$ may be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}+1}{p_{1}^{4}-1},
$$

$p_{1}, p_{2}$ being odd primes.
We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{4}-m-1 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=2,4$ if $\left[m^{3}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{3}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv$ $1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1)$;

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every positive integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{4 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{b}$, we have $\chi(p)=b=2,4$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.170. Every positive integer $m$ may be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2} \pm 1}{p_{1}^{5} \mp 1}
$$

$p_{1}, p_{2}$ being odd primes.
We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{5} \mp(m+1) .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=5$ if $\left[m^{4}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{5}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{4}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{5}} \not \equiv$ $1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1), \chi(p)=1$ otherwise.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every positive integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{5 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{5}$, we have $\chi(p)=5$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{5}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.171. Every positive integer $m$ may be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}+1}{p_{1}^{6}-1},
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ are odd primes.
We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{6}-m-1 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=2,6$ if $\left[m^{5}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{5}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv$ $1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1)$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every positive integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{6 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{6} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{b}$, we have $\chi(p)=b=2,6$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.172. Every integer $m$ may be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}+1}{p_{1}^{8}-1},
$$

$p_{1}, p_{2}$ being odd primes.
We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{8}-m-1 .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=2,4,8$ if $\left[m^{7}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{7}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv$ $1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1)$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{8 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{b}$, we have $\chi(p)=b=2,4,8$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.173. Every integer $m$ may be written in finitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2} \pm 1}{p_{1}^{9} \mp 1},
$$

$p_{1}, p_{2}$ being odd primes.
We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{9} \mp(m+1) .
$$

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=3,9$ if $\left[m^{8}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{8}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv$ $1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1) ; \chi(p)=1$ otherwise.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{9 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{b}$, we have $\chi(p)=b=3,9$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.174. Every integer $m$ may be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}+1}{p_{1}^{12}-1}
$$

$p_{1}, p_{2}$ being odd primes.
We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{12}-m-1
$$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=2,4,6,12$ if $\left[m^{11}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{11}(m+\right.$ 1) $]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1)$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{12 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{b}$, we have $\chi(p)=b=2,4,6,12$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.175. Every integer $m$ may be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2} \mp 1}{p_{1}^{15} \pm 1},
$$

$p_{1}, p_{2}$ being odd primes.
We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{15} \pm(m+1) .
$$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=3,5,15$ if $\left[m^{14}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$; $\chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{14}(m+\right.$ 1)] ${ }^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1) ; \chi(p)=1$ otherwise.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{15 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{b}$, we have $\chi(p)=b=3,5,15$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.176. Every integer $m$ can be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}+1}{p_{1}^{16}-1}
$$

$p_{1}, p_{2}$ being odd primes.

We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{16}-m-1
$$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=2,4,8,16$ if $\left[m^{15}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{15}(m+\right.$ $1)]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1)$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{16 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{b}$, we have $\chi(p)=b=2,4,8,16$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.177. Every integer $m$ can be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}+1}{p_{1}^{18}-1}
$$

$p_{1}, p_{2}$ being odd primes.
We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{18}-m-1
$$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=2,4,8,18$ if $\left[m^{17}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{17}(m+\right.$ 1) $]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1)$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{18 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{b}$, we have $\chi(p)=b=2,6,18$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.178. Every integer $m$ can be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}+1}{p_{1}^{24}-1}
$$

We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{24}-m-1
$$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=2,4,6,8,12,24$ if $\left[m^{23}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{23}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1)$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{24 \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Let $m=f^{b}$, we have $\chi(p)=b=2,4,6,8,12,24$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.179. Every integer $m$ can be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2} \mp 1}{p_{1}^{d} \pm 1},
$$

$d$ being a given odd prime.
We define the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{d} \pm(m+1) .
$$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=d$ if $\left[m^{d-1}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{d}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{d-1}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv$ $1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1) ; \chi(p)=1$ otherwise.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ there are infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{d \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1)) .
$$

Remark. Taking $m=f^{d}$, we have $\chi(p)=d$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{d}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.180. Every integer $m$ can be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2} \mp 1}{p_{1}^{d_{1} d_{2}} \pm 1}
$$

where $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are the given odd primes.
We have the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{d_{1} d_{2}} \pm(m+1) .
$$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{1} d_{2}$ if $\left[m^{d_{1} d_{2}-1}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{d_{1} d_{2}-1}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1) ; \chi(p)=1$ otherwise.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every integer $m$.

We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{d_{1} d_{2} \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

Remark. Taking $m=f^{b}$ we have $\chi(p)=b=d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{1} d_{2}$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.181. Every integer $m$ can be written in infinitely many ways in the form:

$$
m=\frac{p_{2}+1}{p_{1}^{2 d}-1} .
$$

where $d$ is a given odd prime.
We have the Diophantine equation

$$
p_{2}=m p_{1}^{2 d}-m-1 .
$$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=b=2,2 d$ if $\left[m^{2 d-1}(m+1)\right]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$; $\chi(p)=0$ if $\left[m^{2 d-1}(m+\right.$ $1)]^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \not \equiv 1(\bmod p) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid m(m+1)$.

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there must exist infinitely many primes $p_{1}$ such that $p_{2}$ is a prime for every integer $m$.

We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{2 d \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}(1+O(1))
$$

An equation is correct but it does not convey the more precise information.
$O(1)$ tells us that the asymptotic value of $\pi_{2}(N, 2)$ is $\frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{2 d \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N}$.
Remark. Taking $m=f^{b}$, we have $\chi(p)=b=2,2 d$, if $(m+1)^{\frac{p-1}{b}} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$.
Theorem 3.1.182. The prime 3-tuples.
(1) $p+a, a=0,2,4$.

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(3)=0
$$

There are no prime 3 -tuples if $p \neq 3$
(2) $p_{1}+a p_{2} \cdots p_{n-1}$.

We have

$$
J_{n}(3)=0
$$

There are no prime 3 -tuples if $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n-1} \neq 3$
(3) $p+3 a$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many prime 3 -tuples. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of the prime 3 -tuples

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 3) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N}
$$

(4) $p_{1}+3 a p_{2} \cdots p_{n-1}$.

We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\phi^{n-2}(\omega) J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{n}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many prime 3 -tuples. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of the prime 3 -tuples

$$
\pi_{3}(N, n) \sim \frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{(n-1)!\phi^{n+1}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n+1} N}
$$

Theorem 3.1.183. The prime 5-tuples.
(1) $p+a, a=0,2,6,8,14$.

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(5)=0
$$

There are no prime 5 -tuples if $p \neq 5$
(2) $p_{1}+a p_{2} \cdots p_{n-1}$.

We have

$$
J_{n}(5)=0 .
$$

There are no prime 5 -tuples if $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n-1} \neq 5$
(3) $p+5 a$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=12 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many prime 5 -tuples. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of the prime 5 -tuples

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 3) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{5} N} .
$$

(4) $p_{1}+5 a p_{2} \cdots p_{n-1}$.

We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\phi^{n-2}(\omega) J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{n}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many prime 5 -tuples. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of the prime 5 -tuples

$$
\pi_{5}(N, n) \sim \frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{(n-1)!\phi^{n+3}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n+3} N}
$$

Theorem 3.1.184. The prime 7 -tuples.
(1) $p+a, a=0,4,6,10,12,16,22$.

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(7)=0 .
$$

There are no prime 7 -tuples if $p \neq 7$
(2) $p_{1}+a p_{2} \cdots p_{n-1}$.

We have

$$
J_{n}(7)=0 .
$$

There are no prime 7 -tuples if $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n-1} \neq 7$
(3) $p+7 a$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=30 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many prime 7 -tuples. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of the prime 7 -tuples

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{7} N} .
$$

(4) $p_{1}+7 a p_{2} \cdots p_{n-1}$.

We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\phi^{n-2}(\omega) J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{n}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many prime 7 -tuples. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of the prime 7-tuples

$$
\pi_{7}(N, n) \sim \frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{(n-1)!\phi^{n+5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n+5} N}
$$

Theorem 3.1.185. The prime 11-tuples.
(1) $p+a, a=0,2,6,8,12,18,20,26,32,36,60$.

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(11)=0
$$

There are no prime 11-tuples if $p \neq 11$
(2) $p_{1}+a p_{2} \cdots p_{n-1}$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(11)=0
$$

There are no prime 11-tuples if $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n-1} \neq 11$
(3) $p+11 a$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=400 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many prime 11-tuples. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of the prime 11-tuples

$$
\pi_{11}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{10}}{\phi^{11}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{11} N}
$$

(4) $p_{1}+11 a p_{2} \cdots p_{n-1}$.

We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\phi^{n-2}(\omega) J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{n}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many prime 11-tuples. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of the prime 11-tuples

$$
\pi_{11}(N, n) \sim \frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega^{10}}{(n-1)!\phi^{n+9}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n+9} N}
$$

Theorem 3.1.186. The prime 13-tuples.
(1) $p+a, a=0,4,6,10,16,18,24,28,34,40,46,48,90$.

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(13)=0 .
$$

There are no prime 13 -tuples if $p \neq 13$
(2) $p_{1}+a p_{2} \cdots p_{n-1}$.

We have

$$
J_{n}(13)=0
$$

There are no prime 13 -tuples if $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n-1} \neq 13$
(3) $p+13 a$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=216 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many prime 13 -tuples. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of the prime 13 -tuples

$$
\pi_{13}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{12}}{\phi^{13}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{13} N}
$$

(4) $p_{1}+13 a p_{2} \cdots p_{n-1}$.

We have

$$
J_{n}(\omega)=\phi^{n-2}(\omega) J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{n}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many prime 13 -tuples. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of the prime 13 -tuples

$$
\pi_{1} 3(N, n) \sim \frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega^{12}}{(n-1)!\phi^{n+11}(\omega)} \frac{N^{n-1}}{\log ^{n+11} N}
$$

Theorem 3.1.187. The prime $k$-tuples.
(1) $p+a, a=0,2,6,12,14,20,24,26,30,42,44,50,56,62,66,72,86$.

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(17)=0 .
$$

There are no prime 17 -tuples if $p \neq 17$
(2) $p+a, a=0,4,10,12,18,22,24,28,34,40,52,54,64,70,82,84,112,144$, 172.

We have

$$
J_{2}(19)=0
$$

There are no prime 19-tuples if $p \neq 19$.
(3) $p+a, a=0,6,8,14,18,20,24,30,36,38,44,48,50,56,74,78,80,86,104$, $108,114,134,210$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(23)=0
$$

There are no prime 23 -tuples if $p \neq 23$.
(4) $p+a, a=0,2,8,12,14,18,24,30,, 32,38,42,44,50,54,68,74,78,80,84$, $98,110,120,122,144,150,152,162,164,288$.
We have

$$
J_{2}(29)=0 .
$$

There are no prime 29-tuples if $p \neq 29$.
Theorem 3.1.188. $\quad p_{j}=m^{j} p \pm n^{j},(m, n)=1,2 \mid m n$, for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$. We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) .
$$

We now calculate $\chi(p)$.The smallest positive integer $S$ satisfies the congruence

$$
n^{S} \equiv m^{S}(\bmod p) .
$$

$\chi(p)=k$ if $k<S ; \chi(p)=S+1$ if $k \geq S ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid m n ; J_{2}(p)=0$ if $S=p-1$.
We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of the prime 13-tuples

$$
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N}
$$

Theorem 3.1.189. $\quad p_{j}=m^{2 j} p \pm n^{2 j},(m, n)=1,2 \mid m n$, for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

We now calculate $\chi(p)$.The smallest positive integer $S$ satisfies the congruence

$$
n^{2 S} \equiv m^{2 S}(\bmod p)
$$

$\chi(p)=k$ if $k<S ; \chi(p)=S+1$ if $k \geq S ; \chi(p)=1$ if $p \mid m n ; J_{2}(p)=0$ if $S=p-1$.
Since $S \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$, we have $J_{2}(p) \geq \frac{p-1}{2}$. There exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes for any $k$.

We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{k}(N, n) \sim \frac{J_{n}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N}
$$

Theorem 3.1.190. $\quad p_{j}=16^{j}\left(p^{2}-1\right)+1$ for $j=1, \cdots, k-1$.

We define the smallest positive integer $S$ such that

$$
16^{S} \equiv 1(\bmod p)
$$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-n-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{16^{j}-1}{p}\right) \neq 0\right.
$$

$n=k$ if $k<S ; n=S$ if $k \geq S$.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{k}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{2^{k-1} \phi^{k}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N}
$$

Theorem 3.1.191. $p_{3}=p_{1}+p_{2}+1, p_{4}=p_{1}+p_{2}+3$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{3}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-4 p+5\right) \neq 0
$$

We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{4} N}
$$

Theorem 3.1.192. $\quad p_{3}=p_{1}+p_{2}+1, p_{4}=p_{1}+p_{2}+3, p_{5}=p_{1}+p_{2}+7$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=140 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-5 p+7\right) \neq 0
$$

We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 3) \sim \frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{5} N}
$$

Theorem 3.1.193. $\quad p_{3}=p_{1}+p_{2}+1, p_{4}=p_{1}+p_{2}+3, p_{5}=p_{1}+p_{2}+7, p_{6}=$ $p_{1}+p_{2}+9$.

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=60 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-6 p+9\right) \neq 0
$$

We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{6}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{6} N}
$$

Theorem 3.1.194. $\quad p_{3}=p_{1}+p_{2}+1, p_{4}=N-p_{1}-p_{2}, 2 \nmid N$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p^{2}-3 p+3-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0,
$$

where $\chi(p)=0$ if $p \mid(N+1) ; \chi(p)=p-1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=p-2$ if $p \nmid N$.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 3) \sim \frac{J_{3}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N^{2}}{\log ^{4} N}
$$

## 4. A disproof of the Riemann's hypothesis

Let $s=\sigma+t i$, where $\sigma$ and $t$ are real, $i=\sqrt{-1}$. We have the Riemann's zeta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s)=\prod_{p} \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{p^{s}}}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ ranges over all primes.
In 1859 Riemann [7] stated that nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ all lie on the line $\sigma=1 / 2$ called the Riemann's hypothesis. In 1990 Hilbert listed the problem of proving or disproving the Riemann's hypothesis as one of the most important problems confronting twentieth century mathematicians. To this day it remains unsolved. The arithmetic (sifting) function $J_{n}(\omega)$ is able to take the place of the Riemann's hypothesis and the generalized Riemann's hypothesis.

Theorem 4.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s) \neq 0,0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof . From (4.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\zeta(s)}=\prod_{p}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right)=R e^{\theta i} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\prod_{p} R_{p}, \quad R_{p}=\sqrt{1-\frac{2 \cos (t \log p)}{p^{\sigma}}+\frac{1}{p^{2 \sigma}}}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\sum_{p} \theta_{p}, \quad \theta_{p}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sin (t \log p)}{p^{\sigma}-\cos (t \log p)} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\zeta(s)=0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \zeta(s)=0$ and $\operatorname{Im} \zeta(s)=0$, that is $R=\infty$. From (4.4) we have that if $\cos (t \log p) \leq 0$ then $R_{p}>1$ and if $\cos (t \log p)>0$ then $R_{p}<1$.

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left(t \log p_{1}\right)>0, \cdots, \cos \left(t \log p_{2}\right)>0, \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $p_{2}<e^{\frac{\pi}{t}} p_{1}$ and $t \log p_{2}<t \log p_{1}+\pi$.
If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left(t \log p_{1}\right)<0, \cdots, \cos \left(t \log p_{2}\right)<0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $p_{2}<e^{\frac{\pi}{t}} p_{1}$ and $t \log p_{2}<t \log p_{1}+\pi$.
$\cos (t \log p)$ is independent of the real part $\sigma$, but may well depend on prime $p$ and imaginary part $t$. We write $m_{+}(t)$ for the number of primes $p$ satisfying $\cos (t \log p)>$ $0, m_{-}(t)$ for the number of primes $p$ satisfying $\cos (t \log p) \leq 0$.

For $\cos (t \log p)>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1>R_{p}(1+t i)>R_{p}(0.5+t i) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m_{+}\left(t_{1}\right)$ is much greater than $m_{-}\left(t_{1}\right)$ such that $R\left(0.5+t_{1} i\right)=\min$. From (4.4), (4.5) and (4.8) we have for given $t_{1}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\min R\left(\sigma_{1}+t_{1} i\right)>\min R\left(1+t_{1} i\right)>\min R\left(0.5+t_{1} i\right)>\min R\left(\sigma_{2}+t_{1} i\right) \rightarrow 0,  \tag{4.9}\\
\theta\left(\sigma_{1}+t_{1} i\right)=\theta\left(1+t_{1} i\right)=\theta\left(0.5+t_{1} i\right)=\theta\left(\sigma_{2}+t_{1} i\right)=\text { const }, \tag{4.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}>1$ and $0<\sigma_{2}<0.5$.
Since $|\zeta(s)|=\frac{1}{R}$ from (4.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left|\zeta\left(\sigma_{1}+t_{1} i\right)\right|<\max \left|\zeta\left(1+t_{1} i\right)\right|<\max \left|\zeta\left(0.5+t_{1} i\right)\right|<\max \left|\zeta\left(\sigma_{2}+t_{1} i\right)\right| \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\cos (t \log p)<0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<R_{p}(0.5+t i)<R_{p}(0.4+t i)<R_{p}(0.3+t i) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m_{-}\left(t_{1}\right)$ is much greater than $m_{+}\left(t_{1}\right)$ such that $R\left(0.5+t_{1} i\right)=\max$.
From (4.4), (4.5) and (4.12) we have for given $t_{1}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\max R\left(\sigma_{1}+t_{1} i\right)<\max R\left(0.5+t_{1} i\right)<\max R\left(0.4+t_{1} i\right) \\
<\max R\left(0.3+t_{1} i\right)<\max R\left(\sigma_{2}+t_{1} i\right) \neq \infty  \tag{4.13}\\
\theta\left(\sigma_{1}+t_{1} i\right)=\theta\left(0.5+t_{1} i\right)=\theta\left(0.4+t_{1} i\right)=\theta\left(0.3+t_{1} i\right)=\theta\left(\sigma_{2}+t_{1} i\right)=\text { const, } \tag{4.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}>0.5$ and $0<\sigma_{2}<0.3$.

Since $|\zeta(s)|=\frac{1}{R}$ from (4.13) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\min \left|\zeta\left(\sigma_{1}+t_{1} i\right)\right|>\min \left|\zeta\left(0.5+t_{1} i\right)\right|>\min \left|\zeta\left(0.4+t_{1} i\right)\right| \\
\quad>\min \left|\zeta\left(0.3+t_{1} i\right)\right|>\min \left|\zeta\left(\sigma_{2}+t_{1} i\right)\right| \neq 0 . \tag{4.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\zeta(s)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{(-s / 2)} \Gamma(s / 2) \zeta(s)=\pi^{(-(1-s) / 2)} \Gamma((1-s) / 2) \zeta(1-s) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(t i) \neq 0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We disprove again the Riemann's hypothesis. We define the Riemann's zeta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s)=\prod_{p}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\sigma+t i, i=\sqrt{-1}, \sigma$ and $t$ are real, $p$ ranges over all primes. We have the exponential formula of the Riemann's zeta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s)=R e^{i \theta} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
R=\prod_{p} R_{p}, \quad R_{p}=\frac{p^{\sigma}}{\sqrt{p^{2 \sigma}+1-2 p^{\sigma} \cos (t \log p)}} .  \tag{4.20}\\
\theta=\sum_{p} \theta_{p}, \quad \theta_{p}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sin (t \log p)}{\cos (t \log p)-p^{\sigma}} . \tag{4.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

We define the beta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(s)=\prod_{p}\left(1+p^{-s}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^{s}}, \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda(1)=1, \lambda(n)=(-1)^{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{k}}$ if $n=p_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots p_{k}^{a_{k}}$.
We have the exponential formula of the beta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(s)=\bar{R} e^{i \bar{\theta}} . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{R}=\prod_{p} \bar{R}_{p}, \quad \bar{R}_{p}=\frac{p^{\sigma}}{\sqrt{p^{2 \sigma}+1+2 p^{\sigma} \cos (t \log p)}} .  \tag{4.24}\\
\bar{\theta}=\sum_{p} \bar{\theta}_{p}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{p}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sin (t \log p)}{\cos (t \log p)+p^{\sigma}} . \tag{4.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (4.18) and (4.22) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(2 s)=\zeta(s) \beta(s) \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\zeta(s)$ and $\beta(s)$ have the same property, see (4.20) and (4.24).
Theorem 4.2 In 1896 J. Hadamard and C.J.de la Vallee Poussion proved independently $\zeta(1+t i) \neq 0$. From (4.26) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\zeta(1+2 t i)|=\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t i\right)\right|\left|\beta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t i\right)\right| \neq 0 \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.27) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t i\right)\right| \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\beta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t i\right)\right| \neq 0 \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t i\right)\right| \neq \infty \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t i\right)\right| \neq 0 \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\zeta(s)$ and $\beta(s)$ are the dual functions. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\beta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t i\right)\right| \neq \infty \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t i\right)\right| \neq 0 \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.3 For $\sigma>1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \zeta(s)=\sum_{p} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} p^{-m \sigma} \exp (-i t m \log p) \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\zeta(s)$ had a zero at $\frac{1}{2}+t i$, then $\log |\zeta(\sigma+t i)|$ would tend to $-\infty$ as $\sigma$ tends to $\frac{1}{2}$ from the right.

From (4.33) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |\zeta(s)|=\sum_{p} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} p^{-m \sigma} \cos (t m \log p) \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $t$ replaced by $0, t, 2 t, \cdots, H t$. It gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{H-1}\binom{2 H}{j} \log |\zeta(\sigma+(H-j) t i)|+\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 H}{H} \log \zeta(\sigma)=\sum_{p} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-1} p^{-m \sigma} A \geq 0 \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum_{j=0}^{H-1}\binom{2 H}{j} \cos ((H-j) t m \log p)+\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 H}{H}=2^{H-1}[1+\cos (t m \log p)]^{H} \geq 0 \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ is an even number.
From (4.35) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\zeta(\sigma))^{\frac{1}{2}\binom{2 H}{H}} \prod_{j=0}^{H-1}|\zeta(\sigma+(H-j) t i)|^{\binom{2 H}{j}} \geq 1 \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+e t i\right)\right| \neq \infty[8]$, where $e=1,2, \cdots, H$, from (4.37) we have $\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+e t i\right)\right| \neq 0$ for sufficiently large even number $H$.
$\operatorname{Min} \left\lvert\, \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t i\right) \approx 0\right.$ but $\neq 0$. The computation of all nontrivial zeros of $\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+t i\right)$ is an error, which satisfies the Riemann's error hypothesis[9].

From (4.36) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\cos 2 \theta+4 \cos \theta+3=2(1+\cos \theta)^{2} \\
\cos 4 \theta+8 \cos 3 \theta+28 \cos 2 \theta+56 \cos \theta+35=8(1+\cos \theta)^{4} \\
\cos 6 \theta+12 \cos 5 \theta+66 \cos 4 \theta+220 \cos 3 \theta+495 \cos 2 \theta+792 \cos \theta+462=32(1+\cos \theta)^{6}
\end{gathered}
$$

In the same way we may prove $|\zeta(\sigma+t i)| \neq 0$, where $\sigma \geq 0$. Since the Riemann hypothesis is false, all theorems and all conjectures related to the Riemann hypothesis are also false.

The Riemann hypothesis is a simple simple mathematical problem, which has tantalized many famous mathematicians for 150 years.

## 5. Santilli's Theory for a Table of Primes

Fundamental Theorem in a Table of Prime. If there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that each of $p+a_{j}$, where $j=1,2, \cdots, k-1$, is simultaneously a prime, then $p+a_{j}$ must satisfy two necessary and sufficient conditions:
(I) $p+a_{j}, a_{j}$ is an even number.
(II) There exists an arithmetic function $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ which denotes the number of $k$-tuples of subequations. It is also the number of $k$-tuples of solutions for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{\alpha}, \omega\right)=1,\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(p_{\alpha}+a_{j}\right), \omega\right)=1,1 \leq \alpha \leq \phi(\omega) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.1) defining $J_{2}(\omega)$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{k-1}{\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(p_{\alpha}+a_{j}, \omega\right)}\right]=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(p)$ is the number of solutions of congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(q+a_{j}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p), q=1, \cdots, p-1 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes. If $J_{2}(\omega)=0$, there exist finitely many $k$-tuples of primes. The arithmetic function $J_{2}(\omega)$ is a generalization of Euler function $\phi(\omega)$. We shall obtain exact asymptotic formula by introducing the $J_{2}(\omega)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} L i_{k}(N) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
L i_{k}(N)=\int_{2}^{N} \frac{d x}{(\log x)^{k}}
$$

We not only prove the existence of infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes, but we give that number of $k$-tuples of primes is very accurately calculated by (5.4).

Theorem 5.1. $k$-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}$; where $a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, j=1,2, \cdots, k-1, p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k-1}$ are the consecutive primes in a table of primes.

If $J_{2}\left(p_{0}\right)=0$, there exists one $k$-tuple of primes. If $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes, where $k$ is a finite number.

In order to be understandable, the author needs to have supplied many details below.

Theorem 5.1.1. 2-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{1}, a_{1}=p_{1}-p_{0}, p_{0}=3, p_{1}=5$.

We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0
$$

It is the first theorem in a table of primes. So far, no one has found a proof.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many twin primes. It is the simplest theorem. No one could ever find the "largest" twin prime.
(2) $p, p+a_{1}, a_{1}=p_{1}-p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{1}=11$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{1}, a_{1}=p_{1}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{1}=29$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many prime solutions.

We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{2}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{\phi^{2}(\omega)} L i_{2}(N)
$$

the Theorem 5.1.1 is the subtable of prime numbers.
Theorem 5.1.2. 3 -tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=3, p_{1}=5, p_{2}=7$. We have $J_{2}(3)=0$. There does not exist any 3 -tuple of primes except 3.5.7.
(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{1}=7, p_{2}=11$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{1}=11, p_{2}=13$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{1}=23, p_{2}=29$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=3 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} L i_{3}(N)
$$

The Theorem 5.1.2 is the subtable of prime numbers.
Theorem 5.1.3. 4-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{1}=7, p_{2}=11, p_{3}=13$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{1}=11, p_{2}=13 . p_{3}=17$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{1}=13, p_{2}=17, p_{3}=19$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{1}=17, p_{2}=19, p_{3}=23$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{1}=29, p_{2}=31, p_{3}=37$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{1}=37, p_{2}=41, p_{3}=43$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 4-tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} L i_{4}(N)
$$

The Theorem 5.1.3 is the subtable of prime numbers.
Theorem 5.1.4. 5-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{1}=7, p_{2}=11, p_{3}=13, p_{4}=17$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{j}=11,13,17,19$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17,19,23,29$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 .
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19,23,29,31$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=3 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 .
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37,41,43,47$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} L i_{5}(N)
$$

The Theorem 5.1.4 is the subtable of prime numbers.
Theorem 5.1.5. 6-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{j}=7,11,13,17,19$. We have $J_{2}(3) \neq 0$ and $J_{2}(5)=0$. There does not exist any 6 -tuple of primes except one 6 -tuple of primes: $5,7,11,13,17,19$.
(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{j}=11, \cdots, 23$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 29$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 31$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 37$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=3 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 41$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=12 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 6 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{6}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{\phi^{6}(\omega)} L i_{6}(N)
$$

The Theorem 5.1.5 is the subtable of prime numbers.
Theorem 5.1.6. 7-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{j}=11, \cdots, 29$. We have $J_{2}(3) \neq 0$, $J_{2}(5) \neq 0$ and $J_{2}(7)=0$. It has no 7 -tuples of primes except one 7 -tuples of primes: $7,11,13,17,19,23,29$.
(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 31$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 37$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 41$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=10 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 43$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=10 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 47$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0
$$

(7) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 53$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=5 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0
$$

(8) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 59$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 7 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{7}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} L i_{7}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.7. 8-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 37$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=18 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 41$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 43$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=48 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0 .
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 47$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0 .
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 53$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0 .
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 59$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=5 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 8 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{8}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{7}}{\phi^{8}(\omega)} L i_{8}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.8. 9-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 41$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=15 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 43$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=30 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 47$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=30 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 53$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=144 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0 .
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 59$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0 .
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0
$$

(7) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 67$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=20 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 9-tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{9}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{8}}{\phi^{9}(\omega)} L i_{9}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.9. 10 -tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 43$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=10 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 47$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=128 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, \cdots, 53$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=96 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0 .
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 59$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=96 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0 .
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=630 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 67$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=840 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 10 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{10}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{9}}{\phi^{10}(\omega)} L i_{10}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.10. 11-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 47$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=28 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 53$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=48 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 59$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=63 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=378 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 67$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=540 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 71$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=378 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

(7) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=378 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 11-tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{11}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{10}}{\phi^{11}(\omega)} L i_{11}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.11. 12-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 53$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=21 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 59$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2352 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=288 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 67$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=324 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 71$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=216 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12)
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=288 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

(7) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 79$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=192 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 12 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{12}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{11}}{\phi^{12}(\omega)} L i_{12}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.12. 13-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 59$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=792 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=924 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 67$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=240 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 71$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=192 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=160 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 79$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=120 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0
$$

(7) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 83$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=1540 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 13 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{13}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{12}}{\phi^{13}(\omega)} L i_{13}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.13. 14-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=300 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 67$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=700 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 71$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=140 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=140 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 79$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=64 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 83$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=840 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0
$$

(7) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 89$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=16896 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 14 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{14}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{13}}{\phi^{14}(\omega)} L i_{14}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.14. 15-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 67$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=216 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 71$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4050 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=96 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0 .
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 79$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=56 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0 .
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 83$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=378 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0 .
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 89$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8100 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0
$$

(7) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 97$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=10800 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 15 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{15}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{14}}{\phi^{15}(\omega)} L i_{15}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.15. 16 -tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 71$. We have $J_{2}(p) \neq 0, p=3,5,7$ and $J_{2}(11)=0$, there is no 16 -tuples of primes except one 16 -tuples of primes: $11, \cdots, 71$.
(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2240 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 79$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=52416 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 83$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=288 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 89$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=3024 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 97$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6804 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0
$$

(7) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 101$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6075 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 16 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{16}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{15}}{\phi^{16}(\omega)} L i_{16}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.16. 17-tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 79$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=20475 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 83$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=25200 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 89$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=27040 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 97$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=34944 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17) \neq 0
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 101$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=47040 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17) \neq 0
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 103$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=26880 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 17 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{17}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{16}}{\phi^{17}(\omega)} L i_{17}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.17. 18 -tuples:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 83$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=9408 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-18) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 89$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=28224 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-18) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 97$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=436800 \prod_{41 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-18) \neq 0 .
$$

(4) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 101$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=1820 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-18) \neq 0
$$

(5) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 103$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=203840 \prod_{41 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-18) \neq 0 .
$$

(6) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 107$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=11648 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-18) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 18-tuples of primes.

$$
\pi_{18}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{17}}{\phi^{18}(\omega)} L i_{18}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.18. 22 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 103$. We have $J_{2}(p) \neq 0, p=3,5,7,11$ and $J_{2}(13)=J_{2}(17)=0$, there is no 22 -tuples of primes except one 22 -tuple of primes: $13, \cdots, 103$.

Theorem 5.1.19. 21-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 103$. We have $J_{2}(p) \neq 0, p=3,5,7,11,13$ and $J_{2}(17)=0$, there is no 21-tuples of primes except one 21 -tuples of prime: $17, \cdots, 103$.

Theorem 5.1.20. 19 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 101$. Since $J_{2}(p) \geq 1$, where $p=3, \cdots, 19$, we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many 19 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{19}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{18}}{\phi^{19}(\omega)} L i_{19}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.21. 36 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 191$. We have $J_{2}(p) \neq 0, p=3,5,7,11,13,17$ and $J_{2}(19)=0$, there is no 36 -tuples of primes except one: $19, \cdots, 191$.

Theorem 5.1.22. 23-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 127$. Since $J_{2}(p) \geq 1$, where $p=23, \cdots, 127$, we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ There exist infinitely many 23 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{23}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{22}}{\phi^{23}(\omega)} L i_{23}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.23. 43 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 233$. We have $J_{2}(p) \neq 0, p=3, \cdots, 19$ and $J_{2}(23)=0$, there are no 43 -tuples of primes except one 43 -tuples of primes: $23, \cdots, 233$.

Theorem 5.1.24. 29-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 163$. Since $J_{2}(p) \geq 1$, where $p=3, \cdots, 29$, we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ There exist infinitely many 29 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{29}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{28}}{\phi^{29}(\omega)} L i_{29}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.25. 57-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 317$. We have $J_{2}(p) \neq 0, p=3, \cdots, 23$ and $J_{2}(29)=0$, there are no 57 -tuples of primes except one 57 -tuples of primes: $29, \cdots, 317$.

Theorem 5.1.26. 31-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 179$. Since $J_{2}(p) \geq 1$, where $p=3, \cdots, 31$, we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ There exist infinitely many 31 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{31}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{30}}{\phi^{31}(\omega)} L i_{31}(N) .
$$

Theorem 5.1.27. 75 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 439$. We have $J_{2}(p) \neq 0, p=3, \cdots, 31$ and $J_{2}(37)=0$, there are no 75 -tuples of primes except one 75 -tuples of primes: $31, \cdots, 439$.

Theorem 5.1.28. 37-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=37, p_{j}=41, \cdots, 223$. Since $J_{2}(p) \geq 1$, where $p=3, \cdots, 37$, we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ There exist infinitely many 37 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{37}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{36}}{\phi^{37}(\omega)} L i_{37}(N) .
$$

Theorem 5.1.29. 74 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=37, p_{j}=41, \cdots, 439$. We have $J_{2}(37)=0$, there are no 74 -tuples of primes except one 74 -tuples of primes: $37, \cdots, 439$.

Theorem 5.1.30. 79 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=41, p_{j}=43, \cdots, 467$. We have $J_{2}(43)=0$, there are no 79-tuples of primes except one: $41, \cdots, 467$.

Theorem 5.1.31. 78-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=43, p_{j}=47, \cdots, 467$. We have $J_{2}(43)=0$, there are no 78 -tuples of primes except one: $43, \cdots, 467$.

Theorem 5.1.32. 106 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=47, p_{j}=53, \cdots, 659$. We have $J_{2}(53)=0$, there are no 106 -tuples of primes except one: $47, \cdots, 659$.

Theorem 5.1.33. 105-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=53, p_{j}=59, \cdots, 659$. We have $J_{2}(53)=0$, there are no 105 -tuples of primes except one: $53, \cdots, 659$.

Theorem 5.1.34. 111-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=59, p_{j}=61, \cdots, 709$. We have $J_{2}(59)=0$, there are no 111 -tuples of primes except one: $59, \cdots, 709$.

Theorem 5.1.35. 133-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=61, p_{j}=67, \cdots, 863$. We have $J_{2}(71)=0$, there are no 153 -tuples of primes except one: $61, \cdots, 863$.

Theorem 5.1.36. 152 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=67, p_{j}=71, \cdots, 1013$. We have $J_{2}(67)=0$, there are no 152 -tuples of primes except one: $67, \cdots, 1013$.

Theorem 5.1.37. 197-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=71, p_{j}=73, \cdots, 1321$. We have $J_{2}(71)=0$, there are no 197 -tuples of primes except one: $71, \cdots, 1321$.

Theorem 5.1.38. 195-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=73, p_{j}=79, \cdots, 1319$. We have $J_{2}(79)=0$, there are no 195 -tuples of primes except one: $73, \cdots, 1319$.

Theorem 5.1.39. 194 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=79, p_{j}=83, \cdots, 1319$. We have $J_{2}(79)=0$, there are no 194 -tuples of primes except one: $79, \cdots, 1319$.

Theorem 5.1.40. 239-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=83, p_{j}=89, \cdots, 1663$. We have $J_{2}(83)=0$, there are no 239 -tuples of primes except one: $89, \cdots, 1663$.

Theorem 5.1.41. 216-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=89, p_{j}=97, \cdots, 1499$. We have $J_{2}(97)=0$, there are no 216 -tuples of primes except one: $89, \cdots, 1499$.

Theorem 5.1.42. 215-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=97, p_{j}=103, \cdots, 1499$. We have $J_{2}(97)=0$, there are no 215 -tuples of primes except one: $97, \cdots, 1499$.

Theorem 5.1.43. 273-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=101, p_{j}=$ $103, \cdots, 1973$. We have $J_{2}(103)=0$, there are no 273 -tuples of primes except one: 101, $\cdots, 1973$.

Theorem 5.1.44. 272-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=103, p_{j}=$ $107, \cdots, 1973$. We have $J_{2}(103)=0$, there are no 272 -tuples of primes except one: 103, $\cdots, 1973$.

Theorem 5.1.45. $10^{4}$-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, j=1, \cdots, 9999, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=$ $9973, p_{j}=10007, \cdots$.

Since $J_{2}(p) \geq 1$, where $p=3, \cdots, 9973$, we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many $10^{4}$-tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{10^{4}}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{10^{4}-1}}{\phi^{10^{4}}(\omega)} L i_{10^{4}}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.1.46. $10^{5}$-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, j=1, \cdots, 99999, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=$ 99971, $p_{j}=99989, \cdots$.

Since $J_{2}(p) \geq 1$, where $p=3, \cdots, 99989$, we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many $10^{5}$-tuples of primes.

The best asymptotic formula for the number of $10^{5}$-tuples of primes is

$$
\pi_{10^{5}}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{10^{5}-1}}{\phi^{10^{5}}(\omega)} L i_{10^{5}}(N)
$$

Just as theorem 5.1.1(twin prime) is the simplest theorem, so theorem 5.1.46 is the simplest theorem. It is simple to prove and hard to calculate.

If $\pi_{10^{5}}(N)>0$, then $d_{N}>10^{5}$, where $d_{N}$ is a long gap between primes. one should restudy the theory of prime numbers, because the now theory is finite and surmisable. There exist many great prime clusters in a table of primes.

Theorem 5.2. $k$-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}$, where $b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0} . j=1,2, \cdots, k-$ $1, p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k-1}$ are the consecutive primes in a table of primes.

If $J_{2}(\omega)=0$, there exist finitely many $k$-tuples of primes. If $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes, where $k$ is a finite integer.

In order to be understandable, we need to have supplied many details below.
Theorem 5.2.1. 2 -tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{1}, b_{1}=p_{1}+p_{0}, p_{0}=3, p_{1}=5$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+b_{1}, b_{1}=p_{1}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{1}=13$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{1}, b_{1}=p_{1}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{1}=23$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=36 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many primes solations. We have

$$
\pi_{2}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{\phi^{2}(\omega)} L i_{2}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.2. 3-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=3, p_{j}=5,7$. We have $J_{2}(3)=0$ There does not exist any 3 -tuples of primes except one:3, 11,13 .
(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{j}=7,11$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=11,13$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=3 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13,17$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=10 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{3}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} L i_{3}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.3. 4-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{j}=7,11,13$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{j}=11,13,17$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13,17,19$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17,19,23$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 4 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{4}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} L i_{4}(N) .
$$

Theorem 5.2.4. 5-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{j}=7,11,13,17$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=14 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{j}=11,13,17,19$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=108 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13,17,19,23$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(17)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17,19,23,29$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 5 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{5}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} L i_{5}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.5. 6 -tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{j}=7,11,13,17,19$. We have $J_{2}(5)=0$, there does not exist any 6 -tuples of primes.
(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{j}=11,13,17,19,23$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=40 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13,17,19,23,29$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(17)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17,19,23,29,31$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=18 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 6 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{6}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{\phi^{6}(\omega)} L i_{6}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.6. 7-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{j}=11,13,17,19,23,29$. We have $J_{2}(7)=0$, there does not exist any 7 -tuple of primes.
(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 31$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=528 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 37$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=15 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 41$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=5 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(23)=\chi(29)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 7 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{7}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} L i_{7}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.7. 8-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 37$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=300 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 41$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=10 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 43$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(23)=\chi(29)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 47$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=8 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 8 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{8}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{7}}{\phi^{8}(\omega)} L i_{8}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.8. 9-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 41$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=270 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 43$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=40 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 47$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=3 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(23)=\chi(29)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 53$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 9 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{9}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{8}}{\phi^{9}(\omega)} L i_{9}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.9. 10-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 43$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=160 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 47$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=24 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 53$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=181440 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 59$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=16 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 10 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{10}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{9}}{\phi^{10}(\omega)} L i_{10}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.10. 11-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 47$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=116736 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 53$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=63 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 59$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=93366 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=486 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 11-tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{11}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{10}}{\phi^{11}(\omega)} L i_{11}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.11. 12-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 53$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=33264 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 59$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=36 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=46656 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 67$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=405 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 12 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{12}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{11}}{\phi^{12}(\omega)} L i_{12}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.12. 13-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 59$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=15708 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=105 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(37)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 67$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=33660 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 71$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=160 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 13 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{13}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{12}}{\phi^{13}(\omega)} L i_{13}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.13. 14-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=5760 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 67$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=84 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(37)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 71$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=25600 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0 .
$$

(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=1120 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 14 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{14}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{13}}{\phi^{14}(\omega)} L i_{14}(N) .
$$

Theorem 5.2.14. 15-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 67$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4050 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 71$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=48 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(37)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=15120 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 79$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=378 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 15 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{15}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{14}}{\phi^{15}(\omega)} L i_{15}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.15. 16 -tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \cdots, 71$. We have $J_{2}(11)=0$, there does not exist any 16 -tuple of primes.
(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=30 \prod_{23 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(37)=\chi(43)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 79$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4704 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 83$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=324 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 16 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{16}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{15}}{\phi^{16}(\omega)} L i_{16}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.16. 17-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 79$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=70 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(31)=\chi(37)=\chi(43)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 83$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2496 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 89$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2340 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17) \neq 0
$$

(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 97$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=5616 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 17-tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{17}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{16}}{\phi^{17}(\omega)} L i_{17}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.17. 18-tuples:
(1) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 83$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=28 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-18-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(31)=\chi(37)=\chi(43)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
(2) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 89$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=1040 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-18) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 97$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2080 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-18-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(37)=-1, \chi(p)=0$ otherwise.
(4) $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 101$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2730 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-18) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 18 -tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{18}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{17}}{\phi^{18}(\omega)} L i_{18}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.18. 22-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \cdots, 103$. We have $J_{2}(13)=J_{2}(17)=0$, there does not exist any 22 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.2.19. 21-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \cdots, 103$. We have $J_{2}(17)=0$, there does not exist any 21-tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.2.20. 36-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \cdots, 191$. We have $J_{2}(19)=0$, there does not exist any 36 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.2.21. 43-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \cdots, 233$. We have $J_{2}(23)=0$, there does not exist any 43 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.2.22. 57-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \cdots, 317$. We have $J_{2}(29)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.23. 75 -tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \cdots, 439$. We have $J_{2}(37)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.24. 74-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=37, p_{j}=41, \cdots, 439$. We have $J_{2}(37)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.25. 79-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=41, p_{j}=43, \cdots, 467$. We have $J_{2}(43)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.26. 78-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=43, p_{j}=47, \cdots, 467$. We have $J_{2}(43)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.27. 106-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=47, p_{j}=53, \cdots, 659$. We have $J_{2}(53)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.28. 105 -tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=53, p_{j}=59, \cdots, 659$. We have $J_{2}(53)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.29. 111-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=59, p_{j}=61, \cdots, 709$. We have $J_{2}(59)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.30. 133 -tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=61, p_{j}=67, \cdots, 863$. We have $J_{2}(71)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.31. 152-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=67, p_{j}=71, \cdots, 1013$. We have $J_{2}(67)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.32. 197-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=71, p_{j}=73, \cdots, 1321$. We have $J_{2}(71)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.33. 195-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=73, p_{j}=79, \cdots, 1319$. We have $J_{2}(79)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.34. 194-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=79, p_{j}=83, \cdots, 1319$. We have $J_{2}(79)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.35. 239-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=83, p_{j}=89, \cdots, 1663$. We have $J_{2}(83)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.36. 216-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=97, p_{j}=101, \cdots, 1499$. We have $J_{2}(97)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.37. 215-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=97, p_{j}=101, \cdots, 1499$. We have $J_{2}(97)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.38. 273-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=101, p_{j}=103, \cdots, 1973$. We have $J_{2}(103)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.39. 272-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=103, p_{j}=107, \cdots, 1973$. We have $J_{2}(103)=0$.

Theorem 5.2.40. $10^{4}$-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, j=1, \cdots, 9999, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=$ $9973, p_{j}=10007, \cdots$.

Since $J_{2}(p) \geq 1$, where $p=3, \cdots, 9973$, we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many $10^{4}$-tuples of primes. We have

$$
\pi_{10^{4}}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{10^{4}-1}}{\phi^{10^{4}}(\omega)} L i_{10^{4}}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.2.41. $10^{5}$-tuple: $p, p+b_{j}, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, j=1, \cdots, 99999, \quad p_{0}=$ 99971, $p_{j}=99989, \cdots$.

Since $J_{2}(p) \geq 1$, where $p=3, \cdots, 99989$, we have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$. There exist infinitely many $10^{5}$-tuples of primes. The best asymptotic formula for the number of $10^{5}$-tuples of primes is

$$
\pi_{10^{5}}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{10^{5}-1}}{\phi^{10^{5}}(\omega)} L i_{10^{5}}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.3. $k$-tuple: $p, a_{j} p+1, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, j=1, \cdots, k-1, p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k-1}$ are the consecutive primes in a table of primes. If $J_{2}(\omega)=0$, there does not exist any $k$-tuple of primes. If $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes, where $k$ is a finite number.

