# E8 Physics and Quasicrystals Icosidodecahedron and Rhombic Triacontahedron 

vixra 1301.0150

Frank Dodd (Tony) Smith Jr. - 2013
The E8 Physics Model (viXra 1108.0027) is based on the Lie Algebra E8. 240 E8 vertices = 112 D8 vertices + 128 D8 half-spinors where
D8 is the bivector Lie Algebra of the Real Clifford Algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(16)=\mathrm{Cl}(8) \times \mathrm{Cl}(8)$. 112 D8 vertices $=(24 \mathrm{D} 4+24 \mathrm{D} 4)=48$ vertices from the D4xD4 subalgebra of D8 plus $64=8 \times 8$ vertices from the coset space D8 / D4xD4.
128 D8 half-spinor vertices $=64$ ++half-half-spinors +64 --half-half-spinors.
An 8-dim Octonionic Spacetime comes from the $\mathrm{Cl}(8)$ factors of $\mathrm{Cl}(16)$ and a 4+4 = 8-dim Kaluza-Klein M4 x CP2 Spacetime emerges due to the freezing out of a preferred Quaternionic Subspace. Interpreting World-Lines as Strings leads to 26-dim Bosonic String Theory in which 10 dimensions reduce to 4-dim CP2 and a 6-dim Conformal Spacetime from which 4-dim M4 Physical Spacetime emerges.

Although the high-dimensional E8 structures are fundamental to the E8 Physics Model it may be useful to see the structures from the point of view of the familiar 3-dim Space where we live. To do that, start by looking the the E8 Root Vector lattice.

Algebraically, an E8 lattice corresponds to an Octonion Integral Domain.
There are 7 Independent E8 Lattice Octonion Integral Domains corresponding to the 7 Octonion Imaginaries, as described by H. S. M. Coxeter in "Integral Cayley Numbers" (Duke Math. J. 13 (1946) 561-578 and
in "Regular and Semi-Regular Polytopes III" (Math. Z. 200 (1988) 3-45).
Let $\{1, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{ie}, \mathrm{je}, \mathrm{ke}\}$ be a basis of the Octonions.
The 112 D8 Root Vector vertices can be written as

$$
(+/-1,+/-1,0,0,0,0,0,0)
$$

for all 4 possible $+/-$ signs times all $(8 \mid 2)=28$ permutations of pairs of basis elements.
The 128 D8 half-spinor vertices can be written in 7 different ways

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(+/-(1+\mathrm{i}) & +/-\mathrm{j}+/-\mathrm{k}+/-\mathrm{e}+/-\mathrm{ie}+/-\mathrm{je}+/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2 \\
(+/-(1+\mathrm{j}) & +/-\mathrm{i} & +/-\mathrm{k}+/-\mathrm{e}+/-\mathrm{ie}+/-\mathrm{je}+/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2 \\
(+/-(1+\mathrm{k}) & +/-\mathrm{i}+/-\mathrm{j} \quad+/-\mathrm{e}+/-\mathrm{ie}+/-\mathrm{je}+/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2 \\
(+/-(1+\mathrm{e}) & +/-\mathrm{i}+/-\mathrm{j}+/-\mathrm{k}+\quad+/-\mathrm{ie}+/-\mathrm{je}+/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2 \\
(+/-(1+\mathrm{ie}) & +/-\mathrm{i}+/-\mathrm{j}+/-\mathrm{k}+/-\mathrm{e} \quad+/-\mathrm{je}+/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2 \\
(+/-(1+\mathrm{je}) & +/-\mathrm{i}+/-\mathrm{j}+/-\mathrm{k}+/-\mathrm{e}+/-\mathrm{ier} & +/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2 \\
(+/-(1+\mathrm{ke}) & +/-\mathrm{i}+/-\mathrm{j}+/-\mathrm{k}+/-\mathrm{e}+/-\mathrm{ie}+/-\mathrm{je} \quad) / 2
\end{array}
$$

in each of which
one Octonion Imaginary basis element is paired (same sign) with the Real basis element
to give $\left.2^{\wedge} 8-1\right)=2^{\wedge} 7=128$ D8 half-spinor Root Vector vertices
so that
7 different E8 lattices, each with a 240-vertex Root Vector polytope around the origin,
can be constructed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{iE} 8=(+/-1,+/-1,0,0,0,0,0,0) \\
& +(+/-(1+i) \quad+/-j+/-k+/-e+/-i e+/-j e+/-k e) / 2 \\
& j E 8=(+/-1,+/-1,0,0,0,0,0,0) \\
& +(+/-(1+j) \quad+/-\mathrm{i} \quad+/-\mathrm{k}+/-\mathrm{e}+/-\mathrm{ie}+/-\mathrm{je}+/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2 \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{kE8} & =(+/-1,+/-1,0,0,0,0,0,0) \\
& +(+/-(1+k) \quad+/-\mathrm{i}+/-\mathrm{j} \quad+/-\mathrm{e}+/-\mathrm{ie}+/-\mathrm{je}+/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2
\end{aligned} \\
& e \mathrm{e} 8=(+/-1,+/-1,0,0,0,0,0,0) \\
& +(+/-(1+e) \quad+/-\mathrm{i}+/-\mathrm{j}+/-\mathrm{k}+\quad+/-\mathrm{ie}+/-\mathrm{je}+/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2 \\
& \text { ieE8 }=(+/-1,+/-1,0,0,0,0,0,0) \\
& +(+/-(1+i e) \quad+/-\mathrm{i}+/-\mathrm{j}+/-\mathrm{k}+/-\mathrm{e} \quad+/-\mathrm{je}+/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2 \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathrm{jeE} 8 & =(+/-1,+/-1,0,0,0,0,0,0) \\
& +(+/-(1+\mathrm{je})+/-\mathrm{i}+/-\mathrm{j}+/-\mathrm{k}+/-\mathrm{e}+/-\mathrm{ie} \quad+/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2
\end{aligned} \\
& \text { keE8 }=(+/-1,+/-1,0,0,0,0,0,0) \\
& +(+/-(1+k e)+/-i+/-j+/-k+/-e+/-i e+/-j e \quad) / 2
\end{aligned}
$$

As Conway and Sloane say in "Sphere Packings, Lattices and Groups" (Third Edition Springer
"... when $\mathrm{n}=8$... we can slide another copy of Dn in between the points of Dn ...
Formally, we define Dn+ = Dn u ( [1] + Dn
When $n=8$... the lattice D8+ ...[is]... known as E8 ...".
The D8 part of E8 contains the 112 D8 Root Vectors.
The 7 different E8 lattices correspond to 7 different ways to slide the D8 half-spinor copy of D8 in between the points of the first D8 thus producing 7 different E8 lattices each with a $112+128=240$ Root Vector polytope.

Since Quasicrystal / Icosidodecahedron / Rhombic Triacontahedron structure is similar for all the E8 lattices,
it can be discussed based only on the generic first-shell 240 Root Vector vertices and
discussion of more detailed structure of the various E8 lattices is reserved to the Appendix of this paper.

