K-Divisibility and K-Strong Divisibility Sequences

Florentin Smarandache, Ph D Associate Professor Chair of Department of Math & Sciences University of New Mexico 200 College Road Gallup, NM 87301, USA E-mail: smarand@unm.edu

A sequence of rational integers g is called a **divisibility sequence** if and only if $n \mid m \Rightarrow g(n) \mid g(m)$

for all positive integers n, m. [See [3] and [4]].

Also, g is called a strong divisibility sequence if and only if

$$(g(n),g(m)) = g((n,m))$$

for all positive integers *n*, *m*. [See [1], [2], [3], [4], and [5]].

Of course, it is easy to show that the results of the Smarandache function S(n) is neither a divisibility nor a strong divisibility sequence, because $4 \mid 20$ but S(4) = 4 does not divide 5 = S(20), and $(S(4), S(20)) = (4, 5) = 1 \neq 4 = S(4) = S((4, 20))$.

- a) However, is there an infinite subsequence of integers $M = \{m_1, m_2, ...\}$ such that S is a divisibility sequence on M?
- b) If $P\{p_1, p_2, ...\}$ is the set of prime numbers, the S is not a strong divisibility sequence on P, because for $i \neq j$ we have

$$(S(p_i), S(p_j)) = (p_i, p_j) = 1 \neq 0 = S(1) = S((p_i, p_j)).$$

And the same question can be asked about P as it was asked in part a).

We introduce the following two notions, which are generalizations of a "divisibility sequence" and "strong divisibility sequence" respectively.

1) A k-divisibility sequence, where $l \ge 1$ is an integer, is defined in the following way:

If

$$n \mid m \Rightarrow g(n) \mid g(m) \Rightarrow g(g(n)) \mid g(g(m)) \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow \underbrace{g(\dots(g(n))\dots)}_{k \text{ times}} \mid \underbrace{g(\dots(g(m))\dots)}_{k \text{ times}}$$

for all positive integers n, m.

For example, g(n) = n! is a k-divisibility sequence.

Also, any constant sequence is a k-divisibility sequence.

2) A k-strong divisibility sequence, where $k \ge 1$ is an integer, is defined in the following way:

If $(g(n_1), g(n_2), \dots, g(n_k)) = g((n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k))$ for all positive integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k .

For example, g(n) = 2n is a k-strong divisibility sequence, because

 $(2n_1, 2n_2, ..., 2n_k) = 2 * (n_1, n_2, ..., n_k) = g((n_1, n_2, ..., n_k)).$

Remarks: If g is a divisibility sequence and we apply its definition k-times, we obtain that g is a k-divisibility sequence for any $k \ge 1$. The converse is also true. If g is k-strong divisibility sequence, $k \ge 2$, then g is a strong divisibility sequence. This can be seen by taking the definition of a k-strong divisibility sequence and replacing n by n_1 and all $n_2,...,n_k$ by m to obtain

(g(n), g(m), ..., g(m)) = g((n, m, ..., m)) or (g(n), g(m)) = g((n, m)).

The converse is also true, as

$$(n_1, n_2, ..., n_k) = ((...((n_1, n_2), n_3), ...), n_k).$$

Therefore, we found that:

a) The divisibility sequence notion is equivalent to a k-divisibility sequence, or a generalization of a notion is equivalent to itself.

Is there any paradox or dilemma?

b) The strong divisibility sequence is equivalent to the k-strong divisibility sequence notion

As before, a generalization of a notion is equivalent to itself.

Again, is there any paradox or dilemma?

REFERENCES

- [1] Kimberling C. Strong Divisibility Sequences With Nonzero Initial Term – The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 16, 1978, pp. 541-544.
- [2] Kimberling C. Strong Divisibility Sequence and Some Conjectures The Fibonacci Quarterly, Vol. 17, 1979, pp. 13-17.
- [3] Ward M. Note on Divisibility Sequences Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 38, 1937, pp. 725-732.
- [4] Ward M. A Note on Divisibility Sequences Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 45, 1939, pp. 334-336.