Theorem 5.3.1. 3 -tuple: $p, a_{j} p+1, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=3, p_{j}=5,7$.
We have $J_{2}(3)=0$, there does not exist any 3 -tuple of primes except one: 3.17.13.
Theorem 5.3.2. 6 -tuple: $p, a_{j} p+1, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{j}=7,11,13,17,19$. We have $J_{2}(5)=0$, there does not exist any 6 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.3.3. 7-tuple: $p, a_{j} p+1, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{j}=7, p_{j}=$ $11, \cdots, 29$. We have $J_{2}(7)=0$, there does not exist any 7 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.4. $k$-tuple: $p, b_{j} p+1, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, j=1, \cdots, k-1, p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{k-1}$ are the consecutive primes in a table of primes. If $J_{2}(\omega)=0$, there does not exist any $k$-tuple of primes. If $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many $k$-tuples of primes, where $k$ is a finite number.

Theorem 5.4.1. 3-tuple: $p, b_{j} p+1, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=3, p_{j}=5,7$. We have $J_{2}(3)=0$, there does not exist any 3 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.4.2. 6 -tuple: $p, b_{j} p+1, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{j}=7,11,13,17,19$. We have $J_{2}(5)=0$, there does not exist any 6 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.4.3. 7 -tuple: $p, b_{j} p+1, b_{j}=p_{j}+p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{j}=11,13,17,19,23,29$. We have $J_{2}(7)=0$, there does not exist any 7 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.5. 6 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=3, p_{j}=5,11,17,23,29$. We have $J_{2}(5)=0$.

Theorem 5.6. 9-tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=3, p_{j}=7,13,19,31$, $37,43,61,67$. We have $J_{2}(7)=0$.

Theorem 5.7. 14 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=3, p_{j}=11,17,23,29$, $41,53,59,71,83,101,107,113,131$. We have $J_{2}(11)=0$.

Theorem 5.8. 9 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{j}=11,13,17,23$, $31,37,41,43$. We have $J_{2}(7)=0$.

Theorem 5.9. 15 -tuple: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{j}=11, \cdots, 73$ without $19,29,59$. We have $J_{2}(11)=0$.

The DNA in all normal human cells is in 23 pairs of pieces, neatly packaged into 46 chromosomes. The 23 is the prime principle, and the 46 is the symmetric principle.

Theorem 5.10.1. 3-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=3, p_{j}=5,7$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{3}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} L i_{3}(N) .
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number. We have $J_{2}(3)=0$.

Theorem 5.10.2. 6-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=5, p_{j}=7,11,13,17,19$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{6}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{\phi^{6}(\omega)} L i_{6}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number. We have $J_{2}(5)=0$.

Theorem 5.10.3. 7-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{j}=11, \cdots, 29$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{7}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} L i_{7}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{7}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} L i_{7}(N) .
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \not \backslash m$. We have $J_{2}(7)=0$. There does not exist any 7 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.4. 16 -tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \ldots, 71$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{16}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{15}}{\phi^{16}(\omega)} L i_{16}(N) .
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $5 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{16}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{15}}{\phi^{16}(\omega)} L i_{16}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $5 \not \backslash m$. We have $J_{2}(11)=0$. There does not exist any 16 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.5. 22-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=13, p_{j}=17, \ldots, 103$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{22}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{21}}{\phi^{22}(\omega)} L i_{22}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number. We have $J_{2}(17)=0$. There does not exist any 22 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.6. 21-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19, \ldots, 103$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{21}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{20}}{\phi^{21}(\omega)} L i_{21}(N) .
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number. We have $J_{2}(17)=0$. There does not exist any 21-tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.7. 36-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=19, p_{j}=23, \ldots, 191$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{36}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{35}}{\phi^{36}(\omega)} L i_{36}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{36}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{35}}{\phi^{36}(\omega)} L i_{36}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, 3 Xm . We have $J_{2}(19)=0$. There does not exist any 36 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.8. 43-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=23, p_{j}=29, \ldots, 233$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{43}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{42}}{\phi^{43}(\omega)} L i_{43}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $11 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{43}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{42}}{\phi^{43}(\omega)} L i_{43}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $11 \nmid m$. We have $J_{2}(23)=0$. There does not exist any 43 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.9. 57-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31, \ldots, 317$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{57}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{56}}{\phi^{57}(\omega)} L i_{57}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $7 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{57}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{56}}{\phi^{57}(\omega)} L i_{57}(N) .
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $7 \times \mathrm{K}$. We have $J_{2}(29)=0$. There does not exist any 57 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.10. 75-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=31, p_{j}=37, \ldots, 439$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{75}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{74}}{\phi^{75}(\omega)} L i_{75}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an old number, $3 \mid m$ or $5 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{75}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{74}}{\phi^{75}(\omega)} L i_{75}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3,5 \nmid \mathrm{~m}$. We have $J_{2}(37)=0$. There does not exist any 75 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.11. 74-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=37, p_{j}=41, \ldots, 439$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{74}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{73}}{\phi^{74}(\omega)} L i_{74}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{74}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{73}}{\phi^{74}(\omega)} L i_{74}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \nmid \mathrm{~m}$. We have $J_{2}(37)=0$. There does not exist any 74 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.12. 79-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=41, p_{j}=43, \ldots, 467$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{79}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{78}}{\phi^{79}(\omega)} L i_{79}(N) .
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an old number, $5 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{79}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{78}}{\phi^{79}(\omega)} L i_{79}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number $5 \nless m$. We have $J_{2}(43)=0$. There does not exist any 79 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.13. 78-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=43, p_{j}=47, \ldots, 467$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{78}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{77}}{\phi^{78}(\omega)} L i_{78}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \mid m$ or $7 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{78}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{77}}{\phi^{78}(\omega)} L i_{78}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3,7 \not \Varangle m$. We have $J_{2}(47)=0$. There does not exist any 78 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.14. 106-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=47, p_{j}=53, \ldots, 659$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{106}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{105}}{\phi^{106}(\omega)} L i_{106}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an old number, $23 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{106}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{105}}{\phi^{106}(\omega)} L i_{106}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $23 \not \backslash m$. We have $J_{2}(53)=0$. There does not exist any 106 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.15. 105-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=53, p_{j}=59, \ldots, 659$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{105}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{104}}{\phi^{105}(\omega)} L i_{105}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \mid m$ or $13 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{105}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{104}}{\phi^{105}(\omega)} L i_{105}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3,13 \not \backslash m$. We have $J_{2}(53)=0$. There does not exist any 105 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.16. 111-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=59, p_{j}=61, \ldots, 709$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{111}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{110}}{\phi^{111}(\omega)} L i_{111}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $29 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{111}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{110}}{\phi^{111}(\omega)} L i_{111}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $29 \not \backslash \mathrm{~m}$. We have $J_{2}(59)=0$. There does not exist any 111-tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.17. 133 -tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=61, p_{j}=67, \ldots, 863$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{133}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{132}}{\phi^{133}(\omega)} L i_{133}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \mid m$ or $5 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{133}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{132}}{\phi^{133}(\omega)} L i_{133}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3,5 \mathrm{Xm}$. We have $J_{2}(71)=0$. There does not exist any 133 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.18. 152 -tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=67, p_{j}=71, \ldots, 1013$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{152}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{151}}{\phi^{152}(\omega)} L i_{152}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \mid m$ or $11 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{152}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{151}}{\phi^{152}(\omega)} L i_{152}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3,11 \not \backslash m$. We have $J_{2}(67)=0$. There does not exist any 152 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.19. 197-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=71, p_{j}=73, \ldots, 1321$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{197}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{196}}{\phi^{197}(\omega)} L i_{197}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $5 \mid m$ or $7 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{197}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{196}}{\phi^{197}(\omega)} L i_{197}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $5,7 \not \backslash m$. We have $J_{2}(71)=0$. There does not exist any 197-tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.20. 195-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=73, p_{j}=79, \ldots, 1319$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{195}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{194}}{\phi^{195}(\omega)} L i_{195}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an old number, $3 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{195}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{194}}{\phi^{195}(\omega)} L i_{195}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \nless \mathrm{~K}$. We have $J_{2}(79)=0$. There does not exist any 195 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.21. 194-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=79, p_{j}=83, \ldots, 1319$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{194}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{193}}{\phi^{194}(\omega)} L i_{194}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \mid m$ or $13 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{194}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{193}}{\phi^{194}(\omega)} L i_{194}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3,13 \not \backslash m$. We have $J_{2}(79)=0$. There does not exist any 194 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.22. 239-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=83, p_{j}=89, \ldots, 1663$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{239}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{238}}{\phi^{239}(\omega)} L i_{239}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $41 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{239}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{238}}{\phi^{239}(\omega)} L i_{239}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, 41 X . We have $J_{2}(83)=0$. There does not exist any 239 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.23. 216-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=89, p_{j}=97, \ldots, 1499$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{216}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{215}}{\phi^{216}(\omega)} L i_{216}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $11 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{216}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{215}}{\phi^{216}(\omega)} L i_{216}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $11 \not \mathrm{Km}$. We have $J_{2}(97)=0$. There does not exist any 216 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.24. 215-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=97, p_{j}=101, \ldots, 1499$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{215}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{214}}{\phi^{215}(\omega)} L i_{215}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{215}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{214}}{\phi^{215}(\omega)} L i_{215}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \nless \mathrm{~K}$. We have $J_{2}(97)=0$. There does not exist any 215 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.25. 273-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=101, p_{j}=103, \ldots, 1973$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{273}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{272}}{\phi^{273}(\omega)} L i_{273}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $5 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{273}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{272}}{\phi^{273}(\omega)} L i_{273}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $5 \not \backslash m$. We have $J_{2}(103)=0$. There does not exist any 273 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.26. 272-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=103, p_{j}=107, \ldots, 1973$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{272}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{271}}{\phi^{272}(\omega)} L i_{272}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \mid m$ or $17 \mid m$. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{272}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{271}}{\phi^{272}(\omega)} L i_{272}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $3 \not \backslash m$ or $17 \not \backslash m$. We have $J_{2}(103)=0$. There does not exist any 272 -tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.27. $k$-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{m}, j=1, \ldots, k-1 ; a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{j}=$ $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k-1}$. Suppose that $m=1$, we have $J_{2}(\omega)=0$.
(1) Let $m$ be an even number. We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{k}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} L i_{k}(N)
$$

(2) Let $m$ be an odd number, $m \mid\left(p_{0}-1\right)$. If $J_{2}\left(p_{0}\right) \neq 0, J_{2}\left(p_{1}\right)=0$ We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{k}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{k-1}}{\phi^{k}(\omega)} L i_{k}(N)
$$

(3) Let $m$ be an odd number, $m>1, m \chi\left(p_{0}-1\right)$. We have $J_{2}(\omega)=0$. There does not exist any $k$-tuple of primes.

Theorem 5.10.28. 16 -tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{3}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{j}=11, \ldots, 67$.
We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{16}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{15}}{\phi^{16}(\omega)} L i_{16}(N)
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 16 -tuples of primes.
Theorem 5.10.29. 17-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{3}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=7, p_{j}=11, \ldots, 71$.
We have $J_{2}(11)=0$. There does not exist any 17 -tuple of primes.
Theorem 5.10.30. 21-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{5}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \ldots, 101$.
We have $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$,

$$
\pi_{21}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{20}}{\phi^{21}(\omega)} L i_{21}(N) .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 21-tuples of primes.
Theorem 5.10.31. 22-tuples: $p, p+a_{j}^{5}, a_{j}=p_{j} \pm p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13, \ldots, 103$.
We have $J_{2}(13)=0$. There does not exist any 22 -tuple of primes.
One of the oldest problems in the theory of numbers, and indeed in the whole of mathematics, is the so-called prime twins. It asserts that "there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+2$ is a prime". It is the first theorem in a table of primes. Now we prove $k$-tuples of prime twins.

Theorem 5.11.1. 3 -tuples of prime twins:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13 ; 17,19 ; 29,31$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19 ; 29,31 ; 41,43$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=144 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=6 \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0
$$

We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of 3 -tuples of prime twins

$$
\pi_{6}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{\phi^{6}(\omega)} L i_{6}(N)
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 3 -tuples of prime twins.
Theorem 5.11.2. 4 -tuples of prime twins:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13 ; 17,19 ; 29,31 ; 41,43$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=48 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19 ; 29,31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=90 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=24 \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 4 -tuples of prime twins. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of 4 -tuples of prime twins.

$$
\pi_{8}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{7}}{\phi^{8}(\omega)} L i_{8}(N) .
$$

Theorem 5.11.3. 5 -tuples of prime twins:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13 ; 17,19 ; 29,31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=21168 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0 .
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19 ; 29,31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=60 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73 ; 101,103$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=19459440 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 5 -tuples of prime twins. We have the best asymptotic formula of the number of 5 -tuples of prime twins.

$$
\pi_{10}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{9}}{\phi^{10}(\omega)} L i_{10}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.11.4. 6-tuples of prime twins:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13 ; 17,19 ; 29,31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=90720 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19 ; 29,31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73 ; 101,103$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=3742200 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73 ; 101,103 ; 107,109$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2449440 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist infinitely many 6 -tuples of prime twins. We have

$$
\pi_{12}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{11}}{\phi^{12}(\omega)} L i_{12}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.11.5. 7-tuples of prime twins:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13 ; 17,19 ; 29,31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73$;

101, 103. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=10200 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19 ; 29,31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73 ; 101,103$;

107,109 . We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=1555200 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73 ; 101,103$;

107,$109 ; 137,139$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=1399680 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{14}(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{13}}{\phi^{14}(\omega)} L i_{14}(N)
$$

Theorem 5.11.6. 8-tuples of prime twins:
(1) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=13 ; 17,19 ; 29,31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73$;

101,$103 ; 107,109$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2700 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0
$$

(2) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=19 ; 29,31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73 ; 101$, $103 ; 107,109 ; 137,139$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=967680 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=31 ; 41,43 ; 59,61 ; 71,73 ; 101,103 ; 107$, $109 ; 137,139 ; 149,151$. We have

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=1036800 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0 .
$$

We have

$$
\pi_{1} 6(N) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{15}}{\phi^{16}(\omega)} L i_{16}(N) .
$$

Theorem 5.11.7. 13 -tuples of prime twins: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=11, p_{j}=$ $13 ; \ldots ; 197,199$. We have $J_{2}(11)=0$. There does not exist any 13 -tuple of prime twins except one 13 -tuple of prime twins: 11,$13 ; \ldots ; 197,199$.

Theorem 5.11.8. 11-tuples of prime twins: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=17, p_{j}=$ $19 ; \ldots ; 191,193$. We have $J_{2}(17)=0$. There does not exist any 11 -tuple of prime twins except one 11-tuple of prime twins: 17,$19 ; \ldots ; 191,193$.

Theorem 5.11.9. 27 -tuples of prime twins: $p, p+a_{j}, a_{j}=p_{j}-p_{0}, p_{0}=29, p_{j}=$ $31 ; \ldots ; 821,823$. We have $J_{2}(29)=0$. There does not exist any 27 -tuple of prime twins except one 27 -tuple of prime twins: 29,$31 ; \ldots ; 821,823$.

Remark. The Santilli's theory of a table of primes is the queen of number theory. Everything is stable number. The table of primes hints at the inner laws of nature, for example, the law of the genebank of biology. We conjectured that there would be internal relations between the sunspot active cycle of 11 years and the Homo-sapiens on earth.

## 6. Forbes Theorem

Forbes defines a prime $k$-tuplet as a sequence of consecutive primes $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{k}$, such that $p_{k}-p_{1}=s(k)$ and $p_{i}-p_{1}=b_{i} ; i=2, \cdots, k$. The difference between the first and the last is as small as possible [10]. Forbes conjectures that the prime $k$-tuplets occur infinitely often for each $k$ and each admissible set called the Forbes Theorem.

Theorem 6.1. 3-tuplets: $s(3)=6$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,2,6$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,4,6$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+b$ is also a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} L i_{3}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.2. 4 -tuplets: $s(4)=8$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8$.

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+b$ is also a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} L i_{4}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.3. 5 -tuplets: $s(5)=12$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8,12$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,4,6,10,12$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-5) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+b$ is also a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{5}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{4}}{\phi^{5}(\omega)} L i_{5}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.4. 6 -tuplets: $s(6)=16$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,4,6,10,12,16$.

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-6) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+b$ is also a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{6}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{5}}{\phi^{6}(\omega)} L i_{6}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.5. 7-tuplets: $s(7)=20$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8,12,18,20$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,2,8,12,14,18,20$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-7) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+b$ is also a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{7}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{6}}{\phi^{7}(\omega)} L i_{7}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.6. 8 -tuplets: $s(8)=26$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8,12,18,20,26$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,6,8,14,18,20,24,26$.

They have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=18 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p+b: b=0,2,6,12,14,20,24,26$.

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=48 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-8) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+b$ is also a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{8}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{7}}{\phi^{8}(\omega)} L i_{8}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.7. 9-tuplets: $s(9)=30$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,4,6,10,16,18,24,28,30$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,2,6,12,14,20,24,26,30$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=30 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p+b: b=0,4,10,12,18,22,24,28,30$.
(4) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8,12,18,20,26,30$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=15 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-9) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+b$ is also a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{9}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{8}}{\phi^{9}(\omega)} L i_{9}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.8. 10-tuplets: $s(10)=32$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8,12,18,20,26,30,32$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,2,6,12,14,20,24,26,30,32$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=10 \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-10) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+b$ is also a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{10}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{9}}{\phi^{10}(\omega)} L i_{10}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.9 11-tuplets: $s(11)=36$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,4,6,10,16,18,24,28,30,34,36$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8,12,18,20,26,30,32,36$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=28 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-11) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+b$ is also a prime.
We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{11}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{10}}{\phi^{11}(\omega)} L i_{11}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.10. 12-tuplets: $s(12)=42$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8,12,18,20,26,30,32,36,42$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,6,10,12,16,22,24,30,34,36,40,42$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=21 \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-12) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 12 -tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{12}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{11}}{\phi^{12}(\omega)} L i_{12}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.11. 13-tuplets: $s(13)=48$
(1) $p+b: b=0,6,12,16,18,22,28,30,36,40,42,46,48$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8,12,18,20,26,30,32,36,42,48$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=792 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p+b: b=0,4,6,10,16,18,24,28,30,34,36,46,48$.
(4) $p+b: b=0,2,12,14,18,20,24,30,32,38,42,44,48$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=770 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0 .
$$

(5) $p+b: b=0,2,8,14,18,20,24,30,32,38,42,44,48$.
(6) $p+b: b=0,4,6,10,16,18,24,28,30,34,40,46,48$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=924 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-13) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 13 -tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{13}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{12}}{\phi^{13}(\omega)} L i_{13}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.12. 14 -tuplets: $s(14)=50$
(1) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8,12,18,20,26,30,32,36,42,48,50$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,2,8,14,18,20,24,30,32,38,42,44,48,50$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=300 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-14) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 14 -tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{14}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{13}}{\phi^{14}(\omega)} L i_{14}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.13. 15 -tuplets: $s(15)=56$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8,12,18,20,26,30,32,36,42,48,50,56$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,6,8,14,20,24,26,30,36,38,44,48,50,54,56$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=216 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0
$$

(3) $p+b: b=0,2,6,12,14,20,24,26,30,36,42,44,50,54,56$.
(4) $p+b: b=0,2,6,12,14,20,26,30,32,36,42,44,50,54,56$.

They have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=96 \prod_{29 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-15) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 15 -tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{15}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{14}}{\phi^{15}(\omega)} L i_{15}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.14. 16 -tuplets: $s(16)=60$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,2,6,12,14,20,26,30,32,36,42,44,50,54,56,60$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,4,6,10,16,18,24,28,30,34,40,46,48,54,58,60$.

Both have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=2240 \prod_{31 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-16) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 16 -tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{16}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{15}}{\phi^{16}(\omega)} L i_{16}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.15. 17-tuplets: $s(17)=66$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,4,10,12,16,22,24,30,36,40,42,46,52,54,60,64,66$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,2,6,12,14,20,24,26,30,36,42,44,50,54,56,62,66$.

Both have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=25200 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p+b: b=0,4,6,10,16,18,24,28,30,34,40,46,48,54,58,60,66$.
(4) $p+b: b=0,6,8,12,18,20,26,32,36,38,42,48,50,56,60,62,66$.

Both have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=20475 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-17) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 17 -tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{17}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{16}}{\phi^{17}(\omega)} L i_{17}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.16. 18 -tuplets: $s(18)=70$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,4,10,12,16,22,24,30,36,40,42,46,52,54,60,64,66,70$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,4,6,10,16,18,24,28,30,34,40,46,48,54,58,60,66,70$.

Both have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=9408 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-18) \neq 0 .
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 18 -tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{18}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{17}}{\phi^{18}(\omega)} L i_{18}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.17. 19-tuplets: $s(19)=76$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,6,10,16,18,22,28,30,36,42,46,48,52,58,60,66,70,72,76$.
(2) $p+b: b=0,4.6,10,16,18,24,28,30,34,40,46,48,54,58,60,66,70,76$.

Both have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=4368 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-19) \neq 0 .
$$

(3) $p+b: b=0,4,6,10,16,22,24,30,34,36,42,46,52,60,64,66,70,72,76$.
(4) $p+b: b=0,4,6,10,12,16,24,30,34,40,42,46,52,54,60,66,70,72,76$.

Both have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=14784 \prod_{37 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-19) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many 19-tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{19}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{18}}{\phi^{19}(\omega)} L i_{19}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.18. 20-tuplets: $s(20)=80$.
(1) $p+b: b=0,2,6,8,12,20,26,30,36,38,42,48,50,56,62,66,68,72,78,80$.
(2) $\quad p+b: b=0,2,8,12,14,18,24,30,32,38,42,44,50,54,60,68,72,74,78,80$.

Both have the same arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=123552 \prod_{41 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-20) \neq 0
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 20-tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{20}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{19}}{\phi^{20}(\omega)} L i_{20}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.19. 21-tuplets: $s(21)=84$.
(1) $p+b_{i}: b_{i}=p_{i}-p_{1}, p_{1}=29, \cdots, p_{21}=113$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=15,15,17,19,19,20,20$, if $p=17,19,23,29,31,37,41$;
$\chi(p)=21$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 21-tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{21}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{20}}{\phi^{21}(\omega)} L i_{21}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.20. 22-tuplets: $s(22)=90$.
(1) $p+b_{i}: b_{i}=p_{i}-p_{1}, p_{1}=23, \cdots, p_{22}=113$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=15,15,17,20,20,21,21,21$, if $p=17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43$;
$\chi(p)=22$ otherwise.
(2) $p+b_{i}: b_{i}=p_{i}-p_{1}, p_{i}=19, \cdots, p_{22}=109$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=16,19,20,20,20,21$, if $p=19,23,29,31,37,41$;
$\chi(p)=22$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 22 -tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{22}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{21}}{\phi^{22}(\omega)} L i_{22}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.21. 23-tuplets: $s(23)=94$.
(1) $p+b_{i}: b_{i}=p_{i}-p_{1}, p_{1}=19, \cdots, p_{23}=113$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=16,19,21,21,21,22,22,22$, if $p=19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47$;
$\chi(p)=23$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 23 -tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{23}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{22}}{\phi^{23}(\omega)} L i_{23}(N)
$$

Theorem 6.22. 24 -tuplets: $s(24)=108$.
(1) $p+b_{i}: b_{i}=p_{i}-p_{1}, p_{1}=19, \cdots, p_{24}=127$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0
$$

where $\chi(p)=16,20,22,22,20,22,22,23$, if $p=19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47$;
$\chi(p)=24$ otherwise.
(2) $p+b_{i}: b_{i}=p_{i}-p_{1}, p_{1}=23, \cdots, p_{24}=131$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=11,16,16,19,21,22,21,24,22,25$, if $p=13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47$;
$\chi(p)=24$ otherwise.
(3) $p+b_{i}: b_{i}=p_{i}-p_{1}, p_{1}=29, \cdots, p_{24}=137$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=15,15,20,21,22,22,23,23,22,23$, if $p=17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47,53$;
$\chi(p)=24$ otherwise.
(4) $p+b_{i}: b_{i}=p_{i}-p_{1}, p_{1}=31, \cdots, p_{24}=139$

We have the arithmetic function

$$
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-\chi(p)) \neq 0 .
$$

where $\chi(p)=15,16,19,20,22,23,23,22,22,23$, if $p=17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47,53$;
$\chi(p)=24$ otherwise.
Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there are infinitely many 24 -tuplets of primes. We have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\pi_{24}(N, 2) \approx \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{23}}{\phi^{24}(\omega)} L i_{24}(N)
$$

Note: $s(k)$ is an important parameter in a table of prime numbers. It can apply to the study of the stable structure of DNA and the stable genomic sequences.

Theorem 6.23. Euler showed that there must exist infinitely many primes because a certain expression formed with all the primes is infinitive. We have

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{i}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{\omega}{\phi(\omega)}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}
$$

The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ is divergent; being a series of positive terms, the order of summation is irrelevant, so the right-hand side is infinite, while the left-hand side is clearly finite. This is absurd. It is equivalent to $\phi(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. Along this line one suggests the Riemann's hypothesis and other conjectures, but they are useless in the study of the distribution of prime numbers.

By Euler's idea we prove that there must exist infinitely many twin primes: $p_{2}=$ $p_{1}+2$. We have

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\chi\left(p_{i}\right)}{p_{i}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{\omega}{J_{2}(\omega)}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n}=1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{2}{5}+\frac{2}{6}+\frac{2}{7}+\cdots,
$$

where $\chi(2)=1, \chi(p)=2$ if $p_{i}>2$.
The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\chi(n)}{n}\right)$ is divergent; being a series of positive terms, the order of summation is irrelevant, so the right-hand side is infinite, while the left-hand side is clearly finite. This is absurd. It is equivalent to $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we prove that there must exist infinitely many 3 -tuplets of primes: $p_{2}=$ $p_{1}+2, p_{3}=p_{1}+6$. We have

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1-\frac{\chi\left(p_{i}\right)}{p_{i}}\right)^{-1}=\frac{\omega}{J_{2}(\omega)}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n}=1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{3}{5}+\frac{2}{6}+\frac{3}{7}+\cdots
$$

where $\chi(2)=1, \chi(3)=2, \chi\left(p_{i}\right)=3$ if $p_{i}>3$.
The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\chi(n)}{n}\right)$ is divergent; being a series of positive terms, the order of summation is irrelevant, so the right-hand side is infinite, while the left-hand side is clearly finite. This is absurd. It is equivalent to $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 6.24 We have the following equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log \prod_{k<p \leq N}\left(1-\frac{k}{p}\right) \approx-\sum_{k<p \leq N} \frac{k}{p}  \tag{6.1}\\
\quad-\sum_{k<p \leq N} \frac{1}{p} \approx-\log \log N \tag{6.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (6.1) and (6.2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{k<p \leq N}\left(1-\frac{k}{p}\right) \approx \frac{c_{k}}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi(\omega)}{\omega}=\prod_{2 \leq p \leq N}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \approx \frac{c_{1}}{\log N} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.4) we have the prime number theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi(N) \approx \frac{N}{\log N} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\pi(N)$ denotes the number of primes $\leq N$.
We have the arithmetic function for prime $k$-tuplets

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=B \prod_{k<p \leq N}(p-k) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.3) and (6.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{J_{2}(\omega)}{\omega} \approx B_{1} \prod_{k<p \leq N}\left(1-\frac{k}{p}\right) \approx \frac{B_{2}}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.7) we have the prime $k$-tuplets theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{k}(N) \approx A_{k} \frac{N}{\log ^{k} N} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\pi_{k}(N)$ denotes the number of the prime $k$-tuplets $\leq N$.
If $A_{k}=0$, then there exist finite prime $k$-tuplets. If $A_{k} \neq 0$, then there must exist infinitely many prime $k$-tuplets.
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## Chapter 3

## FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM AND ITS APPLICATIONS

A theory is the more impressive, the simpler are its premises, the more distinct are the things it connects, and the broader is its range of applicability.

Albert Einstein

## 1. Introduction

As reviewed in preceding chapters, in the seminal works [1, 2] Santilli has introduced a generalization of real, complex and quaternionic numbers $a=n, c, q$, based on the lifting of the unit 1 of conventional numbers into an invertible and well behaved quantity with arbitrary functional dependence on local variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \hat{I}(t, x, \dot{x}, \cdots), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

while jointly lifting the product $a b=a \times b$ of conventional numbers into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b \rightarrow a \hat{x} b=a \hat{T} b, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

under which $\hat{I}=(\hat{T})^{-1}$ is the correct left and right new unit

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I} \hat{\times} a=(\hat{T})^{-1} \hat{T} a=a \hat{\times} \hat{I}=a \hat{T} \hat{I}=a, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all possible $a=n, c, q$.
Since the new multiplication $a \hat{\times} b$ is associative, Santilli has then proved that the new numbers verify all axioms of a field. The above liftings were then called
isotopic in the Greek sense of being axiom-preserving. Again, the prefix iso will be used whenever the original axioms are preserved.

Let $F=F(a,+, \times)$ be a conventional field with numbers $a=n, c, q$ equipped with the conventional sum $(a+b) \in F$, product $a b=a \times b \in F$, additive unit $0 \in F$ and their multiplicative unit $1 \in F$.

Definition 1.1. Santilli's isofields of the first kind $\hat{F}=\hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ are rings with elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}=a \hat{I}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

called isonumbers, where $a=n, c, q \in F, \hat{I}=1 / \hat{T}$ is a well behaved, invertible and Hermitean quantity outside the original field, $\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T} \notin F$ and $a \hat{I}$ is the multiplication in $F$ equipped with the isosum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a}+\hat{b}=(a+b) \hat{I} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with conventional additive unit $0=0 \cdot \hat{I}=0, \hat{a}+0=0+\hat{a}=\hat{a}, \forall \hat{a} \in \hat{F}$, and the isoproduct

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{b}=\hat{a} \hat{T} \hat{b}=(a b) \hat{I}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

under which $\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T}$ is the correct left and right new unit $(\hat{I} \hat{\times} \hat{a}=\hat{a} \hat{\times} \hat{I}=\hat{a}, \forall \hat{a} \in \hat{F})$ called isounit.

Lemma 1.1. Isofields $\hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ of Definition 1.1 verify all axioms of a field. The lifting $F \rightarrow \hat{F}$ is then an isotopy. All operations depending on the product must then be lifted in $\hat{F}$ for consistency.

Lemma 1.2. Santilli's isofields of the second kind $\hat{F}=\hat{F}(a,+, \hat{x})$ (that is, when $a \in F$ is not lifted to $\hat{a}=a \hat{I}$ ) also verify all axioms of a field, if and only if the isounit is an element of the original field

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}=\frac{1}{\hat{T}} \in F \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the latter case the isoproduct is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \hat{\times} b=a \hat{T} b \in \hat{F} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this Chapter by using the Santilli's isonumber theory, we obtain the FermatSantilli isotheorem. By using Euler's and Fermat's methods we prove it.

We establish the Fermat's mathematics. From the Fermat's mathematics, we suggest the chaotic mathematics.

## 2. Class I Fermat-Santilli Isotheorems

Let $b=n$, where $n$ is an odd. From (8.5) we have the complex hyperbolic functions $S_{i}$ of order $n$ with $(n-1)$ variables [3]-[8],

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}=\frac{1}{n}\left[e^{A}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1) / 2}(-1)^{(i-1) j} e^{B_{j}} \cos \left(\theta_{j}+(-1)^{j} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{n}\right)\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1, \cdots, n$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} t_{\alpha}, \quad B_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha j} \cos \frac{\alpha j \pi}{n}, \\
\theta_{j}=(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha j} \sin \frac{\alpha j \pi}{n}, \quad A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1) / 2} B_{j}=0 . \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

(2.1) may be written in the matrix form

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
S_{1} \\
S_{2} \\
S_{3} \\
\vdots \\
S_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{n}\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & -\cos \frac{\pi}{n} & -\sin \frac{\pi}{n} & \cdots & -\sin \frac{(n-1) \pi}{2 n} \\
1 & \cos \frac{2 \pi}{n} & \sin \frac{2 \pi}{n} & \cdots & -\sin \frac{(n-1) \pi}{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
1 & \cos \frac{(n-1) \pi}{n} & \sin \frac{(n-1) \pi}{n} & \cdots & -\sin \frac{(n-1)^{2} \pi}{2 n}
\end{array}\right]} \\
 \tag{2.3}\\
\\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
e^{A} \\
2 e^{B_{1}} \cos \theta_{1} \\
2 e^{B_{1}} \sin \theta_{1} \\
\vdots \\
2 e^{B_{\frac{n-1}{2}}^{2}} \sin \theta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}
\end{array}\right],}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $(n-1) / 2$ is an even. From (2.3) we have its inverse transformation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
e^{A} \\
e^{B_{1}} \cos \theta_{1} \\
e^{B_{1}} \sin \theta_{1} \\
\vdots \\
e^{\left(B_{\left.\frac{n-1}{2}\right)}\right)} \sin \left(\theta_{\frac{n-1}{2}}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1  \tag{2.4}\\
1 & -\cos \frac{\pi}{n} & \cos \frac{2 \pi}{n} & \cdots & \cos \frac{(n-1) \pi}{n} \\
0 & -\sin \frac{\pi}{n} & \sin \frac{2 \pi}{n} & \cdots & \sin \frac{(n-1) \pi}{n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & -\sin \frac{(n-1) \pi}{2 n} & -\sin \frac{(n-1) \pi}{n} & \cdots & -\sin \frac{(n-1)^{2} \pi}{2 n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
S_{1} \\
S_{2} \\
S_{3} \\
\vdots \\
S_{n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

From (2.4) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}, \quad e^{B j} \cos \theta_{j}=S_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{i j} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{n}, \\
e^{B j} \sin \theta_{j}=(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{i j} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{n} . \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

(2.2) and (2.5) have the same form.

Assume in (2.5), $S_{1} \neq 0, S_{2} \neq 0, S_{i}=0$, where $i=3, \cdots, n . S_{i}=0$ are $(n-2)$ indeterminate equations with $(n-1)$ variables [9,10]. From (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{A}=S_{1}+S_{2}, \quad e^{2 B j}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}+2 S_{1} S_{2}(-1)^{j} \cos \frac{j \pi}{n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $n=\prod p_{i}$, where $p_{i}$ ranges over all odd primes. From (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} B_{j}\right)=S_{1}^{n}+S_{2}^{n}  \tag{2.7}\\
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p_{i}-1}{2}} B_{\frac{n}{p_{i}}}\right)=S_{1}^{p_{i}}+S_{2}^{p_{i}} . \tag{2.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} B_{j}\right)=1,  \tag{2.9}\\
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p_{i}-1}{2}} B_{\frac{n}{p_{i}} j}\right)=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{p_{i}} . \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) and (2.10) we have the Fermat's equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{n}+S_{2}^{n}=1, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{p_{i}}+S_{2}^{p_{i}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{p_{i}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note. If $S_{i} \neq 0, i=1,2, \cdots, n$, then (2.11) and (2.12) have infinitely many rational solutions.

Euler [11] proved (2.11), therefore (2.12) has no rational solutions for any prime $p_{i}>3$. Using this method we proved Fermat's last theorem in 1991 [3]-[8] and the Fermat's last theorem was proved second in 1994 by A. Wiles [12].

Theorem 2.2. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{\times})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the first kind from (2.11) and (2.12)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{S}_{1}^{\hat{n}}+\hat{S}_{2}^{\hat{n}}=\hat{I}  \tag{2.13}\\
{\hat{S_{1}}}^{\hat{p}_{i}}+{\hat{S_{2}}}^{\hat{p_{i}}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} \hat{t}_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{\hat{p_{i}}} \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (2.13), therefore (2.14) has not isorational solutions for any isoprime $\hat{p}_{i}>\hat{3}$.

Theorem 2.3. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{\times})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the second kind from (2.11) and (2.12)

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}^{\hat{n}}+S_{2}^{\hat{n}}=1  \tag{2.15}\\
S_{1}^{\hat{p_{i}}}+S_{2}^{\hat{p_{i}}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{\hat{p}_{i}} \tag{2.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (2.15) for $\hat{p}_{i}=\hat{3}$, therefore (2.16) has no rational solutions for any odd isonumber $\hat{n}>\hat{3}$.

From (2.2), (2.5) and (8.6) we have the cyclic determinant

$$
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1) / 2} B_{j}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & S_{n} & \ldots & S_{2}  \tag{2.17}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & \ldots & S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & \ldots & S_{4} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
S_{n} & S_{n-1} & \ldots & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

Theorem 2.4. Let $n=p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . \quad S_{i}=0$ are $(p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(p-1)$ variables $[9,10]$.

From (2.17) we have $p(p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sufficient to prove the theorem 2.4 , but the proof has great difficulty $[9,10]$. In the following theorems we consider $n$ is the composite number.

Theorem 2.5. Let $n=3 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(3 p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(3 p-1)$ variables [9]. From (2.17) we have $3 p(3 p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations. Every Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 3 p)=p$, from (2.17) we have $3 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}\right)^{p}=1 \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.19) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}=1 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 3 p)=3$, from (2.17) we have $3 p(p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{P}\right)^{3}=1 \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.21) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.20) and (2.22) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

If $(a-b, 3 p)=1$, from (2.17) we have $3 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3 p}+S_{b}^{3 p}=1 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left(A+2 B_{p}\right)=S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p-1} t_{3 \alpha}\right)\right]^{3}  \tag{2.24}\\
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2} B_{3 j}\right)=S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=\left[\exp \left(t_{p}+t_{2 p}\right)\right]^{p} \tag{2.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

(2.24) and (2.25) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

Euler [11] proved (2.20), therefore (2.22)-(2.25) have no rational solutions for any prime $p>3$. Using the theorem 2.5 we proved the theorem 2.4 , because $(2.18)$ and (2.22) are the same Fermat's equation.

Theorem 2.6. Let $n=5 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(5 p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(5 p-1)$ variables. From (2.17) we have $5 p(5 p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations. Every Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 5 p)=p$, from (2.17) we have $10 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}\right)^{p}=1 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.26) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}=1 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 5 p)=5$, from (2.17) we have $5 p(p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{5}=1 . \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.28) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.27) and (2.29) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

If $(a-b, 5 p)=1$, from (2.17) we have $10 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{5 p}+S_{b}^{5 p}=1 . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left(A+2 B_{p}+2 B_{2 p}\right)=S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p-1} t_{5 \alpha}\right)\right]^{5} .  \tag{2.31}\\
& \exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2} B_{5 j}\right)=S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} t_{p \alpha}\right)\right]^{p} . \tag{2.32}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.31) and (2.32) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

Dirichlet and Legendre [11] proved (2.27), therefore (2.29)-(2.32) have no rational solutions for any prime $p>5$. If (2.29) has a rational solution, then it contradicts (2.30). Using the theorem 2.6, we prove the theorem 2.4, because (2.18) and (2.29) are the same Fermat's equation.

Theorem 2.7. Let $n=7 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(7 p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(7 p-1)$ variables $[9-10]$. From (2.17) we have $7 p(7 p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations. Every Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 7 p)=p$, from (2.17) we have $21 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{7}+S_{b}^{7}\right)^{p}=1 \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.33) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{7}+S_{b}^{7}=1 \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 7 p)=7$, from (2.17) we have $7 p(p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{7}=1 \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.35) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2.34) and (2.36) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

If $(a-b, 7 p)=1$, from (2.17) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{7 p}+S_{b}^{7 p}=1 \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} B_{p j}\right)=S_{a}^{7}+S_{b}^{7}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{p-1} t_{7 \alpha}\right)\right]^{7}  \tag{2.38}\\
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2} B_{7 j}\right)=S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{6} t_{p \alpha}\right)\right]^{p} . \tag{2.39}
\end{gather*}
$$

(2.38) and (2.39) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

Lame[11] proved (2.34), therefore (2.36) - (2.39) have no rational solutions for any prime $p>7$. If (2.36) has a rational solution, then it contradicts (2.37). Using the theorem 2.7, we prove the theorem 2.4, because (2.18) and (2.36) are the same Fermat's equation.

Theorem 2.8. Let $n=\Pi p$, where $p$ ranges over all odd primes. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(n-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(n-1)$ variables $[9-10]$. From (2.17) we have $n(n-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations. Every Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, n)=n / 3$, from (2.17) we have $n$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}\right)^{n / 3}=1 \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.40) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}=1 . \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, n)=n / 5$, from (2.17) we have $2 n$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}\right)^{n / 5}=1 \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.42) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}=1 \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, n)=n / 7$, from (2.17) we have $3 n$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{7}+S_{b}^{7}\right)^{n / 7}=1 \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.44) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{7}+S_{b}^{7}=1 \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, n)=n / f$, where $f$ is a factor of $n$, from (2.17) we have $n \phi(f) / 2$, where $\phi(f)$ is Euler function, Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{f}+S_{b}^{f}\right)^{n / f}=1 \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.46) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{f}+S_{b}^{f}=1 \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, n)=n / p$, from (2.17) we have $n(p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{n / p}=1 \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.48) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, n)=1$, from (2.17) we have $n \phi(n) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{n}+S_{b}^{n}=1 \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2} B_{[(n / p) j]}\right)=S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n / p-1} t_{p \alpha}\right)\right]^{p} \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Euler proved (2.41), therefore (2.43), (2.45), (2.47), (2.49), (2.50) and (2.51) have no rational solutions, that is all Fermat's equations in (2.17) have no rational solutions. Using the theorem 2.8, we prove the theorem 2.4, because (2.18) and (2.49) are the same Fermat's equation.

By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ and $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{x})$ theorems 2.4 to 2.8 are the Fermat-Santilli isotheorems.

## 3. Class II Fermat-Santilli Isotheorems

Let $b=2 n$, where $n$ is an odd. From (8.5) we have the complex hyperbolic functions $S_{i}$ of order $2 n$ with $(2 n-1)$ variables.

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{i}=\frac{1}{2 n}\left[e^{A_{1}}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(-1)^{(i-1) j} e^{B_{j}} \cos \left(\theta_{j}+(-1)^{j} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{n}\right)\right] \\
+ \\
+\frac{(-1)^{(i-1)}}{2 n}\left[e^{A_{2}}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(-1)^{(i-1) j} e^{D_{j}} \cos \left(\phi_{j}+\right.\right.  \tag{3.1}\\
\\
\left.\left.(-1)^{j+1} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{n}\right)\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i=1, \cdots, 2 n$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n-1} t_{\alpha}, \quad B_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha j} \cos \frac{\alpha j \pi}{n}, \quad \theta_{j}=(-1)^{(j+1)} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha j} \sin \frac{\alpha j \pi}{n} \\
A_{2}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}, \quad D_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{(j-1) \alpha} \cos \frac{\alpha j \pi}{n}, \\
\phi_{j}=(-1)^{j} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{(j-1) \alpha} \sin \frac{\alpha j \pi}{n}, \quad A_{1}+A_{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the same way as in (2.3) and (2.4), from (3.1) we have its inverse transformation

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{A_{1}}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} S_{i}, \quad e^{A_{2}}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n} S_{i}(-1)^{1+i}, \\
e^{B_{j}} \cos \theta_{j}=S_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{i j} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{n}, \\
e^{B_{j}} \sin \theta_{j}=(-1)^{(j+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{i j} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{n}, \\
e^{D_{j}} \cos \phi_{j}=S_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{(j-1) i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{n}, \\
e^{D_{j}} \sin \phi_{j}=(-1)^{j} \sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{(j-1) i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{n} . \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

(3.2) and (3.3) have the same form.

Assume in (3.3) $S_{1} \neq 0, S_{2} \neq 0, S_{i}=0$, where $i=3, \cdots, 2 n . S_{i}=0$ are $(2 n-2)$ indeterminate equations with $(2 n-1)$ variables. From (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{A_{1}}=S_{1}+S_{2}, \quad e^{A_{2}}=S_{1}-S_{2}, \quad e^{2 B_{j}}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}+2 S_{1} S_{2}(-1)^{j} \cos \frac{j \pi}{n} \\
e^{2 D_{j}}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}+2 S_{1} S_{2}(-1)^{j+1} \cos \frac{j \pi}{n} \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $n=\prod p_{i}$, where $p_{i}$ ranges over all odd primes. From (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left[A_{1}+A_{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=S_{1}^{2 n}-S_{2}^{2 n}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \quad \exp \left(A_{1}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p_{i}-1}{2}} B_{\frac{n}{p_{i}} j}\right)=S_{1}^{p_{i}}+S_{2}^{p_{i}} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left[A_{1}+A_{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=1  \tag{3.7}\\
\exp \left(A_{1}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p_{i}-1}{2}} B_{\frac{n}{p_{i}} j}\right)=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{2 n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{p_{i}} \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) we have the Fermat's equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}^{2 n}-S_{2}^{2 n}=1  \tag{3.9}\\
S_{1}^{p_{i}}+S_{2}^{p_{i}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{2 n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{p_{i}} \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (3.9), therefore (3.10) has no rational solutions for any prime $p_{i}>3$.