Quasicrystal / Icosidodecahedron / Rhombic Triacontahedron structure is similar for all the E8 lattices as it is based on the 240 vertices

that can be described as the First Shell of an E8 Lattice which is made up of 112 D8 Root Vectors plus 128 D8 half-spinor vertices:


In "Regular and Semi-Regular Polytopes III" Coxeter describes that shell as
"... The eight-dimensional polytope 4_21 ... in which the 240 vertices are distributed in 8 concentric tricontagons $\{30\}$...


Fig. 3.8e. The eight-dimensional polytope $4_{1 t}$
... The $120+120$ vertices of the polytope $4 \_21$...

...[are]... the 120+120 jvertices of two homothetic 600-cells $\{3,3,5\}$ :
one having the coordinates ...[with T being the Golden Ratio]...
the even permutations of ( $\left.+/-\mathrm{T},+/-1,+/-\mathrm{T}^{\wedge}(-1), 0\right)$,
the permutations of $(+/-2,0,0,0)$, and $(+/-1,+/-1,+/-1,+/-1)$
...[ a total of $8 \times(1 / 2) \times 4!+2 \times 4+16 \times 1=96+8+16=120] \ldots$
... while
the other has these same coordinates multiplied by T ...".

One 600-cell represents half of the 240 E8 Root Vector vertices:
56 of D8 vertices =
(12 of D4 +12 of D4) $=24$ vertices from D4xD4 subalgebra of D8 plus
$32=8 \times 4$ vertices from the coset space D8 / D4xD4.
64 of the D8 half-spinor vertices $=32$ ++half-half-spinors +32 --half-half-spinors.

The 600-cell lives in a 3-dim sphere inside 4-dim Space. It is half of the E8 vertices. With respect to the 3-sphere S3 the 120 vertices of the 600-cell look like:

1 - North Pole - Single Point (projected to center of Equatorial Icosidodecahedron)
12 - Arctic Circle - Icosahedron - half of 24 Root Vectors of one of the E8 D4
20 - North Temperate Zone - Dodecahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron
12 - Tropic of Cancer - Icosahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron
30 - Equator - Icosidodecahedron
12 - Tropic of Capricorn - Icosahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron
20 - South Temperate Zone - Dodecahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron
12 - Antarctic Circle - Icosahedron - half of 24 Root Vectors of another E8 D4
1 - South Pole - Single Point (projected to center of Equatorial Icosidodecahedron)
The colors represent E8 Physics Model physical interpretation:
Conformal Gravity Root Vector Gauge Bosons
Fermion Particles
Spacetime position and momentum
Fermion Antiparticles
Standard Model Gauge Bosons
Sadoc and Mosseri in their book "Geometric Frustration" (Cambridge 2006) Fig. A51 illustrate the shell structure of the 120 vertices of a 600-cell:


Fig. A5.1. The $\{3,3,5\}$ polytope. Different flat sections in $S^{3}$ (with one site on top) give the following successive shells; (a) an icosahedral shell formed by the first 12 neighbours, (b) a dodecahedral shell, (c) a second and larger icosahedral shell, (d) an icosidodecahedral shell on the equatorial sphere. Then other shells are symmetrically disposed in the second 'south' hemi-hypersphere, relative to the equatorial sphere (e).

The 30-vertex Icosidodecahedron (e) cannot tile flat 3-dim space. Its dual, the 32 -vertex Rhombic Triacontahedron, is a combination of the 12-vertex Icosahedron (d) and the 20-vertex Dodecahedron (c). It "forms the convex hull of ... orthographic
projection ... using the Golden ratio in the basis vectors ...
 of a 6 -cube to 3 dimensions." (Wikipedia).

## Physical Interpretation of

1 - North Pole - Single Point (projected to center of Equatorial Icosidodecahedron) 30 - Equator - Icosidodecahedron
1 - South Pole - Single Point (projected to center of Equatorial Icosidodecahedron)
is
8 components of 8 -dim Kaluza-Klein M4xCP2 Spacetime Position times
4 components of 4-dim M4 Physical Spacetime Momentum


There are 64-32 = 32 of the 240 E8 in the half of E8 that did not go to the 600-cell. They correspond to 8 components of Position x 4 components of momentum in CP2. Since the CP2 Internal Symmetry Space is the small compactified part of M4xCP2 momentum in CP2 is substantially irrelevant to our 3-dim space M4 world.

The 30 Icosidodecahedron vertices are at pairwise intersections of 6 Great Circle Decagons. Let each Great Circle represent a generator of a spacetime translation. Then the Icosidodecahedron represents a 6-dim Spin $(2,4)$ Conformal spacetime that acts conformally on 4-dim M4 Minkowski Physical Spacetime that lives inside 8-dim Kaluza-Klein M4xCP2 Spacetime (where CP2 $=\mathrm{SU}(3) / \mathrm{U}(2)$ ). Physically the 6 Great Circles of the Icosidodecahedron show that the 10 -dim space of 26 -dim String Theory of Strings as World-Lines reduces to 6-dim Conformal Physical Spacetime plus 4-dim CP2 Internal Symmetry Space.

The 32-vertex Rhombic Triacontahedron does not itself tile 3-dim space but it is important in 3-dim QuasiCrystal tiling.
Mackay (J. Mic. 146 (1987) 233-243) said "... the basic cluster, to be observed everywhere in the three-dimensional ... Penrose ... tiling ...[is]... a rhombic triacontahedron (RTH) ... The 3-D tiling can be regarded as an assembly of such RTH, party overlapping
a rhombic triacontahedron (RTH) ... can be deformed to ... a truncated octahedron ... [which is] the space-filling polyhedron for body-centered cubic close packing ...


By a similar process ... a cuboctahedr[on]... can be deformed to an icosahedron ...".
In the latter process, the Jitterbug, sets of points on the edges of an Octahedron correspond to the vertices of the Truncated Octahedron (1/3 and 2/3), a pair of Icosahedra (Golden Ratio Points), and the Cuboctahedron (Mid-Point).

but the Rhombic Triacontahedron deformation process involves moving its vertices somewhat off the exact edges of the Octahedron and in adding to the 24 vertices of the Truncated Octahedron 8 more vertices corresponding to centers of its hexagonal faces and making 3 rhombohedral faces from each of its hexagonal faces.

Since the 3-dim space itself is due to the Icosidodecahedron Spacetime, construction of a 3-dim space version of the E8 Physics Model does not require tiling of 3 -dim space by Icosidodecahedra (it would be redundant and inconsistent to tile space with space) but
it is useful to consider tiling 3-dim space with the fermion particles and gauge bosons that are actors on the stage of space, and they correspond to Rhombic Triacontahedra, and
there are two ways to look at tiling 3-dim space by Rhombic Triacontahedra:
1 - Make a 3-dim QuasiCrystal of Rhombic Triacontaheda, partly overlapping, as suggested by Mackay (J. Mic. 146 (1987) 233-243).

