## A Note on the Mass-Energy Relation

January 10, 2012.

José Francisco García Juliá

jfgj1@hotmail.es

The famous equation that relates the mass with the energy can be deduced without using the special relativity of Einstein; however, the relation obtained is slightly different.

Key words: energy, mass, special relativity.

The famous equation  $E = mc^2$  can be deduced without using the special relativity (SR) of Einstein, such as in [1], or from this other simpler form: when an atom absorbs a photon, the energy is converted into matter, that is, into mass. Thus, an atom at rest of mass  $m_0$  recoils with a speed v when it absorbs a photon of an energy E that corresponds to a mass  $\mu$ . The momentum of the photon would be  $p = F\tau = F\lambda/c = W/c = E/c$ , where F is the force exerted by the photon,  $\tau = \lambda/c$  the duration of the event,  $\lambda$  the wavelength, c the speed of the light in the vacuum and  $W = F\lambda$  the work done by the photon (the energy E is converted into the work W during the event). (Note that as E = hf and c = $\lambda f$ , then  $p = E/c = hf/\lambda f = h/\lambda$ , where h is the Planck's constant and f the frequency; and also that  $\tau = \lambda/c = \lambda/\lambda f = 1/f$ . From the conservation of the momentum,  $(p_1 + p_2)_{final} =$  $(p_1 + p_2)_{initial}$ , where the subscript 1 is for the atom and the 2 for the photon; we would have that mv + 0 = 0 + E/c, or  $mv = E/c = (E/c^2)c = \mu c$ , where *m* is the moving mass of the atom and  $\mu = E/c^2 = hf/c^2$  the so-called "effective mass" of the photon. From the conservation of the energy,  $(E_1 + E_2)_{final} = (E_1 + E_2)_{initial}$ , we would have that  $E_a + 0 = E_{0a} + \mu c^2$ ,  $E_a - E_{0a} = \mu c^2$ , and as  $\mu = m - m_0$ , then  $E_a = mc^2$ ,  $E_{0a} = m_0c^2$  and  $T_a = \mu c^2$ , where  $E_a$ ,  $E_{0a}$  and  $T_a$  are, respectively, the total, rest and kinetic energies of the atom. If we do  $m = \gamma m_0$ , then  $\gamma m_0 = m = m_0 + \mu$ ,  $(\gamma - 1)m_0 = \mu$ ,  $(\gamma - 1)m_0c = \mu c = mv = \gamma m_0v$ ,  $(\gamma - 1)m_0c = \mu c$  $l)c = \gamma v$  and  $\gamma = (l - v/c)^{-l}$ . Therefore, for a body of rest and moving masses  $m_0$  and mits energy would be  $E = mc^2 = \gamma m_0 c^2 = (1 - v/c)^{-1} m_0 c^2$ , and for  $v << c, E \approx m_0 c^2 + m_0 v c$  $+ m_0 v^2$ , which is a balanced expression but erroneous. In the SR, it is  $\gamma = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ and  $E = mc^2 = \gamma m_0 c^2 = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2} m_0 c^2$ , and for  $v^2 << c^2$ ,  $E \approx m_0 c^2 + (1/2) m_0 v^2$ , which is correct because  $(1/2)m_0v^2$  is the Newton's kinetic energy. From the absorption process, we cannot obtain the correct value for the gamma factor; we need the SR. (Note that in both cases it is  $0 \le v < c$  since v = c implies  $\gamma = \infty$ ). In short, we have deduced the mass-energy relation without using the SR; however, the relation obtained is slightly different.

[1] An Elementary Derivation of  $E = mc^2$ . This handout is based on the treatment given on pages 283 to 286 of the book, Einstein's Theory of Relativity, by Max Born, Dover Publications, New York (1965).

http://www.personal.psu.edu/pjm11/Einstein.doc