Theorem 3.2. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{\times})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the first kind from (3.9) and (3.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\hat{S_{1}}}^{2 \hat{n}}-\hat{S}_{2}^{2 \hat{n}}=\hat{I} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S}_{1}{ }^{\hat{p}_{i}}+\hat{S}_{2}^{\hat{p}_{i}}=\left[\mathrm{exp}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{2 n}{p_{i}}-1} \hat{t}_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{\hat{p_{i}}} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (3.11), therefore (3.12) has no isorational solutions for any isoprime $\hat{p}_{i}>\hat{3}$.

Theorem 3.3. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the second kind from (3.9) and (3.10)

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}^{2 n}-S_{2}^{2 n}=1,  \tag{3.13}\\
S_{1}^{\hat{p_{i}}}+S_{2}^{\hat{p_{i}}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{2 n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{\hat{p_{i}}} . \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (3.14) for $\hat{p_{i}}=\hat{3}$, therefore (3.13) has no rational solutions for any isonumber $\hat{2 n}$.

From (3.2), (3.3) and (8.6) we have the cyclic determinant

$$
\exp \left[A_{1}+A_{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1) / 2}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & S_{2 n} & \ldots & S_{2}  \tag{3.15}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & \ldots & S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & \ldots & S_{4} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
S_{2 n} & S_{2 n-1} & \ldots & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $n=p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are ( $2 p-2$ ) Diophantine equations with ( $2 p-1$ ) variables. From (3.15) we have $2 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every real Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

If $(a-b, 2 p)=2$, from (3.15) we have $p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{2}= \pm 1 \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The - sign can be rejected. From (3.16) we have $p(p-1) / 2$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 2 p)=1$, from (3.15) we have $p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 p}-S_{b}^{2 p}=1 . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sufficient to prove the theorem 3.4, but the proof has great difficulty. In the following theorems we consider $n$ is the composite number.

Theorem 3.5. Let $n=3 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(6 p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(6 p-1)$ variables. From (3.15) we have $6 p(3 p-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every real Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 6 p)=2 p$, from (3.15) we have $6 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}\right)^{2 p}= \pm 1 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The - sign can be rejected. From (3.19) we have $3 p$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}=1 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 6 p)=6$, from (3.15) we have $3 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{6}= \pm 1 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The - sign can be rejected. From (3.21) we have $3 p(p-1) / 2$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.20) and (3.22) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

If $(a-b, 6 p)=3$, from (3.15) we have $3 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{2 p}-S_{b}^{2 p}\right)^{3}= \pm 1 . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.23) we have $3 p(p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 p}-S_{b}^{2 p}=1 . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 6 p)=2$, from (3.15) we have $6 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3 p}+S_{b}^{3 p}\right)^{2}= \pm 1 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.25) we have $3 p(p-1)$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3 p}+S_{b}^{3 p}=1 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 6 p)=1$, from (3.15) we have $6 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{6 p}-S_{b}^{6 p}=1 \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(A_{1}+2 B_{p}\right)=S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 p-1} t_{3 \alpha}\right)\right]^{3} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(A_{1}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2} B_{3 j}\right)=S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{5} t_{p \alpha}\right)\right]^{p} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. (3.28) and (3.29) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

Euler proved (3.20), therefore (3.22), (3.24) and (3.26) - (3.29) have no rational solutions. Using the theorem 3.5 we prove the theorem 3.4, because (3.17) and (3.22) are the same Fermat's equation.

Theorem 3.6. Let $n=5 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are ( $10 p-2$ ) Diophantine equations with ( $10 p-1$ ) variables. From (3.15) we have $10 p(5 p-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every real Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 10 p)=2 p$, from (3.15) we have $20 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}\right)^{2 p}= \pm 1 \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.30) we have $10 p$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}=1 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 10 p)=10$, from (3.15) we have $5 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{10}= \pm 1 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.32) we have $5 p(p-1) / 2$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.31) and (3.33) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

If $(a-b, 10 p)=5$, from (3.15) we have $5 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{2 p}-S_{b}^{2 p}\right)^{5}= \pm 1 . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.34) we have $5 p(p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 p}-S_{b}^{2 p}=1 \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 10 p)=2$, from (3.15) we have $20 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{5 p}+S_{b}^{5 p}\right)^{2}= \pm 1 \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.36) we have $10 p(p-1)$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{5 p}+S_{b}^{5 p}=1 \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 10 p)=1$, from (3.15) we have $20 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{10 p}-S_{b}^{10 p}=1 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left(A_{1}+B_{p}+B_{2} p\right)=S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 p-1} t_{5 \alpha}\right)\right]^{5}  \tag{3.39}\\
& \exp \left(A_{1}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2} B_{5 j}\right)=S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{9} t_{p \alpha}\right)\right]^{p} \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

(3.39) and (3.40) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

Dirichlet and Legendre proved (3.31), therefore (3.33), (3.35) and (3.37) - (3.40) have no rational solutions. Using the theorem 3.6, we prove the theorem 3.4, because (3.17) and (3.33) are the same Fermat's equation.

Theorem 3.7. Let $n=7 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are ( $14 p-2$ ) Diophantine equations with ( $14 p-1$ ) variables. From (3.15) we have $14 p(7 p-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every real Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 14 p)=2 p$, from (3.15) we have $42 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{7}+S_{b}^{7}\right)^{2 p}= \pm 1 \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.41) we have $21 p$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{7}+S_{b}^{7}=1 \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 14 p)=14$, from (3.15) we have $7 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{14}= \pm 1 \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.43) we have $7 p(p-1) / 2$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.42) and (3.44) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

If $(a-b, 14 p)=7$, from (3.15) we have $7 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{2 p}-S_{b}^{2 p}\right)^{7}= \pm 1 \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.45) we have $7 p(p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 p}-S_{b}^{2 p}=1 \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 14 p)=2$, from (3.15) we have $42 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{7 p}+S_{b}^{7 p}\right)^{2}= \pm 1 \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.47) we have $21 p(p-1)$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{7 p}+S_{b}^{7 p}=1 \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 14 p)=1$, from (3.15) we have $42 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{14 p}-S_{b}^{14 p}=1 \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \left(A_{1}+2 B_{p}+2 B_{2 p}+2 B_{3 p}\right)=S_{a}^{7}+S_{b}^{7}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 p-1} t_{7 \alpha}\right)\right]^{7}  \tag{3.50}\\
& \exp \left(A_{1}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2} B_{7 j}\right)=S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{13} t_{p \alpha}\right)\right]^{p} \tag{3.51}
\end{align*}
$$

(3.50) and (3.51) are twin Fermat's equations. Both equations have no rational solutions.

Lame proved (3.42), therefore (3.44), (3.46) and (3.48) - (3.51) have no rational solutions. Using the theorem 3.7, we prove the theorem 3.4, because (3.17) and (3.44) are the same Fermat's equation.

Theorem 3.8. Let $n=\prod p$, where $p$ ranges over all odd primes. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(2 n-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(2 n-1)$ variables. From $(3.15)$ we have $2 n(n-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every real Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 2 n)=2 n / 3$, from (3.15) we have $2 n$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}\right)^{2 n / 3}= \pm 1 \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.52) we have $n$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}=1 \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 2 n)=2 n / 5$, from (3.15) we have $4 n$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}\right)^{2 n / 5}= \pm 1 \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.54) we have $2 n$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}=1 \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 2 n)=2 n / 7$, from (3.15) we have $6 n$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{7}+S_{b}^{7}\right)^{2 n / 7}= \pm 1 \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.56) we have $3 n$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{7}+S_{b}^{7}=1 \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

If ( $a-b, 2 n)=2 n / p$, from (3.15) we have $n(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{2 n / p}= \pm 1 \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.58) we have $n(p-1) / 2$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 2 n)=1$, from (3.15) we have $n \phi(n)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 n}-S_{b}^{2 n}=1 \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(A_{1}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2} B_{n j / p}\right)=S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n / p-1} t_{p \alpha}\right)\right]^{p} \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Euler proved (3.53), therefore (3.55), (3.57) and (3.59) - (3.61) have no rational solutions, that is all real Fermat's equations in (3.15) have no rational solutions.

By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{\times})$ and $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{\times})$ the theorem 3.4 to 3.8 are the Fermat-Santilli isotheorems.

## 4. Class III Fermat-Santilli Isotheorems

Let $b=4 m, m=1,2, \cdots$. From (8.5) we have the complex hyperbolic functions $S_{i}$ of order $4 m$ with $(4 m-1)$ variables,

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{i}=\frac{1}{4 m}[ & \left.e^{A_{1}}+2 e^{H} \cos \left(\beta+\frac{(i-1) \pi}{2}\right)+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{B_{j}} \cos \left(\theta_{j}+\frac{(i-1) j \pi}{2 m}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{(-1)^{(i-1)}}{4 m}\left[e^{A_{2}}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} e^{D_{j}} \cos \left(\phi_{j}-\frac{(i-1) j \pi}{2 m}\right)\right] \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1, \cdots, 4 m$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4 m-1} t_{\alpha}, \quad A_{2}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4 m-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}, \\
H=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 m-1} t_{2 \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}, \quad \beta=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 m} t_{2 \alpha-1}(-1)^{\alpha}, \\
B_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4 m-1} t_{\alpha} \cos \frac{\alpha j \pi}{2 m}, \quad \theta_{j}=-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4 m-1} t_{\alpha} \sin \frac{\alpha j \pi}{2 m}, \\
D_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4 m-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha} \cos \frac{\alpha j \pi}{2 m}, \quad \phi_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4 m-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha} \sin \frac{\alpha j \pi}{2 m}, \\
A_{1}+A_{2}+2 H+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)=0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the same way as in (2.3) and (2.4), from (4.1) we have its inverse transformation

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{A_{1}}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m} S_{i}, \quad e^{A_{2}}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m} S_{i}(-1)^{1+i}, \\
e^{H} \cos \beta=\sum_{i=1}^{2 m} S_{2 i-1}(-1)^{1+i}, \quad e^{H} \sin \beta=\sum_{i=1}^{2 m} S_{2 i}(-1)^{i}, \\
e^{B_{j}} \cos \theta_{j}=S_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} S_{1+i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{2 m}, \quad e^{B_{j}} \sin \theta_{j}=-\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} S_{1+i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{2 m}, \\
e^{D_{j}} \cos \phi_{j}=S_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{2 m}, \quad e^{D_{j}} \sin \phi_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{2 m} . \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

(4.2) and (4.3) have the same form.

Assume in (4.3) $S_{1} \neq 0, S_{2} \neq 0, S_{i}=0$, where $i=3, \cdots, 4 m . S_{i}=0$ are ( $4 m-2$ ) indeterminate equations with $(4 m-1)$ variables. From (4.3) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{A_{1}}=S_{1}+S_{2}, \quad e^{A_{2}}=S_{1}-S_{2}, \quad e^{2 H}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}, \\
e^{2 B_{j}}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}+2 S_{1} S_{2} \cos \frac{j \pi}{2 m}, \quad e^{2 D_{j}}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}-2 S_{1} S_{2} \cos \frac{j \pi}{2 m} . \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $m=\prod p_{i}$, where $p_{i}$ ranges over all odd primes. From (4.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left[A_{1}+A_{2}+2 H+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=S_{1}^{4 m}-S_{2}^{4 m} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(A_{1}+2 D_{\frac{2 m}{p_{i}}}+2 B_{\frac{4 m}{p_{i}}}+2 D_{\frac{6 m}{p_{i}}}+2 B_{\frac{8 m}{p_{i}}}+\cdots\right)=S_{1}^{p_{i}}+S_{2}^{p_{i}} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.2) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left[A_{1}+A_{2}+2 H+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m=1}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=1,  \tag{4.7}\\
\exp \left(A_{1}+2 D_{\frac{2 m}{p_{i}}}+2 B_{\frac{4 m}{p_{i}}}+\cdots\right)=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{4 m}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{p_{i}}, \tag{4.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (4.5)-(4.8) we have the Fermat's equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}^{4 m}-S_{2}^{4 m}=1,  \tag{4.9}\\
S_{1}^{p_{i}}+S_{2}^{p_{i}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{4 m}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{p_{i}} . \tag{4.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Fermat [11] proved (4.9), therefore (4.10) has no rational solutions for any prime $p_{i}>3$. It is a Fermat's marvellous proof and can fit in the margin[5].

Theorem 4.2. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the first kind from (4.9) and (4.10)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{S}_{1}^{4 \hat{m}}-\hat{S}_{2}^{4 \hat{m}}=\hat{I},  \tag{4.11}\\
\hat{S}_{1}{ }^{\hat{p_{i}}}+{\hat{S_{2}}}^{\hat{p_{i}}}=\left[\mathrm{exp}\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\left(\frac{4 m}{p_{i}}-1\right.} \hat{t}_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{\hat{p_{i}}} . \tag{4.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Fermat [11] proved (4.11), therefore (4.12) has no isorational solutions for any isoprime $\hat{p_{i}}>\hat{3}$

Theorem 4.3. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{x})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the second kind from (4.9) and (4.10)

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}^{4 \hat{m}}-S_{2}^{4 \hat{m}}=1,  \tag{4.13}\\
S_{1}^{\hat{p}_{i}}+S_{2}^{\hat{p}_{i}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{4 m}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \alpha}\right)\right]^{\hat{p}_{i}} . \tag{4.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (4.14) for isoprime $\hat{p_{i}}=\hat{3}$, therefore (4.13) has no rational solutions for any isonumber $4 \hat{m}$.

From (4.2), (4.3) and (8.6) we have the circular determinant

$$
\exp \left[A_{1}+A_{2}+2 H+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & S_{4 m} & \ldots & S_{2}  \tag{4.15}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & \ldots & S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & \ldots & S_{4} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
S_{4 m} & S_{4 m-1} & \ldots & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $m=16$. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b$. $S_{i}=0$ are 62 Diophantine equations with 63 variables. From (4.15) we have 1984 Fermat's equations. Every real Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 64)=16$, from (4.15) we have 64 Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{4}-S_{b}^{4}\right)^{16}= \pm 1 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The - sign can be rejected. From (4.16) we have 32 real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4}-S_{b}^{4}=1 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 64)=8$, from (4.15) we have 120 Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{8}-S_{b}^{8}\right)^{8}= \pm 1 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.18) we have 60 real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{8}-S_{b}^{8}=1 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If ( $a-b, 64$ ) $=4$, from (4.15) we have 240 Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{16}-S_{b}^{16}\right)^{4}= \pm 1 \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.20) we have 120 real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{16}-S_{b}^{16}=1 \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If ( $a-b, 64$ ) $=2$, from (4.15) we have 480 Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{32}-S_{b}^{32}\right)^{2}= \pm 1 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.22) we have 240 real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{32}-S_{b}^{32}=1 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If ( $a-b, 64$ ) $=1$, from (4.15) we have 960 Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{64}-S_{b}^{64}=1 \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fermat proved (4.17), therefore (4.19), (4.21) and (4.23) - (4.24) have no rational solutions.

From the theorem 3.4 we follow that all real Fermat's equations in (4.15) have no rational solutions.

Theorem 4.5. Let $m=p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(4 p-2)$ Diophantine equations with ( $4 p-1$ ) variables. From (4.15) we have $4 p(2 p-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every real Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If ( $a-b, 4 p$ ) $=p$, from (4.15) we have $4 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{4}-S_{b}^{4}\right)^{p}= \pm 1 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.25) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4}-S_{b}^{4}=1 \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 p)=4$, from (4.15) we have $2 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{4}= \pm 1 \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.27) we have $p(p-1)$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 p)=2$, from (4.15) we have $2 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{2 p}-S_{b}^{2 p}\right)^{2}= \pm 1 \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.29) we have $p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 p}-S_{b}^{2 p}=1 . \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 p)=1$, from (4.15) we have $4 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4 p}-S_{b}^{4 p}=1 . \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.2) and (4.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(A_{1}+2 D_{2}+2 B_{4}+\ldots\right)=S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} t_{p \alpha}\right)\right]^{p} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fermat proved (4.26), therefore (4.28), (4.3), (4.31) and (4.32) have no rational solutions.

Theorem 4.6. Let $m=3 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(12 p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(12 p-1)$
variables. From (4.15) we have $12 p(6 p-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every real Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 12 p)=3 p$, from (4.15) we have $12 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{4}-S_{b}^{4}\right)^{3 p}= \pm 1 \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.33) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4}-S_{b}^{4}=1 \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 12 p)=4 p$, from (4.15) we have $12 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}\right)^{4 p}= \pm 1 \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.35) we have $6 p$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}=1 \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 12 p)=12$, from (4.15) we have $6 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{12}= \pm 1 \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.37) we have $3 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 12 p)=6$, from (4.15) we have $6 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{2 p}-S_{b}^{2 p}\right)^{6}= \pm 1 \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.39) we have $3 p(p-1)$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 p}-S_{b}^{2 p}=1 \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 12 p)=4$, from (4.15) we have $12 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3 p}+S_{b}^{3 p}\right)^{4}= \pm 1 \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.41) we have $6 p(p-1)$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3 p}+S_{b}^{3 p}=1 \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 12 p)=3$, from (4.15) we have $12 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{4 p}-S_{b}^{4 p}\right)^{3}= \pm 1 \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.43) we have $6 p(p-1)$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4 p}-S_{b}^{4 p}=1 \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 12 p)=2$, from (4.15) we have $12 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{6 p}-S_{b}^{6 p}\right)^{2}= \pm 1 \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.45) we have $6 p(p-1)$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{6 p}-S_{b}^{6 p}=1 \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 12 p)=1$ from (4.15) we have $24 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{12 p}-S_{b}^{12 p}=1 \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.2) and (4.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(A_{1}+2 D_{6}+2 B_{12}+\ldots\right)=S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{11} t_{p \alpha}\right)\right]^{p} \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fermat proved (4.34), therefore (4.36), (4.38), (4.4) and (4.46) - (4.48) have no rational solutions.

Theorem 4.7. Let $m=\Pi p$, where $p$ ranges over all odd primes. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are ( $4 m-2$ ) Diophantine equations with $(4 m-1)$ variables. From (4.15) we have $4 m(2 m-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every real Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 4 m)=m$, from (4.15) we have $4 m$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{4}-S_{b}^{4}\right)^{m}= \pm 1 \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.49) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4}-S_{b}^{4}=1 \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

If ( $a-b, 4 m)=4 m / 3$, from (4.15) we have $4 m$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}\right)^{4 m / 3}= \pm 1 \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.51) we have $2 m$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}=1 \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

If ( $a-b, 4 m)=4 m / 5$, from (4.15) we have $8 m$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}\right)^{4 m / 5}= \pm 1 \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.53) we have $4 m$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{5}+S_{b}^{5}=1 \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 m)=4 m / p$, from (4.15) we have $2 m(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{4 m / p}= \pm 1 \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.55) we have $m(p-1)$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 . \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 m)=2$, from (4.15) we have $2 m \phi(m)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{2 m}-S_{b}^{2 m}\right)^{2}= \pm 1 \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.57) we have $m \phi(m)$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 m}-S_{b}^{2 m}=1 \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 m)=1$, from (4.15) we have $2 m \phi(4 m)$ real Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4 m}-S_{b}^{4 m}=1 \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fermat proved (4.50), therefore (4.52), (4.54), (4.56), (4.58) and (4.59) have no rational solutions, that is all real Fermat's equations in (4.15) have no rational solutions.

By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ and $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{\times})$ the theorems 4.4 to 4.7 are the Fermat-Santilli isotheorems.

## 5. Class IV Fermat-Santilli Isotheorems

Let $b=n$, where $n$ is an odd. From (8.13) we have the complex trigonometric functions $S_{i}$ of order $n$ with $(n-1)$ variables,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}=\frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{n}\left[e^{A}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}(-1)^{(i-1) j} e^{B_{j}} \cos \left(\theta_{j}+(-1)^{j} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{n}\right)\right], \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1, \cdots, n$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}, \quad B_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{(j-1) \alpha} \cos \frac{\alpha j \pi}{n}, \\
\theta_{j}=(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{(j-1) \alpha} \sin \frac{\alpha j \pi}{n}, \quad A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} B_{j}=0 . \tag{5.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the same way as in (2.3) and (2.4), we have its inverse transformation from (5.1)

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{A}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{j}(-1)^{i+1}, \quad e^{B_{j}} \cos \theta_{j}=S_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{(j-1) i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{n} \\
e^{B_{j}} \sin \theta_{j}=(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{(j-1) i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{n} \tag{5.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

(5.2) and (5.3) have the same form.

Assume in (5.3) $S_{1} \neq 0, S_{2} \neq 0, S_{i}=0$, where $i=3, \cdots, n$. $S_{i}=0$ are $(n-2)$ indeterminate equations with $(n-1)$ variables. From (5.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{A}=S_{1}-S_{2}, \quad e^{2 B_{j}}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}+2 S_{1} S_{2}(-1)^{j-1} \cos \frac{j \pi}{n} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.1. Let $n=\prod p_{i}$, where $p_{i}$ ranges over all odd primes. From (5.4) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} B_{j}\right) & =S_{1}^{n}-S_{2}^{n}  \tag{5.5}\\
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p_{i}-1}{2}} B_{\frac{n}{p_{i}} j}\right) & =S_{1}^{p_{i}}-S_{2}^{p_{i}} . \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.2) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} B_{j}\right)=1,  \tag{5.7}\\
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p_{i}-1}{2}} B_{\frac{n}{p_{i}} j}\right)=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{p_{i}} . \tag{5.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (5.5)-(5.8) we have the Fermat's equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}^{n}-S_{2}^{n}=1  \tag{5.9}\\
S_{1}^{p_{i}}-S_{2}^{p_{i}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \text { alpha }}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{p_{i}} \tag{5.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (5.9),therefore (5.10) has no rational solutions for any prime $p_{i}>3$.

Theorem 5.2. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the first kind from (5.9) and (5.10)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{S}_{1}^{\hat{n}}-\hat{S}_{2}^{\hat{n}}=\hat{I},  \tag{5.11}\\
{\hat{S_{1}}}^{\hat{p_{i}}}-{\hat{S_{2}}}^{\hat{p_{i}}}=\left[\hat{\exp }\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} \hat{t}_{p_{i} \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{\hat{p_{i}}} . \tag{5.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (5.11), therefore (5.12) has no isorational solutions for any isoprime $\hat{p_{i}} \geq \hat{3}$.

Theorem 5.3. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{x})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the second kind from (5.9) and (5.10)

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}^{\hat{n}}-S_{2}^{\hat{n}}=1  \tag{5.13}\\
S_{1}^{\hat{p_{i}}}-S_{2}^{\hat{p_{i}}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{p_{i} \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{\hat{p_{i}}} . \tag{5.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (5.14) for isoprime $\hat{p_{i}}=\hat{3}$, therefore (5.13) has no rational solutions for any odd isonumber $\hat{n}>\hat{3}$.

From (5.2), (5.3) and (8.14) we have the cyclic determinant

$$
\exp \left(A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(n-1) / 2} B_{j}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & -S_{n} & \ldots & -S_{2}  \tag{5.15}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & \ldots & -S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & \ldots & -S_{4} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
S_{n} & S_{n-1} & \ldots & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

Theorem 5.4. Let $n=p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . \quad S_{i}=0$ are $(p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(p-1)$ variables.

From (5.15) we have $\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 8$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$2\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 8$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}-S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(p-1)(p-3) / 8$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=-1 \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sufficient to prove the theorem 5.4, but the proof has great difficulty. In the following theorems we consider $n$ is the composite number.

Theorem 5.5. Let $n=3 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(3 p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(3 p-1)$ variables. From (5.15) we have $3 p(3 p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations. Every Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 3 p)=p$, from (5.15) we have $2 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3}-S_{b}^{3}\right)^{p}=1 \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.19) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3}-S_{b}^{3}=1 \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $(p+1) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}\right)^{p}=1 \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.21) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}=1 \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $(p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}\right)^{p}=-1 \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.23) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3}+S_{b}^{3}=-1 \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 3 p)=3$, from $(5.15)$ we have $3\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 4$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}-S_{b}^{p}\right)^{3}=1 \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.25) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}-S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $(p-1)(p-3) / 8$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{3}=1 \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.27) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\left(3 p^{2}-8 p+5\right) / 8$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}\right)^{3}=-1 \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.29) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p}+S_{b}^{p}=-1 \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 3 p)=1$, from (5.15) we have $(p-1)(3 p-1) / 2$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3 p}-S_{b}^{3 p}=1 \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$3\left(p^{2}-1\right) / 4$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3 p}+S_{b}^{3 p}=1 \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(p-1)(3 p-1) / 4$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3 p}+S_{b}^{3 p}=-1 \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Euler proved (5.20), (5.22) and (5.24), therefore (5.26) - (5.33) have no rational solutions, that is all Fermat's equations in (5.15) have no rational solutions.

By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{\times})$ and $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{\times})$ the theorems 5.4 and 5.5 are both Fermat-Santilli isotheorems.

## 6. Class V Fermat-Santilli Isotheorems

Let $b=2 n$, where $n$ is an odd. From (8.13) we have the complex trigonometric functions $S_{i}$ of order $2 n$ with $(2 n-1)$ variables,

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{i}=\frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{n}\left[e^{H}\right. \\
\left.\cos \left(\beta+\frac{(i-1) \pi}{2}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} e^{B_{j}} \cos \left(\theta_{j}+\frac{(i-1)(2 j+1) \pi}{2 n}\right)\right]  \tag{6.1}\\
\\
\quad+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} e^{D_{j}} \cos \left(\phi_{j}-\frac{(i-1)(2 j+1) \pi}{2 n}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i=1, \cdots, 2 n$;

$$
\begin{gathered}
H=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n-1} t_{2 \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}, \quad \beta=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} t_{2 \alpha-1}(-1)^{1+\alpha} \\
B_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \alpha \pi}{2 n}, \quad \theta_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{1+\alpha} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) \alpha \pi}{2 n}, \\
D_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n-1} t_{\alpha} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \alpha \pi}{2 n}, \quad \phi_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2 n-1} t_{\alpha} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) \alpha \pi}{2 n}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 H+2 \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)=0 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way as in (2.3) and (2.4), from (6.1) we have its inverse transformation

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{H} \cos \beta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{2 i-1}(-1)^{1+i}, \quad e^{H} \sin \beta=\sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{2 i}(-1)^{1+i} \\
e^{B_{j}} \cos \theta_{j}=S_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{i} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) i \pi}{2 n} \\
e^{B_{j}} \sin \theta_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{1+i} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) i \pi}{2 n} \\
e^{D_{j}} \cos \phi_{j}=S_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1} S_{1+i} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) i \pi}{2 n} \\
e^{D_{j}} \sin \phi_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 n-1} S_{1+i} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) i \pi}{2 n} \tag{6.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

(6.2) and (6.3) have the same form.

Assume in (6.3) $S_{1} \neq 0, S_{2} \neq 0, S_{i}=0$, where $i=3, \cdots, 2 n . S_{i}=0$ are $(2 n-2)$ indeterminate equations with $(2 n-1)$ variables. From (6.3) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{2 H}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}, \quad e^{2 B_{j}}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}-2 S_{1} S_{2} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{2 n} \\
e^{2 D_{j}}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}+2 S_{1} S_{2} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{2 n} \tag{6.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $n=1$. We have $H=0$ and $\beta=t_{1}$. From (6.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}=1 \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{1}=\cos t_{1}$ and $S_{2}=\sin t_{1}$.
(6.5) is Pythagorean theorem. It has infinitely many rational solutions. (6.5) inspired Fermat to write his last theorem. From (6.5) Jiang [3] introduces $S_{i}$ functions and proves Fermat's last theorem.

Theorem 6.1. Let $n=\prod p_{i}$, where $p_{i}$ ranges over all odd primes. From (6.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left[2 H+2 \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=S_{1}^{2 n}+S_{2}^{2 n} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left[2 H+2 \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p_{i}-3}{2}}\left(B_{\frac{n}{p_{i}} j+\frac{n-p_{i}}{2 p_{i}}}+D_{\frac{n}{p_{i}} j+\frac{n-p_{i}}{2 p_{i}}}\right)\right]=S_{1}^{2 p_{i}}+S_{2}^{2 p_{i}} . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.2) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left[2 H+2 \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=1  \tag{6.8}\\
\exp \left[2 H+2 \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p_{i}-3}{2}}\left(B_{\frac{n}{p_{i}} j+\frac{n-p_{i}}{2 p_{i}}}+D_{\frac{n}{p_{i}} j+\frac{n-p_{i}}{2 p_{i}}}\right)\right]=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{2 p_{i} \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{2 p_{i}} \tag{6.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (6.6)-(6.9) we have the Fermat's equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}^{2 n}+S_{2}^{2 n}=1  \tag{6.10}\\
S_{1}^{2 p_{i}}+S_{2}^{2 p_{i}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{2 p_{i} \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{2 p_{i}} \tag{6.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (6.10), therefore (6.11) has no rational solutions for any prime $p_{i}>3$.

Theorem 6.2. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{\times})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the first kind from (6.10) and (6.11)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{S}_{1}^{2 \hat{2 n}}+{\hat{S_{2}}}^{2 n}=\hat{I},  \tag{6.12}\\
{\hat{S_{1}}}^{2 \hat{p}_{i}}+{\hat{S_{2}}}^{2 \hat{p}_{i}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} \hat{t}_{2 p_{i} \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{2 \hat{p}_{i}} . \tag{6.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (6.12), therefore (6.13) has no isorational solutions for any isonumber $2 \hat{p}_{i}$.

Theorem 6.3. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{\times})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the second kind from (6.10) and (6.11)

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}^{2 \hat{2 n}}+S_{2}^{2 \hat{2 n}}=1  \tag{6.14}\\
S_{1}^{2 \hat{p}_{i}}+S_{2}^{2 \hat{p}_{i}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{n}{p_{i}}-1} t_{2 p_{i} \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{2 \hat{p}_{i}} \tag{6.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Euler [11] proved (6.15) for isonumber $\hat{2 p}=\hat{6}$, therefore (6.14) has no rational solutions for any isonumber $\hat{2 n}$.

From (6.2), (6.3) and (8.14) we have the cyclic determinant

$$
\exp \left[2 H+2 \sum_{j=0}^{(n-3) / 2}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & -S_{2 n} & \ldots & -S_{2}  \tag{6.16}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & \ldots & -S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & \ldots & -S_{4} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
S_{2 n} & S_{2 n-1} & \ldots & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

Theorem 6.4. Let $n=p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(2 p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(2 p-1)$ variables. From (6.16) we have $2 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations.

If ( $a-b, 2 p$ ) $=2$, from (6.16) we have $p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.17) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 2 p)=1$, from (6.16) we have $p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 p}+S_{b}^{2 p}=1 . \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sufficient to prove the theorem 6.4, but the proof has great difficulty. In the following theorems we consider $n$ is the composite number.

Theorem 6.5. Let $n=3 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(6 p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(6 p-1)$ variables. From ( 6.16 ) we have $6 p(3 p-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 6 p)=2 p$, from (6.16) we have $6 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3} \pm S_{b}^{3}\right)^{2 p}=1 . \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.20) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3} \pm S_{b}^{3}=1 \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 6 p)=p$, from (6.16) we have $6 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{6}+S_{b}^{6}\right)^{p}=1 \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.22) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{6}+S_{b}^{6}=1 \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 6 p)=6$, from (6.16) we have $3 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}\right)^{6}=1 \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.24) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}=1 . \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 6 p)=3$, from (6.16) we have $3 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{2 p}+S_{b}^{2 p}\right)^{3}=1 \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.26) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 p}+S_{b}^{2 p}=1 . \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 6 p)=2$, from (6.16) we have $6 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3 p} \pm S_{b}^{3 p}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.28) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3 p} \pm S_{b}^{3 p}=1 . \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 6 p)=1$, from (6.16) we have $6 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
S_{a}^{6 p}+S_{b}^{6 p}=1
$$

Euler proved (6.21), therefore (6.23) - (6.30) have no rational solutions.
Theorem 6.6. Let $n=5 p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(10 p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(10 p-1)$ variables. From (6.16) we have $10 p(5 p-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 10 p)=2 p$, from (6.16) we have $20 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{5} \pm S_{b}^{5}\right)^{2 p}=1 \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.31) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{5} \pm S_{b}^{5}=1 . \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 10 p)=p$, from (6.16) we have $20 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{10}+S_{b}^{10}\right)^{p}=1 . \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.33) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{10}+S_{b}^{10}=1 \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 10 p)=10$, from (6.16) we have $5 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}\right)^{10}=1 \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.35) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 10 p)=5$, from (6.16) we have $5 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{2 p}+S_{b}^{2 p}\right)^{5}=1 \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.37) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 p}+S_{b}^{2 p}=1 \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 10 p)=2$, from (6.16) we have $20 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{5 p} \pm S_{b}^{5 p}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.39) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{5 p} \pm S_{b}^{5 p}=1 \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 10 p)=1$, from (6.16) we have $20 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{10 p}+S_{b}^{10 p}=1 \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dirichlet and Legendre proved (6.32), therefore (6.34) - (6.41) have no rational solutions.

Theorem 6.7. Let $n=\prod p$, where $p$ ranges over all odd primes. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(2 n-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(2 n-1)$ variables. From $(6.16)$ we have $2 n(n-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 2 n)=2 n / 3$, from (6.16) we have $2 n$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3} \pm S_{b}^{3}\right)^{2 n / 3}=1 \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.42) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3} \pm S_{b}^{3}=1 \tag{6.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 2 n)=2 n / 5$, from (6.16) we have $4 n$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{5} \pm S_{b}^{5}\right)^{2 n / 5}=1 \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.44) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{5} \pm S_{b}^{5}=1 \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 2 n)=2 n / 7$, from (6.16) we have $6 n$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{7} \pm S_{b}^{7}\right)^{2 n / 7}=1 \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.46) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{7} \pm S_{b}^{7}=1 \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 2 n)=2 n / p$, from (6.16) we have $n(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}\right)^{2 n / p}=1 \tag{6.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.48) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 2 n)=2$, from (6.16) we have $n \phi(n)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{n} \pm S_{b}^{n}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.50) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{n} \pm S_{b}^{n}=1 \tag{6.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 2 n)=1$, from (6.16) we have $n \phi(n)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 n}+S_{b}^{2 n}=1 \tag{6.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Euler proved (6.43), therefore (6.45) - (6.52) have no rational solutions, that is all Fermat's equations in (6.16) have no rational solutions.

By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ and $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{\times})$ the theorems 6.4 to 6.7 are Fermat-Santilli isotheorems.

## 7. Class VI Fermat-Santilli Isotheorems

Let $b=4 m, m=1,2, \cdots$. From (8.13) we have the complex trigonometric functions $S_{i}$ of order $4 m$ with $(4 m-1)$ variables,

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{i}=\frac{1}{2 m}\left[(-1)^{i-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{B_{j}} \cos \left(\theta_{j}+\frac{(i-1)(2 j+1) \pi}{4 m}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} e^{D_{j}}\right. \\
\left.\cos \left(\phi_{j}-\frac{(i-1)(2 j+1) \pi}{4 m}\right)\right] \tag{7.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $i=1, \cdots, 4 m$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4 m-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \alpha \pi}{4 m}, \quad \theta_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4 m-1} t_{\alpha}(-1)^{1+\alpha} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) \alpha \pi}{4 m}, \\
D_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4 m-1} t_{\alpha} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \alpha \pi}{4 m}, \quad \phi_{j}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4 m-1} t_{\alpha} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) \alpha \pi}{4 m} \\
2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)=0 \tag{7.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the same way as in (2.3) and (2.4), from (7.1) we have its inverse transformation

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{B_{j}} \cos \theta_{j}=S_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{i} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) i \pi}{4 m} \\
& e^{B_{j}} \sin \theta_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} S_{1+i}(-1)^{1+i} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) i \pi}{4 m} \\
& e^{D_{j}} \cos \phi_{j}=S_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} S_{1+i} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) i \pi}{4 m} \\
& e^{D_{j}} \sin \phi_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} S_{1+i} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) i \pi}{4 m} \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

(7.2) and (7.3) have the same form.

Assume in (7.3) $S_{1} \neq 0, S_{2} \neq 0$, and $S_{i}=0$, where $i=3, \cdots, 4 m . S_{i}=0$ are $(4 m-2)$ indeterminate equations with $(4 m-1)$ variables. From (7.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 B_{j}}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}-2 S_{1} S_{2} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{4 m}, e^{2 D_{j}}=S_{1}^{2}+S_{2}^{2}+2 S_{1} S_{2} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{4 m} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 7.1. Let $m=\prod p_{i}$, where $p_{i}$ ranges over all odd primes. From (7.4) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left[2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=S_{1}^{4 m}+S_{2}^{4 m},  \tag{7.5}\\
\exp \left[2 \sum_{j=0}^{p_{i}-1}\left(B_{\frac{m}{p_{i}} j+\frac{m-p_{i}}{2 p_{i}}}+D_{\frac{m}{p_{i}} j+\frac{m-p_{i}}{2 p_{i}}}\right)\right]=S_{1}^{4 p_{i}}+S_{2}^{4 p_{i}} . \tag{7.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (7.2) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\exp \left[2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=1,  \tag{7.7}\\
\exp \left[2 \sum_{j=0}^{p_{i}-1}\left(B_{\frac{m}{p_{i}} j+\frac{m-p_{i}}{2 p_{i}}}+D_{\frac{m}{p_{i}} j+\frac{m-p_{i}}{2 p_{i}}}\right)\right]=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{a l p h a=1}^{\frac{m}{p_{i}}-1} t_{4 p_{i} \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{4 p_{i}} . \tag{7.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (7.5)-(7.8) we have the Fermat's equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{4 m}+S_{2}^{4 m}=1, \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{4 p_{i}}+S_{2}^{4 p_{i}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{m}{p_{i}}-1} t_{4 p_{i} \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{4 p_{i}} \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fermat [11] proved (7.9), therefore (7.10) has no rational solutions for any prime $p_{i}$.

Theorem 7.2. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{x})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the first kind from (7.9) and (7.10)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{S}_{1}^{4 \hat{m}}+\hat{S}_{2}^{4 \hat{m}}=\hat{I},  \tag{7.11}\\
\hat{S}_{1}^{4 \hat{p}_{i}}+\hat{S}_{2}^{4 \hat{p}_{i}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{m}{p_{i}-1}} \hat{t}_{4 p_{i} \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{4 \hat{p}_{i}} . \tag{7.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Fermat [11] proved (7.11), therefore (7.12) has no isorational solutions for any isonumber $4 \hat{p}_{i}$.

Theorem 7.3. By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{x})$ we have the Fermat-Santilli equations of the second kind from (7.9) and (7.10)

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}^{4 \hat{m}}+S_{2}^{4 \hat{m}}=1  \tag{7.13}\\
S_{1}^{4 \hat{p}_{i}}+S_{2}^{4 \hat{p}_{i}}=\left[\exp \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\frac{m}{p_{i}}-1} t_{4 p_{i} \alpha}(-1)^{\alpha}\right)\right]^{4 \hat{p}_{i}} . \tag{7.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Fermat [11] proved (7.14), therefore (7.13) has no rational solutions for any isonumber $4 \hat{m}$. From (7.2), (7.3) and (8.14) we have the cyclic determinant

$$
\exp \left[2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1}\left(B_{j}+D_{j}\right)\right]=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & -S_{4 m} & \ldots & -S_{2}  \tag{7.15}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & \ldots & -S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & \ldots & -S_{4} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
S_{4 m} & S_{4 m-1} & \ldots & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

Theorem 7.4. Let $m=16$. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b$. $S_{i}=0$ are 62 Diophantine equations with 63 variables. From (7.15) we have 1984 Fermat's equations. Every Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 64)=16$, from (7.15) we have 64 Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{4}+S_{b}^{4}\right)^{16}=1 \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4}+S_{b}^{4}=1 \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 64)=8$, from (7.15) we have 128 Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{8}+S_{b}^{8}\right)^{8}=1 \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.18) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{8}+S_{b}^{8}=1 \tag{7.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 64)=4$, from (7.15) we have 256 Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{16}+S_{b}^{16}\right)^{4}=1 \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.20) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{16}+S_{b}^{16}=1 \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 64)=2$, from (7.15) we have 512 Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{32}+S_{b}^{32}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.22) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{32}+S_{b}^{32}=1 \tag{7.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 64)=1$, from (7.15) we have 1024 Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{64}+S_{b}^{64}=1 \tag{7.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fermat proved (7.17), therefore (7.19), (7.21), (7.23) and (7.24) have no rational solutions.

From the theorem 7.4, we follow that all Fermat's equations in (7.15) have no rational solutions.

Theorem 7.5. Let $m=p$, where $p$ is an odd prime. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(4 p-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(4 p-1)$ variables. From (7.15) we have $4 p(2 p-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 4 p)=p$, from (7.15) we have $4 p$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{4}+S_{b}^{4}\right)^{p}=1 \tag{7.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.25) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4}+S_{b}^{4}=1 \tag{7.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 p)=4$, from (7.15) we have $2 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}\right)^{4}=1 \tag{7.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.27) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{7.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 p)=2$, from (7.15) we have $2 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{2 p}+S_{b}^{2 p}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{7.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.29) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{2 p}+S_{b}^{2 p}=1 \tag{7.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 p)=1$, from (7.15) we have $4 p(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4 p}+S_{b}^{4 p}=1 \tag{7.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fermat proved (7.26), therefore (7.28), (7.30) and (7.31) have no rational solutions.

Theorem 7.6. Let $m=\prod p$, where $p$ ranges over all odd primes. Suppose all $S_{i}=0$ except $S_{a} \neq 0$ and $S_{b} \neq 0, a \neq b . S_{i}=0$ are $(4 m-2)$ Diophantine equations with $(4 m-1)$ variables. From $(7.15)$ we have $4 m(2 m-1)$ Fermat's equations. Every Fermat's equation has no rational solutions.

Proof. If $(a-b, 4 m)=m$, from (7.15) we have $4 m$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{4}+S_{b}^{4}\right)^{m}=1 \tag{7.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.32) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4}+S_{b}^{4}=1 \tag{7.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 m)=4 m / 3$, from (7.15) we have $4 m$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{3} \pm S_{b}^{3}\right)^{4 m / 3}=1 \tag{7.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.34) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{3} \pm S_{b}^{3}=1 \tag{7.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 m)=4 m / 5$, from (7.15) we have $8 m$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{5} \pm S_{b}^{5}\right)^{4 m / 5}=1 \tag{7.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.36) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{5} \pm S_{b}^{5}=1 \tag{7.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 m)=4 m / 7$, from (7.15) we have $12 m$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{7} \pm S_{b}^{7}\right)^{4 m / 7}=1 \tag{7.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.38) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{7} \pm S_{b}^{7}=1 \tag{7.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 m)=4 m / p$, from (7.15) we have $2 m(p-1)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}\right)^{4 m / p}=1 \tag{7.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.40) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{p} \pm S_{b}^{p}=1 \tag{7.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(a-b, 4 m)=1$, from (7.15) we have $4 m \phi(m)$ Fermat's equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{4 m}+S_{b}^{4 m}=1 \tag{7.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fermat proved (7.33), therefore (7.35), (7.37), (7.39), (7.41) and (7.42) have no rational solutions, that is all Fermat's equations in (7.15) have no rational solutions.

By lifting $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(\hat{a},+, \hat{\times})$ and $F(a,+, \times) \rightarrow \hat{F}(a,+, \hat{\times})$ the theorems 7.4 to 7.6 are the Fermat-Santilli isotheorems.

