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#### Abstract

In this article, we will discuss the smooth $\left(X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}\right)$-invariant forms on $M$ and to establish a localization formulas. As an application, we get a localization formulas for characteristic numbers.


The localization theorem for equivariant differential forms was obtained by Berline and Vergne(see [2]). They discuss on the zero points of a Killing vector field. Now, We will discuss on the points about two Killing vector fields and to establish a localization formulas.

Let $M$ be a smooth closed oriented manifold. Let $G$ be a compact Lie group acting smoothly on $M$, and let $\mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra. Let $g^{T M}$ be a $G$-invariant metric on $T M$. If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $X_{M}, Y_{M}$ be the corresponding smooth vector field on $M$. If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, then $X_{M}, Y_{M}$ are Killing vector field. Here we will introduce the equlvariant cohomology by two Killing vector fields.

## 1 Equivariant cohomology by two Killing vector fields

First, let us review the definition of equivariant cohomology by a Killing vector field. Let $\Omega^{*}(M)$ be the space of smooth differetial forms on $M$, the de Rham complex is $\left(\Omega^{*}(M), d\right)$. Let $L_{X_{M}}$ be the Lie derivative of $X_{M}$ on $\Omega^{*}(M), i_{X_{M}}$ be the interior multiplication induced by the contraction of $X_{M}$.

Set

$$
d_{X}=d+i_{X_{M}},
$$

then $d_{X}^{2}=L_{X_{M}}$ by the following Cartan formula

$$
L_{X_{M}}=\left[d, i_{X_{M}}\right] .
$$

Let

$$
\Omega_{X}^{*}(M)=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{*}(M): L_{X_{M}} \omega=0\right\}
$$

be the space of smooth $X_{M}$-invariant forms on $M$. Then $d_{X}^{2} \omega=0$, when $\omega \in \Omega_{X}^{*}(M)$. It is a complex $\left(\Omega_{X}^{*}(M), d_{X}\right)$. The corresponding cohomology group

$$
H_{X}^{*}(M)=\frac{\left.\operatorname{Kerd}_{\mathrm{X}}\right|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{*}(\mathrm{M})}}{\left.\operatorname{Imd}_{\mathrm{X}}\right|_{\Omega_{\mathrm{X}}^{*}(\mathrm{M})}}
$$

is called the equivariant cohomology associated with $X$. If a form $\omega$ has $d_{X} \omega=0$, then $\omega$ called $d_{X}$-closed form.

[^0]Then we will to definite a new complex by two Killing vector field. If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{a}$, let $X_{M}, Y_{M}$ be the corresponding smooth vector field on $M$.

We know

$$
L_{X_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}}
$$

be the operator on $\Omega^{*}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.
Set

$$
i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}} \doteq i_{X_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} i_{Y_{M}}
$$

be the interior multiplication induced by the contraction of $X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}$. It is also a operator on $\Omega^{*}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

Set

$$
d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}=d+i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}} .
$$

Lemma 1. If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $X_{M}, Y_{M}$ be the corresponding smooth vector field on $M$; then

$$
d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}^{2}=L_{X_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(d+i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}\right)^{2} & =\left(d+i_{X_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} i_{Y_{M}}\right)\left(d+i_{X_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} i_{Y_{M}}\right) \\
& =d^{2}+d i_{X_{M}}+i_{X_{M}} d+\sqrt{-1} d i_{Y_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} i_{Y_{M}} d+\left(i_{X_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} i_{Y_{M}}\right)^{2} \\
& =L_{X_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M)=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{*}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}:\left(L_{X_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}}\right) \omega=0\right\}
$$

be the space of smooth $\left(X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}\right)$-invariant forms on $M$. Then we get a complex $\left(\Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M), d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\right)$. We call a form $\omega$ is $d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}$-closed if $d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y} \omega=0$ (this is first discussed by Bimsut, see [3]). The corresponding cohomology group

$$
H_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}^{*}(M)=\frac{\operatorname{Kerd}_{\mathrm{X}+\sqrt{-1 Y}} \mid \Omega_{X+\sqrt{-1 Y}}^{*}(\mathrm{M})}{\left.\operatorname{Imd}_{\mathrm{X}+\sqrt{-1} \mathrm{Y}}\right|_{\Omega_{X+\sqrt{-1}}^{*} \mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{M})}
$$

is called the equivariant cohomology associated with $K$.