2 - Deform the Rhombic Triacontahedra to Truncated Octahedra and tile 3-space with the Truncated Octahedra.

Whichever way is chosen, the first step is to describe the physical interpretation of the Rhombic Triacontahedra, beginning with

## 20 - North Temperate Zone - Dodecahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron <br> 12 - Tropic of Cancer - Icosahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron and <br> 12 - Tropic of Capricorn - Icosahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron 20 - South Temperate Zone - Dodecahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron

which are interpreted as fermion particles and fermion antiparticles, respectively.
Since fermion particles are inherently Left-Handed and fermion antiparticles are inherently Right-Handed, the Rhombic Triacontahedra representing each should be constructed correspondingly and
units of the 3 -space tiling should contain a superposition of both Left and Right RTH, as well as third RTH with no handedness to describe Gravity and the Standard Model.

The basic building blocks of a Rhombic Triacontahedron (a/k/a Kepler Ball) are

two golden rhombohedra (sharp "S" and flat "F") , using 10 of each.

## Construction of Left-Handed and Right-Handed Rhombic Triacontahedra

 is described by Michael S. Longuet-Higgins in " Nested Triacontahedral Shells Or How to Grow a Quasi-crystal" (Mathematical Intelligencer 25 (Spring 2003) 25-43): "... start with a flat rhombohedron, placing on it three sharp rhombohedra in a left-handed symmetric way and building up the rest of the ball maintaining always a three-fold axis of rotational symmetry ...
... (We could also start with right-handed symmetry, producing the mirror image.) ...".

## Physical Interpretation of

20 - North Temperate Zone - Dodecahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron 12 - Tropic of Cancer - Icosahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron
is
8 fundamental first-generation fermion particles
times
4 covariant components of 4-dim M4 Physical Spacetime Momentum


Left-Handed Rhombic Triacontahedron Kepler Ball.

As to which vertices correspond to which Fermion Particles or Antiparticles the Truncated Octahedron point of view with 6 sets of 4 vertices for quarks and 2 sets of 4 hexagon-centers for leptons, showing the 4 covariant components with respect to M4 Physical Spacetime for each Fermion, is useful:


0

blue down quark;
(orange, magenta, cyan, black are used for blue, green, red up quarks and electron)

## Physical Interpretation of

12 - Tropic of Capricorn - Icosahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron
20 - South Temperate Zone - Dodecahedron part of Rhombic Triacontahedron
is
8 fundamental first-generation fermion antiparticles
times
4 covariant components of 4-dim M4 Physical Spacetime Momentum


Right-Handed Rhombic Triacontahedron Kepler Ball.

Physical interpretation of the Rhombic Triacontahedra also includes
12 - Arctic Circle - Icosahedron - half of 24 Root Vectors of one of the E8 D4 and
12 - Antarctic Circle - Icosahedron - half of 24 Root Vectors of another E8 D4
which are interpreted as Gauge Bosons for Gravity and the Standard Model, respectively.


Rhombic Triacontahedron Kepler Ball with no handedness.
12 of the 20 3-edge vertices are 12 D4 Root Vectors for the Standard Model that combine with 4 of the 8 E8 Cartan SubAlgebra generators to form $12+4=16-\operatorname{dim} U(4)$ that contains the Batakis Color Force $S U(3)$ that gives the Standard Model through CP2 = SU(3)/U(1)xSU(2).
The 20-12 = 8 3-edge vertices that are not used correspond to the centers of the hexagonal faces of the Truncated Octahedron related to the Kepler Ball.

125 -edge vertices are 12 D4 Root Vectors for Conformal Gravity that combine with 4 of the 8 E8 Cartan SubAlgebra generators to form $12+4=16-\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}(2,2)=\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{SU}(2,2)$ where $\mathrm{SU}(2,2)=\operatorname{Spin}(2,4)$
The Conformal Lie Algebra $\operatorname{SU}(2,2)=\operatorname{Spin}(2,4)$ has 15 dimensions, and Longuet-Higgins (Mathematical Intelligencer 25 (Spring 2003) 25-43) says "... a Kepler Ball may be thought of as a can of 15 worms ... with 3 worms passing through the centre of each rhombohedron
define a worm as a line drawn from the center of one face w1 of a Kepler Ball to the center of the opposite face $w 2$ of the corresponding golden rhombohedron; then from w2 to the opposite face w3 of the adjacent rhomobohedron, and so on, ending at the face wn of the Kepler Ball opposite to w1. Thus a Kepler Ball may be thought of as a can of 15 worms, with 3 worms passing through the centre of each rhombohedron. The two ends of the worm lie on two opposite faces of the Ball. ... all of ... the worms ... will ... pass through two F's and two S's. ...".

Compare the 15 worms based on faces of the Kepler Ball Rhombic Triacontahedron with the 30 -vertex structure of its dual the Icosidodecahedron

whose physical interpretation is Spacetime. As the 6 Great Circle Decagons of the Icosidodecahedron represent 6-dim Conformal Physical Space and as the 15 worms represent the 15 antipodal pairs of the 30 Icosidodecahdron vertices and as each antipodal pair of vertices corresponds to a pair of Great Circle Decagons the 15 worms represent the 15 generators of the Conformal Group Spin $(2,4)=\operatorname{SU}(2,2)$.

## 3-space tiled by Deformation or QuasiCrystal

For tiling of 3-space the basic Rhombic Triacontahedra Kepler Ball should contain all 3:


Left-Handed for Fermion Particles, Right-Handed for Fermion Antiparticles, and no handedness for Gauge Bosons of Gravity and the Standard Model.

To construct such a 3-type Rhombic Triacontahedron Kepler Ball: Start with a Left-Handed Kepler Ball for Fermion Particles and denote it by K(1). Then, using $\mathrm{K}(1)$ as a nucleus, construct a $\mathrm{K}(2)$ Kepler Ball by adding to the $\mathrm{K}(1)$
sharp "S"

and flat "F" golden rhombohedra with dihedral angles $2 \mathrm{pi} / 5$ or $3 \mathrm{pi} / 5$ for S and pi/5 or $4 \mathrm{pi} / 5$ for F as described in " Nested Triacontahedral Shells Or How to Grow a Quasi-crystal" by Michael S. Longuet-Higgins (Mathematical Intelligencer 25 (Spring 2003) 25-43): "... To construct a K(2) ... label the thirty faces of a K(1) as follows: call the five faces surrounding a given pentagonal vertex A's; the five adjoining faces B's; the next ten adjoining faces (which are all parallell to the pentagonal axis) C's; the next five D's; and the last five E's.
Taking the $\mathrm{K}(1)$, leave the A-faces bare and lay one F on each B-face. Next lay an S on each of the C-faces. Proceeding cheirally ... we ... arrive ... at a K(2) ...