## 8. Fermat's Mathematics

Definition 8.1. We define the hyperbolic functions of order $b$ : $J h_{1 \cdot b}^{(i)}\left(t_{1}\right)[3]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(t_{1} J_{b}^{b-1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{b-1} J h_{1 \cdot b}^{(i)}\left(t_{1}\right) J_{b}^{i} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{b}$ denotes a $b$-th root of positive unity, $J_{b}^{b}=1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
J h_{1 \cdot b}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_{1}^{b i}}{(b i)!}=\frac{1}{b}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{b}\left[\exp \left(t_{1} \cos \frac{2 \pi j}{b}\right)\right] \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{2 \pi j}{b}\right)\right\}  \tag{8.2}\\
J h_{1 \cdot b}^{(1)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{d}{d t_{1}} J h_{1 \cdot b}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{b}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{b}\left[\exp \left(t_{1} \cos \frac{2 \pi j}{b}\right)\right] \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{2 \pi j}{b}+\frac{2 \pi j}{b}\right)\right\}  \tag{8.3}\\
J h_{1 \cdot b}^{(e)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{d^{e}}{d t_{1}^{e}} J h_{1 \cdot b}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{b}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{b}\left[\exp \left(t_{1} \cos \frac{2 \pi j}{b}\right)\right] \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{2 \pi j}{b}+\frac{2 \pi e j}{b}\right)\right\},  \tag{8.4}\\
J h_{1 \cdot b}^{(b)}\left(t_{1}\right)=J h_{1 \cdot b}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

If $b=1$, then $J h_{1 \cdot 1}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=e^{t_{1}}$.
If $b=2$, then $J h_{1 \cdot 2}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\operatorname{ch} t_{1}$.
If $b=n$, where $n$ is an odd, then

$$
J h_{1 \cdot n}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{n}\left[e^{t_{1}}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \exp \left(t_{1}(-1)^{j} \cos \frac{j \pi}{n}\right) \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{j \pi}{n}\right)\right]
$$

If $b=2 n$, where $n$ is an odd,

$$
J h_{1 \cdot 2 n}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{n}\left[\operatorname{ch} t_{1}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \operatorname{ch}\left(t_{1} \cos \frac{j \pi}{n}\right) \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{j \pi}{n}\right)\right]
$$

If $b=4 m, m=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
J h_{1 \cdot 4 m}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{2 m}\left[\cos t_{1}+\operatorname{ch} t_{1}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{j \pi}{2 m}\right) \operatorname{ch}\left(t_{1} \cos \frac{j \pi}{2 m}\right)\right]
$$

Definition 8.2. We define the Euler's formula of the positive hypercomplex numbers similar to the Euler's formula in the complex numbers [3],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{b-1} t_{i} J_{b}^{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{b} S_{i} J_{b}^{i-1} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{i}$ is called the complex hyperbolic functions of order $b$ with $(b-1)$ variables. Substituting (8.1)-(8.4) into (8.5) we can obtain $S_{i}$. Let $b=1$, we have $S_{1}=e^{t_{1}}$. Let $b=2$, we have $\exp \left(t_{1} J_{2}\right)=\operatorname{ch} t_{1}+\operatorname{sh} t_{1} J_{2} . S_{i}$ have an identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & S_{b} & \cdots & S_{2}  \tag{8.6}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & \cdots & S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & \cdots & S_{4} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b} & S_{b-1} & \cdots & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{1}\right)_{b-1} \\
S_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{2}\right)_{b-1} \\
S_{3} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{3}\right)_{b-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b} & \left(S_{b}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{b}\right)_{b-1}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

where $\left(S_{i}\right)_{j}=\frac{\partial S_{i}}{\partial t_{j}}$. From (8.6) we have the $S_{i}$ Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & S_{b} & \cdots & S_{2}  \tag{8.7}\\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{3}\right)_{1} \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(S_{3}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{2} & \cdots & \left(S_{4}\right)_{2} \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(S_{b}\right)_{b-1} & \left(S_{b-1}\right)_{b-1} & \cdots & \left(S_{1}\right)_{b-1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Let $S_{i}=\frac{y_{i}}{R^{b}}$, where

$$
R^{b}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & x_{b} & \cdots & x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & x_{3} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
x_{b} & x_{b-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{i}=\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{b} j b_{j} \equiv i-1(\bmod \quad b)} \frac{b!}{b_{1}!b_{2}!\cdots b_{b}!} x_{1}^{b_{1}} x_{2}^{b_{2}} \cdots x_{b}^{b_{b}} \\
\sum_{j=1}^{b} b_{j}=b
\end{gathered}
$$

If $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{b}$ are integers, then $S_{i}$ are rational numbers. We prove that (8.6) has infinitely many rational solutions. Let $b=2$. We have

$$
R^{2}=x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}, y_{1}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}, y_{2}=2 x_{1} x_{2}
$$

Let $=3$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{3} & =x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}+x_{3}^{3}-3 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \\
y_{1} & =x_{1}^{3}+x_{2}^{3}+x_{3}^{3}+6 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \\
y_{2} & =3\left(x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+x_{2}^{2} x_{3}+x_{3}^{2} x_{1}\right) \\
y_{3} & =3\left(x_{1}^{2} x_{3}+x_{2}^{2} x_{1}+x_{3}^{2} x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following formulas [3]

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_{i}(j)=\frac{\left|S_{i}(n)\right|}{|S(1)|}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
|S(1)|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1}(1)-1 & S_{b}(1) & \cdots & S_{2}(1) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & \cdots & S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right| \\
S_{1}(n)-1, S_{b}(n), \cdots, S_{2}(n) \rightarrow S_{1}(1)-1, S_{b}(1), \cdots, S_{2}(1) \\
\left|S_{1}(n)\right|=\left|S_{1}(n)-1\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1}(n)-1 & S_{b}(n) & \cdots & S_{2}(n) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & \cdots & S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right| \\
\\
S_{2}(n), S_{1}(n)-1, \cdots, S_{3}(n) \rightarrow S_{1}(1)-1, S_{b}(1), \cdots, S_{2}(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|S_{2}(n)\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{2}(n) & S_{1}(n)-1 & \cdots & S_{3}(n) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & \cdots & S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right|, \\
& \text {...... } \\
& S_{b}(n), S_{b-1}(n), \cdots, S_{1}(n)-1 \rightarrow S_{1}(1)-1, S_{b}(1), \cdots, S_{2}(1), \\
& \left|S_{b}(n)\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{b}(n) & S_{b-1}(n) & \cdots & S_{1}(n)-1 \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & \cdots & S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have the following formulas [3]

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{j} S_{i}(j)=\frac{\left|(-1)^{n-1} S_{i}(n)\right|}{|(S(1))|},
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
|(S(1))|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1}(1)+1 & S_{b}(1) & \cdots & S_{2}(1) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)+1 & \cdots & S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)+1
\end{array}\right|, \\
(-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1,(-1)^{n-1} S_{b}(n), \cdots,(-1)^{n-1} S_{2}(n) \rightarrow S_{1}(1)+1, S_{b}(1), \cdots, S_{2}(1) . \\
\left|(-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)\right|=\left|(-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1\right| \\
=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
(-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1 & (-1)^{n-1} S_{b}(n) & \cdots & (-1)^{n-1} S_{2}(n) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)+1 & \cdots & S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)+1
\end{array}\right|, \\
\\
(-1)^{n-1} S_{2}(n),(-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1, \cdots,(-1)^{n-1} S_{3}(n) \rightarrow S_{1}(1)+1, S_{b}(1) \cdots, S_{2}(1),
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|(-1)^{n-1} S_{2}(n)\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
(-1)^{n-1} S_{2}(n) & (-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1 & \cdots & (-1)^{n-1} S_{3}(n) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)+1 & \cdots & S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)+1,
\end{array}\right|, \\
(-1)^{n-1} S_{b}(n),(-1)^{n-1} S_{b-1}(n), \cdots,(-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1 \rightarrow S_{1}(1)+1, S_{b}(1), \cdots, S_{2}(1), \\
\left|(-1)^{n-1} S_{b}(n)\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
(-1)^{n-1} S_{b}(n) & (-1)^{n-1} S_{b-1}(n) & \cdots & (-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1 \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)+1 & \cdots & S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)+1
\end{array}\right| \\
\\
S_{i}(1)=S_{i}\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{b-1}\right) \text { and } S_{i}(n)=S_{i}(n)=S_{i}\left(n t_{1}, \cdots, n t_{b-1}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

$S_{i}$ have the recurrent formula similar to De Moivre formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{b} S_{i}(m t) J_{b}^{i-1}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{b} S_{i}(t) J_{b}^{i-1}\right)^{m} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots, \quad(t)=t\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{b-1}\right), \quad(m t)=\left(m t_{1}, m t_{2}, \cdots, m t_{b-1}\right)$.
Example 8.1. Let $b=3$. From (8.5) we have

$$
\exp \left(t_{1} J_{3}+t_{2} J_{3}^{2}\right)=S_{1}+S_{2} J_{3}+S_{3} J_{3}^{2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left(e^{A}+2 e^{B} \cos \theta\right) \\
S_{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{A}-2 e^{B} \cos \left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right] \\
S_{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{A}+2 e^{B} \cos \left(\theta-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right] . \\
A=t_{1}+t_{2}, \quad B=-\frac{t_{1}+t_{2}}{2}, \quad \theta=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) \\
t_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\ln \left(S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}\right)-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-S_{2}+S_{3}\right)}{2 S_{1}-S_{2}-S_{3}}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
t_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\ln \left(S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}\right)+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-S_{2}+S_{3}\right)}{2 S_{1}-S_{2}-S_{3}}\right]
$$

From (8.6) we have

$$
\left|\begin{array}{lll}
S_{1} & S_{3} & S_{2} \\
S_{2} & S_{1} & S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
S_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{2} \\
S_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{2} \\
S_{3} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{2}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

From (8.7) we have

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
S_{1} & S_{3} & S_{2} \\
\| & \| & \| \\
\left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{1} \\
\| & \| & \| \\
\left(S_{3}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

From (8.8) we have the recurrent formula

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} S_{i}\left(m t_{1}, m t_{2}\right) J_{3}^{i-1}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} S_{i}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) J_{3}^{i-1}\right)^{m} .
$$

If $m=2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}\left(2 t_{1}, 2 t_{2}\right)=S_{1}^{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)+2 S_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) S_{3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \\
& S_{2}\left(2 t_{1}, 2 t_{2}\right)=S_{3}^{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)+2 S_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) S_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \\
& S_{3}\left(2 t_{1}, 2 t_{2}\right)=S_{2}^{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)+2 S\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) S_{3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 8.3. We define the trigonometric functions of order $b: J h_{2 . b}^{(i)}\left(t_{1}\right) .[3]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(t_{1} I_{n}\right)=J h_{2 . b}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{b-1} J h_{2 . b}^{(b-i)}\left(t_{1}\right) I_{b}^{i} \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{b}$ denotes a $b$-th root of negative unity, $I_{b}^{b}=-1$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
J h_{2 . b}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{t_{1}^{b i}}{(b i)!}(-1)^{i}=\frac{1}{b}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{b}\left[\exp \left(t_{1} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{b}\right)\right] \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{b}\right)\right\} \\
J h_{2 . b}^{(1)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{d}{d t_{1}} J h_{2 . b}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)  \tag{8.10}\\
=\frac{1}{b}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{b}\left[\exp \left(t_{1} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{b}\right)\right] \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{b}+\frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{b}\right)\right\} \tag{8.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
J h_{2 . b}^{(e)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{d^{e}}{d t_{1}^{e}} J h_{2 . b}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{b}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{b}\left[\exp \left(t_{1} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{b}\right)\right] \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{b}+\frac{(2 j+1) e \pi}{b}\right)\right\},  \tag{8.12}\\
J h_{2 . b}^{(b)}\left(t_{1}\right)=-J h_{2 . b}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

If $b=1$, then $J h_{2.1}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=e^{-t_{1}}$. If $b=2$, then $J h_{2.2}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\cos t_{1}$. If $b=n$ is an odd,

$$
J h_{2 . n}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{n}\left[e^{-t_{1}}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \exp \left(t_{1}(-1)^{j+1} \cos \frac{j \pi}{n}\right) \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{j \pi}{n}\right)\right]
$$

If $b=2 n$, where $n$ is an odd, then

$$
J h_{2.2 n}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{n}\left[\cos t_{1}+2 \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} \operatorname{ch}\left(t_{1} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{2 n}\right) \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{2 n}\right)\right]
$$

If $b=4 m, m=1,2, \ldots$,

$$
J h_{2.4 m}^{(0)}\left(t_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{m}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \operatorname{ch}\left(t_{1} \cos \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{4 m}\right) \cos \left(t_{1} \sin \frac{(2 j+1) \pi}{4 m}\right)\right] .
$$

Definition 8.4. We define the Euler's formula of the negative hypercomplex numbers similar to the Euler's formula in the complex numbers.[3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left[\sum_{i=1}^{b-1} t_{i} I_{b}^{i}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{b} S_{i} I_{b}^{i-1} \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{i}$ is called the complex trigonometric functions of order $b$ with $(b-1)$ variables. Substituting (8.1)-(8.4) and (8.9)-(8.12) into (8.13) we can obtain $S_{i}$. Let $b=1$, we have $S_{1}=e^{-t_{1}}$. Let $b=2$, we have $\exp \left(t_{1} I_{2}\right)=\cos t_{1}+\sin t_{1} I_{2} . S_{i}$ have an identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & -S_{b} & \cdots & -S_{2}  \tag{8.14}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & \cdots & -S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & \cdots & -S_{4} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b} & S_{b-1} & \cdots & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{1}\right)_{b-1} \\
S_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{3}\right)_{b-1} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b} & \left(S_{b}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{b}\right)_{b-1}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

where $\left(S_{j}\right)_{i}=\frac{\partial S_{j}}{\partial t_{i}}$. From (8.14) we have the $S_{i}$ Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & -S_{b} & \cdots & -S_{2}  \tag{8.15}\\
\| & \| & & \| \\
\left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \cdots & -\left(S_{3}\right)_{1} \\
\| & \| & & \| \\
\left(S_{3}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{2} & \cdots & -\left(S_{4}\right)_{2} \\
\| & \| & & \| \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
\| & \| & & \| \\
\left(S_{b}\right)_{b-1} & \left(S_{b-1}\right)_{b-1} & \cdots & \left(S_{1}\right)_{b-1}
\end{array}\right| .
$$

Let $S_{i}=\frac{y_{i}}{R^{b}}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
R^{b}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & -x_{b} & \cdots & -x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -x_{3} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
x_{b} & x_{b-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, \\
y_{i}=\sum_{\sum_{j=1}^{b} b_{j}=i-1(\bmod b)} \frac{b!}{b_{1}!b_{2}!\cdots b_{b}!} x_{1}^{b_{1}} x_{2}^{b_{2}} \cdots x_{b}^{b_{b}}(-1)^{m}, \\
\sum_{j=1}^{b} b_{j}=b . \\
m=\sum_{j=1}^{b}(j-1) b_{j}-i+1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{b}$ are integers, then $S_{i}$ are rational numbers. We prove that (8.14) has infinitely many rational solutions.Let $b=2$. We have $R^{2}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}, y_{1}=x_{1}^{2}-$ $x_{2}^{2}, y_{2}=2 x_{1} x^{2}$. Let $b=3$. We have $R^{3}=x_{1}^{3}-x_{2}^{3}+x_{3}^{3}+3 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, \quad y_{1}=x_{1}^{3}-x_{2}^{3}+$ $x_{3}^{3}-6 x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}, y_{2}=3\left(x_{1}^{2} x_{2}-x_{2}^{2} x_{3}-x_{3}^{2} x_{1}\right), \quad y_{3}=3\left(x_{1}^{2} x_{3}+x_{2}^{2} x_{1}-x_{3}^{2} x_{2}\right)$. We have the following formulas[3]

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_{i}(j)=\frac{\left|S_{i}(n)\right|}{|S(1)|}
$$

where

$$
|S(1)|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1}(1)-1 & -S_{b}(1) & \cdots & -S_{2}(1) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & \cdots & -S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1}(n)-1,-S_{b}(n), \cdots,-S_{2}(n) \rightarrow S_{1}(1)-1,-S_{b}(1), \cdots,-S_{2}(1) \\
\left|S_{1}(n)\right|=\left|S_{1}(n)-1\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1}(n)-1 & -S_{b}(n) & \cdots & -S_{2}(n) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & \cdots & -S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right|, \\
S_{2}(n), S_{1}(n)-1, \cdots,-S_{3}(n) \rightarrow S_{1}(1)-1,-S_{b}(1), \cdots,-S_{2}(1), \\
\left|S_{2}(n)\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{2}(n) & S_{1}(n)-1 & \cdots & -S_{3}(n) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & \cdots & -S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right|, \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \\
S_{b}(n), S_{b-1}(n), \cdots, S_{1}(n)-1 \rightarrow S_{1}(1)-1,-S_{b}(1), \cdots,-S_{2}(1), \\
\\
\left|S_{b}(n)\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{b}(n) & S_{b-1}(n) & \cdots & S_{1}(n)-1 \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & \cdots & -S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

We have the following formulas [3]

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{j} S_{i}(j)=\frac{\left|(-1)^{n-1} S_{i}(n)\right|}{|(S(1))|}
$$

where

$$
|(S(1))|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1}(1)+1 & -S_{b}(1) & \cdots & -S_{2}(1) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)+1 & \cdots & -S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)+1
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
(-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1,-(-1)^{n-1} S_{b}(n), \cdots,-(-1)^{n-1} S_{2}(n) \rightarrow S_{1}(1)+1,-S_{b}(1), \cdots,-S_{2}(1)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|(-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
(-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1 & -(-1)^{n-1} S_{b}(n) & \cdots & -(-1)^{n-1} S_{2}(n) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)+1 & \cdots & -S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)+1
\end{array}\right|, \\
& (-1)^{n-1} S_{2}(n),(-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1, \cdots,-(-1)^{n-1} S_{3}(n) \rightarrow S_{1}(1)+1,-S_{b}(1), \cdots,-S_{2}(1), \\
& \left|(-1)^{n-1} S_{2}(n)\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
(-1)^{n-1} S_{2}(n) & (-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1 & \cdots & -(-1)^{n-1} S_{3}(n) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)+1 & \cdots & -S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)+1
\end{array}\right|, \\
& (-1)^{n-1} S_{b}(n),(-1)^{n-1} S_{b-1}(n), \cdots,(-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1 \rightarrow S_{1}(1)+1,-S_{b}(1), \cdots,-S_{2}(1), \\
& \left|(-1)^{n-1} S_{b}(n)\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
(-1)^{n-1} S_{b}(n) & (-1)^{n-1} S_{b-1}(n) & \cdots & (-1)^{n-1} S_{1}(n)+1 \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)+1 & \cdots & -S_{3}(1) \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
S_{b}(1) & S_{b-1}(1) & \cdots & S_{1}(1)+1
\end{array}\right|, \\
& S_{i}(1)=S_{i}\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{b-1}\right) \text { and } S_{i}(n)=S_{i}\left(n t_{1}, \cdots, n t_{b-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$S_{i}$ have the recurrent formula similar to De Moivre formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{b} S_{i}(m t) I_{b}^{i-1}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{b} S_{i}(t) I_{b}^{i-1}\right)^{m} \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots, \quad(t)=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{b-1}\right), \quad(m t)=\left(m t_{1}, m t_{2}, \cdots, m t_{b-1}\right)$.
Example 8.2. Let $b=3$. From (8.13) we have

$$
\exp \left(t_{1} I_{3}+t_{2} I_{3}^{2}\right)=S_{1}+S_{2} I_{3}+S_{3} I_{3}^{2}
$$

where

$$
S_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{A}+2 e^{B} \cos \theta\right],
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{2}=\frac{-1}{3}\left[e^{A}-2 e^{B} \cos \left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right], \\
S_{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{A}+2 e^{B} \cos \left(\theta-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right], \\
A=t_{2}-t_{1}, B=\frac{t_{1}-t_{2}}{2}, \theta=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right), \\
t_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(S_{2}+S_{3}\right)}{2 S_{1}+S_{2}-S_{3}}-\ln \left(S_{1}-S_{2}+S_{3}\right)\right], \\
t_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(S_{2}+S_{3}\right)}{2 S_{1}+S_{2}-S_{3}}+\ln \left(S_{1}-S_{2}+S_{3}\right)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

From (8.14) we have the identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
S_{1} & -S_{3} & -S_{2} \\
S_{2} & S_{1} & -S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
S_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{2} \\
S_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{2} \\
S_{3} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{2}
\end{array}\right|=1 .
$$

From (8.15) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations


From (8.16) we have the recurrent formula

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} S_{i}\left(m t_{1}, m t_{2}\right) I_{3}^{i-1}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} S_{i}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) I_{3}^{i-1}\right)^{m}
$$

Let $m=2$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1}\left(2 t_{1}, 2 t_{2}\right)=S_{1}^{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)-2 S_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) S_{3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \\
S_{2}\left(2 t_{1}, 2 t_{2}\right)=-S_{3}^{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)+2 S_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) S_{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \\
S_{3}\left(2 t_{1}, 2 t_{2}\right)=S_{2}^{2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)+2 S_{1}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) S_{3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 8.5. We define the positive hypercomplex numbers [3]

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & x_{n} & \cdots & x_{2}  \tag{8.17}\\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} J_{n}^{i-1}
$$

where

$$
J_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots, J_{n}^{n}=1
$$

$J_{n}, J_{n}^{2}, \ldots, J_{n}^{n-1}$ are called the bases of the positive hypercomplex numbers.
From (8.17) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{d x}{x}=\log x=\int \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d x_{i} J_{n}^{i-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} J_{n}^{i-1}}=\log R+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i} J_{n}^{i}, \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is called the modulus, $t_{i}$ is called $i$-th argument,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\log R=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{1} & d x_{n} & \cdots & d x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, t_{1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} & \cdots \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots \\
x_{3} & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots \\
\cdots & x_{4} \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots \\
\cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, \\
t_{2}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{3} & d x_{2} & \cdots & d x_{4} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, \cdots, t_{n-1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{n} & d x_{x-1} & \cdots & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, \\
R^{n}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{1} & x_{n} & \cdots & x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right| . \tag{8.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $n=2$. From (8.19) we have

$$
t_{1}=\int \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|}=\tanh ^{-1} \frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.19) we have

$$
t_{1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{3}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} & d x_{3} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log \frac{x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}}{R}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-x_{2}+x_{3}\right)}{2 x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}}\right),
$$

$$
t_{2}=\int \frac{1}{R^{3}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
d x_{3} & d x_{2} & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{1} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log \frac{x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}}{R}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-x_{2}+x_{3}\right)}{2 x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3}}\right),
$$

Let $n=4$. From (8.19) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{4}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} & d x_{4} & d x_{3} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{4} & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{4} \\
x_{4} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log \frac{x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}}{x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4}}-\tan ^{-1} \frac{-x_{2}+x_{4}}{x_{1}-x_{3}}\right) \\
t_{2}=\int \frac{1}{R^{4}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{3} & d x_{2} & d x_{1} & d x_{4} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{4} & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{4} \\
x_{4} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)^{2}-\left(x_{2}+x_{4}\right)^{2}}{R_{2}}, \\
t_{3}=\int \frac{1}{R^{4}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{4} & d x_{3} & d x_{2} & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{4} & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{4} \\
x_{4} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(\log \frac{x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}}{x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4}}+\tan ^{-1} \frac{\left(-x_{2}+x_{4}\right)}{x_{1}-x_{3}}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $n=5$. From (8.19) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{B_{1} \cos \frac{\pi}{5}+B_{2} \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}}{\sqrt{0.325}} \pm \frac{\theta_{1} \sin \frac{\pi}{5}-\theta_{2} \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}}{1.25}\right), \\
& t_{4} \\
& t_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{B_{1} \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}+B_{2} \cos \frac{\pi}{5}}{\sqrt{0.325}} \pm \frac{\theta_{1} \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}+\theta_{2} \sin \frac{\pi}{5}}{1.25}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[\left(-x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \sin \frac{\pi}{5}+\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right) \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}\right]^{2}+\left[x_{1}-\left(x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \cos \frac{\pi}{5}+\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}\right]^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\theta_{1}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\left(-x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \sin \frac{\pi}{5}+\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right) \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}}{x_{1}-\left(x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \cos \frac{\pi}{5}+\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}}, \\
B_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[\left(-x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}+\left(-x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \sin \frac{\pi}{5}\right]^{2}+\left[x_{1}+\left(x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}-\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \cos \frac{\pi}{5}\right]^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\theta_{2}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\left(-x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}+\left(-x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \sin \frac{\pi}{5}}{x_{1}+\left(x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}-\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \cos \frac{\pi}{5}},
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $n=6$. From (8.19) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{3}=\frac{1}{6}\left(2 B_{1}-2 D_{1}+A_{2}-A_{1}\right) \\
& t_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-B_{1}-D_{1} \pm \frac{\theta_{1}-\phi_{1}}{\sqrt{3}}\right) \\
& t_{4} \\
& t_{5}= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{-B_{1}+D_{1}+A_{1}-A_{2}}{3} \pm \frac{\theta_{1}+\phi_{1}}{\sqrt{3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}=\log \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_{i}}{R}, \quad A_{2}=\log \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_{i}(-1)^{i+1}}{R}, \\
B_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}+x_{4}-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{5}+x_{6}\right)\right]^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(-x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{5}+x_{6}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}} \\
\theta_{1}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{5}+x_{6}\right)}{2 x_{1}+2 x_{4}-x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{5}-x_{6}} \\
D_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}-x_{4}+\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{5}+x_{6}\right)\right]^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(-x_{2}-x_{3}+x_{5}+x_{6}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\phi_{1}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-x_{2}-x_{3}+x_{5}+x_{6}\right)}{2 x_{1}-2 x_{4}+x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{5}+x_{6}},
\end{gathered}
$$

We can immediately translate many concepts from complex variables into the positive hypercomplex notation. From (8.18) we have the exponential formula of the positive hypercomplex numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=R \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i} J_{n}^{i}\right)=R \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{i} J_{n}^{i-1} . \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x_{i} \neq 0$ and $R=0$, then there are zero divisors in the positive hypercomplex numbers. Therefore the positive hypercomplex numbers $H$ form a ring.

Let $A \subset H$ be an open set and let $f: A \rightarrow H$ be a given function

$$
f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
y_{1} & y_{n} & \cdots & y_{2}  \tag{8.21}\\
y_{2} & y_{1} & \cdots & y_{3} \\
y_{3} & y_{2} & \cdots & y_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
y_{n} & y_{n-1} & \cdots & y_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} J_{n}^{i-1} .
$$

Theorem 8.1. Let $f: A \subset H \rightarrow H$ be a given function, with $A$ an open set. Then $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ exists if and only if $f$ is differentiable in the sense of real variables and
at $\left(x_{10}, \cdots, x_{n 0}\right)=x_{0}, y_{i}$ satisfy

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(y_{1}\right)_{1} & \left(y_{n}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(y_{2}\right)_{1}  \tag{8.22}\\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{2}\right)_{2} & \left(y_{1}\right)_{2} & \cdots & \left(y_{3}\right)_{2} \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{3}\right)_{3} & \left(y_{2}\right)_{3} & \cdots & \left(y_{4}\right)_{3} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{n}\right)_{n} & \left(y_{n-1}\right)_{n} & \cdots & \left(y_{1}\right)_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\left(y_{i}\right)_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} y_{i}$, called the Cauchy-Riemann equations.
Thus, if $\left(y_{i}\right)_{j}$ exist, are continuous on $A$, and satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations, then $f$ is analytic on $A$. Since $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ exists and has the same value regardless of how $x$ approaches $x_{0}$.

Let $n=2$. From (8.22) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} .
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.22) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}, \frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{3}}, \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} .
$$

Any function satisfying (8.22) is said to be analytic. $y_{i}$ are $n$-times continuously differentiable functions and differentiating the Cauchy-Riemann equations (8.22). We obtain the differential equation of hyperbolic type

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}  \tag{8.23}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}
\end{array}\right| y_{1}=0
$$

Any function satisfying (8.23) is said to be harmonic. In a similar fashion $y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}$ are also harmonic.

Let $n=2$. From (8.23) we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{1}}-\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{2}}=0 .
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.23) we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{\partial^{3} x_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{\partial^{3} x_{2}}+\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{\partial^{3} x_{3}}-3 \frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2} \partial x_{3}}=0 .
$$

From the above analysis we come to a conclusion that the above equations are isomorphic to the matrix in (8.17).

We discover a relation between the differential equation and the hypercomplex number.

Definition 8.6. We define the negative hypercomplex numbers

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{1} & -x_{n} & -x_{n-1} & \cdots & -x_{2}  \tag{8.24}\\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -x_{n} & \cdots & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & x_{n-2} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} I_{n}^{i-1}
$$

where

$$
I_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots, I_{n}^{n}=-1
$$

$I_{n}, \cdots, I_{n}^{n-1}$ are called the bases of the negative hypercomplex numbers.
From (8.24) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{d x}{x}=\log x=\int \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d x_{i} I_{n}^{i-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} I_{n}^{i-1}}=\log R+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i} I_{n}^{i} \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is called the modulus, $t_{i}$ is called $i$-th argument,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\log R=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{1} & -d x_{n} & \cdots & -d x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & -x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right| \\
t_{1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} & \cdots & -d x_{3} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & -x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right| \\
t_{2}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{3} & d x_{2} & \cdots & -d x_{4} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & -x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{n-1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{n} & d x_{n-1} & \cdots & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & -x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, \\
R^{n}=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & -x_{n} & \cdots & -x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & -x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right| \tag{8.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $n=2$. From (8.26) we have

$$
t_{1}=\int \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} & -x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.26) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1} & =\int \frac{1}{R^{3}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} & -d x_{3} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)}{2 x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}}-\log \frac{x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}}{R}\right), \\
t_{2} & =\int \frac{1}{R^{3}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
d x_{3} & d x_{2} & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(x_{2}+x_{3}\right)}{2 x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}}+\log \frac{x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}}{R}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $n=4$. From (8.26) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1} \frac{-x_{2}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}+2 x_{1} x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}+2 x_{2} x_{4}} \\
t_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}}\left(\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{2}\left(x_{1} x_{2}-x_{2} x_{3}+x_{3} x_{4}+x_{1} x_{4}\right)}{x_{1}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}-x^{2} x_{4}}\right. \\
\left.t_{3} \log \frac{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}-\sqrt{2}\left(x_{1} x_{2}+x_{2} x_{3}+x_{3} x_{4}-x_{1} x_{4}\right)}{R^{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $n=5$ From (8.26) we have

$$
t_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{1} \sin \frac{\pi}{5}+\theta_{2} \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}}{1.25} \pm \frac{B_{1} \cos \frac{\pi}{5}+B_{2} \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}}{\sqrt{0.3125}}\right),
$$

$$
t_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{1} \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}-\theta_{2} \sin \frac{\pi}{5}}{1.25} \mp \frac{B_{1} \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}+B_{2} \cos \frac{\pi}{5}}{\sqrt{0.3125}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}+\left(x_{2}-x_{5}\right) \cos \frac{\pi}{5}+\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right) \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}\right]^{2}+\left[\left(x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \sin \frac{\pi}{5}+\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}\right]^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\theta_{1}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\left(x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \sin \frac{\pi}{5}+\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}}{x_{1}+\left(x_{2}-x_{5}\right) \cos \frac{\pi}{5}+\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right) \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}}, \\
B_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}+\left(-x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}+\left(-x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \cos \frac{\pi}{5}\right]^{2}+\left[\left(x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}-\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \sin \frac{\pi}{5}\right]^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\theta_{2}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\left(x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}-\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \sin \frac{\pi}{5}}{x_{1}+\left(-x_{2}+x_{5}\right) \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}+\left(-x_{3}+x_{4}\right) \cos \frac{\pi}{5}},
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $n=6$. From (8.26) we have

$$
t_{3}=\frac{\theta_{0}+\phi_{0}-\beta}{3}, \quad \begin{aligned}
& t_{1} \\
& t_{5}
\end{aligned}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{0}+\phi_{0}+2 \beta}{\sqrt{3}} \mp \frac{B_{0}-D_{0}}{\sqrt{3}}\right), \quad \begin{aligned}
& t_{2} \\
& t_{4}
\end{aligned}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\phi_{0}-\theta_{0}}{\sqrt{3}} \mp H\right) .
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta=\tan ^{-1} \frac{x_{2}-x_{4}+x_{6}}{x_{1}-x_{3}+x_{5}}, \quad H=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left(x_{1}-x_{3}+x_{5}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}-x_{4}+x_{6}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
B_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}+\left(-x_{2}+x_{6}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{x_{3}-x_{5}}{2}\right]^{2}+\left[x_{4}-\left(x_{3}+x_{5}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{x_{2}+x_{6}}{2}\right]^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\theta_{0}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{2 x_{4}+x_{2}+x_{6}-\sqrt{3}\left(x_{3}+x_{5}\right)}{2 x_{1}+x_{3}-x_{5}+\sqrt{3}\left(-x_{2}+x_{6}\right)} \\
D_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}+\left(x_{2}-x_{6}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{x_{3}-x_{5}}{2}\right]^{2}+\left[x_{4}+\left(x_{3}+x_{5}\right) \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}+\frac{x_{2}+x_{6}}{2}\right]^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\phi_{0}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{2 x_{4}+x_{2}+x_{6}+\sqrt{3}\left(x_{3}+x_{5}\right)}{2 x_{1}+x_{3}-x_{5}+\sqrt{3}\left(x_{2}-x_{6}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

We can immediately translate many concepts from complex variables into the negative hypercomplex notation. From (8.25) we have the exponential formula of the negative hypercomplex numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=R \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i} I_{n}^{i}\right)=R \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{i} I_{n}^{i} \tag{8.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x_{i} \neq 0$ and $R=0$, then there are zero divisors in the negative hypercomplex numbers. Therefore the negative hypercomplex numbers $H$ form a ring.

Let $A \subset H$ be an open set and let $f: A \rightarrow H$ be a given function

$$
f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
y_{1} & -y_{n} & \cdots & -y_{2}  \tag{8.28}\\
y_{2} & y_{1} & \cdots & -y_{3} \\
y_{3} & y_{2} & \cdots & -y_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
y_{n} & y_{n-1} & \cdots & y_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} I_{n}^{i-1} .
$$

Theorem 8.2. Let $f: A \subset H \rightarrow H$ be a given function, with $A$ an open set. Then $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ exists if and only if $f$ is differentiable in the sense of real variables and at $\left(x_{10}, \cdots, x_{n 0}\right)=x_{0}, y_{i}$ satisfy

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(y_{1}\right)_{1} & -\left(y_{n}\right)_{1} & \cdots & -\left(y_{2}\right)_{1}  \tag{8.29}\\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{2}\right)_{2} & \left(y_{1}\right)_{2} & \cdots & -\left(y_{3}\right)_{2} \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{3}\right)_{3} & \left(y_{2}\right)_{3} & \cdots & -\left(y_{4}\right)_{3} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{n}\right)_{n} & \left(y_{n-1}\right)_{n} & \cdots & \left(y_{1}\right)_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\left(y_{i}\right)_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} y_{i}$, called the Cauchy Riemann equations.
Thus, if $y_{i}$ exist, are continuous on $A$, and satisfy the Cauchy Riemann equations, then $f$ is analytic on $A$. Since $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ exists and has the same value regardless of how $x$ approaches $x_{0}$.

Let $n=2$. From (8.29) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{2}},-\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} .
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.29) we have the Cauchy Riemann equations

$$
\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{3}},-\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{3}},-\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}=-\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} .
$$

Any function satisfying (8.29) is said to be analytic. $y_{i}$ are $n$-times continuously differentiable functions and differentiating the Cauchy-Riemann equations (8.29). We obtain the differential equation of elliptic type

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} & \cdots & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}  \tag{8.30}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} \\
\cdots \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}
\end{array}\right| y_{1}=0
$$

Any function satisfying (8.30) is said to be harmonic. In a similar fashion $y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}$ are also harmonic.

Let $n=2$. From (8.30) we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{2}}=0
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.30) we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{\partial^{3} x_{1}}-\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{\partial^{3} x_{2}}+\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{\partial^{3} x_{3}}+3 \frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2} \partial x_{3}}=0
$$

From the above analysis we come to a conclusion that the above equations are isomorphic to the matrix in (8.24).

We discover a relation between the differential equation and the hypercomplex number.

Definition 8.7. We define the negative-positive hypercomplex numbers

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & -x_{2} & x_{3} & -x_{4} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{4} & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & -x_{4} & x_{1} & -x_{2} \\
x_{4} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]=x_{1}+x_{2} I_{2}+x_{3} J_{2}+x_{4} k= \\
& =R \exp \left(t_{1} I_{2}+t_{2} J_{2}+t_{3} k\right)=R\left(S_{1}+S_{2} I_{2}+S_{3} J_{2}+S_{4} k\right) \tag{8.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right], I_{2}^{2}=-1, \\
J_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], J_{2}^{2}=1, \\
k=I_{2} J_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], k^{2}=-1 \\
t_{1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{4}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} & d x_{4} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} \\
x_{4} & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & -x_{4} \\
x_{4} & x_{3} & -x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1} \frac{2 x_{1} x_{2}-2 x_{3} x_{4}}{x_{1}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}-x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
t_{2}=\int \frac{1}{R^{4}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{3} & -d x_{4} & d x_{1} & -d x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{4} & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & -x_{4} & x_{1} & -x_{2} \\
x_{4} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{2} \tanh ^{-1} \frac{2 x_{1} x_{3}+2 x_{2} x_{4}}{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}} \\
t_{3}=\int \frac{1}{R^{4}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{4} & d x_{3} & d x_{2} & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{4} & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & -x_{4} & x_{1} & -x_{2} \\
x_{4} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1} \frac{-2 x_{2} x_{3}+2 x_{1} x_{4}}{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}-x_{3}^{2}-x_{4}^{2}} \\
S_{1} \\
=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{t_{2}} \cos \left(t_{1}+t_{3}\right)+e^{-t_{2}} \cos \left(t_{1}-t_{3}\right)\right) \\
S_{2} \\
=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{t_{2}} \sin \left(t_{1}+t_{3}\right)+e^{-t_{2}} \sin \left(t_{1}-t_{3}\right)\right) \\
S_{3}
\end{array}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{t_{2}} \cos \left(t_{1}+t_{3}\right)-e^{-t_{2}} \cos \left(t_{1}-t_{3}\right)\right), ~ 又 e^{-t_{2}} \sin \left(t_{1}-t_{3}\right)\right) .
$$

We have $S_{i}$ an identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & -S_{2} & S_{3} & -S_{4}  \tag{8.32}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & S_{4} & S_{3} \\
S_{3} & -S_{4} & S_{1} & -S_{2} \\
S_{4} & S_{3} & S_{2} & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{3} \\
S_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{3} \\
S_{3} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{3} \\
S_{4} & \left(S_{4}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{4}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{4}\right)_{3}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

From (8.31) we have De Moivre formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}(m)+S_{2}(m) I_{2}+S_{3}(m) J_{2}+S_{4}(m) k=\left[S_{1}(1)+S_{2}(1) I_{2}+S_{3}(1) J_{2}+S_{4}(1) k\right]^{m} \tag{8.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{i}(1)=S_{i}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right), \quad S_{i}(m)=S_{i}\left(m t_{1}, m t_{2}, m t_{3}\right)$.
Let $n=2$. From (8.33) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1}(2)=S_{1}^{2}(1)-S_{2}^{2}(1)+S_{3}^{2}(1)-S_{4}^{2}(1), \quad S_{2}(2)=2 S_{1}(1) S_{2}(1)+2 S_{3}(1) S_{4}(1) \\
S_{3}(2)=2 S_{1}(1) S_{3}(1)-2 S_{2}(1) S_{4}(1), \quad S_{4}(2)=2 S_{1}(1) S_{4}(1)+2 S_{2}(1) S_{3}(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

From (8.33) we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{1}(i)=\frac{1}{|\Delta|}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1}(n)-1 & -S_{2}(n) & S_{3}(n) & -S_{4}(n) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & S_{4}(1) & S_{3}(1) \\
S_{3}(1) & -S_{4}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & -S_{2}(1) \\
S_{4}(1) & S_{3}(1) & S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{2}(i)=\frac{1}{|\Delta|}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{2}(n) & \left.S_{2} n\right)-1 & S_{4}(n) & S_{3}(n) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & S_{4}(1) & S_{3}(1) \\
S_{3}(1) & -S_{4}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & -S_{2}(1) \\
S_{4}(1) & S_{3}(1) & S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right|, \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{3}(i)=\frac{1}{|\Delta|}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{3}(n) & -S_{4}(n) & S_{1}(n)-1 & -S_{2}(n) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & S_{4}(1) & S_{3}(1) \\
S_{3}(1) & -S_{4}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & -S_{2}(1) \\
S_{4}(1) & S_{3}(1) & S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right|, \\
& \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{4}(i)=\frac{1}{|\Delta|}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{4}(n) & S_{3}(n) & S_{2}(n) & S_{1}(n)-1 \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & S_{4}(1) & S_{3}(1) \\
S_{3}(1) & -S_{4}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & -S_{2}(1) \\
S_{4}(1) & S_{3}(1) & S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
|\Delta|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1}(1)-1 & -S_{2}(1) & S_{3}(1) & -S_{4}(-1) \\
S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & S_{4}(1) & S_{3}(1) \\
S_{3}(1) & -S_{4}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1 & -S_{2}(1) \\
S_{4}(1) & S_{3}(1) & S_{2}(1) & S_{1}(1)-1
\end{array}\right|
$$

If $x_{i} \neq 0$ and $R=0$, then there are zero divisors in it. Therefore the negative-positive hypercomplex numbers $H$ form a ring.

Let $A \subset H$ be an open set and let $f: A \rightarrow H$ be a given function

$$
f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
y_{1} & -y_{2} & y_{3} & -y_{4}  \tag{8.34}\\
y_{2} & y_{1} & y_{4} & y_{3} \\
y_{3} & -y_{4} & y_{1} & -y_{2} \\
y_{4} & y_{3} & y_{2} & y_{1}
\end{array}\right]=y_{1}+y_{2} I_{2}+y_{3} J_{2}+y_{4} k
$$

Theorem 8.3. Let $f: A \subset H \rightarrow H$ be a given function, with $A$ an open set. Then $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ exists if and only if $f$ is differentiable in the sense of real variables and at $\left(x_{10}, x_{20}, x_{30}, x_{40}\right)=x_{0}, y_{i}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{4}}, \\
-\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=-\frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{4}}, \\
\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{4}}, \\
-\frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}=-\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{4}}, \tag{8.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

called the Cauchy Riemann equations.

Thus, if $\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}, i, j=1,2,3,4$ exist, are continuous on $A$, and satisfy the Cauchy Riemann equations, then $f$ is analytic on $A$. Since $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ exists and has the same value regardless of how $x$ approaches $x_{0}$.
$y_{i}$ are 4 -times continuously differentiable functions. From (8.35) we have the partial differential equation of mixed type

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}  \tag{8.36}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}
\end{array}\right| y_{i}=0
$$

There are hyperbolic type and elliptic type in (8.36). We discover a relation between differential equation and hypercomplex number.