## 2 The set of zero points

Lemma 2. If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $X_{M}, Y_{M}$ be the corresponding smooth vector field on $M, X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$ be the 1-form on $M$ which is dual to $X_{M}, Y_{M}$ by the metric $g^{T M}$, then

$$
L_{X_{M}} Y^{\prime}+L_{Y_{M}} X^{\prime}=0
$$

Proof. Because

$$
\left(L_{X_{M}} \omega\right)(Z)=X_{M}(\omega(Z))-\omega\left(\left[X_{M}, Z\right]\right)
$$

here $Z \in \Gamma(T M)$, So we get

$$
\left(L_{X_{M}} Y^{\prime}\right)(Z)=X_{M}<Y_{M}, Z>-<\left[X_{M}, Z\right], Y_{M}>
$$

$$
\left(L_{Y_{M}} X^{\prime}\right)(Z)=Y_{M}<X_{M}, Z>-<\left[Y_{M}, Z\right], X_{M}>.
$$

Because $X_{M}, Y_{M}$ are Killing vector fields, so (see [6])

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{M}<Y_{M}, Z> & =<L_{X_{M}} Y_{M}, Z>+<Y_{M}, L_{X_{M}} Z> \\
& =<\left[X_{M}, Y_{M}\right], Z>+<Y_{M},\left[X_{M}, Z\right]> \\
Y_{M}<X_{M}, Z> & =<L_{Y_{M}} X_{M}, Z>+<X_{M}, L_{Y_{M}} Z> \\
& =<\left[Y_{M}, X_{M}\right], Z>+<X_{M},\left[Y_{M}, Z\right]>
\end{aligned}
$$

then we get

$$
\left(L_{X_{M}} Y^{\prime}+L_{Y_{M}} X^{\prime}\right)(Z)=<\left[X_{M}, Y_{M}\right], Z>+<\left[Y_{M}, X_{M}\right], Z>=0
$$

Lemma 3. If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $X_{M}, Y_{M}$ be the corresponding smooth vector field on $M, X^{\prime}, Y^{\prime}$ be the 1-form on $M$ which is dual to $X_{M}, Y_{M}$ by the metric $g^{T M}$, then

$$
d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)
$$

is the $d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}$-closed form.
Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}^{2}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right) & =d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(d\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)+i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =d i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)+i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}} d\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right) \\
& =L_{X_{M}} X^{\prime}-L_{Y_{M}} Y^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1}\left(L_{X_{M}} Y^{\prime}+L_{Y_{M}} X^{\prime}\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

So $d_{X+\sqrt{-1 Y}}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)$ is the $d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}$-closed form.
Lemma 4. For any $\eta \in H_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}^{*}(M)$ and $s \geq 0$, we have

$$
\int_{M} \eta=\int_{M} \exp \left\{-s\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta
$$

Proof. Because

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_{M} \exp \left\{-s\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta \\
=-\int_{M}\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right) \exp \left\{-s\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta
\end{gathered}
$$

and by assumption we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y} \eta=0 \\
d_{X+\sqrt{ }-1 Y} \exp \left\{-s\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

So we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right) \exp \left\{-s\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta \\
= & d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left[\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right) \exp \left\{-s\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and by Stokes formula we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \int_{M} \exp \left\{-s\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta=0
$$

Then we get

$$
\int_{M} \eta=\int_{M} \exp \left\{-s\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta
$$

We have

$$
d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)=d\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)+\left\langle X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}, X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}\right\rangle
$$

and

$$
\left\langle X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}, X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}\right\rangle=\left|X_{M}\right|^{2}-\left|Y_{M}\right|^{2}+2 \sqrt{-1}\left\langle X_{M}, Y_{M}\right\rangle
$$

Set

$$
M_{0}=\left\{x \in M \mid\left\langle X_{M}(x)+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}(x), X_{M}(x)+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}(x)\right\rangle=0\right\}
$$

For simplicity, we assume that $M_{0}$ is the connected submanifold of $M$, and $\mathcal{N}$ is the normal bundle of $M_{0}$ about $M$. The set $M_{0}$ is first discussed by H.Jacobowitz (see [4]).