[ I have added purple and orange indicators for $\mathrm{K}(2)$ vertices representing some of the Root Vectors of $\mathrm{U}(2,2)$ for Conformal Gravity and of $\mathrm{U}(4)$ for the Standard Model. ] The view from the opposite end is similar ... there is a second $\mathrm{K}(1)$, coaxial with the first, along the pentagonal axis ...".
$K(1)$ is for Fermion Particles, second $K(1)$ is for Fermion Antiparticles, and $\mathrm{K}(2)$ is for Gauge Bosons of Gravity and the Standard Model.
K(2), containing Particle-Antiparticle Pairs, is the Basic Tiling Kepler Ball.

As remarked earlier,
there are two ways to look at tiling 3-dim space by Rhombic Triacontahedra:
1 - Make a 3-dim QuasiCrystal of Rhombic Triacontaheda, partly overlapping, as suggested by Mackay (J. Mic. 146 (1987) 233-243).

2 - Deform the Rhombic Triacontahedra to Truncated Octahedra and tile 3-space with the Truncated Octahedra.

## 1 - Make a 3-dim QuasiCrystal of Rhombic Triacontaheda, partly overlapping, as suggested by Mackay (J. Mic. 146 (1987) 233-243).

Start with the Basic Tiling Kepler Ball $\mathrm{K}(2)$ containing a Particle-Antiparticle pair of $\mathrm{K}(1) \mathrm{s}$


Then adding to the $\mathrm{K}(2)$ sharp " S "
 and flat "F" golden rhombohedra construct a larger Rhombic Triacontahedron Kepler Ball K(3). Continue the process, adding to each K(n) sharp "S" and flat "F" golden rhombohedra to form K(n+1). There are a number of ways to do that. One that I like is described in " Nested Triacontahedral Shells Or How to Grow a Quasi-crystal" by Michael S. Longuet-Higgins (Mathematical Intelligencer 25 (Spring 2003) 25-43): "... in general ... it is possible ...to derive a Kepler Ball $K(n+1)$ of side $n+1$ from a K(n) ... Define a carpet of rhombohedra as an ( $\mathrm{n} \times \mathrm{nx} 1$ ) array of golden rhombohedra (of the same kind), covering an $n \times n$ rhombic face such as $b(n)$, for example.
All the rhombohedra are oriented identically.
A fringe is an ( $\mathrm{n} \times 1 \times 1$ ) array, oriented similarly, adjoining the "edge" of two different arrays, and a tassel is a single cell, i.e., a ( $1 \times 1 \times 1$ ) array at the join or extension of two or more fringes. ...
(1) Leave the $a(n)$-faces bare, and cover each of the $b(n)$-faces with a carpet of F's.
(2) Complete the $a(n+1)$ 's with three fringes of F's and
lay a carpet of S's on each of the c(n)-faces.
(3) Turn the emodel over. Lay a carpet of S's on each of the d(n)-faces.
(4) Lay a carpet of F's cheirally on each e(n)-face and
a carpet of S's on each $f(n)$-face, with a cheiral fringe of S's.
(5) Lay a second carpet of F's, cheirally, on each of the carpets coveriing the e(n)-faces.
(6) Lay a carpet of F's on each of the f(n)-faces, and
fill in with fringes of F's and a tassel in the centre.
The latter will be the start of a coaxial [ $\left.K^{*}(1)\right]$.
(7) Cover the upper surface cheirally with a layer of F's,
leaving three zigzag canyons meeting at the centre.
(8) Fill in the canyons with F's and S's.
(9) Cover the F's with a layer of S's.
(10) Complete the [d(n)-face] with a carpet of F's. (This also completes the [e(n)-faces].)
(11) Add F's to complete the $K(n+1)$.
... the outer shell is [not] cheiral
the whole $K(n+1)$ is covered by a layer of rhombohedra no more than four deep ...
[such a] construction of $K(3)$ from $K(2)$...[produces]...

... in many respects the particular arrangements described here are not unique.
For example, in places where a triacontahedron occurs locally, ...[it]... may be replaced by a ... [triacontahedron of a different type] ...

> the method of assembly ...
> does not require the existence of such long-range forces as would be needed to assemble an Ammann tiling
$\qquad$
As Mackay (J. Mic. 146 (1987) 233-243) said "... the basic cluster, to be observed everywhere in the three-dimensional ... Penrose ... tiling ...[is]... a rhombic triacontahedron (RTH) ...
The 3-D tiling can be regarded as an assembly of such RTH, party overlapping ...".

## 2 - Deform the Rhombic Triacontahedra to Truncated Octahedra and tile 3-space with the Truncated Octahedra

Mackay (J. Mic. 146 (1987) 233-243) said "...a rhombic triacontahedron (RTH) ... can be deformed to ... a truncated octahedron ...
[which is] the space-filling polyhedron for body-centered cubic close packing ...". Such a lattice of Truncated Octahedra (image from realwireless)

can form the basis for the spatial part of a 4-dim Feynman Checkerboard representation of the E8 Physics Model, with the Feynman Checkerboard Rules being related to the 256 Cellular Automata corresponding to the 256 elements of the $\mathrm{CI}(8)$ Clifford Algebra of the E8 Physics Model


## Appendix - E8 Lattices

E8 Lattices are based on Octonions, which have 480 different multiplication products. E8 Lattices can be combined to form 24-dimensional Leech Lattices and 26-dimensional Bosonic String Theory, which describes E8 Physics when the strings are physically interpreted as World-Lines. A basic String Theory Cell has as its automorphism group the Monster Group whose order is $2^{\wedge} 46.3^{\wedge} 20.5^{\wedge} 9.7^{\wedge} 6.11^{\wedge} 2.13^{\wedge} 3.17 .19 .23 .29 .31 .41 .47 .59 .71=$ about $8 \times 10^{\wedge} 53$. For more about the Leech Lattice and the Monster and E8 Physics, see viXra 1210.0072 and 1108.0027.

E8 Root systems and lattices are discussed by Robert A. Wilson in his 2009 paper "Octonions and the Leech lattice":
"... The (real) octonion algebra is an 8-dimensional (non-division) algebra with an orthonomal basis $\{1=\mathrm{ioo}, \mathrm{i} 0$, i1 , i2 , i3, i4, i5 , i6 \} labeled by the projective line $\operatorname{PL}(7)=\{00\}$ u F7

The E8 root system embeds in this algebra ... take the 240 roots to be ...
112 octonions ... +/- it +/- iu for any distinct t,u
... and ...
128 octonions (1/2)( +/- 1 +/- i0 +/- ... +/- i6 ) ...[with]... an odd number of minus signs.
Denote by $L$ the lattice spanned by these 240 octonions
Let $s=(1 / 2)(-1+i 0+\ldots+i 6)$ so $s$ is in $L \ldots$ write $R$ for Lbar $\ldots$
$(1 / 2)(1+i 0) L=(1 / 2) R(1+i 0)$ is closed under multiplication ... Denote this ...by $A$
$\ldots$ Writing $B=(1 / 2)(1+i 0) A(1+i 0)$...from ... Moufang laws ... we have
$L R=2 B$, and $\ldots B L=L$ and $R B=R \ldots[$ also $] \ldots 2 B=L$ sbar

## the roots of $B$ are

[ 16 octonions ]... +/- it for t in $\mathrm{PL}(7)$
... together with
[ 112 octonions ]... (1/2) ( +/-1 +/- it +/- $i(t+1)+/-i(t+3))$...for tin F7
... and ...
[ 112 octonions ]... (1/2) ( +/- $i(t+2)+/-i(t+4)+/-i(t+5)+/-i(t+6))$...for $t$ in F7
B is not closed under multiplication ... Kirmse's mistake
...[ but ]... as Coxeter ... pointed out ...
$\ldots$ there are seven non-associative rings $A t=(1 / 2)(1+i t) B(1+i t)$, obtained from B by swapping 1 with it ... for t in F7
$L R=2 B$ and $B L=L \ldots[$ which $] ..$ appear[s] not to have been noticed before ... some work ... by Geoffrey Dixon ...".