From (8.35) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{2}}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{1}}-\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{3}}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{4}}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} y_{2}}{\partial^{2} x_{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} y_{2}}{\partial^{2} x_{3}}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} y_{3}}{\partial^{2} x_{3}}+\frac{\partial^{2} y_{3}}{\partial^{2} x_{4}}=0, \\
& \frac{\partial^{2} y_{2}}{\partial^{2} x_{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2} y_{2}}{\partial^{2} x_{4}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 8.8. We define the positive-positive hypercomplex numbers

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & x_{4} & x_{3} & x_{6} & x_{5}  \tag{8.37}\\
x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{6} & x_{5} & x_{4} & x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{5} & x_{1} & x_{4} & x_{2} & x_{6} \\
x_{4} & x_{6} & x_{3} & x_{1} & x_{5} & x_{2} \\
x_{5} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{6} & x_{1} & x_{4} \\
x_{6} & x_{4} & x_{5} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]=x_{1}+x_{2} J_{2}+x_{3} J_{3}+x_{4} J_{3}^{2}+x_{5} J_{2} J_{3}+x_{6} J_{2} J_{3}^{2}
$$

where $J_{2}, J_{3}, J_{3}^{2}, J_{2} J_{3}, J_{2} J_{3}^{2}$ are called bases, $J_{2}^{2}=1$ and $J_{3}^{3}=1$. From (8.37) we have the exponential formula

$$
x=R \exp \left(t_{1} J_{2}+t_{2} J_{3}+t_{3} J_{3}^{2}+t_{4} J_{2} J_{3}+t_{5} J_{2} J_{3}^{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=R\left(S_{1}+S_{2} J_{2}+S_{3} J_{3}+S_{4} J_{3}^{2}+S_{5} J_{2} J_{3}+S_{6} J_{2} J_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{8.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}=\frac{1}{6}\left[e^{A_{1}}+2 e^{B_{1}} \cos \theta_{1}+e^{A_{2}}+2 e^{B_{2}} \cos \theta_{2}\right], \\
S_{2}=\frac{1}{6}\left[e^{A_{1}}+2 e^{B_{1}} \cos \theta_{1}-e^{A_{2}}-2 e^{B_{2}} \cos \theta_{2}\right], \\
S_{3}=\frac{1}{6}\left[e^{A_{1}}-2 e^{B_{1}} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)+e^{A_{2}}-2 e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right], \\
S_{4}=\frac{1}{6}\left[e^{A_{1}}+2 e^{B_{1}} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)+e^{A_{2}}+2 e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right], \\
S_{5}=\frac{1}{6}\left[e^{A_{1}}-2 e^{B_{1}} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)-e^{A_{2}}+2 e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right], \\
S_{6}=\frac{1}{6}\left[e^{A_{1}}+2 e^{B_{1}} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)-e^{A_{2}}-2 e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right], \tag{8.39}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} t_{i}, \quad A_{2}=-t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}-t_{4}-t_{5}, \\
B_{1}=t_{1}-\frac{t_{2}+t_{3}+t_{4}+t_{5}}{2}, \quad \theta_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-t_{2}+t_{3}-t_{4}+t_{5}\right), \\
B_{2}=-t_{1}-\frac{t_{2}+t_{3}-t_{4}-t_{5}}{2}, \quad \theta_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{3}-t_{4}+t_{5}\right) . \tag{8.40}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (8.39) we have its inverse transformation

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{A_{1}}=\sum_{i=1}^{6} S_{i}, \quad e^{A_{2}}=S_{1}-S_{2}+S_{3}+S_{4}-S_{5}-S_{6} \\
e^{B_{1}} \cos \theta_{1}=S_{1}+S_{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(S_{3}+S_{4}+S_{5}+S_{6}\right) \\
e^{B_{1}} \sin \theta_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-S_{3}+S_{4}-S_{5}+S_{6}\right) \\
e^{B_{2}} \cos \theta_{2}=S_{1}-S_{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(-S_{3}-S_{4}+S_{5}+S_{6}\right) \\
e^{B_{2}} \sin \theta_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(S_{3}-S_{4}-S_{5}+S_{6}\right) \tag{8.41}
\end{gather*}
$$

(8.40) and (8.41) have the same form, $t_{0}=0 \rightarrow S_{1}, t_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}, t_{2} \rightarrow S_{3}, t_{3} \rightarrow S_{4}, t_{4} \rightarrow$ $S_{5}, t_{5} \rightarrow S_{6}$. Let $S_{i}=x_{i} / R$. From (8.40) and (8.41) we have

$$
t_{1}=\frac{1}{6}\left(2 B_{1}-2 B_{2}+A_{1}-A_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{A_{1}+A_{2}}{2} \mp \frac{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\right),  \tag{8.42}\\
t_{3} \\
t_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{A_{1}-A_{2}-B_{1}+B_{2}}{3} \mp \frac{\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1}=\log \frac{x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}}{R}, \quad A_{2}=\log \frac{x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}-x_{5}-x_{6}}{R}, \\
B_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}+x_{2}-\frac{x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}}{2}\right]^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(-x_{3}+x_{4}-x_{5}+x_{6}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\theta_{1}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-x_{3}+x_{4}-x_{5}+x_{6}\right)}{2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4}-x_{5}-x_{6}}, \\
B_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}-x_{2}+\frac{-x_{3}-x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}}{2}\right]^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(x_{3}-x_{4}-x_{5}+x_{6}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}} \\
\theta_{2}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(x_{3}-x_{4}-x_{5}+x_{6}\right)}{2 x_{1}-2 x_{2}-x_{3}-x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}} . \\
R^{6}=\operatorname{det}|x| .
\end{gathered}
$$

From (8.39) we have $S_{i}$ an identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 5  \tag{8.43}\\
2 & 1 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 \\
3 & 5 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 6 \\
4 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 2 \\
5 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 1 & 4 \\
6 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & (1)_{1} & (1)_{2} & (1)_{3} & (1)_{4} & (1)_{5} \\
2 & (2)_{1} & (2)_{2} & (2)_{3} & (2)_{4} & (2)_{5} \\
3 & (3)_{1} & (3)_{2} & (3)_{3} & (3)_{4} & (3)_{5} \\
4 & (4)_{1} & (4)_{2} & (4)_{3} & (4)_{4} & (4)_{5} \\
5 & (5)_{1} & (5)_{2} & (5)_{3} & (5)_{4} & (5)_{5} \\
6 & (6)_{1} & (6)_{2} & (6)_{3} & (6)_{4} & (6)_{5}
\end{array}\right|=1,
$$

where $j=S_{j} ; j=1,2,3,4,5,6 ;(j)_{i}=\frac{\partial S_{j}}{\partial t_{i}}, i=1,2,3,4,5$.
Definition 8.9. We define the positive-positive hypercomplex function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=y_{1}+y_{2} J_{2}+y_{3} J_{3}+y_{4} J_{3}^{2}+y_{5} J_{2} J_{3}+y_{6} J_{2} J_{3}^{2} \tag{8.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8.44) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1)_{1}=(2)_{2}=(3)_{3}=(4)_{4}=(5)_{5}=(6)_{6} \\
& (2)_{1}=(1)_{2}=(5)_{3}=(6)_{4}=(3)_{5}=(4)_{6} \\
& (4)_{1}=(6)_{2}=(1)_{3}=(3)_{4}=(2)_{5}=(5)_{6} \\
& (3)_{1}=(5)_{2}=(4)_{3}=(1)_{4}=(6)_{5}=(2)_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (6)_{1}=(4)_{2}=(2)_{3}=(5)_{4}=(1)_{5}=(3)_{6} \\
& (5)_{1}=(3)_{2}=(6)_{3}=(2)_{4}=(4)_{5}=(1)_{6} \tag{8.45}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(i)_{j}=\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}, i, j=1,2,3,4,5,6$.
If $y_{i}$ are 6 -times differentiable functions. From (8.45) we have the partial differential equation

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 5  \tag{8.46}\\
2 & 1 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 \\
3 & 5 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 6 \\
4 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 2 \\
5 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 1 & 4 \\
6 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right| y_{i}=0
$$

where $j=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, j=1,2,3,4,5,6$.
Definition 8.10. We define the negative-negative hypercomplex numbers
$x=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}x_{1} & -x_{2} & -x_{4} & -x_{3} & x_{6} & x_{5} \\ x_{2} & x_{1} & -x_{6} & -x_{5} & -x_{4} & -x_{3} \\ x_{3} & -x_{5} & x_{1} & -x_{4} & -x_{2} & x_{6} \\ x_{4} & -x_{6} & x_{3} & x_{1} & -x_{5} & -x_{2} \\ x_{5} & x_{3} & x_{2} & -x_{6} & x_{1} & -x_{4} \\ x_{6} & x_{4} & x_{5} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{1}\end{array}\right]=x_{1}+x_{2} I_{2}+x_{3} I_{3}+x_{4} I_{3}^{2}+x_{5} I_{2} I_{3}+x_{6} I_{2} I_{3}^{2}$,
where $I_{2}, I_{3}, I_{3}^{2}, I_{2} I_{3}, I_{2} I_{3}^{2}$ are called bases, $I_{2}^{2}=-1$ and $I_{3}^{3}=-1$. From (8.47) we have the exponential formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
x=R \exp \left(t_{1} I_{2}+t_{2} I_{3}+t_{3} I_{3}^{2}+t_{4} I_{2} I_{3}+t_{5} I_{2} I_{3}^{2}\right) \\
=R\left(S_{1}+S_{2} I_{2}+S_{3} I_{3}+S_{4} I_{3}^{2}+S_{5} I_{2} I_{3}+S_{6} I_{2} I_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{8.48}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{0}} \cos \theta_{0}+e^{B_{1}} \cos \theta_{1}+e^{B_{2}} \cos \theta_{2}\right] \\
S_{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{0}} \sin \theta_{0}-e^{B_{1}} \sin \theta_{1}-e^{B_{2}} \sin \theta_{2}\right] \\
S_{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left[-e^{B_{0}} \cos \theta_{0}+e^{B_{1}} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)+e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right] \\
S_{4}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{0}} \cos \theta_{0}+e^{B_{1}} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)+e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right] \\
S_{5}=\frac{1}{3}\left[-e^{B_{0}} \sin \theta_{0}-e^{B_{1}} \sin \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)-e^{B_{2}} \sin \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{6}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{0}} \sin \theta_{0}-e^{B_{1}} \sin \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)-e^{B_{2}} \sin \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right] \tag{8.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{0}=-t_{2}+t_{3}, \quad \theta_{0}=t_{1}-t_{4}+t_{5} \\
B_{1}=\frac{t_{2}-t_{3}}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t_{4}+t_{5}\right), \quad \theta_{1}=-t_{1}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t_{2}+t_{3}\right)+\frac{-t_{4}+t_{5}}{2} \\
B_{2}=\frac{t_{2}-t_{3}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t_{4}+t_{5}\right), \quad \theta_{2}=-t_{1}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t_{2}+t_{3}\right)+\frac{-t_{4}+t_{5}}{2} \tag{8.50}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (8.49) we have its inverse transformation

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{B_{0}} \cos \theta_{0}=S_{1}-S_{3}+S_{4}, \quad e^{B_{0}} \sin \theta_{0}=S_{2}-S_{5}+S_{6} \\
e^{B_{1}} \cos \theta_{1}=S_{1}+\frac{S_{3}-S_{4}}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(S_{5}+S_{6}\right) \\
e^{B_{1}} \sin \theta_{1}=-S_{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(S_{3}+S_{4}\right)+\frac{-S_{5}+S_{6}}{2} \\
e^{B_{2}} \cos \theta_{2}=S_{1}+\frac{S_{3}-S_{4}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(S_{5}+S_{6}\right) \\
e^{B_{2}} \sin \theta_{2}==-S_{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(S_{3}+S_{4}\right)+\frac{-S_{5}+S_{6}}{2} \tag{8.51}
\end{gather*}
$$

(8.50) and (8.51) have the same form, $t_{0}=0 \rightarrow S_{1}, t_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}, t_{2} \rightarrow S_{3}, t_{3} \rightarrow S_{4}, t_{4} \rightarrow$ $S_{5}, t_{5} \rightarrow S_{6}$ Let $S_{i}=x_{i} / R$. From (8.50) and (851) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{1}=\frac{\theta_{0}-\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}}{3} \\
t_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \pm\left(B_{1}+B_{2}\right)\right) \\
t_{3}  \tag{8.52}\\
t_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{B_{1}-B_{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \mp \frac{2 \theta_{0}+\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}}{3}\right) \\
t_{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta_{0}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{x_{2}-x_{5}+x_{6}}{x_{1}-x_{3}+x_{4}} \\
B_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}+\frac{x_{3}-x_{4}}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(x_{5}+x_{6}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[-x_{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right)-\frac{x_{5}-x_{6}}{2}\right]^{2}}{R^{2}} \\
\theta_{1}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{-2 x_{2}+\sqrt{3}\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right)-x_{5}+x_{6}}{2 x_{1}+x_{3}-x_{4}+\sqrt{3}\left(x_{5}+x_{6}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}+\frac{x_{3}-x_{4}}{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(x_{5}+x_{6}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[-x_{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right)+\frac{-x_{5}+x_{6}}{2}\right]^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\theta_{2}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{-2 x_{2}-\sqrt{3}\left(x_{3}+x_{4}\right)-x_{5}+x_{6}}{2 x_{1}+x_{3}-x_{4}-\sqrt{3}\left(x_{5}+x_{6}\right)} \\
R^{6}=\operatorname{det}|x| .
\end{gathered}
$$

From (8.49) we have $S_{i}$ an identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & -2 & -4 & -3 & 6 & 5  \tag{8.53}\\
2 & 1 & -6 & -5 & -4 & -3 \\
3 & -5 & 1 & -4 & -2 & 6 \\
4 & -6 & 3 & 1 & -5 & -2 \\
5 & 3 & 2 & -6 & 1 & -4 \\
6 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & (1)_{1} & (1)_{2} & (1)_{3} & (1)_{4} & (1)_{5} \\
2 & (2)_{1} & (2)_{2} & (2)_{3} & (2)_{4} & (2)_{5} \\
3 & (3)_{1} & (3)_{2} & (3)_{3} & (3)_{4} & (3)_{5} \\
4 & (4)_{1} & (4)_{2} & (4)_{3} & (4)_{4} & (4)_{5} \\
5 & (5)_{1} & (5)_{2} & (5)_{3} & (5)_{4} & (5)_{5} \\
6 & (6)_{1} & (6)_{2} & (6)_{3} & (6)_{4} & (6)_{5}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

where $j=S_{j} ; j=1,2,3,4,5,6 ;(j)_{i}=\frac{\partial S_{j}}{\partial t_{i}}, i=1,2,3,4,5$.
Definition 8.11. We define the negative-negative hypercomplex function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=y_{1}+y_{2} I_{2}+y_{3} I_{3}+y_{4} I_{3}^{2}+y_{5} I_{2} I_{3}+y_{6} I_{2} I_{3}^{2} . \tag{8.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8.54) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1)_{1}=(2)_{2}=(3)_{3}=(4)_{4}=(5)_{5}=(6)_{6} \\
-(2)_{1}=(1)_{2}=-(5)_{3}=-(6)_{4}=(3)_{5}=(4)_{6} \\
-(4)_{1}=-(6)_{2}=(1)_{3}=(3)_{4}=(2)_{5}=(5)_{6} \\
-(3)_{1}=-(5)_{2}=-(4)_{3}=(1)_{4}=-(6)_{5}=(2)_{6} \\
(6)_{1}=-(4)_{2}=-(2)_{3}=-(5)_{4}=(1)_{5}=(3)_{6} \\
(5)_{1}=-(3)_{2}=(6)_{3}=-(2)_{4}=-(4)_{5}=(1)_{6}, \tag{8.55}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $(i)_{j}=\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}, i, j=1,2,3,4,5,6$.
If $y_{i}$ are 6 -times differentiable functions, from (8.55) we have the partial differential equation

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & -2 & -4 & -3 & 6 & 5  \tag{8.56}\\
2 & 1 & -6 & -5 & -4 & -3 \\
3 & -5 & 1 & -4 & -2 & 6 \\
4 & -6 & 3 & 1 & -5 & -2 \\
5 & 3 & 2 & -6 & 1 & -4 \\
6 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right| y_{i}=0
$$

where $j=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, j=1,2,3,4,5,6$.

Definition 8.12. We define the negative-positive hypercomplex numbers
$x=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}x_{1} & -x_{2} & x_{4} & x_{3} & -x_{6} & -x_{5} \\ x_{2} & x_{1} & x_{6} & x_{5} & x_{4} & x_{3} \\ x_{3} & -x_{5} & x_{1} & x_{4} & -x_{2} & -x_{6} \\ x_{4} & -x_{6} & x_{3} & x_{1} & -x_{5} & -x_{2} \\ x_{5} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{6} & x_{1} & x_{4} \\ x_{6} & x_{4} & x_{5} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{1}\end{array}\right]=x_{1}+x_{2} I_{2}+x_{3} J_{3}+x_{4} J_{3}^{2}+x_{5} I_{2} J_{3}+x_{6} I_{2} J_{3}^{2}$,
where $I_{2}, J_{3}, J_{3}^{2}, I_{2} J_{3}, I_{2} J_{3}^{2}$ are called bases, $I_{2}^{2}=-1$ and $J_{3}^{3}=1$.
From (8.57) we have the exponential formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
x=R \exp \left(t_{1} I_{2}+t_{2} J_{3}+t_{3} J_{3}^{2}+t_{4} I_{2} J_{3}+t_{5} I_{2} J_{3}^{2}\right)= \\
R\left(S_{1}+S_{2} I_{2}+S_{3} J_{3}+S_{4} J_{3}^{2}+S_{5} I_{2} J_{3}+S_{6} I_{2} J_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{8.58}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{1}} \cos \theta_{1}+e^{B_{2}} \cos \theta_{2}+e^{B_{3}} \cos \theta_{3}\right] \\
S_{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{1}} \sin \theta_{1}-e^{B_{2}} \sin \theta_{2}-e^{B_{3}} \sin \theta_{3}\right] \\
S_{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{1}} \cos \theta_{1}-e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)-e^{B_{3}} \cos \left(\theta_{3}+\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right] \\
S_{4}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{1}} \cos \theta_{1}+e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)+e^{B_{3}} \cos \left(\theta_{3}+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right] \\
S_{5}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{1}} \sin \theta_{1}+e^{B_{2}} \sin \left(\theta_{2}-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)+e^{B_{3}} \sin \left(\theta_{3}+\frac{\pi}{3}\right]\right. \\
S_{6}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{1}} \sin \theta_{1}-e^{B_{2}} \sin \left(\theta_{2}-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)-e^{B_{3}} \sin \left(\theta_{3}+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right] \tag{8.59}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1}=t_{2}+t_{3}, \quad \theta_{1}==t_{1}+t_{4}+t_{5} \\
B_{2}=-\frac{t_{2}+t_{3}}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-t_{4}+t_{5}\right), \quad \theta_{2}=-t_{1}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-t_{2}+t_{3}\right)+\frac{t_{4}+t_{5}}{2} \\
B_{3}=-\frac{t_{2}+t_{3}}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t_{4}-t_{5}\right), \quad \theta_{3}=-t_{1}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t_{2}-t_{3}\right)+\frac{t_{4}+t_{5}}{2} \tag{8.60}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (8.59) we have its inverse transformation

$$
\begin{gathered}
e^{B_{1}} \cos \theta_{1}=S_{1}+S_{3}+S_{4}, \quad e^{B_{1}} \sin \theta_{1}=S_{2}+S_{5}+S_{6} \\
e^{B_{2}} \cos \theta_{2}=S_{1}-\frac{S_{3}+S_{4}}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-S_{5}+S_{6}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{B_{2}} \sin \theta_{2}=-S_{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-S_{3}+S_{4}\right)+\frac{S_{5}+S_{6}}{2} \\
e^{B_{3}} \cos \theta_{3}=S_{1}-\frac{S_{3}+S_{4}}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(S_{5}-S_{6}\right) \\
e^{B_{3}} \sin \theta_{3}=-S_{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(S_{3}-S_{4}\right)+\frac{S_{5}+S_{6}}{2} \tag{8.61}
\end{gather*}
$$

(8.60) and (8.61) have the same form, $t_{0}=0 \rightarrow S_{1}, t_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}, t_{2} \rightarrow S_{3}, t_{3} \rightarrow S_{4}, t_{4} \rightarrow$ $S_{5}, t_{5} \rightarrow S_{6}$. Let $S_{i}=x_{i} / R$. From (8.60) and (861) we have
$t_{1}=\frac{\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}}{3}, \begin{aligned} & t_{2} \\ & t_{3}\end{aligned}=\frac{1}{2}\left(B_{1} \mp\left(\frac{\theta_{2}-\theta_{3}}{\sqrt{3}}\right), \quad \begin{array}{c}t_{4} \\ t_{5}\end{array}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}+\theta_{3}}{3} \mp \frac{B_{2}-B_{3}}{\sqrt{3}}\right)\right.$.
where

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left(x_{1}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}+x_{5}+x_{6}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}},  \tag{8.62}\\
\theta_{1}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{x_{2}+x_{5}+x_{6}}{x_{1}+x_{3}+x_{4}}, \\
B_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}-\frac{x_{3}+x_{4}}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-x_{5}+x_{6}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[-x_{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-x_{3}+x_{4}\right)+\frac{x_{5}+x_{6}}{2}\right]^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\theta_{2}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{-2 x_{2}+x_{5}+x_{6}+\sqrt{3}\left(-x_{3}+x_{4}\right)}{2 x_{1}-x_{3}-x_{4}+\sqrt{3}\left(-x_{5}+x_{6}\right)}, \\
B_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}-\frac{x_{3}+x_{4}}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(x_{5}-x_{6}\right)\right]^{2}+\left[-x_{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right)+\frac{x_{5}+x_{6}}{2}\right]^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\theta_{3}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{-2 x_{2}+x_{5}+x_{6}+\sqrt{3}\left(x_{3}-x_{4}\right)}{2 x_{1}-x_{3}-x_{4}+\sqrt{3}\left(x_{5}-x_{6}\right)}, \\
R^{6}=\operatorname{det}|x| .
\end{gather*}
$$

From (8.59) we have $S_{i}$ an identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & -2 & 4 & 3 & -6 & -5  \tag{8.63}\\
2 & 1 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 \\
3 & -5 & 1 & 4 & -2 & -6 \\
4 & -6 & 3 & 1 & -5 & -2 \\
5 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 1 & 4 \\
6 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & (1)_{1} & (1)_{2} & (1)_{3} & (1)_{4} & (1)_{5} \\
2 & (2)_{1} & (2)_{2} & (2)_{3} & (2)_{4} & (2)_{5} \\
3 & (3)_{1} & (3)_{2} & (3)_{3} & (3)_{4} & (3)_{5} \\
4 & (4)_{1} & (4)_{2} & (4)_{3} & (4)_{4} & (4)_{5} \\
5 & (5)_{1} & (5)_{2} & (5)_{3} & (5)_{4} & (5)_{5} \\
6 & (6)_{1} & (6)_{2} & (6)_{3} & (6)_{4} & (6)_{5}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

where $j=S_{j}, j=1,2,3,4,5,6 ;(j)_{i}=\frac{\partial S_{j}}{\partial t_{i}}, i=1,2,3,4,5$.

Definition 8.13. We define the negative-positive hypercomplex function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=y_{1}+y_{2} I_{2}+y_{3} J_{3}+y_{4} J_{3}^{2}+y_{5} I_{2} J_{3}+y_{6} I_{2} J_{3}^{2} \tag{8.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8.64) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1)_{1}=(2)_{2}=(3)_{3}=(4)_{4}=(5)_{5}=(6)_{6} \\
-(2)_{1}=(1)_{2}=-(5)_{3}=-(6)_{4}=(3)_{5}=(4)_{6} \\
(4)_{1}=(6)_{2}=(1)_{3}=(3)_{4}=(2)_{5}=(5)_{6} \\
(3)_{1}=(5)_{2}=(4)_{3}=(1)_{4}=(6)_{5}=(2)_{6} \\
-(6)_{1}=(4)_{2}=-(2)_{3}=-(5)_{4}=(1)_{5}=(3)_{6} \\
-(5)_{1}=(3)_{2}=-(6)_{3}=-(2)_{4}=(4)_{5}=(1)_{6} \tag{8.65}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $(i)_{j}=\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}, i, j=1,2,3,4,5,6$.
If $y_{i}$ are 6-times differentiable functions, from (8.65) we have the partial differential equation

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & -2 & 4 & 3 & -6 & -5  \tag{8.66}\\
2 & 1 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 \\
3 & -5 & 1 & 4 & -2 & -6 \\
4 & -6 & 3 & 1 & -5 & -2 \\
5 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 1 & 4 \\
6 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right| y_{i}=0
$$

where $j=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, j=1,2,3,4,5,6$.
Definition 8.14. We define the positive-negative hypercomplex numbers

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
x_{1} & x_{2} & -x_{4} & -x_{3} & -x_{6} & -x_{5}  \tag{8.67}\\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -x_{6} & -x_{5} & -x_{4} & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{5} & x_{1} & -x_{4} & x_{2} & -x_{6} \\
x_{4} & x_{6} & x_{3} & x_{1} & x_{5} & x_{2} \\
x_{5} & x_{3} & x_{2} & -x_{6} & x_{1} & -x_{4} \\
x_{6} & x_{4} & x_{5} & x_{2} & x_{3} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]=x_{1}+x_{2} J_{2}+x_{3} I_{3}+x_{4} I_{3}^{2}+x_{5} J_{2} I_{3}+x_{6} J_{2} I_{3}^{2},
$$

where $J_{2}, I_{3}, I_{3}^{2}, J_{2} I_{3}, J_{2} I_{3}^{2}$ are called bases, $J_{2}^{2}=1$ and $I_{3}^{3}=-1$.
From (8.67) we have the exponential formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
x=R \exp \left(t_{1} J_{2}+t_{2} I_{3}+t_{3} I_{3}^{2}+t_{4} J_{2} I_{3}+t_{5} J_{2} I_{3}^{2}\right) \\
=R\left(S_{1}+S_{2} J_{2}+S_{3} I_{3}+S_{4} I_{3}^{2}+S_{5} J_{2} I_{3}+S_{6} J_{2} I_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{8.68}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
S_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{1}} \cosh \theta_{1}+e^{B_{2}} \cos \theta_{2}+e^{B_{3}} \cos \theta_{3}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{1}} \sinh \theta_{1}-e^{B_{2}} \cos \theta_{2}-e^{B_{3}} \cos \theta_{3}\right], \\
S_{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left[-e^{B_{1}} \cosh \theta_{1}+e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{\pi}{3}\right)+e^{B_{3}} \cos \left(\theta_{3}-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right], \\
S_{4}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{1}} \cosh \theta_{1}+e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)+e^{B_{3}} \cos \left(\theta_{3}-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right], \\
S_{5}=\frac{1}{3}\left[-e^{B_{1}} \sinh \theta_{1}-e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{\pi}{3}\right)+e^{B_{3}} \cos \left(\theta_{3}-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right], \\
S_{6}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{B_{1}} \sinh \theta_{1}-e^{B_{2}} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)+e^{B_{3}} \cos \left(\theta_{3}-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right] . \tag{8.69}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{1}=-t_{2}+t_{3}, \quad \theta_{1}=t_{1}-t_{4}+t_{5}, \\
B_{2}=-t_{1}+\frac{t_{2}-t_{3}-t-4+t_{5}}{2}, \quad \theta_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-t_{2}-t_{3}+t_{4}+t_{5}\right), \\
B_{3}=t_{1}+\frac{t_{2}-t_{3}+t_{4}-t_{5}}{2}, \quad \theta_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(t_{2}+t_{3}+t_{4}+t_{5}\right) . \tag{8.70}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (8.69) we have its inverse transformation

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{B_{1}} \cosh \theta_{1}=S_{1}-S_{3}+S_{4}, \quad e^{B_{1}} \sinh \theta_{1}=S_{2}-S_{5}+S_{6}, \\
e^{B_{2}} \cos \theta_{2}=S_{1}-S_{2}+\frac{S_{3}-S_{4}-S_{5}+S_{6}}{2}, \quad e^{B_{2}} \sin \theta_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-S_{3}-S_{4}+S_{5}+S_{6}\right), \\
e^{B_{3}} \cos \theta_{3}=S_{1}+S_{2}+\frac{S_{3}-S_{4}+S_{5}-S_{6}}{2}, e^{B_{3}} \sin \theta_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(S_{3}+S_{4}+S_{5}+S_{6}\right) . \tag{8.71}
\end{gather*}
$$

(8.70) and (8.71) have the same form, $t_{0}=0 \rightarrow S_{1}, t_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}, t_{2} \rightarrow S_{3}, t_{3} \rightarrow S_{4}, t_{4} \rightarrow$ $S_{5}, t_{5} \rightarrow S_{6}$. Let $S_{i}=x_{i} / R$. From (8.70) and (8.71) we have

$$
t_{1}=\frac{B_{3}-B_{2}+\theta_{1}}{3}, \quad \begin{align*}
& t_{2}  \tag{8.72}\\
& t_{3}
\end{aligned}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{3}-\theta_{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \pm\left(B_{2}+B_{3}\right)\right), \quad \begin{aligned}
& t_{4} \\
& t_{5}
\end{align*}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\theta_{2}+\theta_{3}}{\sqrt{3}} \mp \frac{B_{2}-B_{3}+2 \theta_{1}}{3}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta_{1}=\tanh ^{-1} \frac{x_{2}-x_{5}+x_{6}}{x_{1}-x_{3}+x_{4}}, \\
B_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\frac{3}{4}\left(-x_{3}-x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}\right)^{2}+\left[x_{1}-x_{2}+\frac{x_{3}-x_{4}-x_{5}+x_{6}}{2}\right]^{2}}{R^{2}}, \\
\theta_{2}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-x_{3}-x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}\right)}{2 x_{1}-2 x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4}-x_{5}+x_{6}},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{3}=\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\left[x_{1}+x_{2}+\frac{x_{3}-x_{4}+x_{5}-x_{6}}{2}\right]^{2}+\frac{3}{4}\left(x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}} \\
\theta_{3}=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(x_{3}+x_{4}+x_{5}+x_{6}\right)}{2 x_{1}+2 x_{2}+x_{3}-x_{4}+x_{5}-x_{6}} \\
R^{6}=\operatorname{det}|x|
\end{gathered}
$$

From (6.69) we have $S_{i}$ an identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & -4 & -3 & -6 & -5  \tag{8.73}\\
2 & 1 & -6 & -5 & -4 & -3 \\
3 & 5 & 1 & -4 & 2 & -6 \\
4 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 2 \\
5 & 3 & 2 & -6 & 1 & -4 \\
6 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & (1)_{1} & (1)_{2} & (1)_{3} & (1)_{4} & (1)_{5} \\
2 & (2)_{1} & (2)_{2} & (2)_{3} & (2)_{4} & (2)_{5} \\
3 & (3)_{1} & (3)_{2} & (3)_{3} & (3)_{4} & (3)_{5} \\
4 & (4)_{1} & (4)_{2} & (4)_{3} & (4)_{4} & (4)_{5} \\
5 & (5)_{1} & (5)_{2} & (5)_{3} & (5)_{4} & (5)_{5} \\
6 & (6)_{1} & (6)_{2} & (6)_{3} & (6)_{4} & (6)_{5}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

where $j=S_{j}, \quad j=1,2,3,4,5,6 ; \quad(j)_{i}=\frac{\partial S_{j}}{\partial t_{i}}, i=1,2,3,4,5$.
Definition 8.15. We define the positive-negative hypercomplex function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=y_{1}+y_{2} J_{2}+y_{3} I_{3}+y_{4} I_{3}^{2}+y_{5} J_{2} I_{3}+y_{6} J_{2} I_{3}^{2} \tag{8.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (8.74) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1)_{1}=(2)_{2}=(3)_{3}=(4)_{4}=(5)_{5}=(6)_{6} \\
(2)_{1}=(1)_{2}=(5)_{3}=(6)_{4}=(3)_{5}=(4)_{6} \\
-(4)_{1}=-(6)_{2}=(1)_{3}=(3)_{4}=(2)_{5}=(5)_{6} \\
-(3)_{1}=-(5)_{2}=-(4)_{3}=(1)_{4}=-(6)_{5}=(2)_{6} \\
-(6)_{1}=-(4)_{2}=(2)_{3}=(5)_{4}=(1)_{5}=(3)_{6} \\
-(5)_{1}=-(3)_{2}=-(6)_{3}=(2)_{4}=-(4)_{5}=(1)_{6} \tag{8.75}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $(i)_{j}=\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}, i, j=1,2,3,4,5,6$.
If $y_{i}$ are 6-times differentiable functions, from (8.75) we have the partial differential equation

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & -4 & -3 & -6 & -5  \tag{8.76}\\
2 & 1 & -6 & -5 & -4 & -3 \\
3 & 5 & 1 & -4 & 2 & -6 \\
4 & 6 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 2 \\
5 & 3 & 2 & -6 & 1 & -4 \\
6 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 & 1
\end{array}\right| y_{i}=0
$$

where $j=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, j=1,2,3,4,5,6$.
Definition 8.16. We define the generalised positive hypercomplex numbers

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{1} & r x_{n} & r x_{n-1} & \cdots & r x_{2}  \tag{8.77}\\
x_{2} & x_{1} & r x_{n} & \cdots & r x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & r x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & x_{n-2} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} J_{n}^{i-1},
$$

where $r$ is a real number,

$$
J_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & r \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots, J_{n}^{n}=r,
$$

$J_{n}, \ldots, J_{n}^{n-1}$ are called the bases of the generalised positive hypercomplex numbers.
From (8.77) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{d x}{x}=\log x=\int \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d x_{i} J_{n}^{i-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} J_{n}^{i-1}}=\log R+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i} J_{n}^{i}, \tag{8.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is called the modulus, $t_{i}$ is called $i$-th argument,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log R=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{1} & r d x_{n} & \cdots & r d x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & r x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & r x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, t_{1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} & \cdots \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots \\
x_{3} & r x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots \\
x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, \\
& t_{2}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{3} & d x_{2} & \cdots & r d x_{4} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & r x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & r x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, \cdots, t_{n-1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{n} & d x_{n-1} & \cdots & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & r x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & r x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, \\
& R^{n}=\operatorname{det}|x| . \tag{8.79}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $n=2$. From (8.79) we have

$$
t_{1}=\int \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} & r x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \tanh ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{r} x_{2}}{x_{1}}
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.79) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{3}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} & r d x_{3} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & r x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|= \\
=\frac{1}{2 r^{\frac{1}{3}}}\left(\log \frac{x_{1}+r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}}{R}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}\right)}{2 x_{1}-r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}-r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}}\right), \\
t_{2}=\int \frac{1}{R^{3}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
d x_{3} & d x_{2} & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & r x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|= \\
=\frac{1}{2 r^{\frac{2}{3}}}\left(\log \frac{x_{1}+r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}}{R}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(-r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}\right)}{2 x_{1}-r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}-r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

From (8.79) we have the exponential formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=R \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i} J_{n}^{i}\right)=R \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{i} J_{n}^{i-1} \tag{8.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{i}$ is called the generalized complex hyperbolic functions.
Let $n=2$. From (8.80) we have

$$
e^{t_{1} J_{2}}=S_{1}+S_{2} J_{2}
$$

where $S_{1}=\cosh \left(\sqrt{r} t_{1}\right), \quad S_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sinh \left(\sqrt{r} t_{1}\right)$.
Let $n=3$. From (8.80) we have

$$
S_{i}=\frac{r^{\frac{1-i}{3}}}{3}\left[e^{A}+(-1)^{i-1} 2 e^{B} \cos \left(\theta-\frac{(i-1) \pi}{3}\right)\right]
$$

where

$$
i=1,2,3, \quad A=r^{\frac{1}{3}} t_{1}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} t_{2}, \quad B=-\frac{r^{\frac{1}{3}} t_{1}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} t_{2}}{2}, \quad \theta=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-r^{\frac{1}{3}} t_{1}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} t_{2}\right)
$$

From (8.80) we have $S_{i}$ an identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & r S_{n} & \cdots & r S_{2}  \tag{8.81}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & \cdots & r S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & \cdots & r S_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
S_{n} & S_{n-1} & \cdots & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{1}\right)_{n-1} \\
S_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{2}\right)_{n-1} \\
S_{3} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{3}\right)_{n-1} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
S_{n} & \left(S_{n}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{n}\right)_{n-1}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

where $\left(S_{i}\right)_{j}=\frac{\partial S_{i}}{\partial t_{j}}, i=1, \cdots, n ; j=1, \cdots, n-1$.
Definition 8.17. We define the generalized positive hypercomplex functions

$$
f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
y_{1} & y_{n} & \cdots & r y_{2}  \tag{8.81}\\
y_{2} & y_{1} & \cdots & r y_{3} \\
y_{3} & y_{2} & \cdots & r y_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
y_{n} & y_{n-1} & \cdots & y_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} J_{n}^{i-1} .
$$

From (8.81) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(y_{1}\right)_{1} & r\left(y_{n}\right)_{1} & \cdots & r\left(y_{2}\right)_{1}  \tag{8.82}\\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{2}\right)_{2} & \left(y_{1}\right)_{2} & \cdots & r\left(y_{3}\right)_{2} \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{3}\right)_{3} & \left(y_{2}\right)_{3} & \cdots & r\left(y_{4}\right)_{3} \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{n}\right)_{n} & \left(y_{n-1}\right)_{n} & \cdots & \left(y_{1}\right)_{n}
\end{array}\right|
$$

where $\left(y_{i}\right)_{j}=\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}, \quad i, j=1, \cdots, n$.
Let $n=2$. From (8.82) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & =\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} \\
r \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & =\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.82) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
& r \frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
& r \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}=r \frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $y_{i}$ are $n$-times differentiable functions, from (8.82) we have the generalized partial differential equation of hyperbolic type

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial}{r \partial x_{n}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{r \partial x_{2}}  \tag{8.83}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{r \partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{r \partial x_{4}} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}
\end{array}\right| y_{i}=0 .
$$

Let $n=2$. From (8.83) we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{1}}-\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{r \partial^{2} x_{2}}=0
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.83) we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{\partial^{3} x_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{r \partial^{3} x_{2}}+\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{r^{2} \partial^{3} x_{3}}-3 \frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{r \partial x_{1} \partial x_{2} \partial x_{3}}=0
$$

Definition 8.18. We define the generalized negative hypercomplex numbers

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
x_{1} & -r x_{n} & -r x_{n-1} & \cdots & -r x_{2}  \tag{8.84}\\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -r x_{n} & \cdots & -r x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -r x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & x_{n-2} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} I_{n}^{i-1}
$$

where $r$ is a real number,

$$
I_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & \cdots & -r \\
1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right], \ldots, I_{n}^{n}=-r
$$

$I_{n}, \ldots, I_{n}^{n-1}$ are called the bases of the generalised negative hypercomplex numbers. From (8.84) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \frac{d x}{x}=\log x=\int \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d x_{i} I_{n}^{i-1}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} I_{n}^{i-1}}=\log R+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i} I_{n}^{i} \tag{8.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is called the modulus, $t_{i}$ is called $i$-th argument,
$\log R=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}d x_{1} & -r d x_{n} & \cdots & -r d x_{2} \\ x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -r x_{3} \\ x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & -r x_{4} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}\end{array}\right|, t_{1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}d x_{2} & d x_{1} & \cdots & -r d x_{3} \\ x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -r x_{3} \\ x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & -r x_{4} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}\end{array}\right|$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
t_{2}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{3} & d x_{2} & \cdots & -r d x_{4} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -r x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & -r x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, \cdots, t_{n-1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{n}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{n} & d x_{n-1} & \cdots & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & \cdots & -r x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & \cdots & -x_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
x_{n} & x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|, \\
R^{n}=\operatorname{det}|x| . \tag{8.86}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $n=2$. From (8.86) we have

$$
t_{1}=\int \frac{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1} & -r x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{r} x_{2}}{x_{1}}
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.86) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{3}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} & -r d x_{3} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -r d x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|= \\
=\frac{1}{2 r^{\frac{1}{3}}}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}\right)}{2 x_{1}+r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}-r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}}-\log \frac{x_{1}-r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}}{R}\right), \\
t_{2}=\int \frac{1}{R^{3}}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
d x_{3} & d x_{2} & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -r x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right|= \\
=\frac{1}{2 r^{\frac{2}{3}}}\left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{3}\left(r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}\right)}{2 x_{1}+r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}-r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}}+\log \frac{x_{1}-r^{\frac{1}{3}} x_{2}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} x_{3}}{R}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

From (8.85) we have the exponential formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=R \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i} I_{n}^{i}\right)=R \sum_{i=1}^{n} S_{i} I_{n}^{i-1}, \tag{8.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{i}$ is called the generalised complex trigonometric functions.
Let $n=2$. From (8.87) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{t_{1} I_{2}}=S_{1}+S_{2} I_{2}, \tag{8.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{1}=\cos (\sqrt{r} t), \quad S_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sin \left(\sqrt{r} t_{1}\right)$.
(8.88) is the foundations of the generalised complex theory.

Let $n=3$. From (8.87) we have

$$
S_{i}=\frac{(-1)^{i-1} r^{\frac{1-i}{3}}}{3}\left[e^{A}+(-1)^{i-1} 2 e^{B} \cos \left(\theta-\frac{(i-1) \pi}{3}\right)\right],
$$

where

$$
i=1,2,3, \quad A=-r^{\frac{1}{3}} t_{1}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} t_{2}, \quad B=\frac{r^{\frac{1}{3}} t_{1}-r^{\frac{2}{3}} t_{2}}{2}, \quad \theta=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(r^{\frac{1}{3}} t_{1}+r^{\frac{2}{3}} t_{2}\right)
$$

From (8.87) we have $S_{i}$ an identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & -r S_{n} & \cdots & -r S_{2}  \tag{8.89}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & \cdots & -r S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{2} & \cdots & -r S_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
S_{n} & S_{n-1} & \cdots & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{1}\right)_{n-1} \\
S_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{2}\right)_{n-1} \\
S_{3} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{3}\right)_{n-1} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
S_{n} & \left(S_{n}\right)_{1} & \cdots & \left(S_{n}\right)_{n-1}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

where $\left(S_{i}\right)_{j}=\frac{\partial S_{i}}{\partial t_{j}}, i=1, \cdots, n ; j=1, \cdots, n-1$.
Definition 8.19. We define the generalised negative hypercomplex functions

$$
f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
y_{1} & -r y_{n} & \cdots & -r y_{2}  \tag{8.90}\\
y_{2} & y_{1} & \cdots & -r y_{3} \\
y_{3} & y_{2} & \cdots & -r y_{4} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
y_{n} & y_{n-1} & \cdots & y_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} I_{n}^{i-1} .
$$

From (8.90) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\left(y_{1}\right)_{1} & -r\left(y_{n}\right)_{1} & \cdots & -r\left(y_{2}\right)_{1}  \tag{8.91}\\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{2}\right)_{2} & \left(y_{1}\right)_{2} & \cdots & -r\left(y_{3}\right)_{2} \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{3}\right)_{3} & \left(y_{2}\right)_{3} & \cdots & -r\left(y_{4}\right)_{3} \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\| & \| & \cdots & \| \\
\left(y_{n}\right)_{n} & \left(y_{n-1}\right)_{n} & \cdots & \left(y_{1}\right)_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\left(y_{i}\right)_{j}=\frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}, \quad i, j=1, \cdots, n$.
Let $n=2$. From (8.91) we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{2}} \\
-r \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.91) we have

$$
\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-r \frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} & =\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{3}} \\
-r \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & =-r \frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $y_{i}$ are $n$-times differentiable functions, from (8.91) we have the generalised partial differential equation of elliptic type

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & -\frac{\partial}{r \partial x_{n}} & \cdots & -\frac{\partial}{r \partial x_{2}}  \tag{8.92}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & -\frac{\partial}{r \partial x_{3}} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n-1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}
\end{array}\right| y_{i}=0
$$

Let $n=2$. From (8.91) we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{r \partial^{2} x_{2}}=0 .
$$

Let $n=3$. From (8.91) we have

$$
\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{\partial^{3} x_{1}}-\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{r \partial^{3} x_{2}}+\frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{r^{2} \partial^{3} x_{3}}+3 \frac{\partial^{3} y_{1}}{r \partial x_{1} \partial x_{2} \partial x_{3}}=0 .
$$

From (8.77) and ((8.84) we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & r x_{4} & r x_{3} & r x_{2}  \tag{8.93}\\
x_{2} & x_{1} & r x_{4} & r x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1} & r x_{4} \\
x_{4} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & -(-r) x_{4} & -(-r) x_{3} & -(-r) x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -(-r) x_{4} & -(-r) x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1} & -(-r) x_{4} \\
x_{4} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

From the generalised negative hypercomplex numbers we can obtain all results of the generalised positive hypercomplex numbers and vice versa. They are the dual hypercomplex numbers.

Definition 8.20. We define the generalised positive-negative hypercomplex numbers

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & -2 x_{3} & -2 x_{2} & -x_{4}  \tag{8.94}\\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -x_{4} & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{1} & x_{2} \\
x_{4} & 2 x_{2} & -2 x_{3} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]=x_{1}+x_{2} e_{1}+x_{3} e_{2}+x_{4} e_{3},
$$

where

$$
e_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & -2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -2 & 0
\end{array}\right], \\
& e_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ are the mixed bases, $e_{1}=I_{2}+J_{2}, e_{2}=I_{2}-J_{2}, e_{3}=I_{2} J_{2}, I_{2}^{2}=$ $-1, J_{2}^{2}=1$.