## 3 Localization formula on $d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}$-closed form

Set $E$ is a G-equivariant vector bundle, if $\nabla^{E}$ is a connection on $E$ which commutes with the action of $G$ on $\Omega(M, E)$, we see that

$$
\left[\nabla^{E}, L_{X}^{E}\right]=0
$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then we can get a moment map by

$$
\mu^{E}(X)=L_{X}^{E}-\left[\nabla^{E}, i_{X}\right]=L_{X}^{E}-\nabla_{X}^{E}
$$

We known that if $y$ be the tautological section of the bundle $\pi^{*} E$ over E , then the vertical component of $X_{E}$ may be identified with $-\mu^{E}(X) y$ (see [1] proposition 7.6).

If $E$ is the tangent bundle $T M$ and $\nabla^{T M}$ is Levi-Civita connection, then we have

$$
\mu^{T M}(X) Y=L_{X} Y-\nabla_{X}^{T M} Y=-\nabla_{Y}^{T M} X
$$

We known that for any Killing vector field $X, \mu^{T M}(X)$ as linear endomorphisms of $T M$ is skew-symmetric, $-\mu^{T M}(X)$ annihilates the tangent bundle $T M_{0}$ and induces a skewsymmetric automorphism of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}$ (see [5] chapter II, proposition 2.2 and theorem 5.3). The restriction of $\mu^{T M}(X)$ to $\mathcal{N}$ coincides with the moment endomorphism $\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)$.

Let $G_{0}$ be the Lie subgroup of $G$ which preserves the submanifold $M_{0}$, e.g. Let $p \in M_{0}$, $Z \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$, we have $\exp (-t Z) p=q \in M_{0}$, here $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ is the Lie algebra of $G_{0}$. We assume that the local 1-parameter transformations $\exp (-t X), \exp (-t Y) \in G_{0}$. We have that $G_{0}$ acts on the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}$. The vector field $X^{\mathcal{N}}$ and $Y^{\mathcal{N}}$ are vertical and are given at the point $(x, y) \in M_{0} \times \mathcal{N}_{x}$ by the vectors $-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y,-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y \in \mathcal{N}_{x}$.

We construct a one-form $\alpha$ on $\mathcal{N}$ :

$$
Z \in \Gamma(T \mathcal{N}) \rightarrow \alpha(Z)=<-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y, \nabla_{Z}^{\mathcal{N}} y>+\sqrt{-1}<-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y, \nabla_{Z}^{\mathcal{N}} y>
$$

Let $Z_{1}, Z_{2} \in \Gamma(T \mathcal{N})$, we known $d \alpha\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)=Z_{1} \alpha\left(Z_{2}\right)-Z_{2} \alpha\left(Z_{1}\right)-\alpha\left(\left[Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right]\right)$, so:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \alpha\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) & =<-\nabla_{Z_{1}}^{\mathcal{N}} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y, \nabla_{Z_{2}}^{\mathcal{N}} y>-<-\nabla_{Z_{2}}^{\mathcal{N}} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y, \nabla_{Z_{1}}^{\mathcal{N}} y> \\
& +\sqrt{-1}<-\nabla_{Z_{1}}^{\mathcal{N}} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y, \nabla_{Z_{2}}^{\mathcal{N}} y>-\sqrt{-1}<-\nabla_{Z_{2}}^{\mathcal{N}} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y, \nabla_{Z_{1}}^{\mathcal{N}} y> \\
& +<-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y, R^{\mathcal{N}}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) y>+\sqrt{-1}<-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y, R^{\mathcal{N}}\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right) y>
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\nabla^{\mathcal{N}}$ is invariant under $L_{X}$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, so that $\left[\nabla^{\mathcal{N}}, \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)\right]=0,\left[\nabla^{\mathcal{N}}, \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y)\right]=$ 0 . And by $X, Y$ are Killing vector field, we have $d \alpha$ equals

$$
2<-\left(\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y)\right) \cdot, \cdot>+<-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y, R^{\mathcal{N}} y>
$$