Geoffrey Dixon says in his book＂Division Algebras，Lattices，Physics，Windmill Tilting＂ using notation $\{\mathrm{e} 0, \mathrm{e} 1, \mathrm{e} 2, \mathrm{e} 3, \mathrm{e} 4, \mathrm{e} 5, \mathrm{e} 6, \mathrm{e} 7\}$ for the Octonion basis elements that Robert A．Wilson denotes by $\{1=\mathrm{ioo}, \mathrm{i} 0, \mathrm{i} 1, \mathrm{i} 2, \mathrm{i} 3, \mathrm{i} 4, \mathrm{i} 5, \mathrm{i} 6\}$ and I sometimes denote by $\{1, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{ie}, \mathrm{je}, \mathrm{ke}\}$ ：＂．．．

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Xi_{0}= & \left\{ \pm e_{a}\right\}, \\
\Xi_{2}= & \left\{\left( \pm e_{a} \pm e_{b} \pm e_{c} \pm e_{d}\right) / 2: a, b, c, d \text { distinct, },\right. \\
& \left.e_{a}\left(e_{b}\left(e_{c} e_{d}\right)\right)= \pm 1\right\}, \\
\Xi^{\text {even }}= & \Xi_{0} \cup \Xi_{2}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{8}^{\text {even }}= & \operatorname{span}\left\{\Xi^{\text {even }}\right\}, \\
\Xi_{1}= & \left\{\left( \pm e_{a} \pm e_{b}\right) / \sqrt{2}: a, b \text { distinct }\right\}, \\
\Xi_{3}= & \left\{\left(\sum_{a=0}^{7} \pm e_{a}\right) / \sqrt{8}: \text { even number of }+ \text { 's }\right\}, \\
\Xi^{\text {odd }}= & \Xi_{1} \cup \Xi_{3}, \\
\mathcal{E}_{8}^{\text {odd }}= & \operatorname{span}\left\{\Xi^{\text {odd }}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

（spans over integers）
三even has $16+224=240$ elements ．．．三odd has $112+128=240$ elements ．．．
E8even does not close with respect to our given octonion multiplication ．．．［but］．．．
the set 三even［0－a］，derived from Eeven by replacing each occurrence of e0 ．．．with ea， and vice versa，is multiplicatively closed．．．．＂．

Geoffrey Dixon＇s Eeven corresponds to Wilson＇s B which I denote as 1E8．
Geoffrey Dixon＇s Eeven［0－a］correspond to Wilson＇s seven At which I denote as iE8，jE8，kE8，eE8，ieE8，jeE8，keE8．

Geoffrey Dixon＇s 三odd corresponds to Wilson＇s L．
My view is that the E8 domains $1 E 8=$ Eeven $=B$ is fundamental because
E8 domains iE8，jE8，kE8，eE8，ieE8，jeE8，keE＝Eeven［0－a］are derived from 1E8 and $L$ and $L s$ are also derived from $1 E 8=$ Eeven $=B$ ．

Using the notation $\{1, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{ie}, \mathrm{je}, \mathrm{ke}\}$ for Octonion basis notice that in E8 Physics introduction of Quaternionic substructure to produce (4+4)-dim M4 x CP2 Kaluza-Klein SpaceTime requires breaking Octonionic light-cone elements ( +/- $1+/-\mathrm{i}+/-\mathrm{j}+/-\mathrm{k}+/-\mathrm{e}+/-\mathrm{ie}+/-\mathrm{je}+/-\mathrm{ke}) / 2$
into Quaternionic 4-term forms like ( +/- A +/- B +/- C +/- D ) / 2.
To do that, consider that there are $(814)=70$ ways to choose 4 -term subsets of the 8 Octonionic basis element terms. Using all of them produces 224 4-term subsets in each of the 7 Octonion Imaginary E8 lattices iE8,jE8,kE8,eE8,ieE8,jeE8,keE8 each of which also has 16 1-term first-shell vertices.

56 of the 70 4-term subsets appear as 8 in each of the 7 Octonion Imaginary E8 lattices.
The other $70-56=144$-term subsets occur in sets of 3 among $7 \times 6=424$-term subsets as indicated in the following detailed list of the 7 Octonion Imaginary E8 lattices:

## eE8:

| 112 of D8 Root Vectors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 appear in all 7 of iE8,jE8,kE8, eE8,ieE8,jeE8, keE8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k, \pm e, \pm i e, \pm j e, \pm k e$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96 appear in 3 of iE8, jE8, kE8, eE8, ieE8,jeE8, keE8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ( $\pm 1$ | $\pm \mathrm{ke} \pm \mathrm{e} \pm \mathrm{k}) / 2$ | ( $\pm \mathrm{i} \pm \mathrm{j}$ | $\pm i e \pm j e) / 2$ | kE8 | , eE8 | , keE8 |
| ( $\pm 1$ | $\pm j e \pm j \pm e) / 2$ | ( $\pm$ ie $\pm \mathrm{k}$ | $\pm \mathrm{k}$ +i )/2 | jE8 | , eE8 | , jeE8 |
| ( $\pm 1$ | $\pm e \quad \pm i e \pm i) / 2$ | ( $\pm \mathrm{ke} \pm \mathrm{k}$ | $\pm j e \pm j) / 2$ | iE8 | , eE8 | , ieE8 |

128 of D8 half-spinors appear only in eE8
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm i e \pm j e \pm k e) / 2 \quad( \pm e \quad \pm i \quad \pm j \pm k) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm k \quad \pm i \quad \pm j e) / 2 \quad( \pm j \quad \pm i e \pm k e \pm e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm i \quad \pm k e \pm j) / 2 \quad( \pm k \quad \pm j e \pm e \quad \pm i e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm j \quad \pm \mathrm{k} \pm i e) / 2 \quad( \pm j e \pm e \quad \pm \mathrm{i} \quad \pm \mathrm{ke}) / 2$