From (8.94) we have the exponential formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=R \exp \left(t_{1} e_{1}+t_{2} e_{2}+t_{3} e_{3}\right)=R\left(S_{1}+S_{2} e_{1}+S_{3} e_{2}+S_{4} e_{3}\right), \tag{8.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{1}=\int \frac{1}{R^{4}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{2} & d x_{1} & -d x_{4} & -d x_{3} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -x_{4} & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{1} & x_{2} \\
x_{4} & 2 x_{2} & -2 x_{3} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right| \\
=\frac{1}{4}\left(\tan ^{-1} \frac{2\left(x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}-x_{2} x_{4}+x_{3} x_{4}\right)}{x_{1}^{2}-2 x_{2}^{2}-2 x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}}+\log \frac{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}},\right. \\
t_{2}=\int \frac{1}{R^{4}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{3} & d x_{4} & d x_{1} & d x_{2} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -x_{4} & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{1} & x_{2} \\
x_{4} & 2 x_{2} & -2 x_{3} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right| \\
=\frac{1}{4}\left(\tan ^{-1} \frac{2\left(x_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} x_{3}-x_{2} x_{4}+x_{3} x_{4}\right)}{x_{1}^{2}-2 x_{2}^{2}-2 x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}}-\log \frac{\left(x_{1}+x_{2}-x_{3}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{2}+x_{3}+x_{4}\right)^{2}}{R^{2}}\right), \\
t_{3}=\int \frac{1}{R^{4}}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
d x_{4} & 2 d x_{2} & -2 d x_{3} & d x_{1} \\
x_{2} & x_{1} & -x_{4} & -x_{3} \\
x_{3} & x_{4} & x_{1} & x_{2} \\
x_{4} & 2 x_{2} & -2 x_{3} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right| \\
=\frac{1}{2} \tan ^{-1} \frac{-2 x_{2}^{2}+2 x_{3}^{2}+2 x_{1} x_{4}}{x_{1}^{2}-x_{4}^{2}+4 x_{2} x_{3}} . \\
R^{4}=\operatorname{det}|x|
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{3}=\frac{1}{4}\left(e^{B_{1}}\left(-\cos \theta_{1}+\sin \theta_{1}\right)+e^{B_{2}}\left(\cos \theta_{2}+\sin \theta_{2}\right)\right) \\
S_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{B_{1}} \sin \theta_{1}-e^{B_{2}} \sin \theta_{2}\right), \tag{8.96}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{1}=t_{1}-t_{2}, \quad \theta_{1}=t_{1}+t_{2}+t_{3}, \\
B_{2}=-t_{1}+t_{2}, \quad \theta_{2}=t_{1}+t_{2}-t_{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From (8.96) we have $S_{i}$ an identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & -2 S_{3} & -2 S_{2} & -S_{4}  \tag{8.97}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & -S_{4} & -S_{3} \\
S_{3} & S_{4} & S_{1} & S_{2} \\
S_{4} & 2 S_{2} & -2 S_{3} & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{3} \\
S_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{3} \\
S_{3} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{3} \\
S_{4} & \left(S_{4}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{4}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{4}\right)_{3}
\end{array}\right|=1 .
$$

where $\left(S_{i}\right)_{j}=\frac{\partial S_{i}}{\partial t_{j}}, i=1,2,3,4 ; j=1,2,3$.
Definition 8.21. We define the mixed positive-negative hypercomplex functions

$$
f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
y_{1} & -2 y_{3} & -2 y_{3} & -y_{4}  \tag{8.98}\\
y_{2} & y_{1} & -y_{4} & -y_{3} \\
y_{3} & y_{4} & y_{1} & y_{2} \\
y_{4} & 2 y_{2} & -2 y_{3} & y_{1}
\end{array}\right]=y_{1}+y_{2} e_{1}+y_{3} e_{2}+y_{4} e_{3} .
$$

From (8.98) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{4}}, \\
-2 \frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{2 \partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{4}}, \\
-2 \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1}}=-\frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{3}}=-2 \frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{4}}, \\
-\frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{1}}=-\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{4}}, \tag{8.99}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $y_{i}$ are 4 -times continuously differentiable functions, from (8.99) we have the mixed partial differential equation

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} & -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}  \tag{8.100}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} & 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}
\end{array}\right| y_{1}=0
$$

Definition 8.22. We define the generalised negative-positive hypercomplex numbers

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
x_{1} & -r_{1} x_{2} & r_{2} x_{3} & -r_{1} r_{2} x_{4}  \tag{8.101}\\
x_{2} & x_{1} & r_{2} x_{4} & r_{2} x_{3} \\
x_{3} & -r_{1} x_{4} & x_{1} & -r_{1} x_{2} \\
x_{4} & x_{3} & x_{2} & x_{1}
\end{array}\right]=x_{1}+x_{2} I_{2}+x_{3} J_{2}+x_{4} k
$$

where $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are real numbers

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -r_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -r_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right], I_{2}^{2}=-r_{1} \\
J_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & r_{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & r_{2} \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], J_{2}^{2}=r_{2} \\
k=I_{2} J_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -r_{1} r_{2} \\
0 & 0 & r_{2} & 0 \\
0 & -r_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], k^{2}=-r_{1} r_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

From (8.101) we have the exponential formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=R \exp \left(t_{1} I_{2}+t_{2} J_{2}+t_{3} k\right)=R\left(S_{1}+S_{2} I_{2}+S_{3} J_{2}+S_{4} k\right) \tag{8.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{\sqrt{r_{2}} t_{2}} \cos \left(\sqrt{r_{1}} t_{1}+\sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}} t_{3}\right)+e^{-\sqrt{r_{2}} t_{2}} \cos \left(\sqrt{r_{1}} t_{1}-\sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}} t_{3}\right)\right) \\
S_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{r_{1}}}\left(e^{\sqrt{r_{2}} t_{2}} \sin \left(\sqrt{r_{1}} t_{1}+\sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}} t_{3}\right)+e^{-\sqrt{r_{2}} t_{2}} \sin \left(\sqrt{r_{1}} t_{1}-\sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}} t_{3}\right)\right) \\
S_{3}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{r_{2}}}\left(e^{\sqrt{r_{2}} t_{2}} \cos \left(\sqrt{r_{1}} t_{1}+\sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}} t_{3}\right)-e^{-\sqrt{r_{2}} t_{2}} \cos \left(\sqrt{r_{1}} t_{1}-\sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}} t_{3}\right)\right) \\
S_{4}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}}}\left(e^{\sqrt{r_{2}} t_{2}} \sin \left(\sqrt{r_{1}} t_{1}+\sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}} t_{3}\right)-e^{-\sqrt{r_{2}} t_{2}} \sin \left(\sqrt{r_{1}} t_{1}-\sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}} t_{3}\right)\right) \tag{8.103}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1} & =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{r_{1}}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{2 \sqrt{r_{1}}\left(x_{1} x_{2}-x_{3} x_{4} r_{2}\right)}{x_{1}^{2}-r_{1} x_{2}^{2}-r_{2} x_{3}^{2}+r_{1} r_{2} x_{4}^{2}} \\
t_{2} & =\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{r_{2}}} \tanh ^{-1} \frac{2 \sqrt{r_{2}}\left(x_{1} x_{3}+x_{2} x_{4} r_{1}\right)}{x_{1}^{2}+r_{1} x_{2}^{2}+r_{2} x_{3}^{2}+r_{1} r_{2} x_{4}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
t_{3}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{2 \sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}}\left(-x_{2} x_{3}+x_{1} x_{4}\right)}{x_{1}^{2}+r_{1} x_{2}^{2}-r_{2} x_{3}^{2}-r_{1} r_{2} x_{4}^{2}}
$$

From (8.103) we have $S_{i}$ an identity

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & -r_{1} S_{2} & r_{2} S_{3} & -r_{1} r_{2} S_{4}  \tag{8.104}\\
S_{2} & S_{1} & r_{2} x_{4} & r_{2} S_{3} \\
S_{3} & -r_{1} S_{4} & x_{1} & -r_{1} S_{2} \\
S_{4} & S_{3} & S_{2} & S_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
S_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{1}\right)_{3} \\
S_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{2}\right)_{3} \\
S_{3} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{3}\right)_{3} \\
S_{4} & \left(S_{4}\right)_{1} & \left(S_{4}\right)_{2} & \left(S_{4}\right)_{3}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

where $\left(S_{i}\right)_{j}=\frac{\partial S_{i}}{\partial t_{j}}, i=1,2,3,4, j=1,2,3$.
Definition 8.23. We define the generalised negative positive hypercomplex function

$$
f(x)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
y_{1} & -r_{1} y_{2} & r_{2} y_{3} & -r_{1} r_{2} y_{4}  \tag{8.105}\\
y_{2} & y_{1} & r_{2} y_{4} & r_{2} y_{3} \\
y_{3} & -r_{1} y_{4} & y_{1} & -r_{1} y_{2} \\
y_{4} & y_{3} & y_{2} & y_{1}
\end{array}\right]=y_{1}+y_{2} I_{2}+y_{3} J_{2}+y_{4} k
$$

From (8.105) we have the Cauchy-Riemann equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & =\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{4}} \\
-r_{1} \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & =\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{2}}=-r_{1} \frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{4}} \\
r_{2} \frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{1}} & =r_{2} \frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{2}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{4}} \\
-r_{1} r_{2} \frac{\partial y_{4}}{\partial x_{1}} & =r_{2} \frac{\partial y_{3}}{\partial x_{2}}=-r_{1} \frac{\partial y_{2}}{\partial x_{3}}=\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x_{4}} \tag{8.106}
\end{align*}
$$

From (8.106) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{r_{1} \partial^{2} x_{2}} & =0 \\
\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{1}}-\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{r_{2} \partial^{2} x_{3}} & =0 \\
\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{\partial^{2} x_{1}}+\frac{\partial^{2} y_{1}}{r_{1} r_{2} \partial^{2} x_{4}} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

If $y_{i}$ are 4 -times continuously differentiable functions, from (8.106) we have the generalised partial differential equation of mixed type

$$
\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & -\frac{\partial}{r_{1} \partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial}{r_{2} \partial x_{3}} & -\frac{\partial}{r_{1} r_{2} \partial x_{4}}  \tag{8.107}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial}{r_{2} \partial x_{4}} & \frac{\partial}{r_{2} \partial x_{3}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} & -\frac{\partial}{r_{1} \partial x_{4}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} & -\frac{\partial}{r_{1} \partial x_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}
\end{array}\right| y_{1}=0
$$

## 9. Chaotic Isomathematics

Over the past decade chaos has become a very lively subject of scientific study. While chaos was known already to Poincaré, the study of chaos found a renaissance after the publication of the Lorenz model of turbulence [15]. There is yet another way to study chaos, one may use difference equations [16, 17].

The prime principle, mostly written after 1979 [19-26], a few ideas dating back to the sixties, all have one central idea: affinity between the prime principle and chaos (nonlinear dynamics). The idea must have been at the back of my mind for many years, but only recently did I fully realize its importance.

It is suggested that the prime principle: a prime number is irreducible in the integers. It seems therefore natural to associate it with most stable system and the symmetrical system: the most stable configuration of two prime numbers is the stable symmetric system [20-24]. The two principles may be applied to the natural and the social sciences [18-24]. The two principles are only to study the stable state of many-body problems. The dynamics of the two principles are to study the nonlinear equations which are classical and quantum chaos.

Now we study the dynamics of prime principle. The $p$-nonlinear equations are derived from the prime principle. It defines the $p$-chaotic functions and studies the local solutions and global stability for the nonlinear equations.

It suggests a new method for studying nonlinear equations that studying the stability and instability of the nonlinear equations is transformed into studying stability and instability for the nonlinear terms of

$$
\frac{d A}{d t}=\sum \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}
$$

in the chaotic equations.
Now we study a relationship between the prime principle and the $p$-nonlinear equation. In the previous papers $[18,14]$ we are able to draw the commutative diagram with a weak map $g_{1}$ by using theory of stable group and unstable group for $p=5$ and 1 to be a stable point.


where $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}, a_{14}$ and $a_{15}$ denote interaction (competition) coefficients. The equation of $N_{1}$ growth rate is represented by using a differential equation of first order

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{1} / d t=N_{1}\left(1-a_{11} N_{1}-a_{12} N_{2}-a_{13} N_{3}-a_{14} N_{4}-a_{15} N_{5}\right) \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define 2 to be a stable point, then $(1,2,3,4,5) \rightarrow(2,3,4,5,1)$. We are able to draw the commutative diagram with a weak map $g_{2}$ by using the theory of stable group and unstable group.

where $a_{22}, a_{23}, a_{24}, a_{25}$ and $a_{21}$ denote interaction (competition) coefficients. The equation of $N_{2}$ growth rate is represented by using a differential equation of first order

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{2} / d t=N_{2}\left(1-a_{21} N_{1}-a_{22} N_{2}-a_{23} N_{3}-a_{24} N_{4}-a_{25} N_{5}\right) \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define 3 to be a stable point, then $(1,2,3,4,5) \rightarrow(3,4,5,1,2)$. We are able to draw the commutative diagram with a weak map $g_{3}$ by using theory of stable group and unstable group.

where $a_{33}, a_{34}, a_{35}, a_{31}$ and $a_{32}$ denote interaction (competition) coefficients. The equation of $N_{3}$ growth rate is represented by using a differential equation of first order

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{3} / d t=N_{3}\left(1-a_{31} N_{1}-a_{32} N_{2}-a_{33} N_{3}-a_{34} N_{4}-a_{35} N_{5}\right) \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define 4 to be a stable point, then $(1,2,3,4,5) \rightarrow(4,5,1,2,3)$. We are able to draw the commutative diagram with a weak map $g_{4}$ by using theory of stable group and unstable group.


where $a_{44}, a_{45}, a_{41}, a_{42}$ and $a_{43}$ denote interaction (competition) coefficients. The equation of $N_{4}$ growth rate is represented by using a differential equation of first order

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{4} / d t=N_{4}\left(1-a_{41} N_{1}-a_{42} N_{2}-a_{43} N_{3}-a_{44} N_{4}-a_{45} N_{5}\right) \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define 5 to be a stable point, then $(1,2,3,4,5) \rightarrow(5,1,2,3,4)$. We are able to draw the commutative diagram with a weak map $g_{5}$ by using theory of stable group and unstable group.

where $a_{55}, a_{51}, a_{52}, a_{53}$ and $a_{54}$ denote interaction (competition) coefficients. The equation of $N_{5}$ growth rate is represented by using a differential equation of first order

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{5} / d t=N_{5}\left(1-a_{51} N_{1}-a_{52} N_{2}-a_{53} N_{3}-a_{54} N_{4}-a_{55} N_{5}\right) \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above analysis we can obtain the $p$-nonlinear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{i} / d t=N_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{p} a_{i j} N_{j}\right) \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \cdots, p, p=$ prime number

$$
\left(a_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 p} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 p} \\
\cdots & & & \\
a_{p 1} & a_{p 2} & \cdots & a_{p p}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\left(a_{i j}\right)$ denotes the matrix of interaction (competition) coefficients. The equation (9.6) is defined to be the dynamics of the prime principle which produces the classical and quantum chaos.

## 9.1. $p$-Nonlinear Dynamics

In order to study solutions of the $p$-nonlinear equation (9.6) first from (2.1) we define the $p$-chaotic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{i}=\frac{1}{p}\left[A+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2}(-1)^{(i-1) j} B_{j} \cos \left(\theta_{j}+(-1)^{j} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right)\right] \tag{9.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \cdots, p$.
From the equation (9.7) we obtain its inverse transformation

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\sum_{i=1}^{p} N_{i} \\
B_{j} \cos \theta_{j}=N_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{i j} N_{1+i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{p}  \tag{9.8}\\
B_{j} \sin \theta_{j}=(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{i j} N_{1+i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{p} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The equations (9.7) and (9.8) are a nonlinear transformation group. From the equation (9.8) we obtain

$$
\frac{d A}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d B_{j}}{d t} & =\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}(-1)^{(i-1) j} \cos \left(\theta_{j}+(-1)^{j} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right),  \tag{9.9}\\
B_{j} \frac{d \theta_{j}}{d t} & =(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}(-1)^{i j} \sin \left(\theta_{j}+(-1)^{j} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $j=1,2, \cdots,(p-1) / 2$.
We study the nonlinear equation (9.6) and other nonlinear equations by using the equations (9.7) and (9.9).

We study the analytic study of the equation (9.6) on the assumption that it is a cyclical matrix. The equation (9.6) may be written into

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{i} / d t=N_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{p} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j}\right), \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\bar{a}_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{p-1} \\
a_{p-1} & 1 & \cdots & a_{p-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The fixed point solutions of the equation (9.10) may be expressed as points in the $p$-dimensional space: they are the point $(0,0, \cdots, 0) ; p$ single-point solutions of the form $(1,0, \cdots, 0)$; a nontrivial fixed point $(1,1, \cdots, 1) / \lambda_{0}$, where

$$
\lambda_{0}=1+\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} a_{j} .
$$

Now we study the local solutions of the equation (9.10) at the fixed point $(1,1, \ldots, 1) / \lambda_{0}$. The equation (9.10) may be written into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \ln N_{i}}{d t}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{p} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j} \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{d \ln N_{i}}{d t}$ is the average growth rate of $i$-th species. Setting $\lambda_{0} N_{i}=e^{y_{i}}$, the equation (9.11) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{p} \bar{a}_{i j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{0}} e^{y_{j}} . \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study the linear solutions of the equation (9.12). Setting $e^{y_{j}}=1+y_{j}$, the equation (9.12) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \bar{a}_{i j} y_{j} \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (9.13) has the following exact solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{i}=\frac{1}{p}\left[e^{-t}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2}(-1)^{(i-1) j} e^{\frac{-\lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{j}}{\lambda_{0}} t+(-1)^{j} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right)\right], \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, p, \lambda_{0}=1+\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} a_{j}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{j}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{i j} a_{i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{p} \\
\eta_{j}=(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{i j} a_{i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for stability of the equation (9.14) is $\lambda_{j}>0$. If $\lambda_{j}<0$, then their solutions are unstable.

Now we study the global stability of the equation (9.10) by using the $p$-chaotic functions. By substituting the equations (9.7) and (9.10) into the $\frac{d A}{d t}$ of the equation (9.9). We obtain the $p$-chaotic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d A}{d t}=A-\frac{1}{p}\left[\lambda_{0} A^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \lambda_{j} B_{j}^{2}\right] \tag{9.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\lambda_{0}$ and $\lambda_{j}$ in both equations (9.14) and (9.15) are the same. We will discuss stability and instability of the nonlinear terms of the equation (9.15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{A^{2}}=-\frac{1}{p} \lambda_{0} A^{2} . \tag{9.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda_{0}>0$, it is stable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B_{j}^{2}}=-\frac{2}{p} \lambda_{j} B_{j}^{2} . \tag{9.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{j}>0$, it is stable. If $\lambda_{j}<0$, it is unstable. The results obtained from the equations (9.14) and (9.17) are the same. From the above analysis we come to a conclusion that studying stability and instability of the equation (9.10) is transformed into studying stability and instability of the nonlinear terms of

$$
\frac{d A}{d t}=\sum \frac{d N_{j}}{d t}
$$

in the equation (9.15). The solutions of the nonlinear equations are simplified by the above method. It provides a useful tool for studying the nonlinear equations.

For $p=3$ from the equation (9.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d N_{i}}{d t}=N_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{3} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j}\right),  \tag{9.18}\\
i=1,2,3 ; \quad\left(\bar{a}_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & 1 & a_{1} \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

where $N_{i}(t)$ is the number of individuals of the $i$-th species and $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ denote interaction coefficients. The fixed point solutions may be expressed as points in the 3 -dimensional space: they are point $(0,0,0) ; 3$ single-point solutions of the form ( $1,0,0$ ); a nontrivial fixed point $(1,1,1) / \lambda_{0}$ where $\lambda_{0}=1+a_{1}+a_{2}$. The equation (9.18) has been studied by Busse in turbulence [27], May and Leonard in the population [28] and Roy and Splimano in biology [29].

We study the local solutions of the equation (9.18) at the fixed point $(1,1,1) / \lambda_{0}$. The equation (9.18) may be written into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d \ln N_{1}}{d t}=1-N_{1}-a_{1} N_{2}-a_{2} N_{3}, \\
& \frac{d \ln N_{2}}{d t}=1-a_{2} N_{1}-N_{2}-a_{1} N_{3},  \tag{9.19}\\
& \frac{d \ln N_{3}}{d t}=1-a_{1} N_{1}-a_{2} N_{2}-N_{3} .
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $\lambda_{0} N_{i}=e^{y_{i}}$, the equation (9.19) is transformed into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d y_{1}}{d t}=1-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}\left(e^{y_{1}}+a_{1} e^{y_{2}}+a_{2} e^{y_{3}}\right), \\
& \frac{d y_{2}}{d t}=1-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}\left(a_{2} e^{y_{1}}+e^{y_{2}}+a_{1} e^{y_{3}}\right),  \tag{9.20}\\
& \frac{d y_{3}}{d t}=1-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}\left(a_{1} e^{y_{1}}+a_{2} e^{y_{2}}+e^{y_{3}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We study the linear solutions of the equation (9.20). Setting $e^{y_{i}}=1+y_{i}$, the equation (9.20)is transformed into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d y_{1}}{d t}=-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}\left(y_{1}+a_{1} y_{2}+a_{2} y_{3}\right), \\
& \frac{d y_{2}}{d t}=-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}\left(a_{2} y_{1}+y_{2}+a_{1} y_{3}\right), \tag{9.21}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\frac{d y_{3}}{d t}=-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}\left(a_{1} y_{1}+a_{2} y_{2}+y_{3}\right)
$$

The equation (9.21) has the following exact solutions

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{-t}+2 e^{\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t\right)\right], \\
y_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{-t}-2 e^{\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right],  \tag{9.22}\\
y_{1}=\frac{1}{3}\left[e^{-t}+2 e^{\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\lambda_{0}=1+a_{1}+a_{2}, \lambda_{1}=1-\frac{\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right)}{2}, \eta_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)$.
A necessary and sufficient condition for stability of the equation (9.22) is $\lambda_{1}>0$, namely $a_{1}+a_{2}<2$. If $\lambda_{1}<0$, namely $a_{1}+a_{2}>2$, it is unstable.

We study the global stability of the equation (9.18) by using the 3 -chaotic function. From the equation (9.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
N_{1}=\frac{1}{3}[A+2 B \cos \theta] \\
N_{2}=\frac{1}{3}\left[A-2 B \cos \left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)\right],  \tag{9.23}\\
N_{3}=\frac{1}{3}\left[A+2 B \cos \left(\theta-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right)\right] .
\end{gather*}
$$

From the equation (9.23) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d A}{d t}=\frac{d N_{1}}{d t}+\frac{d N_{2}}{d t}+\frac{d N_{3}}{d t} \\
\frac{d B}{d t}=\cos \theta \frac{d N_{1}}{d t}-\cos \left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \frac{d N_{2}}{d t}+\cos \left(\theta-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right) \frac{d N_{3}}{d t}  \tag{9.24}\\
B \frac{d \theta}{d t}=-\sin \theta \frac{d N_{1}}{d t}+\sin \left(\theta-\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \frac{d N_{2}}{d t}-\sin \left(\theta-\frac{2 \pi}{3}\right) \frac{d N_{3}}{d t} .
\end{gather*}
$$

By substituting the equations (9.18) and (9.23) into the equation (9.24) we obtain 3 -chaotic equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d A}{d t}=A-\frac{1}{3}\left[\lambda_{0} A^{2}+2 \lambda_{1} B^{2}\right], \\
\frac{d B}{d t}=B-\frac{1}{3}\left[\left(\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}\right) A B+B^{2}\left(\lambda_{1} \cos 3 \theta+\eta_{1} \sin 3 \theta\right)\right],  \tag{9.25}\\
B \frac{d \theta}{d t}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\eta_{1} A B-B^{2}\left(-\lambda_{1} \sin 3 \theta+\eta_{1} \cos 3 \theta\right)\right],
\end{gather*}
$$

$\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}$ and $\eta_{1}$ in the equation (9.22) and (9.25) are the same. If $a_{1}=a_{2}=1$, then $\lambda_{0}=3, \lambda_{1}=\eta_{1}=0$.

From the equation (9.25) we obtain a special solution as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{A(0)}{A(0)+(1-A(0)) e^{-t}} . \tag{9.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now discuss stability and instability of the nonlinear terms of $\frac{d A}{d t}$ in the equation (9.25)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{A^{2}}=-\frac{\lambda_{0}}{3} A^{2} \tag{9.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda_{0}>0$, it is stable.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B^{2}}=-\frac{2}{3} \lambda_{1} B^{2} . \tag{9.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{1}>0$, namely $a_{1}+a_{2}<2$, it is stable. If $\lambda_{1}<0$, namely $a_{1}+a_{2}>2$, it is unstable. The results obtained from the equations (9.22) and (9.28) are the same. Studying stability and instability of the equation (9.18) is transformed into studying stability and instability of the nonlinear terms of

$$
\frac{d A}{d t}=\frac{d N_{1}}{d t}+\frac{d N_{2}}{d t}+\frac{d N_{3}}{d t}
$$

at the equation (9.25). This method allows us to study general nonlinear equations including the Lorenz equation which produces the chaotic manifolds and nonlinear dynamics.

A mechanism of the spiral chaos. By using the above idea we study the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{i} / d t=N_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{i j} N_{j}\right), \tag{9.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left(a_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$\left(a_{i j}\right)$ denotes interaction coefficients.
The fixed point solutions may be expressed as points in the 3-dimensional space: they are $(0,0,0)$ and $\left(N_{10}, N_{20}, N_{30}\right)$. By substituting the equations (9.29) and (9.23) into the equation (9.24) we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d A}{d t}=A-\frac{1}{9}\left\{\beta_{0} A^{2}+B^{2}\left[\beta_{3}-\beta_{4}+\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{3}-\beta_{4}\right) \cos 2 \theta-\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{3}+\eta_{4}\right) \sin 2 \theta\right]\right. \\
\left.+\left[\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{3}+\beta_{4}\right) \cos \theta-\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{3}+\eta_{4}\right) \sin \theta\right] A B\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d B}{d t}=B-\frac{1}{18}\left\{\left[\beta_{1} \cos \theta-\eta_{1} \sin \theta\right] A^{2}+\left[\left(\beta_{2}+\beta_{3}+\beta_{4}\right) \cos \theta\right.\right. \\
\left.+\left(\eta_{2}-\eta_{3}-\eta_{4}\right) \sin \theta+\left(\beta_{3}-\beta_{4}\right) \cos 3 \theta+\left(\eta_{3}-\eta_{4}\right) \sin 3 \theta\right] B^{2}+\left[\beta_{5}+\beta_{6}\right. \\
\left.\left.+\left(\beta_{5}-\beta_{6}\right) \cos 2 \theta-\left(\eta_{5}+\eta_{6}\right) \sin 2 \theta\right] A B\right\},  \tag{9.30}\\
B \frac{d \theta}{d t}=\frac{1}{18}\left\{\left(\beta_{1} \sin \theta+\eta_{1} \cos \theta\right) A^{2}+\left[\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{3}-\beta_{4}\right) \sin \theta\right.\right. \\
\left.-\left(\eta_{2}-\eta_{3}-\eta_{4}\right) \cos \theta+\left(\beta_{3}-\beta_{4}\right) \sin 3 \theta+\left(-\eta_{3}+\eta_{4}\right) \cos 3 \theta\right] B^{2} \\
\left.+\left[\left(-\eta_{5}+\eta_{6}\right)+\left(\beta_{5}-\beta_{6}\right) \sin 2 \theta+\left(\eta_{5}+\eta_{6}\right) \cos 2 \theta\right] A B\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{0}=a_{11}+a_{22}+a_{33}+a_{12}+a_{21}+a_{13}+a_{31}+a_{23}+a_{32}, \\
\beta_{1}=2 a_{11}+2 a_{12}+2 a_{13}-a_{21}-a_{22}-a_{23}-a_{31}-a_{32}-a_{33}, \\
\eta_{1}=\sqrt{3}\left(a_{21}+a_{22}+a_{23}-a_{31}-a_{32}-a_{33}\right), \\
\beta_{2}=4 a_{11}-2 a_{12}-2 a_{13}+a_{21}-2 a_{22}+a_{23}+a_{31}+a_{32}-2 a_{33}, \\
\eta_{2}=\sqrt{3}\left(a_{21}-2 a_{22}+a_{23}-a_{31}-a_{32}+2 a_{33}\right), \\
\beta_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(4 a_{11}-2 a_{12}-2 a_{13}+a_{21}+a_{22}-2 a_{23}+a_{31}-2 a_{32}+a_{33}\right), \\
\eta_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(a_{21}+a_{22}-2 a_{23}-a_{31}+2 a_{32}-a_{33}\right), \\
\beta_{4}=\frac{3}{2}\left(a_{21}-a_{22}+a_{31}-a_{33}\right), \\
\beta_{5}=\frac{1}{2}\left(8 a_{11}+2 a_{12}+2 a_{13}-a_{21}+2 a_{22}+2 a_{23}-a_{31}+2 a_{32}+2 a_{33}\right), \\
\eta_{4}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(2 a_{12}-2 a_{13}-a_{21}+a_{22}+a_{31}-a_{33}\right), \\
\eta_{5}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(2 a_{12}-2 a_{13}-a_{21}-2 a_{22}+a_{31}+2 a_{33}\right), \\
\beta_{6}=\frac{3}{2}\left(a_{21}+2 a_{22}+a_{31}+2 a_{33}\right), \\
\eta_{6}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(a_{21}-2 a_{22}-2 a_{23}-a_{31}+2 a_{32}+2 a_{33}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now we discuss stability and instability of the nonlinear terms of $\frac{d A}{d t}$ in the equation (9.30)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{A^{2}}=-\frac{1}{9} \beta_{0} A^{2} \tag{9.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\beta_{0}>0,\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{A^{2}}<0$, it is stable.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B^{2}}=-\frac{1}{9}\left[\beta_{3}-\beta_{4}+\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{3}-\beta_{4}\right) \cos 2 \theta-\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{3}+\eta_{4}\right) \sin 2 \theta\right] B^{2} . \tag{9.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left[\beta_{3}-\beta_{4}+\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{3}-\beta_{4}\right) \cos 2 \theta-\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{3}+\eta_{4}\right) \sin 2 \theta\right]>0$, then $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B^{2}}<0$. It is stable.

If $\left[\beta_{3}-\beta_{4}+\left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{3}-\beta_{4}\right) \cos 2 \theta-\left(\eta_{2}+\eta_{3}+\eta_{4}\right) \sin 2 \theta\right]<0$, then $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B^{2}}>0$. It is unstable.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{A B}=-\frac{1}{9}\left[\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{3}+\beta_{4}\right) \cos \theta-\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{3}+\eta_{4}\right) \sin \theta\right] A B \tag{9.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left[\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{3}+\beta_{4}\right) \cos \theta-\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{3}+\eta_{4}\right) \sin \theta\right] A B>0$, then $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{A B}<0$. It is stable. If $\left[\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{3}+\beta_{4}\right) \cos \theta-\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{3}+\eta_{4}\right) \sin \theta\right] A B<0$, then $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{A B}>0$. It is unstable.

From the above analysis we come to a conclusion that the sign changes of $\sin \theta$, $\cos \theta, \sin 2 \theta$ and $\cos 2 \theta$ of the nonlinear terms of $\frac{d A}{d t}$ in the equation (9.30) lead to the unstable manifolds (sources) and stable manifolds (sinks) at the fixed points in space $(A, B, \theta)$. It is a mechanism for producing the spiral chaos.

We consider the Lorenz equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d N_{1}}{d t}=\sigma\left(N_{1}-N_{2}\right), \\
\frac{d N_{2}}{d t}=\rho N_{1}-N_{2}-N_{1} N_{3},  \tag{9.34}\\
\frac{d N_{3}}{d t}=-\beta N_{1}+N_{1} N_{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

It contains three constants: $\sigma$ (the Prandtl number), $\rho$ (the Rayleigh number), and $\beta$ (an aspect ratio).

For $\rho>1$, there are two nontrivial fixed points, at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right)=( \pm \sqrt{\beta(\rho-1)}, \pm \sqrt{\beta(\rho-1)},(\rho-1)) \tag{9.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\rho<1$, there is one trivial fixed point, at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right)=(0,0,0) . \tag{9.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9.23), (9.24) and (9.34) we obtain a chaotic equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d A}{d t}=\frac{1}{3}[(\rho-1-\beta) A+(3 \sigma+2 \rho-2 \beta+1) B \cos \theta \\
& +\sqrt{3}(\sigma+1) \sin \theta]-\frac{(2 \sqrt{3})}{9}\left[A B \sin \theta+B^{2} \sin 2 \theta\right] \tag{9.37}
\end{align*}
$$

We now discuss the stability and instability of nonlinear terms in (9.37). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{A B}=-\frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{9} A B \sin \theta, \quad\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B^{2}}=-\frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{9} B^{2} \sin 2 \theta . \tag{9.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\rho>1$, we have two nontrivial fixed points, at

$$
(A, B, \theta)=\left(( \pm 2 \sqrt{\beta(\rho-1)}+\rho-1),( \pm \sqrt{\beta(\rho-1)}-(\rho-1)),-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)
$$

For $\rho<1$, we have one nontrivial fixed point, at

$$
(A, B, \theta)=(0,0,0)
$$

For $\rho=1$, we have one nontrivial fixed point, at

$$
(A, B, \theta)=\left(0,0,-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)
$$

If $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{A B}<0$, it is stable. If $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{A B}>0$, it is unstable. If $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B^{2}}<0$, it is stable. If $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B^{2}}>0$, it is unstable. Chaos occurs when stable and unstable manifolds cross at nontrivial fixed points.

For $p=5$ from the equation (9.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
d N_{i} / d t=N_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{5} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j}\right),  \tag{9.39}\\
\left(\bar{a}_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
a_{4} & 1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{3} & a_{4} & 1 & a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} & 1 & a_{1} \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} & 1
\end{array}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

where $N_{i}$ is the number of individuals of the $i$-th species.
The fixed points solutions may be expressed as points in the 5 -dimensional space: they are the point $(0,0,0,0,0) ; 5$ single-point solutions of the form $(1,0,0,0,0)$; a nontrivial fixed point $(1,1,1,1,1) / \lambda_{0}$, where

$$
\lambda_{0}=1+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4}
$$

Now we study the local solutions of the equation (9.39) at fixed point $(1,1,1,1,1) / \lambda_{0}$. The equation (9.39) may be written into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \ln N_{i}}{d t}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{5} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j} . \tag{9.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\lambda_{0} N_{j}=e^{y_{j}}$, then the equation (9.40) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=1-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}} \sum_{j=1}^{5} \bar{a}_{i j} e^{y_{j}} . \tag{9.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study the linear solutions of the equation (9.41). Setting $e^{y_{j}}=1+y_{i}$, the equation (9.41) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=-\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}} \sum_{j=1}^{5} \bar{a}_{i j} y_{j} . \tag{9.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (9.42) has the following exact solutions

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{1}=\frac{1}{5}\left[e^{-t}+2 e^{\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t\right)+2 e^{\frac{-\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{\lambda_{0}} t\right)\right], \\
y_{2}=\frac{1}{5}\left[e^{-t}-2 e^{\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t_{1}-\frac{\pi}{5}\right)+2 e^{\frac{-\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{\lambda_{0}} t+\frac{2 \pi}{5}\right)\right], \\
y_{3}=\frac{1}{5}\left[e^{-t}+2 e^{\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t-\frac{2 \pi}{5}\right)+2 e^{\frac{-\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{\lambda_{0}} t+\frac{4 \pi}{5}\right)\right],  \tag{9.43}\\
y_{4}=\frac{1}{5}\left[e^{-t}-2 e^{\left.\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}}\right) t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t-\frac{3 \pi}{5}\right)+2 e^{\frac{-\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{\lambda_{0}} t+\frac{6 \pi}{5}\right)\right], \\
y_{5}=\frac{1}{5}\left[e^{-t}+2 e^{\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{1}}{\lambda_{0}} t-\frac{4 \pi}{5}\right)+2 e^{\left(\frac{-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}}\right) t} \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{2}}{\lambda_{0}} t+\frac{8 \pi}{5}\right)\right],
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{0}=1+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4}, \\
\lambda_{1}=1-\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) \cos \frac{\pi}{5}+\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right) \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}, \\
\eta_{1}=\left(a_{1}-a_{4}\right) \sin \frac{\pi}{5}-\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right) \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}, \\
\lambda_{2}=1+\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) \cos \frac{2 \pi}{5}+\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right) \cos \frac{4 \pi}{5}, \\
\eta_{2}=\left(a_{1}-a_{4}\right) \sin \frac{2 \pi}{5}+\left(a_{2}-a_{3}\right) \sin \frac{4 \pi}{5} .
\end{gathered}
$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for stability of the equation (9.43) is $\lambda_{1}>0$ and $\lambda_{2}>0$. If $\lambda_{1}<0$ and $\lambda_{2}<0 ; \lambda_{1}<0$ and $\lambda_{2}>0$; and $\lambda_{1}>0$ and $\lambda_{2}<0$, then it is unstable.

Now we study the global stability of the equation (9.39) by using the 5 -chaotic function. From the equation (9.7) we obtain

$$
N_{1}=\frac{1}{5}\left[A+2 B_{1} \cos \theta_{1}+2 B_{2} \cos \theta_{2}\right],
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{2}=\frac{1}{5}\left[A-2 B_{1} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{\pi}{5}\right)+2 B_{2} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{2 \pi}{5}\right)\right] \\
& N_{3}=\frac{1}{5}\left[A+2 B_{1} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{2 \pi}{5}\right)+2 B_{2} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{4 \pi}{5}\right)\right]  \tag{9.44}\\
& N_{4}=\frac{1}{5}\left[A-2 B_{1} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{3 \pi}{5}\right)+2 B_{2} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{6 \pi}{5}\right)\right] \\
& N_{5}=\frac{1}{5}\left[A+2 B_{1} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{4 \pi}{5}\right)+2 B_{2} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{8 \pi}{5}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

From the equation (9.44) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d A}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{5} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t} \\
\frac{d B_{1}}{d t}=\sum_{i=0}^{4}(-1)^{i} \cos \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{i \pi}{5}\right) \frac{d N_{i+1}}{d t} \\
B_{1} \frac{d \theta_{1}}{d t}=\sum_{i=0}^{4}(-1)^{i+1} \sin \left(\theta_{1}-\frac{i \pi}{5}\right) \frac{d N_{i+1}}{d t}  \tag{9.45}\\
\frac{d B_{2}}{d t}=\sum_{i=0}^{4} \cos \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{2 \pi i}{5}\right) \frac{d N_{i+1}}{d t} \\
B_{2} \frac{d \theta_{2}}{d t}=-\sum_{i=0}^{4} \sin \left(\theta_{2}+\frac{2 \pi i}{5}\right) \frac{d N_{i+1}}{d t}
\end{gather*}
$$

By substituting the equation (9.39) and (9.44) into the equation (9.45), we obtain the 5 -chaotic equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d A}{d t}=A-\frac{1}{5}\left[\lambda_{0} A^{2}+2 \lambda_{1} B_{1}^{2}+2 \lambda_{2} B_{2}^{2}\right], \\
\frac{d B_{1}}{d t}=B_{1}-\frac{1}{5}\left\{\left(\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}\right) A B_{1}+B_{2}^{2}\left[\lambda_{2} \cos \left(2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)+\eta_{2} \sin \left(2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)\right]\right. \\
\left.+B_{1} B_{2}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) \cos \left(2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)+\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}\right) \sin \left(2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\right]\right\} \\
B_{1} \frac{d \theta_{1}}{d t}=\frac{1}{5}\left\{\eta_{1} A B_{1}-B_{2}^{2}\left[\lambda_{2} \sin \left(2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)-\eta_{2} \cos \left(2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)\right]\right. \\
 \tag{9.46}\\
\left.+B_{1} B_{2}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) \sin \left(2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)-\left(\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}\right) \cos \left(2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\right]\right\}, \\
\frac{d B_{2}}{d t}=B_{2}-\frac{1}{5}\left\{\left(\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{2}\right) A B_{2}+B_{1}^{2}\left[\lambda_{1} \cos \left(2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)+\eta_{1} \sin \left(2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
+ \\
\left.B_{1} B_{2}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) \cos \left(2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)+\left(-\eta_{1}+\eta_{2}\right) \sin \left(2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)\right]\right\},
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{2} \frac{d \theta_{2}}{d t}=\frac{1}{5}\left\{\eta_{2} A B_{2}+B_{1}^{2}\left[\lambda_{1} \sin \left(2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)-\eta_{1} \cos \left(2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+B_{1} B_{2}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) \sin \left(2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)+\left(\eta_{1}-\eta_{2}\right) \cos \left(2 \theta_{2}-\theta_{1}\right)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \eta_{1}$ and $\eta_{2}$ in both equations (9.43) and (9.46) are same. If $a_{i}=1$, where $i=1,2,3,4$ and 5 , then $\lambda_{0}=5$, and $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\eta_{1}=\eta_{2}=0$. From the equation (9.46) we obtain a special solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{A(0)}{A(0)+(1-A(0)) e^{-t}} \tag{9.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we discuss stability and instability of the nonlinear terms of $\frac{d A}{d t}$ in the equation (9.46).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{A^{2}}=-\frac{1}{5} \lambda_{0} A^{2} \tag{9.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is stable for $\lambda_{0}>0$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B_{1}^{2}}=-\frac{2}{5} \lambda_{1} B_{2}^{2} \tag{9.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{1}>0$, then $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B_{1}^{2}}<0$. It is stable. If $\lambda_{1}<0$, then $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B_{1}^{2}}>0$. It is unstable.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B_{2}^{2}}=-\frac{2}{5} \lambda_{2} B_{2}^{2} \tag{9.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{2}>0$, then $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B_{2}^{2}}<0$. It is stable. If $\lambda_{2}<0$, then $\left(\frac{d A}{d t}\right)_{B_{2}^{2}}>0$. It is unstable.
The results obtained from the equations (9.43) and (9.44) and (9.30) are the same.
Studying stability and instability of the equation (9.39) is transformed into studying stability and instability of the nonlinear terms of $\frac{d A}{d t}$ in the equation (9.46).

### 9.2. 2p-Nonlinear Dynamics

In order to study solutions of the $2 p$-nonlinear equation from (3.1) we define the $2 p$-chaotic function

$$
\begin{array}{r}
N_{i}=\frac{1}{2 p}\left[A_{1}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2}(-1)^{(i-1) j} B_{j} \cos \left(\theta_{j}+(-1)^{j} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right)\right] \\
+\frac{(-1)^{(i-1)}}{2 p}\left[A_{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2}(-1)^{(i-1) j} D_{j} \cos \left(\phi_{j}+(-1)^{j+1} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right)\right] . \tag{9.51}
\end{array}
$$

where $i=1,2, \cdots, 2 p$.

From the equation (9.51) we obtain its inverse transformation

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 p} N_{i}, A_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 p} N_{i}(-1)^{1+i}, \\
B_{j} \cos \theta_{j}=N_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{2 p-1}(-1)^{i j} N_{1+i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{p}, \\
B_{j} \sin \theta_{j}=(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p-1} N_{1+i}(-1)^{i j} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{p},  \tag{9.52}\\
D_{j} \cos \phi_{j}=N_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{2 p-1} N_{1+i}(-1)^{(j-1) i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{p}, \\
D_{j} \sin \phi_{j}=(-1)^{j} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p-1} N_{1+i}(-1)^{(j-1) i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{p} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The equations (9.51) and (9.52) are a nonlinear transformation group. From the equation (9.52) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 p} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}, \\
\frac{d B_{j}}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 p} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}(-1)^{(i-1) j} \cos \left(\theta_{j}+(-1)^{j} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right), \\
B_{j} \frac{d \theta_{j}}{d t}=(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}(-1)^{i j} \sin \left(\theta_{j}+(-1)^{j} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right), \\
\frac{d A_{2}}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 p}(-1)^{1+i} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}, \\
\frac{d D_{j}}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 p}(-1)^{(j-1)(i+1)} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t} \cos \left(\phi_{j}+(-1)^{j+1} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right), \\
D_{j} \frac{d \phi_{j}}{d t}=(-1)^{j} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p}(-1)^{(j-1) i} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t} \sin \left(\phi_{j}+(-1)^{j+1} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right) . \tag{9.53}
\end{gather*}
$$

We define the $2 p$-nonlinear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d N_{i} / d t=N_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{2 p} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{i}\right), \tag{9.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\bar{a}^{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{2 p-1} \\
a_{2 p-1} & 1 & \cdots & a_{2 p-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The fixed point solutions of the equation (9.54) may be expressed as points in the $2 p$-dimensional space: they are the point $(0,0, \cdots, 0) ; 2 p$ single-point solutions of the form $(1,0, \cdots, 0)$; a nontrivial fixed point $(1,1, \cdots, 1) / \lambda_{A_{1}}$, where

$$
\lambda_{A_{1}}=1+\sum_{j=1}^{2 p-1} a_{j} .
$$

Now we study the local solutions of Eq. (9.54) at the fixed point $(1,1, \cdots, 1) / \lambda_{A_{1}}$. The equation (9.54) may be written into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \ln N_{i}}{d t}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{2 p} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{i} \tag{9.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{d \ln N_{i}}{d t}$ is the average growth rate of $i$-th species. Setting $\lambda_{A_{1}} N_{i}=e^{y_{i}}$, the equation (9.55) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{2 p} \bar{a}_{i j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} e^{y_{j}} \tag{9.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study the linear solutions of the equation (9.56). Setting $e^{y_{j}}=1+y_{j}$, the equation (9.56) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=-\frac{1}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \bar{a}_{i j} y_{j} . \tag{9.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (9.57) has the following exact solutions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{i}=\frac{1}{2 p}\left[e^{-t}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2}(-1)^{(i-1) j} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{B_{J}}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{\theta_{j}}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t+(-1)^{j} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{(-1)^{(i-1)}}{2 p}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{A_{2}}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right)+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2}(-1)^{(i-1) j}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\times \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{D_{j}}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right) \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{\phi_{j}}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t+(-1)^{j+1} \frac{(i-1) j \pi}{p}\right)\right], \tag{9.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{A_{1}}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{2 p-1} a_{i}, \lambda_{A_{2}}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{2 p-1}(-1)^{i} a_{i}, \\
\lambda_{B_{j}}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{2 p-1}(-1)^{i j} a_{i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{p}, \\
\eta_{\theta_{j}}=(-1)^{j+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p-1} a_{i}(-1)^{i j} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{p}, \\
\lambda_{D_{j}}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{2 p-1} a_{i}(-1)^{(j-1) i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{p}, \\
\eta_{\phi_{j}}=(-1)^{j} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p-1} a_{i}(-1)^{(j-1) i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for stability of the equation (9.58) is $\lambda_{B_{j}}>0$, $\lambda_{A_{2}}>0$, and $\lambda_{D_{j}}>0$, where $j=1,2, \cdots,(p-1) / 2$. If $\lambda_{B_{j}}<0, \lambda_{A_{2}}<0$, and $\lambda_{D_{j}}<0$, then their solutions are unstable.

Now we study the global stability of the equation (9.54) by using the $2 p$-chaotic function. By substituting both equations (9.52) and (9.54) into (9.53) we obtain the $2 p$ - chaotic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}=A_{1}-\frac{1}{2 p}\left[\lambda_{A_{1}} A_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{A_{2}} A_{2}^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \lambda_{B_{j}} B_{j}^{2}+2 \sum_{J=1}^{(p-1) / 2} \lambda_{D_{j}} D_{j}^{2}\right] \tag{9.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\lambda_{A_{1}}, \lambda_{A_{2}}, \lambda_{B_{J}}$ and $\lambda_{D_{j}}$ in both equations (9.58) and (9.59) are identical.
We will discuss stability and instability of the nonlinear equation (9.59). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A_{1}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{2 p} \lambda_{A_{1}} A_{1}^{2} \tag{9.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda_{A_{1}}>0$, then $\left(d A_{1} / d t\right)_{A_{1}^{2}}<0$, so it is stable. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A_{2}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{2 p} \lambda_{A_{2}} A_{2}^{2} \tag{9.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{A_{2}}>0$, then $\left(d A_{1} / d t\right)_{A_{2}^{2}}<0$, so it is stable; if $\lambda_{A_{2}}<0$, then $\left(d A_{1} / d t\right)_{A_{2}^{2}}>0$, so it is unstable. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{B_{j}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{p} \lambda_{B_{j}} B_{j}^{2} . \tag{9.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{B_{j}}>0$, then $\left(d A_{1} / d t\right)_{B_{j}^{2}}<0$, so it is stable; if $\lambda_{B_{J}}<0$, then $\left(d A_{1} / d t\right)_{B_{j}^{2}}>0$, so it is unstable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{D_{j}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{p} \lambda_{D_{j}} D_{j}^{2} \tag{9.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{D_{j}}>0$, then $\left(d A_{1} / d t\right)_{D_{j}^{2}}<0$, so it is stable; if $\lambda_{D_{j}}<0$, then $\left(d A_{1} / d t\right)_{D_{j}^{2}}>0$, so it is unstable.

