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Abstract: Recall the theorem states that the equation $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n}$ cannot exist if all the quantities are positive integers and $\mathbf{n}>2$.

Fermat maintained he had a short proof but it has never been found, nor has a short proof been supplied by anyone since.

This attempt uses simple mathematics and methods reminiscent of those taught in English grammar schools in the 1950's.

## Fermat's Last Theorem

"Hanson Boys' Grammar School Proof"

## Statement of the Theorem

Fermat's Last Theorem, (FLT), states that positive integers $\{a, b, c, n ; n>2\}$ cannot be found satisfying the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n} \tag{T}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Proof

Assume n is prime.
\{
If $n$ is not prime, say $n=p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{r}$, where the $p_{i}$ are primes, not necessarily all different, we may rename $p_{1}$ to $n$, and $\{a, b, c\}$ then become integers raised to the power ( $p_{2 \ldots} p_{r}$ ).

To clarify, the equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u^{p 1 p 2 \ldots p r}+v^{p 1 p 2 \ldots p r}=w^{p 1 p 2 \ldots p r} \quad\left\{u(p 2 \ldots p r)+v^{n(p 2 \ldots p r)}=w^{n(p 2 \ldots p r)}\right. \\
& \left.u^{n(p 2 \ldots, w} \text { positive integers; } u<v<w\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

becomes $a^{n}+b^{n}=c^{n} \quad$ where $a=u^{(p 2 \ldots p r)}, b=v^{(p 2 \ldots p r)}, c=w^{(p 2 p r)}$
\}

## Assume all common factors have been cancelled, noting that all, or none, of \{a,b,c\} can have a common factor.

Assume the theorem is false and $n$ is an integer $>2$ such that positive integers $\{a, b, c\}$ exist satisfy ing (T).

Assume $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}$, thus $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}<\mathrm{c}$.
let $\quad a+h=b+j=c \quad\{h, j$ positive integers; $h>j\}$
We can now rearrange ( $\mathbf{T}$ ) and expand $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}}$ in 2 different ways.
(i) Using the Binomial Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{n}=(b+j)^{n}-b^{n}=n b^{n-1} i+n(n-1) /(2!) b^{n-2} i^{2}+\ldots+i^{n} \\
& b^{n}=(a+h)^{n}-a^{n}=n a^{n-1} h+n(n-1) /(2!) a^{n-2} h^{2}+\ldots+h^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) By factoring

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{n} & =(c-b)\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} b+\ldots+b^{n-1}\right) \\
& =j\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} b+\ldots+b^{n-1}\right) \\
b^{n} & =(c-a)\left(c^{n-1+} c^{n-2} a+\ldots+a^{n-1}\right) \\
& =h\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} a+\ldots+a^{n-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $a=A y \quad\{A, y$ integers $>0 ; A=$ product of primes not in $j$,

$$
\mathrm{y}=\text { product of primes in } \mathrm{j}\}
$$

and $\quad b=B x \quad\{B, x$ integers $>0 ; B=$ product of primes not in $h$,
$\mathrm{x}=$ product of primes in h$\}$
thus $\quad \mathrm{x}>\mathrm{y} \quad\{\mathrm{h}>\mathrm{j}$; $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ are co-prime $\because$ of ( $\mathbf{F} \mathbf{~})\}$

The equations in (i) may now be written:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(A y)^{n}=j\left(n b^{n-1}+n(n-1) /(2!) b^{n-2} j+\ldots+j^{n-1}\right) & \left\{j<=y^{n}\right\} \\
(B x)^{n}=h\left(n a^{n-1}+n(n-1) /(2!) a^{n-2} h+\ldots+h^{n-1}\right) & \left\{h<=x^{n}\right\} \tag{i.2}
\end{array}
$$

(i.1) divided by j gives:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
A^{n}=n b^{n-1}+n(n-1) /(2!) b^{n-2} j+\ldots+j^{n-1} & \left\{\text { if } j=y^{n}\right\} & \text { (1.1a) or } \\
A^{n} Y=n b^{n-1}+n(n-1) /(2!) b^{n-2} j+\ldots+j^{n-1} & \left\{\text { if } j<y^{n}\right\} & \text { (1.1b) }
\end{array}
$$

$Y$ is the product of primes remaining from $y^{n}$ after dividing and necessarily contains $n$ since n is in every term on the RHS.