## iE8:

```
112 of D8 Root Vectors
16 appear in all 7 of iE8,jE8,kE8,eE8,ieE8,jeE8,keE8
\pm1, \pmi, \pmj, \pmk, \pme, \pmie, \pmje, \pmke
96 appear in 3 of iE8,jE8,kE8,eE8,ieE8,jeE8,keE8
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ( \(\pm 1\) & \(\pm\) ie & \(\pm i\) & \(\pm\) e & )/2 & \(( \pm\) j & \(\pm \mathrm{k}\) & \(\pm\) je & \(\pm \mathrm{ke}) / 2\) & iE8 & & eE8 & & ieE8 \\
\hline \(( \pm 1\) & \(\pm\) ke & \(\pm\) je & \(\pm \mathrm{i}\) & )/2 & \(( \pm\) j & \(\pm \mathrm{k}\) & \(\pm\) e & \(\pm i e) / 2\) & iE8 & & jeE8 & & keE8 \\
\hline ( \(\pm 1\) & \(\pm i\) & \(\pm \mathrm{k}\) & \(\pm j\) & )/2 & ( \(\pm\) e & \(\pm i e\) & \(\pm j e\) & \(\pm \mathrm{ke}) / 2\) & iE8 & & jE8 & & kE8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

128 of D8 half-spinors appear only in iE8
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm k \quad \pm k e \pm i e) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm j \pm e \quad \pm j e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm e \pm j \pm k e) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm k \pm i e \pm j e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm j \pm i e \pm j e) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm k \pm e \quad \pm k e) / 2$
( $\pm 1 \quad \pm j e \pm e ~ \pm k) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm j \pm i e \pm k e) / 2$

## jE8:

```
112 of D8 Root Vectors
16 appear in all 7 of iE8,jE8,kE8,eE8,ieE8,jeE8,keE8
\pm1, \pmi, \pmj, \pmk, \pme, \pmie, \pmje, \pmke
96 appear in 3 of iE8,jE8,kE8,eE8,ieE8,jeE8,keE8
```


128 of D8 half-spinors appear only in jE8
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm e \pm i e \pm k) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm j \pm j e \pm k e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm i \quad \pm j e \pm i e) / 2 \quad( \pm j \pm k \pm e \quad \pm k e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm j e \pm k \pm k e) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm j \pm e \pm i e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \pm k e \pm i \quad \pm e) / 2 \quad( \pm j \pm k \quad \pm i e \pm j e) / 2$

## kE8:

112 of D8 Root Vectors
16 appear in all 7 of iE8,jE8,kE8,eE8,ieE8,jeE8,keE8
$\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k, \pm e, \pm i e, \pm j e, \pm k e$
96 appear in 3 of iE8,jE8, kE8,eE8,ieE8,jeE8,keE8


128 of D8 half-spinors appear only in kE8
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm k e \pm j \pm j e) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm k \quad \pm e \quad \pm i e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm i e \pm e \quad \pm j) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm k \quad \pm j e \pm k e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm e \quad \pm j e \pm i) / 2 \quad( \pm j \quad \pm k \quad \pm i e \pm k e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm i \quad \pm i e \pm k e) / 2 \quad( \pm j \pm k \pm e \quad \pm j e) / 2$

## ieE8:


128 of D8 half-spinors appear only in ieE8
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm j e \pm i \quad \pm j) / 2 \quad( \pm k \quad \pm e \quad \pm i e \pm k e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm k e \pm k \quad \pm i) / 2 \quad( \pm j \quad \pm e \quad \pm i e \pm j e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm k \quad \pm j \pm e) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm i e \pm j e \pm k e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm e \quad \pm k e \pm j e) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm j \pm k \quad \pm i e) / 2$

## jeE8:

```
112 of D8 Root Vectors
16 appear in all 7 of iE8,jE8,kE8,eE8,ieE8,jeE8,keE8
\pm1, \pmi, \pmj, \pmk, \pme, \pmie, \pmje, \pmke
96 appear in 3 of iE8,jE8,kE8,eE8,ieE8,jeE8,keE8
```


128 of D8 half-spinors appear only in jeE8
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm i \quad \pm k \quad \pm e) / 2 \quad( \pm j \quad \pm i e \pm j e \pm k e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm j \pm k e \pm k) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm e \quad \pm i e \pm j e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm k e \pm e \quad \pm i e) / 2 \quad( \pm i \quad \pm j \quad \pm k \quad \pm j e) / 2$
$( \pm 1 \quad \pm i e \pm j \pm i) / 2 \quad( \pm k \quad \pm e \pm j e \pm k e) / 2$

## keE8:

112 of D8 Root Vectors
16 appear in all 7 of iE8,jE8,kE8,eE8,ieE8,jeE8,keE8
$\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k, \pm e, \pm i e, \pm j e, \pm k e$
96 appear in 3 of iE8,jE8, kE8,eE8,ieE8,jeE8, keE8

| ( $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ ke | $\pm$ je)/2 | ( | $\pm \mathrm{k}$ | $\pm$ e | $\pm$ ie)/2 | iE8 |  | jeE8 |  | keE8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( $\pm$ | $\pm$ e | $\pm \mathrm{k}$ | $\pm \mathrm{ke}$ )/2 | $( \pm$ i | $\pm j$ | $\pm$ ie | $\pm$ je)/2 | kE8 |  | eE8 |  | keE8 |
| ( $\pm$ | $\pm \mathrm{ke}$ | $\pm j$ | $\pm$ ie)/2 | ( $\pm$ i | $\pm \mathrm{k}$ | $\pm$ e | $\pm j e) / 2$ | jE8 |  | ieE8 |  | keE8 |



Coxeter said in "Integral Cayley Numbers" (Duke Math. J. 13 (1946) 561-578 and in "Regular and Semi-Regular Polytopes III" (Math. Z. 200 (1988) 3-45):
"... the 240 integral Cayley numbers of norm1 ... are the vertices of 4_21


The polytope 4_21 ... has cells of two kinds ...
a seven-dimensional "cross polytope" (or octahedron-analogue) B_7
... there are ... 2160 B_7's ...
and ...
a seven-dimensional regular simplex $\mathrm{A} \_7$
... there are 17280 A_7's
the 2160 integral Cayley numbers of norm 2 are the centers of the 2160 B_7's of a 4_21 of edge 2
the 17280 integral Cayley numbers of norm 4 (other than the doubles of those of norm 1) are the centers of the 17280 A_7's of a 4_21 of edge 8/3 ...
[ Using notation of $\{a 1, a 2, a 3, a 4, a 5, a 6, a 7, a 8\}$ for Octonion basis elements we have ]

## norm 1

112 like ( +/- a1 +/- a2 )
[which correspond to $112=16+96=16+6 \times 16$ in each of the 7 E8 lattices]
128 like (1/2) ( $-\mathrm{a} 1+\mathrm{a} 2+\mathrm{a} 3+\ldots+\mathrm{a} 8)$ with an odd number of minus signs [which correspond to $128=8 \times 16$ in each of the 7 E8 lattices]


## norm 2

16 like +/- 2 a1
[which correspond to 16 fo the 112 in each of the 7 E8 lattices]
1120 like +/- a1 +/- a2 +/- a3 +/- a4
[which correspond to $70 \times 16=(56+14) \times 16$ that appear in the 7 E8 lattices
with each of the 14 appearing in three of the 7 E8 lattices so that the 14 account for (14/7) x3x16 $=6 \times 16=96$ in each of the 7 E8 lattices and for $14 \times 16=224$ of the 1120
and
with each of the 56 appearing in only one of the 7 E8 lattices so that the 56 account for $(56 / 7) \times 16=128$ in each of the 7 E8 lattices and for $56 \times 16=896=7 \times 128$ of the 1120 ]

1024 like (1/2)( $3 \mathrm{a} 1+3 \mathrm{a} 2+\mathrm{a} 3+\mathrm{a} 4+\ldots+\mathrm{a} 8)$ with an even number of minus signs [which correspond to $8 \times 128=8$ copies of the 128-dim Mirror D8 half-spinors that are not used in the 7 E8 lattices. ...] ...".

One of the 128-dimensional Mirror D8 half-spinors from the 1024 combines with
the 128 from the 1120 corresponding to the one of the 7 E8 lattices that corresponds to the central norm $1240=112+128$
and
the result is formation of a $128+128=256$ corresponding to the Clifford Algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(8)$ so that
the norm 2 second layer contains 7 copies of 256 -dimensional $\mathrm{Cl}(8)$
so the 2160 norm 2 vertices can be seen as

$$
7(128+128)+128+16+224=2160 \text { vertices. }
$$

$7 \times 128$ from the 1120 are the D8 half-spinor vertices of iE8, jE8, kE8, eE8, ieE8, jeE8, keE8


128


7x128 from the 1024 are Mirror D8 half-spinors that are not vertices of the 7 Imaginary E8 lattices iE8, jE8, kE8, eE8, ieE8, jeE8, keE8.
The 8th 128 is a Mirror D8 half-spinor, also not in the 7 Imaginary E8 lattices.

keE8
128

ieE8
128

kE8
128

iE8
128


corresponds to

## Each of the 7 pairs of 128

?

so that the 2160 second layer contains 7 sets of 256 vertices with each set corresponding to the $\mathrm{Cl}(8)$ Clifford Algebra and to the 256 vertices of an 8-dimensional light-cone ( +/- $1+/-\mathrm{i}+/-\mathrm{j}+/-\mathrm{k}+/-\mathrm{e}+/-\mathrm{ie}+/-\mathrm{je}+/-\mathrm{ke}$ ) / 2

The 256 vertices of each pair 128+128 form an 8 -cube with 1024 edges, 1792 square faces, 1792 cubic cells, 1120 tesseract 4 -faces, 4485 -cube 5 -faces, 1126 -cube 6faces, and 16 7-cube 7-faces. The image format of African Adinkra for 256 Odu of IFA

shows $\mathrm{Cl}(8)$ graded structure $1+8+28+56+70+56+28+8+1$ of 8 -cube vertices. Physically they represent Operators in $\mathbf{H} 92$ x SI(8) Generalized Heisenberg Algebra that is the Maximal Contraction of E8:

Odd-Grade Parts of $\mathrm{Cl}(8)=$
= 128 D8 half-spinors of one of iE8, jE8, kE8, eE8, ieE8, jeE8, keE8
$8+56$ grades-1,3 = Fermion Particle 8-Component Creation (AntiParticle Annihilation) $56+8$ grades-5,7 = Fermion AntiParticle 8-Component Creation (Particle Annihilation)

Even-Grade Subalgebra of $\mathrm{Cl}(8)=128$ Mirror D8 half-spinors $=$ 28 grade-2 = Gauge Boson Creation (16 for Gravity, 12 for Standard Model) 28 grade-6 = Gauge Boson Annihilation (16 for Gravity , 12 for Standard Model)
(each $28=24$ Root Vectors +4 of Cartan Subalgebra) 64 of grade-4 = 8-dim Position x Momentum $1+(3+3)+1$ grades-0,4,8 = Primitive Idempotent:
$(1+3)=$ Higgs Creation; $(3+1)=$ Higgs Annihilation
$=112$ D8 Root Vectors +8 of E8 Cartan Subalgebra +8 Higgs Operators

8 of E8 Cartan Subalgebra + 8 Higgs Operators = 2 copies of 4-dim 16-cell
( images from Bathsheba )


The 16-cell has 24 edges, midpoints of which are the 24 vertices of a 24 -cell. The 24 -cell has 96 edges, Golden Ratio points of which when added to its 24 vertices, form the $96+24=120$ vertices of a 600-cell.

128 vertices of the D8 half-spinors + 112 vertices of D8 Root Vectors $=240=$ $=2$ copies of 4 -dim \{3,3,5\} 600-cell (images from Bathsheba )


Each 600-cell lives inside a 16-cell.
So, the 256 vertices of $\mathbf{C l}(8)$
(which represents Creation/Annihilation Operators in the Generalized Heisenberg Algebra $\mathrm{H} 92 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{SI}(8)$ that is the Maximal Contraction of E8) contain
dual 16-cell structure of E8 Cartan Subalgebra $+\mathrm{Cl}(8)$ Primitive Idempotent Higgs as well as
the dual 600-cell structure of the 240 E8 Root Vector vertices

The 128 Mirror D8 half-spinors correspond to $16+112$ of the $\mathbf{1 6 + 2 2 4}$.
That corresondence between
fermionic 128 D8 half-spinors and bosonic 112 D8 adjoint bivectors is made possible by Triality.

The $16 \mathbf{+ 2 2 4}$ corresponds to an 8th set of $\mathbf{2 4 0}$ Root Vector vertices for an 8th E8 lattice denoted $1 \mathrm{E8}$.
It does not close under the Octonion Product used for the 7 Imaginary E8 lattices ( that is the basis for Kirmse's mistake )
but it does close under another of the 480 Octonion products.
16 live within the 112 D8 adjoint Root Vectors

in all of the 7 E8 lattices iE8, jE8, kE8, eE8, ieE8, jeE8, keE8.