From the above analysis we come to the conclusion that studying the stability and instability of the nonlinear equation (9.54) is transformed into studying the stability and instability of the nonlinear terms of $d A_{1} / d t$ in the equation (9.59). The study of the nonlinear equations are greatly simplified by using this method. It provides a useful tool for studying the nonlinear equations.

For $2 p=6$, from the equation (9.54) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{i}}{d t}=N_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{6} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j}\right), \tag{9.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2,3,4,5,6, j=1,2,3,4,5,6$, and

$$
\left(\bar{a}_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5} \\
a_{5} & 1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} \\
a_{4} & a_{5} & 1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5} & 1 & a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5} & 1 & a_{1} \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{4} & a_{5} & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

$N_{i}(t)$ is the number of individuals of the $i$-th species, and $\left(\bar{a}_{i j}\right)$ denotes the interaction matrix. The fixed-point solutions may be expressed as points in the 6 -dimensional space: they are the point $(0,0,0,0,0,0)$; six single-point solutions of the form $(1,0,0,0,0,0)$; and a nontrivial fixed point $(1,1,1,1,1,1) / \lambda_{A_{1}}$, where $\lambda_{A_{1}}=$ $1+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4}+a_{5}$.

We study the local solutions of Eq. (9.64) at the fixed point (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)/ $\lambda_{A_{1}}$. The equation (9.64) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \ln N_{i}}{d t}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{6} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j} . \tag{9.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\lambda_{A_{1}} N_{i}=e^{y_{i}}$, the equation (9.65) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=1-\frac{1}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \bar{a}_{i j} e^{y_{j}} . \tag{9.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study the linear solutions of the equation (9.66). Setting $e^{y_{j}}=1+y_{j}$, it is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=-\frac{1}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{6} \bar{a}_{i j} y_{j} . \tag{9.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (9.67) has the following exact solutions

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{i}=\frac{1}{6}\left[e^{-t}+2(-1)^{i-1} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{B}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right) \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{\theta}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t-\frac{(i-1) \pi}{3}\right)\right] \\
+\frac{(-1)^{(i-1)}}{6}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{A_{2}}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right)+2(-1)^{i-1} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{D}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right) \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{\phi}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t+\frac{(i-1) \pi}{3}\right)\right],  \tag{9.68}\\
\lambda_{A_{1}}=1+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4}+a_{5}, \\
\lambda_{A_{2}}=1-a_{1}+a_{2}-a_{3}+a_{4}-a_{5}, \\
\lambda_{B}=1+a_{3}-\frac{a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{4}+a_{5}}{2}, \\
\eta_{\theta}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-a_{1}+a_{2}-a_{4}+a_{5}\right), \\
\lambda_{D}=1-a_{3}+\frac{a_{1}-a_{2}-a_{4}+a_{5}}{2}, \\
\eta_{\phi}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(-a_{1}-a_{2}+a_{4}+a_{5}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for stability of the equation (9.68) is $\lambda_{A_{1}}>0$, $\lambda_{A_{2}}>0, \lambda_{B}>0$, and $\lambda_{D}>0$. If $\lambda_{A_{2}}<0, \lambda_{B}<0$, and $\lambda_{D}<0 ; \lambda_{A_{2}}>0, \lambda_{B}>0$, and $\lambda_{D}<0 ; \lambda_{A_{2}}>0, \lambda_{B}<0$, and $\lambda_{D}>0$; or $\lambda_{A_{2}}<0, \lambda_{B}>0$, and $\lambda_{D}>0$, their solutions are unstable.

We study the global stability of the equation (9.64) by using the 6 -chaotic function. From the equation (9.51) we obtain the chaotic functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{1}=\frac{1}{6}\left[A_{1}+2 B \cos \theta+A_{2}+2 D \cos \phi\right], \\
N_{2}=\frac{1}{6}\left[A_{1}-2 B \cos \left(\theta-\frac{1}{3} \pi\right)-A_{2}+2 D \cos \left(\phi+\frac{1}{3} \pi\right)\right], \\
N_{3}=\frac{1}{6}\left[A_{1}+2 B \cos \left(\theta-\frac{2}{3} \pi\right)+A_{2}+2 D \cos \left(\phi+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right)\right], \\
N_{4}=\frac{1}{6}\left[A_{1}+2 B \cos \theta-A_{2}-2 D \cos \phi\right], \\
N_{5}=\frac{1}{6}\left[A_{1}-2 B \cos \left(\theta-\frac{1}{3} \pi\right)+A_{2}-2 D \cos \left(\phi+\frac{1}{3} \pi\right)\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{6}=\frac{1}{6}\left[A_{1}+2 B \cos \left(\theta-\frac{2}{3} \pi\right)-A_{2}-2 D \cos \left(\phi+\frac{2}{3} \pi\right)\right] . \tag{9.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the equation (9.69) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}=\sum_{j=1}^{6} \frac{d N_{j}}{d t} \\
\frac{d B}{d t}=\sum_{j=0}^{2}(-1)^{j} \cos \left(\theta-\frac{j \pi}{3}\right) \times\left(\frac{d N_{j+1}}{d t}+\frac{d N_{4+j}}{d t}\right), \\
B \frac{d \theta}{d t}=\sum_{j=0}^{2}(-1)^{j+1} \sin \left(\theta-\frac{j \pi}{3}\right) \times\left(\frac{d N_{j+1}}{d t}+\frac{d N_{4+j}}{d t}\right), \\
\frac{d A_{2}}{d t}=\sum_{j=1}^{6}(-1)^{j+1} \frac{d N_{j}}{d t} \\
\frac{d D}{d t}=\sum_{j=0}^{2} \cos \left(\phi+\frac{j \pi}{3}\right) \times\left(\frac{d N_{j+1}}{d t}-\frac{d N_{4+j}}{d t}\right) \\
D \frac{d \phi}{d t}=-\sum_{j=0}^{2} \sin \left(\phi+\frac{j \pi}{3}\right) \times\left(\frac{d N_{j+1}}{d t}-\frac{d N_{4+j}}{d t}\right) . \tag{9.70}
\end{gather*}
$$

By substituting both equations (9.64) and (9.69) into the equation (9.70) we obtain the 6 -chaotic equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}=A_{1}-\frac{1}{6}\left(\lambda_{A_{1}} A_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{A_{2}} A_{2}^{2}+2 \lambda_{B} B^{2}+2 \lambda_{D} D^{2}\right), \\
\frac{d B}{d t}=B-\frac{1}{6}\left\{\left(\lambda_{A_{1}}+\lambda_{B}\right) A_{1} B+\left[\left(\lambda_{A_{2}}+\lambda_{D}\right) \cos (\theta+\phi)+\eta_{\phi} \sin (\theta+\phi)\right] A_{2} D\right. \\
\left.+\left(\lambda_{B} \cos 3 \theta+\eta_{\theta} \sin 3 \theta\right) B^{2}+\left[\lambda_{D} \cos (\theta-2 \phi)-\eta_{\phi} \sin (\theta-2 \phi)\right] D^{2}\right\}, \\
B \frac{d \theta}{d t}=\frac{1}{6}\left\{\eta_{\theta} A_{1} B+\left[\left(\lambda_{A_{2}}+\lambda_{D}\right) \sin (\theta+\phi)-\eta_{\phi} \cos (\theta+\phi)\right] A_{2} D+\right. \\
\left.\left(\lambda_{B} \sin 3 \theta-\eta_{\theta} \cos 3 \theta\right) B^{2}+\left[\lambda_{D} \sin (\theta-2 \phi)+\eta_{\phi} \cos (\theta-2 \phi)\right] D^{2}\right\}, \\
\frac{d A_{2}}{d t}=A_{2}-\frac{1}{6}\left\{\left(\lambda_{A_{1}}+\lambda_{A_{2}}\right) A_{1} A_{2}+\left[\left(\lambda_{B}+\lambda_{D}\right) \cos (\theta+\phi)-\left(\eta_{\theta}+\eta_{\phi}\right) \sin (\theta+\phi)\right] B D\right\}, \\
\frac{d D}{d t}=D-\frac{1}{6}\left\{\left(\lambda_{A_{1}}+\lambda_{D}\right) A_{1} D+\left[\left(\lambda_{A_{2}}+\lambda_{B}\right) \cos (\theta+\phi)+\eta_{\theta} \sin (\theta+\phi)\right] A_{2} B\right. \\
\left.+\left[\left(\lambda_{B}+\lambda_{D}\right) \cos (\theta-2 \phi)-\left(\eta_{\theta}-\eta_{\phi}\right) \sin (\theta-2 \phi)\right] B D\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D \frac{d \phi}{d t}=\frac{1}{6}\left\{\eta_{\phi} A_{1} D+\left[\left(\lambda_{A_{2}}+\lambda_{B}\right) \sin (\theta+\phi)-\eta_{\theta} \cos (\theta+\phi)\right] A_{2} B\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left[\left(\lambda_{B}+\lambda_{D}\right) \sin (\theta-2 \phi)+\left(\eta_{\theta}-\eta_{\phi}\right) \cos (\theta-2 \phi)\right] B D\right\} \tag{9.71}
\end{align*}
$$

The $\lambda_{A_{1}}, \lambda_{A_{2}}, \lambda_{B}$ and $\lambda_{D}$ in both equations (9.68) and (9.71) are identical. If $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=a_{4}=a_{5}=1$, then $\lambda_{A_{1}}=6, \lambda_{A_{2}}=\lambda_{B}=\lambda_{D}=\eta_{0}=\eta_{\phi}=0$. From the equation (9.71) we obtain a special solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=\frac{A_{1}(0)}{A_{1}(0)+\left(1-A_{1}(0)\right) e^{-t}} \tag{9.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now discuss the stability and instability of the nonlinear terms of $d A_{1} / d t$ in the equation (9.71). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A_{1}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{6} \lambda_{A_{1}} A_{1}^{2} \tag{9.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{A_{1}}>0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A_{1}^{2}}<0$, so it is stable.
Next,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A_{2}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{6} \lambda_{A_{2}} A_{2}^{2} \tag{9.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{A_{2}}>0$, then $\left(d A_{1} / d t\right)_{A_{2}^{2}}<0$, so it is stable. If $\lambda_{A_{2}}<0$, then $\left(d A_{1} / d t\right)_{A_{2}^{2}}>0$, so it is unstable.

Next,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{B^{2}}=-\frac{1}{3} \lambda_{B} B^{2} \tag{9.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{B}>0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{B^{2}}<0$, so it is stable. If $\lambda_{B}<0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{B^{2}}>0$, so it is unstable.

Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{D^{2}}=-\frac{1}{3} \lambda_{D} D^{2} \tag{9.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{D}>0$, then $\left(d A_{1} / d t\right)_{D^{2}}<0$, so it is stable. If $\lambda_{D}<0$, then $\left(d A_{1} / d t\right)_{D^{2}}>0$, so it is unstable.

## 9.3. $4 m$-Nonlinear Dynamics

In order to study solutions of the $4 m$-nonlinear equation from (4.1) we define the $4 m$-chaotic function

$$
N_{i}=\frac{1}{4 m}\left[A_{1}+2 H \cos \left(\beta+\frac{(i-1) \pi}{2}\right)+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} B_{j}\left(\theta_{j}+\frac{(i-1) j \pi}{2 m}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{4 m}\left[A_{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} D_{j} \cos \left(\phi_{j}-\frac{(i-1) j \pi}{2 m}\right)\right] \tag{9.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \cdots, 4 m$.
From the equation (9.77) we obtain its inverse transformation

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m} N_{i}, \quad A_{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m} N_{i}(-1)^{i+1}, \\
H \cos \beta=\sum_{i=1}^{2 m} N_{2 i-1}(-1)^{i+1}, \quad H \sin \beta=\sum_{i=1}^{2 m} N_{2 i}(-1)^{i}, \\
B_{j} \cos \theta_{j}=N_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} N_{1+i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{2 m}, B_{j} \sin \theta_{j}=-\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} N_{1+i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{2 m}, \\
D_{j} \cos \phi_{j}=N_{1}+\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} N_{1+i}(-1)^{i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{2 m}, D_{j} \sin \phi_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} N_{1+i}(-1)^{i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{2 m} . \tag{9.78}
\end{gather*}
$$

The equations (9.77) and (9.78) constitute a nonlinear transformation group. From the equation (9.78) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}, \frac{d A_{2}}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m}(-1)^{1+i} \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}, \\
\frac{d H}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m} \cos \left(\beta+\frac{(i-1) \pi}{2}\right) \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}, H \frac{d \beta}{d t}=-\sum_{i=1}^{4 m} \sin \left(\beta+\frac{(i-1) \pi}{2}\right) \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}, \\
\frac{d B_{j}}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m} \cos \left(\theta_{j}+\frac{(i-1) j \pi}{2 m}\right) \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}, B_{j} \frac{d \theta_{j}}{d t}=-\sum_{i=1}^{4 m} \sin \left(\theta_{j}+\frac{(i-1) j \pi}{2 m}\right) \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}, \\
\frac{d D_{j}}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m}(-1)^{i-1} \cos \left(\phi_{j}-\frac{(i-1) j \pi}{2 m}\right) \frac{d N_{i}}{d t}, D_{j} \frac{d \phi_{j}}{d t}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m} \\
(-1)^{i} \sin \left(\phi_{j}-\frac{(i-1) j \pi}{2 m}\right) \frac{d N_{i}}{d t} . \tag{9.79}
\end{gather*}
$$

We define the $4 m$-nonlinear equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{i}}{d t}=N_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{4 m} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j}\right), \tag{9.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left(\bar{a}_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{4 m-1} \\
a_{4 m-1} & 1 & \cdots & a_{4 m-2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

The fixed point solutions of the equation (9.80) may be expressed as points in the $4 m$-dimensional space: they are the point $(0,0, \cdots, 0) ; 4 m$ single-point solutions of the form $(1,0, \cdots, 0)$; a nontrivial fixed point $(1,1, \cdots, 1) / \lambda_{A_{1}}$ where

$$
\lambda_{A_{1}}=1+\sum_{j=1}^{4 m-1} a_{j}
$$

Now we study the local solutions of Eq. (9.80) at the fixed point $(1,1, \cdots, 1) / \lambda_{A_{1}}$. The equation (9.80) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \ln N_{i}}{d t}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{4 m} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j} \tag{9.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \ln N_{i} / d t$ is the average growth rate of the $i$-th species. Setting $\lambda_{A_{1}} N_{i}=e^{y_{i}}$, the equation (9.81) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{4 m} \bar{a}_{i j} \frac{1}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} e^{y_{j}} \tag{9.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study the linear solutions of the equation (9.82). Setting $e^{y_{j}}=1+y_{j}$, the equation (9.82) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=-\frac{1}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{4 m} \bar{a}_{i j} y_{j} \tag{9.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (9.83) has the following exact solutions

$$
\begin{gather*}
y_{i}=\frac{1}{4 m}\left[e^{-t}+2 \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{H} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\eta_{\beta} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}}+\frac{(i-1) \pi}{2}\right)\right. \\
\left.+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{B_{j}} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\lambda_{\theta_{j}} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}}+\frac{(i-1) j \pi}{2 m}\right)\right] \\
+\frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{4 m}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{A_{2}}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right)+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{D_{j}} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\lambda_{\phi_{j}} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}}-\frac{(i-1) j \pi}{2 m}\right)\right] \tag{9.84}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{A_{1}}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} a_{i}, \quad \lambda_{A_{2}}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1}(-1)^{i} a_{i}, \\
\lambda_{H}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{2 m-1}(-1)^{i} a_{2 i}, \quad \lambda_{\beta}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 m}(-1)^{i} a_{2 i-1}, \\
\lambda_{B_{j}}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} a_{i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{2 m}, \quad \lambda_{\theta_{j}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} a_{i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{2 m}, \\
\lambda_{D_{j}}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} a_{i}(-1)^{i} \cos \frac{i j \pi}{2 m}, \quad \lambda_{\phi_{j}}=\sum_{i=1}^{4 m-1} a_{i}(-1)^{i} \sin \frac{i j \pi}{2 m} .
\end{gathered}
$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for stability of the equation (9.84) is $\lambda_{H}>$ $0, \lambda_{B_{j}}>0$, and $\lambda_{D_{j}}>0$, where $j=1,2, \cdots, m-1$. If $\lambda_{H}<0, \lambda_{B_{j}}<0$, and $\lambda_{D_{j}}<0$, then their solutions are unstable.

Now we study the global stability of the equation (9.80) by using the $4 m$-chaotic function. By substituting both equations (9.77) and (9.80) into (9.79) we obtain the $4 m$-chaotic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}=A_{1}-\frac{1}{4 m}\left[\lambda_{A_{1}} A_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{A_{2}} A_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{H} H^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \lambda_{B_{j}} B_{j}^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \lambda_{D_{j}} D_{j}^{2}\right] \tag{9.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\lambda_{A_{1}}, \lambda_{A_{2}}, \lambda_{H}, \lambda_{B_{j}}$ and $\lambda_{D_{j}}$ in both equations (9.84) and (9.85) are identical.
We will discuss stability and instability of the nonlinear equation (9.85). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A_{1}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{4 m} \lambda_{A_{1}} A_{1}^{2} \tag{9.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda_{A_{1}}>0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A_{1}^{2}}<0$, so it is stable.Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A_{2}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{4 m} \lambda_{A_{2}} A_{2}^{2} \tag{9.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{A_{2}}>0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A_{2}}<0$, so it is stable; if $\lambda_{A_{2}}<0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A^{2}}>0$, so it is unstable. Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{H^{2}}=-\frac{1}{4 m} \lambda_{H} H^{2} \tag{9.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{H}>0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{H^{2}}<0$, so it is stable; if $\lambda_{H}<0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right) H^{2}>0$, so it is unstable. Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{B_{j}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{2 m} \lambda_{B_{j}} B_{j}^{2} . \tag{9.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{B_{j}}>0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{B_{j}^{2}}<0$, so it is stable; if $\lambda_{B_{j}}<0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{B_{j}^{2}}>0$, so it is unstable.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{D_{j}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{2 m} \lambda_{D_{j}} D_{j}^{2} . \tag{9.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{D_{j}}>0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{D_{j}^{2}}<0$, so it is stable; if $\lambda_{D_{j}}<0$, then $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{D_{j}^{2}}>0$, so it is unstable.

From the above analysis we come to the conclusion that chaos occurs when stable and unstable manifolds cross at nontrivial fixed points.

For $4 m=4$, from the equation (9.80) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{i}}{d t}=N_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{4} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j}\right), \tag{9.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2,3,4, j=1,2,3,4$ and

$$
\left(\bar{a}_{i j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{3} & 1 & a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & a_{3} & 1 & a_{1} \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $N_{i}$ is the number of individuals of the $i$-th species.
The fixed-point solutions may be expressed as points in the 4 -dimensional space: they are the point $(0,0,0,0) ; 4$ single-point solutions of the form $(1,0,0,0)$; and a nontrivial fixed point $(1,1,1,1) / \lambda_{A_{1}}$, where

$$
\lambda_{A_{1}}=1+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3} .
$$

Now we study the local solutions of Eq. (9.91) at the fixed point $(1,1,1,1) / \lambda_{A_{1}}$. The equation (9.91) may be written into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \ln N_{i}}{d t}=1-\sum_{j=1}^{4} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j} . \tag{9.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\lambda_{A_{1}} N_{j}=e^{y_{j}}$, the equation (9.92) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=1-\frac{1}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \bar{a}_{i j} e^{y_{j}} . \tag{9.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

We study the linear solutions of the equation (9.93). Setting $e^{y_{j}}=1+y_{j}$, the equation (9.93) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y_{i}}{d t}=-\frac{1}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \bar{a}_{i j} y_{j} . \tag{9.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (9.94) has the following exact solutions

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y_{1}=\frac{1}{4}\left[e^{-t}+2 \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{H} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right) \cos \left(\frac{\lambda_{\beta} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}}\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{A_{2}}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}}\right)\right], \\
y_{2}=\frac{1}{4}\left[e^{-t}-2 \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{H} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right) \sin \left(\frac{\lambda_{\beta} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}}\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{A_{2}}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right)\right], \\
y_{3}=\frac{1}{4}\left[e^{-t}-2 \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{H} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right) \cos \left(\frac{\lambda_{\beta} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right)+\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{A_{2}}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right)\right], \\
y_{4}=\frac{1}{4}\left[e^{-t}+2 \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{H} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}}\right) \sin \left(\frac{\lambda_{\beta} t}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right)-\exp \left(-\frac{\lambda_{A_{2}}}{\lambda_{A_{1}}} t\right)\right] . \tag{9.95}
\end{array}
$$

where $\lambda_{A_{1}}=1+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}, \lambda_{A_{2}}=1-a_{1}+a_{2}-a_{3}, \lambda_{H}=1-a_{2}, \lambda_{\beta}=-a_{1}+a_{3}$.
A necessary and sufficient condition for stability of the equation (9.95) is $\lambda_{H}>0$ and $\lambda_{A_{2}}>0$. If $\lambda_{H}<0$ and $\lambda_{A_{2}}>0$, then the solutions are unstable.

For $4 m=4$ from (9.77) we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
N_{1}  \tag{9.96}\\
N_{2} \\
N_{3} \\
N_{4}
\end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{1} \\
A_{2} \\
2 H \cos \beta \\
2 H \sin \beta
\end{array}\right]
$$

From (9.96) we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
d A_{1} / d t  \tag{9.97}\\
d A_{2} / d t \\
d H / d t \\
H d \beta / d t
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
\cos \beta & -\sin \beta & -\cos \beta & \sin \beta \\
-\sin \beta & -\cos \beta & \sin \beta & \cos \beta
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
d N_{1} / d t \\
d N_{2} / d t \\
d N_{3} / d t \\
d N_{4} / d t
\end{array}\right]
$$

By substituting (9.91) and (9.96) into (9.97) we obtain the 4-chaotic equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}=A_{1}-\frac{1}{4}\left(\lambda_{A_{1}} A_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{A_{2}} A_{2}^{2}+2 \lambda_{H} H^{2}\right) \\
\frac{d A_{2}}{d t}=A_{2}-\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\lambda_{A_{1}}+\lambda_{A_{2}}\right) A_{1} A_{2}+2\left(\lambda_{H} \cos 2 \beta+\lambda_{\beta} \sin 2 \beta\right) H^{2}\right] \\
\frac{d H}{H d t}=1-\frac{1}{4}\left(\lambda_{A_{2}}+\lambda_{H}\right) A_{1}+\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\lambda_{A_{2}}+\lambda_{H}\right) \cos 2 \beta-\lambda_{\beta} \sin 2 \beta\right] A_{2} \\
\frac{d \beta}{d t}=\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{\beta} A_{1}+\frac{1}{4}\left[\left(\lambda_{A_{2}}+\lambda_{H}\right) \cos 2 \beta-\lambda_{\beta} \sin 2 \beta\right] A_{2} \tag{9.98}
\end{gather*}
$$

The $\lambda_{A_{1}}, \lambda_{A_{2}}, \lambda_{H}$ and $\lambda_{\beta}$ in the equations (9.95) and (9.98) are the same. We will discuss the stability and instability of the nonlinear terms of $d A_{1} / d t$ in the equation (9.98). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A_{1}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{A_{1}} A_{1}^{2} \tag{9.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda_{A_{1}}>0$, it is stable.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{A_{2}^{2}}=-\frac{1}{4} \lambda_{A_{2}} A_{2}^{2} \tag{9.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda_{A_{2}}>0$, it is stable. If $\lambda_{A_{2}}<0$, it is unstable.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{H^{2}}=-\frac{\lambda_{H} H^{2}}{2} \tag{9.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{H^{2}}>0$, it is stable; if $\left(\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}\right)_{H^{2}}<0$, it is unstable.
For $4 m=4$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{i}}{d t}=N_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j-1}^{4} a_{i j} N_{j}\right) . \tag{9.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a_{i j}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} & a_{41} \\
a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{32} & a_{42} \\
a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} & a_{43} \\
a_{14} & a_{24} & a_{34} & a_{44}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In the same way we have a 4 -chaotic equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}=A_{1}-\frac{A_{1}^{2}}{16}\left(a_{11}+a_{22}+a_{33}+a_{44}+a_{12}\right. \\
\left.+a_{13}+a_{14}+a_{21}+a_{23}+a_{24}+a_{31}+a_{32}+a_{34}+a_{41}+a_{42}+a_{43}\right) \\
-\frac{A_{2}^{2}}{16}\left(a_{11}+a_{22}+a_{33}+a_{44}+a_{13}+a_{31}+a_{24}+a_{42}\right. \\
\left.-a_{12}-a_{21}-a_{14}-a_{41}-a_{23}-a_{32}-a_{34}-a_{43}\right)-\frac{A_{1} A_{2}}{8} \\
\left(a_{11}+a_{33}+a_{13}+a_{31}-a_{22}-a_{44}-a_{24}-a_{42}\right) \\
-\frac{H^{2}}{8}\left[a_{11}+a_{22}+a_{33}+a_{44}-a_{13}-a_{31}-a_{24}-a_{42}\right. \\
\left.+\cos 2 \beta\left(a_{11}+a_{33}-a_{22}-a_{44}-a_{13}-a_{31}+a_{24}+a_{42}\right)\right] \\
-\frac{H A_{1}}{8}\left[\operatorname { c o s } \beta \left(2 a_{11}-2 a_{33}+a_{12}+a_{21}+a_{14}+a_{41}\right.\right. \\
\left.-a_{23}-a_{32}-a_{34}-a_{43}\right)+\sin \beta\left(-2 a_{22}+2 a_{44}+a_{12}+a_{21}\right. \\
\left.\left.+a_{14}+a_{41}-a_{23}-a_{32}-a_{34}-a_{43}\right)\right] \\
-\frac{H A_{2}}{8}\left[\cos \beta\left(2 a_{11}-2 a_{33}+a_{12}+a_{21}+a_{14}+a_{41}-a_{23}-a_{32}-a_{34}-a_{43}\right)\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\sin \beta\left(2 a_{22}-2 a_{44}-a_{12}-a_{21}+a_{14}+a_{41}-a_{23}-a_{32}+a_{34}+a_{43}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{H^{2} \sin 2 \beta}{8}\left(-a_{12}-a_{21}+a_{14}+a_{41}+a_{23}+a_{32}-a_{34}-a_{43}\right) \tag{9.103}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}<0$, it is stable; if $\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}>0$, it is unstable. Chaos occurs when stable and unstable manifolds cross at nontrivial fixed points.

For $4 m=8$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d N_{i}}{d t}=N_{i}\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{8} \bar{a}_{i j} N_{j}\right) \tag{9.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\bar{a}_{i j}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & a_{1} & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{7} \\
a_{7} & 1 & a_{1} & \cdots & a_{6} \\
a_{6} & a_{7} & 1 & \cdots & a_{5} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & \cdots & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

In the same way we have a 8 -chaotic equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}= & A_{1}-\frac{A_{1}^{2}}{8}\left(1+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3}+a_{4}+a_{5}+a_{6}+a_{7}\right) \\
& -\frac{A_{2}^{2}}{8}\left(1-a_{1}+a_{2}-a_{3}+a_{4}-a_{5}+a_{6}-a_{7}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{H^{2}}{4}\left(1-a_{2}+a_{4}-a_{6}\right) \\
& -\frac{B^{2}}{8}\left[2+\sqrt{2}\left(a_{1}+a_{7}\right)-2 a_{4}-\sqrt{2}\left(a_{3}+a_{5}\right)\right] \\
- & \frac{D^{2}}{8}\left[2-\sqrt{2}\left(a_{1}+a_{7}\right)-2 a_{4}+\sqrt{2}\left(a_{3}+a_{5}\right)\right] \tag{9.105}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}<0$, it is stable; if $\frac{d A_{1}}{d t}>0$, it is unstable. Chaos occurs when stable and unstable manifolds cross at nontrivial fixed points.

In the same way we may introduce the chaotic functions of the second kind from (5.1), (6.1) and (7.1).
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## Chapter 4

## THE PROOFS OF BINARY GOLDBACH'S THEOREM USING ONLY PARTIAL PRIMES


#### Abstract

When the answers to a mathematical problem cannot be found, then the reason is frequently the fact that we have not recognized the general idea, from which the given problem appears only as a single link in a chain of related problems.


David Hilbert

In 1994 we discovered the new arithmetic function $J_{2}(\omega)$. Using it we proved the binary Goldbach's theorem [1]. In this chapter we yield the more detailed proofs of the binary Goldbach's theorem using only partial primes.

Definition. We define the arithmetic progressions [1-4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=\omega K+p_{\alpha}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots, \omega=\prod_{2 \leq p \leq p_{i}} p,\left(p_{\alpha}, \omega\right)=1, p_{i}<p_{\alpha}=p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{\phi(\omega)}=\omega+$ $1, \phi(\omega)=\prod_{2 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1)$ being Euler totient function.
$E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)$ can constitute all the primes and composites except the numbers of factors: $2,3, \ldots, p_{i}$. We define the primes and the composites by $K$ below.

Theorem 1. If there exist the infinitely many primes $p$ such that $a p+b$ is also a prime, then $a p+b$ must satisfy three necessary and sufficient conditions:
(I) Let $a p+b$ be an irreducible polynomial satisfying $a b \neq 0,(a, b)=1,2 \mid a b$.
(II) There exists an arithmetic function $J_{2}(\omega)$ which denotes the number of subequations. It is also the number of solutions for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)+b, \omega\right)=\left(a p_{\alpha}+b, \omega\right)=1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2) defining $J_{2}(\omega)$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{\phi(\omega)}\left[\frac{1}{\left(a p_{\alpha}+b, \omega\right)}\right]=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(III) $t_{\alpha}$ is independent of $p_{\alpha}$ [5], where $t_{\alpha}$ denotes the number of primes $K_{p}$ less than $n$ in $a E_{p_{\alpha}}\left(K_{p}\right)+b=p^{\prime \prime}$. Taking $t_{1}=t_{\alpha}$, where $\alpha=1, \ldots, J_{2}(\omega) . t_{\alpha}$ seem to be equally distributed among the $J_{2}(\omega)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\left|\left\{p: p \leq N, a p+b=p^{\prime}\right\}\right|=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{J_{2}(\omega)} t_{\alpha} \sim J_{2}(\omega) t_{1} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we deal with a subequation $a E_{p_{1}}(K)+b=p^{\prime \prime}$. We define the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=0,1,2, \ldots, n \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take the average value

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}=\left|\left\{K_{p}: K_{p} \leq n, a E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{p}\right)+b=p^{\prime \prime}\right\}\right| \sim \frac{\left(\pi_{1}(\omega n)\right)^{2}}{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{1}(\omega n)$ denotes the number of primes $K_{p}$ less than $n$ in $E_{p_{1}}(K)$. We show that $t_{1}$ is independent of $p_{1}$, because $\pi_{1}(\omega n)$ is independent of $p_{1}$ [5].

Let $N=\omega n$ and $\pi_{1}(N) \sim \frac{N}{\phi(\omega) \log N}$. Substituting it into (6) and then (6) into (4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\left|\left\{p: p \leq N, a p+b=p^{\prime}\right\}\right| \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{\phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a p_{\alpha}+b \equiv 0(\bmod p) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every $p_{\alpha}>p$ can be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\alpha}=p m+q, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=1,2, \ldots, p-1$.
Substituting (9) into (8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a q+b \equiv 0(\bmod p) . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $p \mid a b$, then (10) has no solutions. We define $\chi(p)=0$. If $p \nmid a b$, then (10) has a solution. We define $\chi(p)=1$. Substituting it into (3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid a b, 3 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist the infinitely many primes $p$ such that $a p+b$ is also a prime. It is a generalization of Euler proof of the existence of the infinitely many primes [1-4].

Substituting (11) into (7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\left|\left\{p: p \leq N, a p+b=p^{\prime}\right\}\right| \sim 2 \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid a b, 3 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Prime Twins Theorem. Let $a=1$ and $b=2$. From (11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \neq 0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist the infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p+2$ is also a prime.

From (12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\left|\left\{p: p \leq N, p+2=p^{\prime}\right\}\right| \sim 2 \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(14) is the best asymptotic formula conjectured by Hardy and Littlewood [6].

The Binary Goldbach's Theorem [1-4]. Let $a=-1$ and $b=N$. From (11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N, 3 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, every even number $N$ greater than 4 is the sum of two primes.

From (12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\left|\left\{p: p \leq N, N-p=p^{\prime}\right\}\right| \sim 2 \prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(16) is the best asymptotic formula conjectured by Hardy and Littlewood [6].

To understand the binary Goldbach's theorem, we yield the more detailed proofs below.

Corollary 1. Let $p_{i}=5$ and $\omega=30$. From (1) we have [1-2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=30 K+p_{\alpha}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \cdots ; p_{\alpha}=7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31$.
All the even numbers $N$ greater than 16 can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=30 m+h, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=0,1,2, \cdots ; h=18,20,22,24,26,28,30,32,34,36,38,40,42,44,46$.
From (17) and (18) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=30 m+h=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (19) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=K_{1}+K_{2}, \quad h \equiv p_{1}+p_{2}(\bmod 30) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m=K_{1}+K_{2}$ is called Yu's mathematical problem, namely, integer $m$ greater than 1 is the sum of primes $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. To prove $m=K_{1}+K_{2}$ is transformed into studying $N=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)$.

If $3 \mid N$ from (15) we have $J_{2}(30)=6$. From (17) and (19) we have six subequations: $6=2+2+2$

$$
\begin{align*}
N & =30 m+18=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
& =E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{21}\\
N & =30 m+24=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{13}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
& =E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{22}\\
N & =30 m+36=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
& =E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{23}\\
N & =30 m+42=E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
& =E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

If $5 \mid N$ from (15) we have $J_{2}(30)=4$. From (17) and (19) we have four subequations: $4=2+2$

$$
\begin{align*}
& N=30 m+20=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{25}\\
& N=30 m+40=E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

If $3,5 \wedge N$ from (15) we have $J_{2}(30)=3$. From (17) and (19) we have three subequations: $3=2+1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& N=30 m+22=E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{27}\\
& N=30 m+26=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{13}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{28}\\
& N=30 m+28=E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{29}\\
& N=30 m+32=E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{30}\\
& N=30 m+34=E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right), \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& N=30 m+38=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{32}\\
& N=30 m+44=E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{7}\left(K_{2}\right),  \tag{33}\\
& N=30 m+46=E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

If $3,5 \mid N$ from (15) we have $J_{2}(30)=8$. From (17) and (19) we have eight subequations: $8=2+2+2+2$

$$
\begin{align*}
N & =30 m+30=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
& =E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{29}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

We can prove the binary Goldbach's theorem using only thirty subequations:
$N=30 m+18=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{11}\left(K_{2}\right), \quad N=30 m+20=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{13}\left(K_{2}\right)$,
$N=30 m+22=E_{23}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right), \quad N=30 m+24=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)$,
$N=30 m+26=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right), \quad N=30 m+28=E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{17}\left(K_{2}\right)$,
$N=30 m+30=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right), \quad N=30 m+32=E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{19}\left(K_{2}\right)$,
$N=30 m+34=E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right), \quad N=30 m+36=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)$,
$N=30 m+38=E_{7}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right), \quad N=30 m+40=E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)$,
$N=30 m+42=E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{23}\left(K_{2}\right), \quad N=30 m+44=E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{31}\left(K_{2}\right)$,
$N=30 m+46=E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right)$.
For every equation we have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega>30)=\prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N, 7 \leq p \leq p_{i}} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega>30) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, we prove Yu's mathematical problem. We prove also the binary Goldbach's theorem using the partial primes.