Case 1: Assume (1.1a) is true.
Arguing similarly for (i.2) gives $j=y^{n}, h=x^{n}$
from (1) $\quad A y+x^{n}=B x+y^{n}=c$
and since $\begin{array}{ll}(a+b)^{n}>a^{n}+b^{n}\left(=c^{n}\right) \\ & (a+b)>c\end{array}$
$\therefore \quad A y+B x>A y+x^{n}$

$$
\mathrm{Ay}+\mathrm{Bx}>\mathrm{Bx}+\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

thus $\quad B x>x^{n} ; A y>y^{n}$
from (2) $\quad B x-A y=x^{n}-y^{n}=k \quad\{k$; positive integer $\}$
(2.1)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
=R(x-y) & \left\{R=x^{n-1}+x^{n-2} y+\ldots+y^{n-1}\right\} \\
=X x-Y y & \left\{X=x^{n-1}, Y=y^{n-1}\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{array}
$$

from (ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{(A y)^{n}=y^{n}\left(C^{n-1}+c^{n-2} b+\ldots+b^{n-1}\right) ; A^{n}=c^{n-1}+\ldots+b^{n-1}\right) \\
& \left.\left.(B x)^{n}=x^{n}\left(c^{n-1}+c^{n-2} a+\ldots+a^{n-1}\right) ; B^{n}=c^{n-1}+\ldots+a^{n-1}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

from (2.2) $\quad B>R$

$$
\{B x-A y=B(x-y)-(A-B) y=R(x-y)\}
$$

```
\therefore\quadBx>Ay>A }>\textrm{B}>\textrm{R}>\textrm{X}>\textrm{Y
```

let $\quad A=R+u ; B=R+v$
$\{\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{v}$ positive integers, $\mathrm{u}<\mathrm{v}\}$
$(R+v) x-(R+u) y=R(x-y) \quad\{$ from 2.1 and 2.2$\}$
$v x=u y$
$\therefore \quad u=x, v=y$
$\{$ not $u=P y, v=P x, P$ positive integer;
$\because \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ coprime\}
(2.1) - (2.3) are supposedly consistent simultaneous linear equations. This requires
(2.1) to be a linear combination of (2.2) and (2.3)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\therefore & B=p R+q X & \{p, q ; \text { real }\} \\
& A=p R+q Y & \\
\text { thus } & k=p k+q k \\
& p+q=1
\end{array}
$$

$\therefore \quad A-B=p R+q Y-(p R+q X)$

$$
=\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{X}) \quad\{\mathrm{q}<0 ; \mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{X}>\mathrm{Y}\}
$$

since
$R+v=B$
$R+v=p R+q X$
$\mathrm{v}=(\mathrm{p}-1) \mathrm{R}+\mathrm{qX}$
$v=q(X-R)$
but from (3) $v=y$
This is a contradiction and proves FLT.
Case 2: Assume (1.1b) is true.
Note that n cannot be a factor of both Ay and Bx ('.' of (F)). Furthermore, because (1.1b) contains factors in Y that are in every $j$ on the RHS, those factors cannot be in $b$ of the first term ( $\because$ ' of ( F )).

Therefore $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{y}$, and j must have the forms:
$\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{nr}}, \mathrm{y}=\operatorname{tn}^{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{nr}-1} \quad\{\mathrm{r}$ integer $>0, \mathrm{t}=$ product of primes in y other than n$\}$
$\therefore$ (2) becomes:

$$
\mathrm{Aw}+\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Bx}+\left(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{n}^{(1 / \mathrm{n})}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{c} \quad\left\{\mathrm{w}=\operatorname{tn}^{\mathrm{r}}\right\}
$$

and we proceed as for Case 1 to the same contradiction.