$\mathbf{2 2 4}=7$ sets of 32 with 3 sets of $32=96$ within the 112 D8 adjoint Root Vectors

in the 7 E8 lattices iE8, jE8, kE8, eE8, ieE8, jeE8, keE8.

The 112 D8 Root Vector vertices in iE8, jE8, kE8, eE8, ieE8, jeE8, keE8

$$
(+/-1,+/-1,0,0,0,0,0,0)
$$

for all 4 possible + /- signs times all (812) $=28$ permutations of pairs of basis elements can be written in matrix form with each "4" representing possible signs and with the overall pattern of $(1+2+3)+(4 \times 4)+(3+2+1)$ representing the 28 permutations as

|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{j}$ | $\mathbf{k}$ | e | ie | je | ke |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | - | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| i |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| j |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| k |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| e |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| ie |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |
| je |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| ke |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |

The $4 \times 6=24$ in the (1,i,j,k) $\times(1, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k})$ block corresponding to M4 Physical Spacetime are the Root Vectors of a D4 in D8 in E8 with a U(2,2) subgroup that contains the $\operatorname{SU}(2,2)=\operatorname{Spin}(2,4)$ Conformal Group of Gravity.

The $4 \times 4 \times 4=64$ in the ( $1, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}$ ) $\times$ (e,ie,je,ke) block represents ( $4+4$ )-dim M4 x CP2 Kaluza-Klein Spacetime position and momentum.

The $4 \times 6=24$ in the (e,ie,je,ke) x (e,ie,je,ke) block corresponding to CP2 Internal Symmetry Space are the Root Vectors of another D4 in D8 in E8 with a U(4) subgroup that contains the SU(3) Color Force Group of the Standard Model.
The coset structure CP2 $=\mathrm{SU}(3) / \mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{xU}(2)$ gives the ElectroWeak $\mathrm{U}(1)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(2)$.

In each of the 7 E8 Root Vector sets for iE8, jE8, kE8, eE8, ieE8, jeE8, keE8 64 of the 128 D8 half-spinor vertices represent 8 components of 8 Fermion Particles and
64 of the 128 D8 half-spinor vertices represent 8 components of 8 Fermion AntiParticles where
the 8 fundamental Fermion Particle/AntiParticle types are:
neutrino, red down quark, green down quark, blue down quark; blue up quark, green up quark, red up quark, electron.

The 224 are arranged as

so that each of the sets of 32 connect with 3 of iE8, jE8, kE8, eE8, ieE8, jeE8, keE8 and each of iE8, jE8, kE8, eE8, ieE8, jeE8, keE8 connect with 3 of the sets of 32 .

The 224 combined with the 16 give the 240 of 1E8


The 7(128+128) + 128 + 16 + 224 structure of all 2160 second layer E8 vertices is


A single Planck-scale Seed Fermion will be at a point of an 8 -dim SpaceTime that is a superposition of the 8 E8 Lattices. For each E8 Lattice the point is surrounded by a Witting Polytope ( 240 vertices and 241,920 Tetrahedral 3 -dim cells) made up of two 600 -cells ( 120 vertices each). One 600 -cell contains
1 - North Pole = Single Point (projected to center of Equatorial Icosidodecahedron)
30 - Equator = Icosidodecahedron
1 - South Pole = Single Point (projected to center of Equatorial Icosidodecahedron) with physical interpretation:
8 components of 8 -dim Kaluza-Klein M4xCP2 Spacetime Position times 4 components of 4-dim M4 Physical Spacetime Momentum


There are $64-32=32$ of the 240 E8 in the half of E8 that did not go to the 600 -cell. They correspond to 8 components of Position $x 4$ components of momentum in CP2. Since the CP2 Internal Symmetry Space is the small compactified part of M4xCP2 momentum in CP2 is substantially irrelevant to our 3 -dim space M4 world.

The 30 Icosidodecahedron vertices are at pairwise intersections of 6 Great Circle Decagons. Let each Great Circle represent a generator of a spacetime translation. Then the Icosidodecahedron represents a 6 -dim $\operatorname{Spin}(2,4)$ Conformal spacetime that acts conformally on 4-dim M4 Minkowski Physical Spacetime that lives inside 8-dim Kaluza-Klein M4xCP2 Spacetime (where CP2 = SU(3) / U(2)). Physically the 6 Great Circles of the Icosidodecahedron show that the 10 -dim space of 26 -dim String Theory of Strings as World-Lines reduces to 6-dim Conformal Physical Spacetime plus 4-dim CP2 Internal Symmetry Space. However,
the Icosidodecahdron is not part of a tiling of 3-dim Space
but rather is in QuasiCrystal structures like Cd Yb (de Boissieu Nature Materials 12 (2013) 692-693)


To get a tiling of 3-dim space, go from the 6 -dim Linear Conformal Spacetime indicated by the 6 axes perpendicular to the Icosidodecahedron's 6 decagon circles

to a 4-dim Physical Spacetime based on a 24 -cell with central Cuboctahedron using Jitterbug-like Golden Ratio points on the 24 edges of the Cuboctahedron.

Frans Marcelis says at http://members.home.nl/fg.marcelis/600-cell\ projective.htm
"... the 30 vertices of an icosidodecahedron ... are 24 vertices lying on the edges of a cuboctahedron and ... 6 ... vertices of an octahedron ...".


The Cuboctahedron indicates 4-dim Spacetime by its 4 axes and 4 hexagon circles with Fuller Vector Equilibrium structure that has Jitterbug-type consistent rotation-expansion degrees of freedom that are blocked in the Icosidodecahedron 6-axis system.


The 24-cell (tiles 4-dim space as Quaternionic Integral Domain) is made up of a two Octahedron Slices and a Central Cuboctahedron 3-dim Slice analagous to the Central Icosidodecahedron 3-dim Slice of the 600-cell.


Cuboctahedra and Octahedra together tile flat 3-dim Space and
are related by Jitterbug to Icosahedra that cannot tile flat 3-dim Space but can only make
QuasiCrystals with Phason/Empire Structure with incomplete 3-dim Information.
In 3-dim Space QuasiCrystal Tetrahedral Packing is not quite as dense as is Packing with Chen-Engel-Glotzer Clusters of 4N Tetrahedra (arXiv 1001.0586) with Periodicity analagous to 8-Periodicity of Real Clifford Algebras.

Consider Strings as World-Lines of Particles in Many-Worlds ( vixra 1210.0072) with 8 -dim Spacetime ( $4+4$ Kaluza-Klein) as a D8 Brane that is a superposition of the 7 independent E8 Integral Domain Lattices plus an 8th and consider what happens when a Planck-scale Fermion seed is planted in Spacetime.

## A single Planck-scale Seed Fermion does not remain alone in 8-dim Spacetime because its presence causes <br> all of the 8 E8 Lattices to leave their State of Virtual Superposition and to become Real <br> thus creating 8 particle/antiparticle pairs as neighbors of the Seed Fermion and beginning the formation of its Kerr-Newman Cloud.

Each of the 8 particle/antiparticle pairs corresponds to a Binary Dipole so that the 8 New Neighbor Pairs correspond to the CI(8) Real Clifford Algebra and to a Chen-Engel-Glotzer Cluster of 4 Tetrahedra


They in turn cause more and more $\mathrm{Cl}(8 \mathrm{~N})$ Clifford Algebras and Chen-Engel-Glotzer 4N Tetra Clusters to emerge and join the Cloud


The process terminates due to the exhaustion of the part of the Monster Group (automorphism group of one 26 -dim String World-Line cell modulo the Leech Lattice) related to the Leech Lattice, that is $2^{\wedge}(1+24)$ times the double cover of Co1, producing a $10^{\wedge}(-24) \mathrm{cm}$ Fermion Kerr-Newman Cloud of $10^{\wedge} 27$ particle-antiparticle pairs.