Substituting (37) into (7) we have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\sum_{m=K_{1}+K_{2}} 1=\sum_{N=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)} 1 \sim \\
\frac{15}{32} \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} . \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Corollary 2. Let $p_{i}=7$ and $\omega=210$. From (1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=210 K+p_{\alpha}, \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \cdots,\left(210, p_{\alpha}\right)=1, p_{\alpha}=11,41,71,101,131,191$;
$13,43,73,103,163,193 ; 17,47,107,137,167,197 ; 19,79,109,139,169,199$;
$23,53,83,113,143,173 ; 29,59,89,149,179,209 ; 31,61,121,151,181,211$;
$37,67,97,127,157,187$.
All the even numbers $N$ greater than 38 can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=210 m+h, \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=0,1,2, \cdots, h=40,42, \cdots, 248$.
From (39) and (40) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=210 m+h=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (41) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=K_{1}+K_{2}, \quad h \equiv p_{1}+p_{2}(\bmod 210) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (39), (41) and (42) we have the 2304 subequations as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 40 \equiv 11+29 \equiv 41+209 \equiv 191+59 \equiv 71+179 \equiv 101+149 \text {; } \\
& 40 \equiv 17+23 \equiv 197+53 \equiv 167+83 \equiv 107+143 \equiv 137+113 \text {. } \\
& 42 \equiv 11+31 \equiv 41+211 \equiv 191+61 \equiv 71+181 \equiv 101+151 \equiv 131+121 \text {; } \\
& 42 \equiv 13+29 \equiv 43+209 \equiv 193+59 \equiv 73+179 \equiv 103+149 \equiv 163+89 \text {; } \\
& 42 \equiv 19+23 \equiv 199+53 \equiv 79+173 \equiv 169+83 \equiv 109+143 \equiv 139+113 \text {. } \\
& 44 \equiv 13+31 \equiv 43+211 \equiv 193+61 \equiv 73+181 \equiv 103+151 \text {; } \\
& 44 \equiv 67+187 \equiv 97+157 \equiv 127+127 \text {. } \\
& 46 \equiv 17+29 \equiv 47+209 \equiv 197+59 \equiv 167+89 \equiv 107+149 \text {; } \\
& 46 \equiv 23+23 \equiv 83+173 \equiv 113+143 \text {. } \\
& 48 \equiv 11+37 \equiv 191+67 \equiv 71+187 \equiv 101+157 \equiv 131+127 \text {; } \\
& 48 \equiv 17+31 \equiv 47+211 \equiv 197+61 \equiv 107+151 \equiv 137+121 \text {; } \\
& 48 \equiv 19+29 \equiv 199+59 \equiv 79+179 \equiv 169+89 \equiv 109+149 \text {. } \\
& 50 \equiv 13+37 \equiv 193+67 \equiv 73+187 \equiv 163+97 \equiv 103+157 \text {; } \\
& 50 \equiv 19+31 \equiv 199+61 \equiv 79+181 \equiv 109+151 \equiv 139+121 \text {. } \\
& 52 \equiv 23+29 \equiv 53+209 \equiv 83+179 \equiv 173+89 \equiv 113+149 \text {. } \\
& 52 \equiv 11+41 \equiv 71+191 \equiv 131+131 \text {. } \\
& 54 \equiv 11+43 \equiv 41+13 \equiv 71+193 \equiv 191+73 \equiv 101+163 \text {; } \\
& 54 \equiv 17+37 \equiv 197+67 \equiv 167+97 \equiv 107+157 \equiv 137+127 \text {; } \\
& 54 \equiv 23+31 \equiv 53+211 \equiv 83+181 \equiv 113+151 \equiv 143+121 \text {. } \\
& 56 \equiv 13+43 \equiv 73+193 \equiv 103+163 \text {; } \\
& 56 \equiv 19+37 \equiv 199+67 \equiv 79+187 \equiv 169+97 \equiv 109+157 \equiv 139+127 . \\
& 58 \equiv 11+47 \equiv 41+17 \equiv 71+197 \equiv 101+167 \equiv 131+137 ; \\
& 58 \equiv 29+29 \equiv 59+209 \equiv 89+179 \text {. } \\
& 60 \equiv 13+47 \equiv 43+17 \equiv 73+197 \equiv 103+167 \equiv 163+107 \text {; } \\
& 60 \equiv 19+41 \equiv 199+71 \equiv 79+191 \equiv 169+101 \equiv 139+131 \text {; } \\
& 60 \equiv 23+37 \equiv 83+187 \equiv 173+97 \equiv 113+157 \equiv 143+127 \text {; } \\
& 60 \equiv 29+31 \equiv 59+211 \equiv 209+61 \equiv 89+181 \equiv 149+121 \text {. } \\
& 62 \equiv 19+43 \equiv 199+73 \equiv 79+193 \equiv 169+103 \equiv 109+163 \text {; } \\
& 62 \equiv 31+31 \equiv 61+211 \equiv 121+151 \text {. } \\
& 64 \equiv 11+53 \equiv 41+23 \equiv 191+83 \equiv 101+173 \equiv 131+143 ; \\
& 64 \equiv 17+47 \equiv 107+167 \equiv 137+137 \text {. } \\
& 66 \equiv 13+53 \equiv 43+23 \equiv 193+83 \equiv 103+173 \equiv 163+113 ; \\
& 66 \equiv 19+47 \equiv 79+197 \equiv 169+107 \equiv 109+167 \equiv 139+137 \text {; } \\
& 66 \equiv 29+37 \equiv 209+67 \equiv 89+187 \equiv 179+97 \equiv 149+127 \text {. } \\
& 68 \equiv 31+37 \equiv 211+67 \equiv 181+97 \equiv 121+157 \equiv 151+127 \text {; } \\
& 68 \equiv 79+199 \equiv 109+169 \equiv 139+139 \text {. } \\
& 70 \equiv 11+59 \equiv 41+29 \equiv 71+209 \equiv 191+89 \equiv 101+179 \equiv 131+149 \text {; } \\
& 70 \equiv 17+53 \equiv 47+23 \equiv 197+83 \equiv 107+173 \equiv 167+113 \equiv 137+143 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 72 \equiv 11+61 \equiv 41+31 \equiv 71+211 \equiv 101+181 \equiv 131+151 ; \\
& 72 \equiv 13+59 \equiv 43+29 \equiv 73+209 \equiv 103+179 \equiv 193+89 ; \\
& 72 \equiv 19+53 \equiv 109+173 \equiv 169+113 \equiv 139+143 \equiv 199+83 \\
& 74 \equiv 13+61 \equiv 43+31 \equiv 73+211 \equiv 103+181 \equiv 163+121 ; \\
& 74 \equiv 37+37 \equiv 97+187 \equiv 127+157 . \\
& 76 \equiv 17+59 \equiv 47+29 \equiv 197+89 \equiv 107+179 \equiv 137+149 ; \\
& 76 \equiv 23+53 \equiv 113+173 \equiv 143+143 \\
& 78 \equiv 11+67 \equiv 41+37 \equiv 191+97 \equiv 101+187 \equiv 131+157 ; \\
& 78 \equiv 17+61 \equiv 47+31 \equiv 107+181 \equiv 167+121 \equiv 137+151 ; \\
& 78 \equiv 19+59 \equiv 79+209 \equiv 199+89 \equiv 109+179 \equiv 139+149 \\
& 80 \equiv 13+67 \equiv 43+37 \equiv 193+97 \equiv 103+187 \equiv 163+127 ; \\
& 80 \equiv 19+61 \equiv 79+211 \equiv 109+181 \equiv 169+121 \equiv 139+151 . \\
& 82 \equiv 11+71 \equiv 41+41 \equiv 101+191 \\
& 82 \equiv 23+59 \equiv 53+29 \equiv 83+209 \equiv 113+179 \equiv 143+149 . \\
& 84 \equiv 11+73 \equiv 71+13 \equiv 41+43 \equiv 109+193 \equiv 191+103 \equiv 131+163 ; \\
& 84 \equiv 17+67 \equiv 47+37 \equiv 107+187 \equiv 167+127 \equiv 137+157 \equiv 197+97 . \\
& 84 \equiv 23+61 \equiv 53+31 \equiv 83+211 \equiv 113+181 \equiv 173+121 \equiv 143+151 . \\
& 86 \equiv 19+67 \equiv 109+187 \equiv 139+157 \equiv 169+127 \equiv 199+97 ; \\
& 86 \equiv 13+73 \equiv 43+43 \equiv 103+193 . \\
& 88 \equiv 17+71 \equiv 47+41 \equiv 107+191 \equiv 167+131 \equiv 197+101 ; \\
& 88 \equiv 29+59 \equiv 89+209 \equiv 149+149 \\
& 90 \equiv 11+79 \equiv 71+19 \equiv 101+199 \equiv 131+169 \equiv 191+109 ; \\
& 90 \equiv 17+73 \equiv 47+43 \equiv 107+193 \equiv 137+163 \equiv 197+103 ; \\
& 90 \equiv 23+67 \equiv 53+37 \equiv 113+187 \equiv 143+157 \equiv 173+127 ; \\
& 90 \equiv 29+61 \equiv 59+31 \equiv 89+211 \equiv 149+151 \equiv 179+121 ; \\
& 92 \equiv 13+79 \equiv 73+19 \equiv 103+199 \equiv 163+139 \equiv 193+109 ; \\
& 92 \equiv 31+61 \equiv 121+181 \equiv 151+151 . \\
& 94 \equiv 11+83 \equiv 71+23 \equiv 41+53 \equiv 131+173 \equiv 191+113 ; \\
& 94 \equiv 47+47 \equiv 107+197 \equiv 137+167 . \\
& 96 \equiv 13+83 \equiv 43+53 \equiv 73+23 \equiv 163+143 \equiv 193+113 ; \\
& 96 \equiv 17+79 \equiv 107+199 \equiv 137+169 \equiv 167+139 \equiv 197+109 ; \\
& 96 \equiv 29+67 \equiv 59+37 \equiv 149+157 \equiv 179+127 \equiv 209+97 \\
& 98 \equiv 31+67 \equiv 61+37 \equiv 121+187 \equiv 151+157 \equiv 181+127 \equiv 211+97 ; \\
& 98 \equiv 19+79 \equiv 109+199 \equiv 139+169 \\
& 100 \equiv 11+89 \equiv 41+59 \equiv 71+29 \equiv 101+209 \equiv 131+179 ; \\
& 100 \equiv 17+83 \equiv 47+53 \equiv 137+173 \equiv 167+143 \equiv 197+113 \\
& 102 \equiv 13+89 \equiv 73+29 \equiv 43+59 \equiv 103+209 \equiv 163+149 ; \\
& 102 \equiv 19+83 \equiv 79+23 \equiv 139+173 \equiv 169+143 \equiv 199+113 ; \\
& 102 \equiv 31+71 \equiv 61+41 \equiv 121+191 \equiv 181+131 \equiv 211+101 \\
& 104 \equiv 31+73 \equiv 61+43 \equiv 121+193 \equiv 151+163 \equiv 211+103 ; \\
& 104 \equiv 37+67 \equiv 127+187 \equiv 157+157 . \\
& 106 \equiv 17+89 \equiv 47+59 \equiv 107+209 \equiv 137+179 \equiv 167+149 ; \\
& 106 \equiv 23+83 \equiv 53+53 \equiv 143+173 \\
& 108 \equiv 11+97 \equiv 71+37 \equiv 41+67 \equiv 131+187 \equiv 191+127 ; \\
& 108 \equiv 19+89 \equiv 79+29 \equiv 109+209 \equiv 139+179 \equiv 169+149 ; \\
& 108 \equiv 47+61 \equiv 107+211 \equiv 137+181 \equiv 167+151 \equiv 197+121 . \\
& 110 \equiv 13+97 \equiv 73+37 \equiv 43+67 \equiv 163+157 \equiv 193+127 ; \\
& 110 \equiv 31+79 \equiv 121+199 \equiv 151+169 \equiv 181+139 \equiv 211+109 \\
& 112 \equiv 23+89 \equiv 83+29 \equiv 53+59 \equiv 113+209 \equiv 143+179 \equiv 173+149 ; \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

```
\(112 \equiv 11+101 \equiv 41+71 \equiv 131+191\).
\(114 \equiv 11+103 \equiv 41+73 \equiv 71+43 \equiv 101+13 \equiv 131+193\);
\(114 \equiv 17+97 \equiv 47+67 \equiv 137+187 \equiv 167+157 \equiv 197+127 ;\)
\(114 \equiv 31+83 \equiv 61+53 \equiv 151+173 \equiv 181+143 \equiv 211+113\).
\(116 \equiv 19+97 \equiv 79+37 \equiv 139+187 \equiv 169+157 \equiv 199+127\);
\(116 \equiv 13+103 \equiv 43+73 \equiv 163+163\).
\(118 \equiv 11+107 \equiv 71+47 \equiv 101+17 \equiv 131+197 \equiv 191+137\);
\(118 \equiv 29+89 \equiv 59+59 \equiv 149+179\).
\(120 \equiv 11+109 \equiv 41+79 \equiv 101+19 \equiv 131+199 \equiv 191+139 ;\)
\(120 \equiv 13+107 \equiv 73+47 \equiv 103+17 \equiv 163+167 \equiv 193+137\);
\(120 \equiv 31+89 \equiv 61+59 \equiv 121+209 \equiv 151+179 \equiv 181+149\);
\(120 \equiv 23+97 \equiv 53+67 \equiv 83+37 \equiv 143+187 \equiv 173+157\).
\(122 \equiv 13+109 \equiv 43+79 \equiv 103+19 \equiv 163+169 \equiv 193+139\);
\(122 \equiv 61+61 \equiv 121+211 \equiv 151+181\).
\(124 \equiv 11+113 \equiv 41+83 \equiv 71+53 \equiv 101+23 \equiv 191+143\);
\(124 \equiv 17+107 \equiv 137+197 \equiv 167+167\).
\(126 \equiv 13+113 \equiv 43+83 \equiv 73+53 \equiv 103+23 \equiv 163+173 \equiv 193+143\);
\(126 \equiv 17+109 \equiv 47+79 \equiv 107+19 \equiv 137+199 \equiv 167+169 \equiv 197+139\);
\(126 \equiv 29+97 \equiv 59+67 \equiv 89+37 \equiv 149+187 \equiv 179+157 \equiv 209+127\).
\(128 \equiv 31+97 \equiv 61+67 \equiv 151+187 \equiv 181+157 \equiv 211+127\);
\(128 \equiv 19+109 \equiv 139+199 \equiv 169+169\).
\(130 \equiv 17+113 \equiv 47+83 \equiv 107+23 \equiv 167+173 \equiv 197+143\);
\(130 \equiv 29+101 \equiv 59+71 \equiv 89+41 \equiv 149+191 \equiv 209+131\).
\(132 \equiv 11+121 \equiv 71+61 \equiv 101+31 \equiv 131+211 \equiv 191+151\);
\(132 \equiv 19+113 \equiv 79+53 \equiv 109+23 \equiv 169+173 \equiv 199+143\);
\(132 \equiv 29+103 \equiv 59+73 \equiv 89+43 \equiv 149+193 \equiv 179+163\).
\(134 \equiv 13+121 \equiv 73+61 \equiv 103+31 \equiv 163+181 \equiv 193+151\).
\(134 \equiv 37+97 \equiv 67+67 \equiv 157+187\).
\(136 \equiv 29+107 \equiv 89+47 \equiv 149+197 \equiv 179+167 \equiv 209+137\);
\(136 \equiv 23+113 \equiv 53+83 \equiv 173+173\).
\(138 \equiv 11+127 \equiv 41+97 \equiv 71+67 \equiv 101+37 \equiv 191+157\);
\(138 \equiv 17+121 \equiv 107+31 \equiv 137+211 \equiv 167+181 \equiv 197+151 ;\)
\(138 \equiv 29+109 \equiv 59+79 \equiv 149+199 \equiv 179+169 \equiv 209+139\).
\(140 \equiv 13+127 \equiv 43+97 \equiv 73+67 \equiv 103+37 \equiv 163+187 \equiv 193+157\);
\(140 \equiv 19+121 \equiv 79+61 \equiv 109+31 \equiv 139+211 \equiv 169+151 \equiv 199+151\).
\(142 \equiv 29+113 \equiv 59+83 \equiv 89+53 \equiv 179+173 \equiv 209+143\);
\(142 \equiv 11+131 \equiv 41+101 \equiv 71+71\).
\(144 \equiv 13+131 \equiv 43+101 \equiv 73+71 \equiv 103+41 \equiv 163+191\);
\(144 \equiv 17+127 \equiv 47+97 \equiv 107+37 \equiv 167+187 \equiv 197+157\);
\(144 \equiv 23+121 \equiv 83+61 \equiv 113+31 \equiv 143+211 \equiv 173+181\).
\(146 \equiv 19+127 \equiv 79+67 \equiv 109+37 \equiv 169+187 \equiv 199+157\);
\(146 \equiv 43+103 \equiv 73+73 \equiv 163+193\).
\(148 \equiv 11+137 \equiv 41+107 \equiv 101+47 \equiv 131+17 \equiv 191+167\);
\(148 \equiv 59+89 \equiv 149+209 \equiv 179+179\).
\(150 \equiv 11+139 \equiv 41+109 \equiv 71+79 \equiv 131+19 \equiv 191+169\);
\(150 \equiv 13+137 \equiv 43+107 \equiv 103+47 \equiv 163+197 \equiv 193+167\);
\(150 \equiv 23+127 \equiv 53+97 \equiv 83+67 \equiv 113+37 \equiv 173+187\);
\(150 \equiv 29+121 \equiv 89+61 \equiv 149+211 \equiv 179+181 \equiv 209+151\).
\(152 \equiv 13+139 \equiv 43+109 \equiv 93+79 \equiv 163+199 \equiv 193+169 ;\)
```

```
152\equiv31+121\equiv151+211\equiv181+181.
154\equiv11+143\equiv41+113\equiv71+83\equiv101+53\equiv131+23\equiv191+173;
154\equiv17+137\equiv47+107\equiv167+197.
156\equiv13+143\equiv43+113\equiv73+83\equiv103+53\equiv193+173;
156\equiv17+139\equiv47+109\equiv137+19\equiv167+199\equiv197+169;
156\equiv29+127\equiv59+97\equiv89+67\equiv179+187\equiv209+157.
158\equiv31+127\equiv97+61\equiv121+37\equiv181+187\equiv211+157;
158\equiv19+139\equiv79+79\equiv169+199.
160\equiv11+149\equiv71+89\equiv101+59\equiv131+29\equiv191+179;
160\equiv17+143\equiv47+113\equiv107+53\equiv137+23\equiv197+173.
162\equiv11+151\equiv41+121\equiv101+61\equiv131+31\equiv191+181;
162\equiv13+149\equiv73+89\equiv103+59\equiv163+209\equiv193+179;
162\equiv19+143\equiv79+83\equiv109+53\equiv139+23\equiv199+173.
164\equiv13+151\equiv43+121\equiv103+61\equiv163+211\equiv193+181;
164\equiv37+127\equiv67+97\equiv187+187.
166\equiv17+149\equiv107+59\equiv137+29\equiv167+209\equiv197+179;
166\equiv23+143\equiv53+113\equiv83+83.
168\equiv11+157\equiv41+127\equiv71+97\equiv101+67\equiv131+37\equiv191+187;
168\equiv17+151\equiv47+121\equiv107+61\equiv137+31\equiv167+211\equiv197+181;
168\equiv19+149\equiv79+89\equiv109+59\equiv139+29\equiv169+209\equiv199+179.
170\equiv13+157\equiv43+127\equiv73+97\equiv103+67\equiv193+181;
170\equiv19+151\equiv109+61\equiv139+31\equiv169+211\equiv199+181.
172\equiv23+149\equiv83+89\equiv113+59\equiv143+29\equiv173+209;
172\equiv41+131\equiv71+101\equiv191+191.
174\equiv11+163\equiv71+103\equiv101+73\equiv131+43\equiv191+193;
174\equiv17+157\equiv47+127\equiv107+67\equiv137+37\equiv197+187;
174\equiv23+151\equiv53+121\equiv113+61\equiv143+31\equiv173+211.
176\equiv19+157\equiv79+97\equiv109+67\equiv139+37\equiv199+187;
176\equiv13+163\equiv73+103\equiv193+193.
178\equiv11+167\equiv41+137\equiv107+71\equiv131+47\equiv191+197;
178\equiv29+149\equiv89+89\equiv179+209.
180\equiv11+169\equiv41+139\equiv71+109\equiv101+79\equiv191+199;
180\equiv13+167\equiv43+137\equiv73+107\equiv163+17\equiv193+197;
180\equiv23+157\equiv53+127\equiv83+97\equiv113+67\equiv143+37;
180\equiv29+151\equiv59+121\equiv149+31\equiv179+211\equiv209+181.
182\equiv13+169\equiv43+139\equiv73+109\equiv103+79\equiv163+19\equiv193+199;
182\equiv31+151\equiv61+121\equiv181+211.
184\equiv11+173\equiv41+143\equiv71+113\equiv101+83\equiv131+53;
184\equiv17+167\equiv47+137\equiv197+197.
186\equiv13+173\equiv43+143\equiv73+113\equiv103+83\equiv163+23;
186\equiv17+169\equiv47+139\equiv107+79\equiv167+19\equiv197+199;
186\equiv29+157\equiv59+127\equiv89+97\equiv149+37\equiv209+187.
188\equiv31+157\equiv61+127\equiv121+67\equiv151+37\equiv211+187;
188\equiv19+169\equiv79+109\equiv199+199.
190\equiv11+179\equiv41+149\equiv101+89\equiv131+59\equiv191+209;
190\equiv17+173\equiv47+143\equiv107+83\equiv137+53\equiv167+23.
192\equiv11+181\equiv41+151\equiv71+121\equiv131+61\equiv191+211;
192\equiv13+179\equiv43+149\equiv103+89\equiv163+29\equiv193+209;
192\equiv23+169\equiv53+139\equiv83+109\equiv113+79\equiv173+19.
```

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
194 \equiv 13+181 \equiv 43+151 \equiv 73+121 \equiv 163+31 \equiv 193+211 ; \\
194 \equiv 37+157 \equiv 69+127 \equiv 97+97 . \\
196 \equiv 17+179 \equiv 47+149 \equiv 107+89 \equiv 167+29 \equiv 197+209 \equiv 137+59 ; \\
196 \equiv 23+173 \equiv 53+143 \equiv 83+113 . \\
198 \equiv 11+187 \equiv 41+157 \equiv 71+127 \equiv 101+97 \equiv 131+67 ; \\
198 \equiv 17+181 \equiv 47+151 \equiv 137+61 \equiv 167+31 \equiv 197+211 ; \\
198 \equiv 19+179 \equiv 109+89 \equiv 139+59 \equiv 169+29 \equiv 199+209 \\
200 \equiv 13+187 \equiv 43+157 \equiv 73+127 \equiv 103+97 \equiv 163+37 ; \\
200 \equiv 19+181 \equiv 79+121 \equiv 139+61 \equiv 169+31 \equiv 199+211 \\
202 \equiv 23+179 \equiv 53+149 \equiv 113+89 \equiv 143+59 \equiv 173+29 ; \\
202 \equiv 11+191 \equiv 71+131 \equiv 101+101 . \\
204 \equiv 11+193 \equiv 41+163 \equiv 101+103 \equiv 131+73 \equiv 191+13 ; \\
204 \equiv 17+187 \equiv 47+157 \equiv 107+97 \equiv 137+67 \equiv 167+37 ; \\
204 \equiv 23+181 \equiv 53+151 \equiv 83+121 \equiv 143+61 \equiv 173+31 \\
206 \equiv 19+187 \equiv 79+127 \equiv 109+97 \equiv 139+67 \equiv 169+37 ; \\
206 \equiv 13+193 \equiv 43+163 \equiv 103+103 . \\
208 \equiv 11+197 \equiv 41+167 \equiv 71+137 \equiv 101+107 \equiv 191+17 ; \\
208 \equiv 29+179 \equiv 59+149 \equiv 209+209 . \\
210 \equiv 11+199 \equiv 41+169 \equiv 71+139 \equiv 101+109 \equiv 131+79 \equiv 191+19 ; \\
210 \equiv 13+197 \equiv 43+167 \equiv 73+137 \equiv 103+107 \equiv 163+47 \equiv 193+17 ; \\
210 \equiv 23+187 \equiv 53+157 \equiv 83+127 \equiv 113+97 \equiv 143+67 \equiv 173+37 ; \\
210 \equiv 29+181 \equiv 59+151 \equiv 89+121 \equiv 149+61 \equiv 179+31 \equiv 209+211 . \\
212 \equiv 13+199 \equiv 43+169 \equiv 73+139 \equiv 103+109 \equiv 193+19 ; \\
212 \equiv 31+181 \equiv 61+151 \equiv 211+211 . \\
214 \equiv 23+191 \equiv 83+131 \equiv 113+103 \equiv 143+71 \equiv 173+41 ; \\
214 \equiv 17+197 \equiv 47+167 \equiv 107+107 . \\
216 \equiv 17+199 \equiv 47+169 \equiv 107+109 \equiv 137+79 \equiv 197+19 ; \\
216 \equiv 23+193 \equiv 53+163 \equiv 113+103 \equiv 143+73 \equiv 173+43 ; \\
216 \equiv 29+187 \equiv 59+157 \equiv 89+127 \equiv 149+67 \equiv 179+37 . \\
218 \equiv 31+187 \equiv 61+157 \equiv 121+97 \equiv 151+67 \equiv 181+37 ; \\
218 \equiv 19+199 \equiv 79+139 \equiv 109+109 . \\
220 \equiv 11+209 \equiv 41+179 \equiv 71+149 \equiv 131+89 \equiv 191+29 ; \\
220 \equiv 23+197 \equiv 53+167 \equiv 83+137 \equiv 113+107 \equiv 173+47 \\
222 \equiv 11+211 \equiv 41+181 \equiv 71+151 \equiv 101+121 \equiv 191+31 ; \\
222 \equiv 13+209 \equiv 43+179 \equiv 73+149 \equiv 163+59 \equiv 193+29 ; \\
222 \equiv 23+199 \equiv 53+169 \equiv 83+139 \equiv 113+109 \equiv 143+79 \\
224 \equiv 13+211 \equiv 43+181 \equiv 73+151 \equiv 103+121 \equiv 163+61 \equiv 193+31 ; \\
224 \equiv 37+187 \equiv 67+157 \equiv 97+127 . \\
226 \equiv 17+209 \equiv 47+179 \equiv 137+89 \equiv 167+59 \equiv 197+29 ; \\
226 \equiv 53+173 \equiv 83+143 \equiv 113+113 . \\
228 \equiv 17+211 \equiv 47+181 \equiv 107+121 \equiv 167+61 \equiv 197+31 ; \\
228 \equiv 19+209 \equiv 79+149 \equiv 139+89 \equiv 169+59 \equiv 199+29 ; \\
228 \equiv 37+191 \equiv 97+131 \equiv 127+101 \equiv 157+71 \equiv 187+41 \\
230 \equiv 19+211 \equiv 79+151 \equiv 109+121 \equiv 169+61 \equiv 199+31 ; \\
230 \equiv 37+193 \equiv 67+163 \equiv 127+103 \equiv 157+73 \equiv 187+43 \\
232 \equiv 23+209 \equiv 53+179 \equiv 83+149 \equiv 143+89 \equiv 173+59 ; \\
232 \equiv 41+191 \equiv 101+131 \equiv 11+11 . \\
234 \equiv 23+211 \equiv 53+181 \equiv 83+151 \equiv 113+121 \equiv 173+61 ; \\
234 \equiv 37+197 \equiv 67+167 \equiv 97+137 \equiv 127+107 \equiv 187+47 ; \\
\equiv 19
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 234 \equiv 41+193 \equiv 191+43 \equiv 71+163 \equiv 131+103 \equiv 11+23 \\
& 236 \equiv 37+199 \equiv 67+169 \equiv 97+139 \equiv 127+109 \equiv 157+79 \\
& 236 \equiv 43+193 \equiv 73+163 \equiv 13+13 \\
& 238 \equiv 41+197 \equiv 71+167 \equiv 101+137 \equiv 131+107 \equiv 191+47 \equiv 11+17 ; \\
& 238 \equiv 29+209 \equiv 59+179 \equiv 89+149 . \\
& 240 \equiv 29+211 \equiv 59+181 \equiv 89+151 \equiv 179+61 \equiv 209+31 ; \\
& 240 \equiv 41+199 \equiv 71+169 \equiv 101+139 \equiv 131+109 \equiv 11+19 \\
& 240 \equiv 43+197 \equiv 73+167 \equiv 103+137 \equiv 193+47 \equiv 13+17 \\
& 240 \equiv 23+187 \equiv 173+67 \equiv 83+157 \equiv 143+87 \equiv 113+127 \\
& 242 \equiv 43+199 \equiv 73+169 \equiv 163+97 \equiv 103+139 \equiv 13+19 \\
& 242 \equiv 31+211 \equiv 61+181 \equiv 121+121 . \\
& 244 \equiv 53+191 \equiv 173+71 \equiv 143+101 \equiv 113+131 \equiv 11+13 \\
& 244 \equiv 47+197 \equiv 107+137 \equiv 17+17 . \\
& 246 \equiv 37+209 \equiv 181+59 \equiv 67+179 \equiv 157+89 \equiv 97+149 \\
& 246 \equiv 47+199 \equiv 167+79 \equiv 107+139 \equiv 137+109 \equiv 17+19 \\
& 246 \equiv 53+193 \equiv 173+73 \equiv 83+163 \equiv 143+103 \equiv 13+23 \\
& 248 \equiv 37+211 \equiv 187+61 \equiv 67+181 \equiv 97+151 \equiv 127+121 \\
& 248 \equiv 79+169 \equiv 109+139 \equiv 19+19 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For studying the binary Goldbach's theorem we discuss only 210 subequations:
$N=210 m+40=E_{11}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{29}\left(K_{2}\right), \cdots, N=210 m+248=E_{79}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{169}\left(K_{2}\right)$.
For every equation we have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega>210)=\prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega>210) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ every even number $N$ from some point onward can be expressed as the sum of two primes using only partial primes.

Substituting (44) into (7) we have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\sum_{m=K_{1}+K_{2}} 1=\sum_{N=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)} 1 \sim \\
\frac{35}{384} \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} . \tag{45}
\end{gather*}
$$

Corollary 3. Let $p_{i}=11$ and $\omega=2310$. From (1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=2310 K+P_{\alpha}, \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots ; \quad\left(2310, p_{\alpha}\right)=1 ; \quad p_{\alpha}=13,43,73, \ldots, 2263,2293 ; 17,47,107$, ..., 2267, 2273; 19, 79, 109, ..., 2239, 2269; 23, 53, 83, ..., 2243, 2273; 29, 59, 89, ..., 2279, $2309 ; 31,61,151, \ldots, 2281,2311 ; 37,67,97, \ldots, 2257,2287 ; 41,71,101, \ldots, 2231,2291$.

All the even numbers $N$ greater than 126 can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2310 m+h, \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=0,1,2, \ldots ; h=128,130, \ldots, 2436$.
From (46) and (47) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2310 m+h=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (48) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=K_{1}+K_{2}, \quad h \equiv p_{1}+p_{2}(\bmod 2310) . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (48) we have the $(480)^{2}$ subequations as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
N=2310 m+128=E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{97}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{61}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{67}\left(K_{2}\right)=\cdots, \\
\ldots \quad \ldots  \tag{50}\\
N=2310 m+2436=E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{113}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{43}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{83}\left(K_{2}\right)=\cdots .
\end{gather*}
$$

For studying the binary Goldbach's theorem we discuss only 2310 subequations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=2310 m+128=E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{97}\left(K_{2}\right), \ldots, N=2310 m+2436=E_{13}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{113}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every equation we have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega>2310)=\prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega>210) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ every even natural number $N$ from some point onward can be expressed as the sum of two primes using only partial primes.

Substituting (52) into (7) we have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\sum_{m=K_{1}+K_{2}} 1=\sum_{N=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)} 1 \sim \\
\frac{77}{7680} \prod_{13 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} . \tag{53}
\end{gather*}
$$

Corollary 4. Let $p_{i}=13$ and $\omega=30030$. From (1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=30030 K+P_{\alpha} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots ;\left(30030, p_{\alpha}\right)=1 ; \quad p_{\alpha}=17,47,, \ldots, 29987 ; 19,79, \ldots, 29989$; $23,53, \ldots, 29993 ; 29,59, \ldots 30029 ; 31,61, \ldots, 30031 ; 37,67, \ldots, 30007 ; 41,71, \ldots$, 30011; 43, 73, ..., 30013.

All the even numbers $N$ greater than 254 can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=30030 m+h \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=0,1,2, \ldots ; h=256,258, \ldots, 30284$.
From (54) and (55) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=30030 m+h=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (56) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=K_{1}+K_{2}, \quad h \equiv p_{1}+p_{2}(\bmod 30030) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (56) we have the $(5760)^{2}$ subequations as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
N=30030 m+256=E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{239}\left(K_{2}\right)=\cdots \\
\cdots \quad \cdots  \tag{58}\\
N=30030 m+30284=E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{223}\left(K_{2}\right)=\cdots
\end{gather*}
$$

For studying the binary Goldbach's theorem we discuss only 30030 subequations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
N=30030 m+256=E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{239}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
N=30030 m+258=E_{17}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{241}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
N=30030 m+260=E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{241}\left(K_{2}\right), \cdots \\
N=30030 m+30282=E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{233}\left(K_{2}\right) \\
N=30030 m+30284=E_{31}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{233}\left(K_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

For every equation we have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega>30030)=\prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega>30030) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ every even number $N$ from some point onward can be expressed as the sum of two primes using only partial primes.

Substituting (59) into (7) we have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{2}(N, 2)=\sum_{m=K_{1}+K_{2}} 1=\sum_{N=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)} 1 \sim \\
& \frac{1001}{1105920} \prod_{17 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary 5. Let $p_{i}=17$ and $\omega=510510$. From (1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=510510 K+P_{\alpha}, \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots ; \quad\left(510510, p_{\alpha}\right)=1 ; \quad p_{\alpha}=19, \ldots, 510469 ; 23, \ldots, 510473$;
$29, \ldots, 510509 ; 31, \ldots, 510511 ; 37, \ldots, 510487 ; 41, \ldots, 510491 ; 43, \ldots, 510463 ;$
47, ..., 510467.
All the even numbers $N$ greater than 510 can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=510510 m+h, \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=0,1,2, \ldots ; h=512,514, \ldots, 511020$.
From (61) and (62) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=510510 m+h=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (63) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=K_{1}+K_{2}, \quad h \equiv p_{1}+p_{2}(\bmod 510510) . \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (63) we have the $(92160)^{2}$ subequations as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
N=510510 m+512=E_{73}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{439}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{103}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{409}\left(K_{2}\right)=\cdots, \\
\ldots \ldots  \tag{65}\\
N=510510 m+511020=E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{491}\left(K_{2}\right)=E_{79}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{431}\left(K_{2}\right)=\cdots .
\end{gather*}
$$

For studying the binary Goldbach's theorem we discuss only 510510 subequations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& N=510510 m+512=E_{73}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{439}\left(K_{2}\right), \ldots, \\
& N=510510 m+511020=E_{19}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{491}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

For every equation we have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega>510510)=\prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega>510510) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ every even natural number $N$ from some point onward can be expressed as the sum of two primes using only partial primes.

Substituting (67) into (7) we have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2)=\sum_{m=K_{1}+K_{2}} 1=\sum_{N=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)} 1 \sim \\
\frac{17017}{283115520} \prod_{19 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} . \tag{68}
\end{gather*}
$$

Corollary 6. Let $p_{i}=p_{g}$ and $\omega_{g}=\prod_{2 \leq p \leq p_{g}} p$. From (1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p_{\alpha}}(K)=\omega_{g} K+P_{\alpha}, \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=0,1,2, \ldots ;\left(\omega_{g}, p_{\alpha}\right)=1, p_{g}<p_{\alpha}=p_{1}, \cdots, p_{\phi\left(\omega_{g}\right)}=\omega_{g}+1$. All the even numbers $N$ greater than $H$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\omega_{g} m+h, \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=0,1,2, \ldots ; h=H+2, H+4, \cdots, \omega_{g}+H, H$ being an even number.
From (69) and (70) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\omega_{g} m+h=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right) . \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (71) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=K_{1}+K_{2}, \quad h \equiv p_{1}+p_{2}\left(\bmod \omega_{g}\right) . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (71) we have the $\phi^{2}\left(\omega_{g}\right)$ subequations. For studying the binary Goldbach's theorem we discuss only $\omega_{g}$ subequations among them. For every equation we have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}\left(\omega>\omega_{g}\right)=\prod_{p_{g}<p \leq p_{i}}(p-2) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \neq 0 . \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}\left(\omega>\omega_{g}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ every even natural number $N$ from some point onward can be expressed as the sum of two primes using only partial primes.

Substituting (73) into (7) we have the best asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{2}(N, 2)=\sum_{m=K_{1}+K_{2}} 1=\sum_{N=E_{p_{1}}\left(K_{1}\right)+E_{p_{2}}\left(K_{2}\right)} 1 \sim \\
& \frac{\omega_{g}}{\phi^{2}\left(\omega_{g}\right)} \prod_{p_{g}<p \leq p_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) \prod_{p \mid N} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} . \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

From $\omega_{g}=6$ and (74) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{0}=\exp \left(\frac{3 \sqrt{c} \phi\left(\omega_{g}\right) \log \omega_{g}-\omega_{g} \log 6}{\omega_{g}-3 \sqrt{c} \phi\left(\omega_{g}\right)}\right), \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
c=\prod_{3<p \leq p_{g}}\left(1-\frac{1}{(p-1)^{2}}\right) .
$$

From (75) we have $\omega_{g}=30, m_{0}=42 ; \omega_{g}=210, m_{0}=141 ; \omega_{g}=2310, m_{0}=946$. The integer $m$ greater than $m_{0}$ is the sum of primes $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$, that is every even
number $N$ greater than $\omega_{g} m_{0}$ can be expressed as the sum of two primes using only partial primes. It is $\omega_{g} / \phi^{2}\left(\omega_{g}\right)$ of the the total primes. In the same way we can prove the prime twins theorem and other problems using only partial primes. We will establish the additive prime theory with partial primes.

Theorem 2. $p_{1}=p+6, p_{2}=N-p$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \mid N}(p-1) \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \prod_{p|N, p|(N+6)} \frac{p-2}{p-3} \neq 0 . \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ every even number $N$ from some point onward can be expressed as the sum of two primes satisfying that $p+6$ is a prime.

We have exact asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3. $p_{1}=p+6, p_{2}=p+12, p_{3}=N-p$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \mid N}(p-1) \prod_{5 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \prod_{p|N, p|(N+6), p \mid(N+12)} \frac{p-3}{p-4} \neq 0 . \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$ every even number $N$ from some point onward can be expressed as the sum of two primes satisfying that $p+6$ and $p+12$ are primes.

We have exact asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4. $p_{1}=p+2, \quad p_{2}=N-p$.
We have that $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $3 \chi(N-2) ; \quad J_{2}(3)=0$ if $3 \mid(N-2)$.

Theorem 5. $p_{1}=p+4, \quad p_{2}=N-p$.
We have that $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$ if $3 \chi(N-1) ; \quad J_{2}(3)=0$ if $3 \mid(N-1)$.
Theorem 6. $p_{1}=p+30, p_{2}=N-p$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3,5 \mid N}(p-1) \prod_{5 \mid N}(p-2) \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-3) \prod_{p|N, p|(N+30)} \frac{p-2}{p-3} \neq 0 . \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist primes $p$ such that $p+30$ and $N-p$ are primes for every even number $N$ from some point onward.

We have exact asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{\phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N} . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 7. $p_{1}=p+30, p_{2}=p+60, \quad p_{3}=N-p$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3,5 \mid N}(p-1) \prod_{5 \mid N}(p-2) \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-4) \prod_{p|N, p|(N+30), p \mid(N+60)} \frac{p-3}{p-4} \neq 0 . \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, there exist primes $p$ such that $p+30, p+60$ and $N-p$ are primes for every even number $N$ from some point onward.

We have exact asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{4}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{3}}{\phi^{4}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{4} N} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8. $p_{1}=p^{2}+30, p_{2}=N-p$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3,5 \mid N}(p-1) \prod_{3,5 \mid N}(p-2) \prod_{7 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-\left(\frac{-30}{p}\right)-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0 . \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(p)=-1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid N$.
We have exact asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 9. $p_{1}=p^{2}+210, p_{2}=N-p$.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3,5,7 \mid N}(p-1) \prod_{3,5,7 \backslash N}(p-2) \prod_{11 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-\left(\frac{-210}{p}\right)-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0 . \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(p)=-1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid N$.
We have exact asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 10. $p_{1}=p^{2}+p+41, p_{2}=N-p$.

We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}\left(p-3-\left(\frac{-163}{p}\right)-\chi(p)\right) \neq 0, \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(p)=-1$ if $p \mid N ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \nmid N ; \quad\left(\frac{-163}{163}\right)=0$.
We have exact asymptotic formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{3}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega^{2}}{2 \phi^{3}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{3} N} . \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Chapter 5

## SANTILLI'S ISOCRYPTOGRAPHIC THEORY

Fundamental open problems in science, such as biological structures, irreversibility, classical treatment of antimatter, grand-unification, constituents of hadrons definable in our spacetime, and others, can only be solved via basically new mathematics which, in turn, can only be really new if based on new numbers.

Ruggero Maria Santilli

## 1. Introduction

In Appendix 2C of the second edition of monograph [1] written in 1995, Santilli introduced a basically new class of cryptograms. The main idea is that of lifting any existing numerical cryptogram based on the trivial unit +1 and the trivial associative product $a b$ initio a form based on isonumbers of the first kind with isounit $\hat{I}=1 / \hat{T}$ and isoproduct $a \hat{\times} b=a \hat{T} b$ where $\hat{I}$ is an arbitrary non-zero number generally outside the original set.

This lifting produces the new cryptograms, today known as Santilli's isocryptograms, whose evident advantages are the following:

1) The availability of an infinite number of basic units, with consequential evident increase of the difficulties for the resolution of the cryptogram, assuming that it can
be resolved in a finite period of time, particularly when using a series of cryptograms all with different isounits.
2) The capability of computerizing the change of the isounit on a periodical if not continuous basis without any need to alter the basic cryptograms themselves, with additional dramatic increase of security; and
3) A significant decrease of costs while increasing security, since Santilli's isotopic lifting can dramatically increase the security of simple cryptograms, while today a lesser security is achieved via extreme complex cryptograms with consequential high costs.

The reader should be aware that Santilli also proposed the much more complex genocryptograms and hypercryptograms based on ordered units and products which are single-valued and multi-valued, respectively. The consequential increase of complexity as compared to isocryptograms is evident and so are the difficulties for their resolution and the increased security.

In this final chapter we shall mainly outline the foundations of Santilli's isocryptographic theory and provide only a few comments on the more complex geno- and hyper-cryptograms.

## 2. Secret-Key Isocryptography

There are essentially two different types of isocryptograms: secret-key isocryptographic system and public-key isocryptographic system.

Before discussing these two types of different isocryptosystems, we present the following notations:

The message space $M$ is a set of strings (plaintext messages) over some alphabet, that needs using a series of isocryptograms all with different isounits. Ciphertext space $C$ : a set of strings (ciphertext messages) over some alphabet, that has been encrypted.

Key space $K$ : a set of strings (keys) over some alphabet, which includes the encryption key $\hat{I}$ and the decryption key $\hat{T}$.

The encryption process (algorithm) $E: \hat{I} M=C$.
The decryption process (algorithm) $D: \hat{T} C=M$.
The algorithms $E$ and $D$ must have the property that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{T} C=\hat{T} \hat{I} M=M . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sender uses an invertible transformation $f$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f: M \xrightarrow{\hat{I}} C \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

to produce the ciphertext

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\hat{I} M, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and transmits it over the public insecure channel to the receiver. The key $\hat{I}$ should also be transmitted to the legitimate receiver for decryption but via a secure channel. Since the receiver knows the key $\hat{T}$, he can decrypt $C$ by a transformation $f^{-1}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}: C \xrightarrow{\hat{T}} M \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and obtain $\hat{T} C=\hat{T} \hat{I} M=M$ the original plaintext message.
(1) Character ciphers. Let us define the numerical equivalents of the 26 English letters, since our operations will be on the numerical equivalents of letters, rather than the letters themselves. We have encryption

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \equiv \hat{I} m+b(\bmod 26) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\hat{I}, b \in Z$ the key, $0 \leq \hat{I}, b, m \leq 26$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(\hat{I}, 26)=1$
We have decryption

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \equiv \hat{T}(C-b)(\bmod 26), \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{T}$ is the multiplicative inverse of $\hat{I}(\bmod 26)$.
(2) Block ciphers. Block ciphers can be made more secure by splitting the plaintext into groups of letters (rather than a single letter) and then performing the encryption and decryption on these groups of letters. This block technique is called block ciphering. Translate the letters into their numerical equivalents and form the ciphertext

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i} \equiv \hat{I} M_{i}(\bmod 26), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
c_{1} \\
\vdots \\
c_{n}
\end{array}\right], M_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
m_{1} \\
\vdots \\
m_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $\hat{I}$ is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix which is called isounit matrix.
For decryption we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i} \equiv \hat{T} C_{i} \equiv \hat{T} \hat{I} M_{i}(\bmod 26) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{T} \hat{I}(\bmod 26)=E_{1}, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1}$ is a unit matrix.
Remark: Santilli's isomatrix theory. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\hat{0}}=\hat{I}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix, $\hat{0}$ is an isozero and $\hat{I}$ is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix which is called isounit matrix.

From (2.10) we define the matrix isomultiplication $(\hat{x}=\hat{T} \times)$ and matrix isodivision $\hat{\div}=\hat{I} \div$. We have

$$
A \hat{\times} B=A \hat{T} B, A \hat{\div} B=A \hat{I} B^{-1}, \hat{I}^{\hat{n}}=\hat{I}, \hat{I} \hat{T}=E_{1}
$$

where $A, B, \hat{I}$, and $\hat{T}$ are the invertible $n \times n$ matrices and $E_{1}$ is unit matrix.
One important problem is to find the better isounit matrix. Using isounit matrix $\hat{I}$ the Santilli's isomatrix theory may be established. It has a wide application in various fields.
(3) Exponentiation ciphers. The exponentiation cipher may be described as follows. Let $p$ be a prime number, $M$ the numerical equivalent of the plaintext, where each letter of the plaintext is replaced its two digit equivalents. Subdivide $M$ into block $M_{i}$ such that $0<M_{i}<p$. Let $\hat{I}$ be an integer with $0<\hat{I}<p$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(\hat{I}, p-1)=1$. Then the encryption transformation for $M_{i}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i} \equiv M_{i}^{\hat{I}}(\bmod p) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the decryption transformation by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}=C_{i}^{\hat{T}} \equiv M_{i}^{\hat{I} \hat{T}} \equiv M_{i}(\bmod p) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{I} \hat{T} \equiv 1(\bmod p-1)$.
(4) The encryption process $E$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{j} M=C \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decryption process $D$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{T}_{j} C=\hat{T}_{j} \hat{I}_{j} M=M \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $j=1,2$, then $(2.13)$ and (2.14) are the Santilli's genocryptographic theory in secret-key isocryptography. If $j=1,2,3, \ldots$, then (2.13) and (2.14) are the Santilli's hypercryptographic theory in secret-key isocryptography.

## Public-Key Isocryptography.

Let $A$ and $B$ be finite sets. A one-way function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f: A \longrightarrow B \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an invertible function satisfying (i) $f$ is easy to compute, that is, given $x \in A, y=$ $f(x)$ is easy to compute; (ii) $f^{-1}$, the inverse function of $f$, is difficult to compute, that is $y \in B, x=f^{-1}(y)$ is difficult to compute; (iii) $f^{-1}$ is easy to compute when a trapdoor (i.e., a secret string of information associated with the function) become
available. A function $f$ satisfying only first two conditions is also a one-way function. If $f$ satisfies further the third condition, it is called a trapdoor one-way function.
(1) The RSA scheme. The idea is to use a product of two primes, $n=p q$ as the modulus. We choose an integer $\hat{I}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}(\hat{I}, \phi(n))=1$, where $\phi(n)=$ $(p-1)(q-1)$. The enciphering process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \equiv m^{\hat{I}}(\bmod n) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the decryption process, we compute $\hat{T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I} \hat{T} \equiv 1(\bmod \phi(\mathrm{n})) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the decryption operation is given

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=C^{\hat{T}}(\bmod n) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $\hat{I}$ and $n$ are publicly known but $p, q$ and $\phi(n)$ are not. It is hard to compute $m=C^{\hat{T}}(\bmod n)$ without knowing $\hat{T}$. The knowledge of $p, q$ makes it easy to compute $\hat{T}$. For public-key isocryptography, one needs large composite numbers of the form $p q$, where $p, q$ are in turn large prime numbers. Since integer factorization is a computationally intractable problem.
(2) Three primes system. Let $n=p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$ and $\phi(n)=\left(p_{1}-1\right)\left(p_{2}-1\right)\left(p_{3}-1\right)$. We have $\operatorname{gcd}(\hat{I}, \phi(n))=1$. The enciphering process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \equiv m^{\hat{I}}(\bmod n) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the decryption process, we compute $\hat{T}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I} \hat{T} \equiv 1(\bmod n) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decryption process is given

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=C^{\hat{T}}(\bmod n) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $\hat{I}$ and $n$ are publicly known but $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}$ and $\phi(n)$ are not. It is hard to compute $m=C^{\hat{T}}(\bmod n)$ without knowing $\hat{T}$. The knowledge of $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ makes it easy to compute $\hat{T}$. For public-key isocryptography,one needs large composite numbers of the form $p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}$, where $p_{1}, p_{2}$ and $p_{3}$ are large prime numbers. Since integer factorization is a computationally intractable problem.
(3) Two primes system. Let $n=p q$ and $\phi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)$. We have $\operatorname{gcd}(\hat{I}, \phi(n))=1$. The enciphering process is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \equiv m^{\hat{I}_{j}}(\bmod n) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the decryption process, we compute $\hat{T}_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{j} \hat{T}_{j} \equiv 1(\bmod \phi(n)) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The decryption process is given

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=C^{\hat{T}_{j}}(\bmod n) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $\hat{I}_{j}$ and $n$ are publicly known but $p, q$ and $\phi(n)$ are not. If $j=1,2$ then (2.22)-(2.24) are the Santilli's genocryptographic theory in public-key isocryptography. If $j=1,2, \cdots$, then (2.22)-(2.24) are the Santilli's hypercryptographic theory in public-key isocryptography.
(4) Large prime problem. In RSA one important point has to do with the choice of the primes $p$ and $q$. If they are small, then the system is easy to break. We need better techniques to find much large prime numbers.

1) The fundamental prime problem. There exist the infinitely many primes. We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(p)$ is the number of solutions of congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p-1)!\equiv 0(\bmod p) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (26) we have $\chi(p)=0$. Substituting it into (2.25) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1) \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, we prove that there exist the infinitely many prime numbers. Using $J_{2}(\omega)$ we proved many prime theorems and can find much large prime numbers.
2) Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=\frac{(p-1)^{p_{0}}-1}{p-2} \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{0}$ is an odd prime.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi\left(p_{0}\right)=1 ; \chi(p)=p_{0}-1$ if $p \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{0}\right) ; \chi(p)=0$ if $p \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{0}\right)$.
We have the asymptotic formula of the number of primes $p$ less than $N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{\left(p_{0}-1\right) \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p_{1}$ is a prime. Therefore from (28) one may find the much large primes. When $p=3$, numbers $p_{1}=2^{p_{0}}-1$ of this form are called Mersenne number, and primes of this form are called Mersenne primes. From (2.30) we have $\pi_{2}(3,2) \rightarrow 0$ as $p_{0} \rightarrow \infty$. We prove that there exist the finite Mersenne primes. When $p=11$, numbers $p_{1}=\frac{10^{p_{0}}-1}{9}$ of this form are called repunits, and primes of this form are called prime repunits. From (2.30) we have $\pi_{2}(11,2) \rightarrow 0$ as $p_{0} \rightarrow \infty$. We prove that there exist the finite prime repunits. We point out that Mersenne primes and prime repunits are useless in finding much large primes.
3) Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}=2(p-1)^{n}-1, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is an integer.
We have the arithmetic function

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{2}(\omega)=\prod_{3 \leq p \leq p_{i}}(p-1-\chi(p)) \neq 0 \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi(p)$ is the number of solutions of congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(q-1)^{n}-1 \equiv 0(\bmod p), \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=1,2, \cdots, p-1$.
We have the asymptotic formula of the number of primes $p$ less than $N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{2}(N, 2) \sim \frac{J_{2}(\omega) \omega}{n \phi^{2}(\omega)} \frac{N}{\log ^{2} N} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{2}(\omega) \neq 0$, there exist the infinitely many primes $p$ such that $p_{1}$ is a prime. Therefore from (31) one may find the much large primes. When $p=11$, numbers $p_{1}=2(10)^{n}-1$ of this form are called Santilli's numbers, and primes of this form are called Santilli's primes. When $n=1,2,3, p_{1}=19,199,1999$ are Santilli's primes. From (2.34) we have $\pi_{2}(11,2) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We prove that there exist the finite Santilli's primes.

In the same way we prove that primes of these forms: $3 \times 2^{n} \pm 1,5 \times 2^{n} \pm 1,7 \times 2^{n} \pm$ $1, \cdots, 3 \times 10^{n} \pm 1,6 \times 10^{n} \pm 1,9 \times 10^{n} \pm 1,5 \times 10^{n}-1,8 \times 10^{n}-1,4 \times 10^{n}+1,7 \times 10^{n}+1$ are finite.
4) A Cunningham chains. A Cunningham chain of length $k$ is a finite set of primes $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{k}$ such that $p_{i+1}=2 p_{i}+1$ or $p_{i+1}=2 p_{i}-1$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, k-1$. It can apply to generate the large primes. For example, given the primes $p, q$ and $r$, where $p=2 q+1$ and $q=2 r+1$. A public key infrastructure can be established. One may find the large primes. We establish the Santilli's isoprime $m$-chains: $p_{i+1}=$ $m p_{i}+m-1$ or $p_{i+1}=m p_{i}-m+1$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, k-1$. We consider that $p_{i+1}=16 p_{i}+15$ or $p_{i+1}=16 p_{i}-15$ can generate the large primes. In Chapter 2 we proved many prime theorems which can produce much large primes. This book is the much large primebank in Santilli's isocryptographic theory.
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