And by $\left|X_{\mathcal{N}}\right|^{2}=<\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y, \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y>,\left|Y_{\mathcal{N}}\right|^{2}=<\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y, \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y>$. So We can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{X_{\mathcal{N}}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{\mathcal{N}}}\left(X_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime}\right) & =-2<\left(\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y)\right) \cdot, \cdot> \\
& +<-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y,-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y+R^{\mathcal{N}} y>
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a smooth closed oriented manifold, $G$ be a compact Lie group acting smoothly on $M$. For any $\eta \in H_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}^{*}(M),\left[X_{M}, Y_{M}\right]=0$, let $G_{0}$ be the Lie subgroup of $G$ which preserves the submanifold $M_{0}$ and the local 1-parameter transformations $\exp (-t X), \exp (-t Y) \in G_{0}$, the following identity hold:

$$
\int_{M} \eta=\int_{M_{0}} \frac{\eta}{\operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(\mathrm{X})-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(\mathrm{Y})+\mathrm{R}^{\mathcal{N}}}{2 \pi}\right]}
$$

Proof. Set $s=\frac{1}{2 t}$, so by Lemma 4. we get

$$
\int_{M} \eta=\int_{M} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 t}\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta
$$

Let $V$ is a neighborhood of $M_{0}$ in $\mathcal{N}$. We identify a tubular neighborhood of $M_{0}$ in $M$ with $V$. Set $V^{\prime} \subset V$. When $t \rightarrow 0$, because $\left\langle X_{M}(x)+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}(x), X_{M}(x)+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}(x)\right\rangle \neq 0$ out of $M_{0}$, so we have

$$
\int_{M} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 t}\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta \sim \int_{V^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 t}\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta
$$

Because

$$
\int_{V^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 t}\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta=\int_{V^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 t}\left(d_{X_{\mathcal{N}}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{\mathcal{N}}}\left(X_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{\mathcal{N}}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta
$$

then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{V^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 t}\left(d_{X+\sqrt{-1} Y}\left(X^{\prime}+\sqrt{-1} Y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right\} \eta= \\
\int_{V^{\prime}} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{t}<\left(\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y)\right) \cdot, \cdot>+\frac{1}{2 t}<\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y, R^{\mathcal{N}} y>\right\} \eta \\
+\int_{V^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2 t}<-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y,-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y>\right\} \eta
\end{gathered}
$$

By making the change of variables $y=\sqrt{t} y$, we find that the above formula is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{n} \int_{V^{\prime}} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{t}<\left(\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y)\right) \cdot, \cdot>+\frac{1}{2}<\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y, R^{\mathcal{N}} y>\right\} \eta \\
& \quad+\int_{V^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}<-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y,-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y>\right\} \eta_{\sqrt{t} y}
\end{aligned}
$$

we known that

$$
\frac{\left(\frac{<\left(\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y)\right) \cdot \cdot \cdot>}{t}\right)^{n}}{n!}=\left(\operatorname{Pf}\left(\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(\mathrm{X})+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(\mathrm{Y})\right)\right) \mathrm{dy}
$$

here dy is the volume form of the submanifold $M_{0}$, let 2 n be the dimension of $M_{0}$, then we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\int_{V^{\prime}} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2}<\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y, R^{\mathcal{N}} y>\right\} \eta \operatorname{det}\left(\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d y_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d y_{n} \\
\quad+\int_{V^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}<-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y,-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y>\right\} \eta
\end{gathered}
$$

Because by $\left[X_{M}, Y_{M}\right]=0$ we have $\left[\mu^{T M}(X), \mu^{T M}(Y)\right]=0$. And by $-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y)$, $R^{\mathcal{N}}$ are skew-symmetric, so we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\int_{V^{\prime}} \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2}<-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y,-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X) y-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) y+R^{\mathcal{N}} y>\right\} d y_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d y_{n} \\
=\int_{M_{0}}(2 \pi)^{n} \operatorname{det}\left(\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)+\right. \\
\quad \operatorname{det}\left(\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y) \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta \\
\\
\cdot \operatorname{det}\left(-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)+\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta \\
\left.=\int_{M_{0}}(2 \pi)^{n} \operatorname{det}\left(-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(X)-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(Y)+R^{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Y)+R^{\mathcal{N}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta \\
= \\
\int_{M_{0}} \frac{\eta}{\operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(\mathrm{X})-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(\mathrm{Y})+\mathrm{R}^{\mathcal{N}}}{2 \pi}\right]}
\end{gathered}
$$

By theorem 1., we can get the localization formulas of Berline and Vergne(see [2] or [3]).
Corollery 1 (N.Berline and M.Vergne). Let $M$ be a smooth closed oriented manifold, $G$ be a compact Lie group acting smoothly on $M$. For any $\eta \in H_{X}^{*}(M)$, let $G_{0}$ be the Lie subgroup of $G$ which preserves the submanifold $M_{0}=\left\{x \in M \mid X_{M}(x)=0\right\}$, the following identity hold:

$$
\int_{M} \eta=\int_{M_{0}} \frac{\eta}{\operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{-\mu^{N}(\mathrm{X})+\mathrm{R}^{N}}{2 \pi}\right]}
$$

Proof. Because $M_{0}=\left\{x \in M \mid X_{M}(x)=0\right\}$, we have $\exp (-t X) p=p$ for $p \in M_{0}$, so $\exp (-t X) \in G_{0}$. By theorem 1., we set $Y=0$, then we get the result.

## 4 Localization formulas for characteristic numbers

Let $M$ be an even dimensional compact oriented manifold without boundary, $G$ be a compact Lie group acting smoothly on $M$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra. Let $g^{T M}$ be a $G$-invariant Riemannian metric on $T M, \nabla^{T M}$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to $g^{T M}$. Here $\nabla^{T M}$ is a $G$-invariant connection, we see that $\left[\nabla^{T M}, L_{X_{M}}\right]=0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

The equivariant connection $\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M}$ is the operator on $\Omega^{*}(M, T M)$ corresponding to a $G$ invariant connection $\nabla^{T M}$ is defined by the formula

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M}=\nabla^{T M}+i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}
$$

here $X_{M}, Y_{M}$ be the smooth vector field on $M$ corresponded to $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.
Lemma 5. The operator $\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M}$ preserves the space $\Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M, T M)$ which is the space of smooth $\left(X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}\right)$-invariant forms with values in $T M$.
Proof. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M)$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(L_{X_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}}\right) \widetilde{\nabla}^{T M} \omega & =\left(L_{X_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}}\right)\left(\nabla^{T M}+i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}\right) \omega \\
& =\left(\nabla^{T M}+i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}\right)\left(L_{X_{M}}+\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}}\right) \omega \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

So we get $\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M} \omega \in \Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M, T M)$.
We will also denote the restriction of $\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M}$ to $\Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M, T M)$ by $\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M}$.
The equivariant curvature $\widetilde{R}^{T M}$ of the equivariant connection $\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M}$ is defined by the formula(see [1])

$$
\widetilde{R}^{T M}=\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M}\right)^{2}-L_{X_{M}}-\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}}
$$

It is the element of $\Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M, \operatorname{End}(T M))$. We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{R}^{T M} & =\left(\nabla^{T M}+i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}\right)^{2}-L_{X_{M}}-\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}} \\
& =R^{T M}+\left[\nabla^{T M}, i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}\right]-L_{X_{M}}-\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}} \\
& =R^{T M}-\mu^{T M}(X)-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{T M}(Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6. The equivariant curvature $\widetilde{R}^{T M}$ satisfies the equvariant Bianchi formula

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M} \widetilde{R}^{T M}=0
$$

Proof. Because

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M}, \widetilde{R}^{T M}\right] } & =\left[\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M},\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M}\right)^{2}-L_{X_{M}}-\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}}\right] \\
& =\left[\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M},\left(\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M}\right)^{2}\right]+\left[\nabla^{T M}+i_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}},-L_{X_{M}}-\sqrt{-1} L_{Y_{M}}\right] \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we to construct the equivariant characteristic forms by $\widetilde{R}^{T M}$. If $f(x)$ is a polynomial in the indeterminate $x$, then $f\left(\widetilde{R}^{T M}\right)$ is an element of $\Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M, \operatorname{End}(T M))$. We use the trace map

$$
\operatorname{Tr}: \Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M, \operatorname{End}(T M)) \rightarrow \Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M)
$$

to obtain an element of $\Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M)$, which we call an equivariant characteristic form.

Lemma 7. The equivariant differential form $\operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(\widetilde{R}^{T M}\right)\right)$ is $d_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}$-closed, and its equivariant cohomology class is independent of the choice of the $G$-invariant connection $\nabla^{T M}$.

Proof. If $\alpha \in \Omega_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}^{*}(M, \operatorname{End}(T M))$, because in local $\nabla^{T M}=d+\omega$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}} \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(d_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}} \alpha\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left[d_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}, \alpha\right]\right)+\operatorname{Tr}([\omega, \alpha]) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left[\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M}, \alpha\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

then by the equivariant Bianchi identity $\widetilde{\nabla}^{T M} \widetilde{R}^{T M}=0$, we get

$$
d_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(\widetilde{R}^{T M}\right)\right)=0
$$

Let $\nabla_{t}^{T M}$ is a one-parameter family of G-invariant connections with equivariant curvature $\widetilde{R}_{t}^{T M}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} \operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(\widetilde{R}_{t}^{T M}\right)\right) & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{d \widetilde{R}_{t}^{T M}}{d t} f^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{R}_{t}^{T M}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{d\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{t}^{T M}\right)^{2}}{d t} f^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{R}_{t}^{T M}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left[\widetilde{\nabla}_{t}^{T M}, \frac{d \widetilde{\nabla}_{t}^{T M}}{d t}\right] f^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{R}_{t}^{T M}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left[\widetilde{\nabla}_{t}^{T M}, \frac{d \widetilde{\nabla}_{t}^{T M}}{d t} f^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{R}_{t}^{T M}\right)\right]\right) \\
& =d_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{d \widetilde{\nabla}_{t}^{T M}}{d t} f^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{R}_{t}^{T M}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we get

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(\widetilde{R}_{1}^{T M}\right)\right)-\operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(\widetilde{R}_{0}^{T M}\right)\right)=d_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}} \int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{d \widetilde{\nabla}_{t}^{T M}}{d t} f^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{R}_{t}^{T M}\right)\right) d t
$$

so we get the result.
As an application of Theorem 1., we can get the following localization formulas for characteristic numbers

Theorem 2. Let $M$ be an 2l-dim compact oriented manifold without boundary, $G$ be a compact Lie group acting smoothly on $M$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra. Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $X_{M}, Y_{M}$ be the corresponding smooth vector field on $M . M_{0}$ is the submanifold descriped in section 2. If $f(x)$ is a polynomial, then we have

$$
\int_{M} \operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(\widetilde{R}^{T M}\right)\right)=\int_{M_{0}} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(\widetilde{R}^{T M}\right)\right)}{\operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{-\mu^{\mathcal{N}}(\mathrm{X})-\sqrt{-1} \mu^{\mathcal{N}}(\mathrm{Y})+\mathrm{R}^{\mathcal{N}}}{2 \pi}\right]}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 7., we have $\operatorname{Tr}\left(f\left(\widetilde{R}^{T M}\right)\right)$ is $d_{X_{M}+\sqrt{-1} Y_{M}}$-closed. And by Theorem 1., we get the result.

We can use this formula to compute these characteristic numbers of $M$, especially we can use it to Euler characteristic of $M$. Here we didn't to give the details.
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