An Application of Hardy-Littlewood Conjecture

JinHua Fei

ChangLing Company of Electronic Technology Baoji Shannxi P.R.China

E-mail: feijinhuayoujian@msn.com

Abstract. In this paper, we assume that weaker Hardy-Littlewood Conjecture, we got a better upper bound of the exceptional real zero for a class of prime number module.

Keyword. Hardy-Littlewood Conjecture, Exceptional real Zero

MR(2000) Subject Classification 11P32, 11M20

Goldbach's conjecture is one of the oldest and best-known unsolved problems in number theory and in all of mathematics. It states: Every even integer greater than 2 can be expressed as the sum of two primes.

In 1923, Hardy and Littlewood conjectured

$$\sum_{\substack{3 \le p_1, p_2 \le N\\ p_1 + p_2 = N}} 1 \approx \frac{N}{\varphi(N)} \prod_{p \nmid N} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2} \right) \frac{N}{\log^2 N}$$

where N is even integer and $N \ge 6$, p_1, p_2 are the prime numbers, $\varphi(n)$ is Euler function.

Under a weaker assumption, we got a better upper bound of the exceptional real zero for a class of the prime number module.

Weaker Hardy-Littlewood Conjecture. Let N is even integer and $N \ge 6$, p_1, p_2 are the prime numbers. There is an absolute constant $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{3 \le p_1, p_2 \le N \\ p_1 + p_2 = N}} 1 \ge \frac{\delta N}{\log^2 N}$$

Under the above conjecture, we have the following theorem

Theorem. Let q is a prime number and $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, it has exceptional real character χ , and its Dirichlet $L(s,\chi)$ function has an exceptional real zero β . If Weaker Hardy-Littlewood Conjecture is correct, then there is a positive constant c, we have

$$\beta \le 1 - \frac{c}{\log^2 q}$$

Now, we do some preparation work.

Lemma 1.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} e\left(\frac{kn}{m}\right) = \begin{cases} m & if \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$$

where $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ The lemma 1 is obvious

Lemma 2. There is a constant $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$\pi(x) = Lix + O\left(x \exp(-c_1 \sqrt{\log x})\right)$$

uniformly for $x \ge 2$. Where $Lix = \int_2^x \frac{du}{\log u}$, and $\exp(x) = e^x$ The lemma 2 follows from the References [2], Theorem 6.9 of the page 179.

It is easy to see that

$$Lix = \int_{2}^{x} \frac{du}{\log u} = \frac{x}{\log x} + O\left(\frac{x}{\log^{2} x}\right)$$

Lemma 3. Let c_2 be the positive constant. if (a, q) = 1, then

$$\pi(x;q,a) = \frac{Lix}{\varphi(q)} - \frac{\chi(a)}{\varphi(q)} \int_2^x \frac{u^{\beta-1}}{\log u} du + O\left(x \exp(-c_2\sqrt{\log x})\right)$$

when there is an exceptional character χ modulo q and β is the concomitant zero.

The lemma 3 follows from the References [2], Corollary 11.20 of the page 381

It is easy to see that

$$\int_{2}^{x} \frac{u^{\beta-1}}{\log u} du = \frac{x^{\beta}}{\beta \log x} + O\left(\frac{x^{\beta}}{\log^{2} x}\right)$$

Lemma 4. if (n, m) = 1, then

$$\sum_{k=1\atop (k,m)=1}^{m} e\left(\frac{nk}{m}\right) = \mu(m)$$

where $\mu(m)$ is Möbius function.

The lemma 4 follows from the References [1], the page 45.

Lemma 5. if χ is a primitive character modulo m, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \chi(k) e\left(\frac{nk}{m}\right) = \overline{\chi}(n) \tau(\chi)$$

where $\tau(\chi) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \chi(k) e(\frac{k}{m})$. The lemma 5 follows from the References [1], the page 47.

Lemma 6. if m is odd square-free and χ is a primitive real character modulo m, then

$$\tau(\chi) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{m} & if \ m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ i\sqrt{m} & if \ m \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

The lemma 6 follows from the References [1], the theorem 3.3 of the page 49.

PROOF OF THEOREM.

The first part.

By Lemma 1, when $x \ge q^4$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{q} \left(\sum_{3 \le p \le x} e\left(\frac{kp}{q}\right) \right)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{q} \sum_{3 \le p_1 \le x} \sum_{3 \le p_2 \le x} e\left(\frac{k(p_1 + p_2)}{q}\right)$$

$$=\sum_{3\leq p_1\leq x}\sum_{3\leq p_2\leq x}\sum_{k=1}^q e\left(\frac{k(p_1+p_2)}{q}\right) = q\sum_{\substack{3\leq p_1,p_2\leq x\\p_1+p_2\equiv (q)}}1\geq q\sum_{\substack{n=1\\p_1+p_2\equiv 2nq}}^{\left\lfloor\frac{x}{2q}\right\rfloor}\sum_{\substack{3\leq p_1,p_2\leq x\\p_1+p_2\equiv 2nq}}1$$

by Weaker Hardy-Littlewood Conjecture, the above formula

$$\geq q \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{x}{2q} \rfloor} \frac{\delta 2nq}{\log^2 2nq} \geq q \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{x}{2q} \rfloor} \frac{\delta 2nq}{\log^2 x} \geq \frac{2\delta q^2}{\log^2 x} \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor \frac{x}{2q} \rfloor} n$$

$$= \frac{2\delta q^2}{\log^2 x} \cdot \frac{\left[\frac{x}{2q}\right]\left(\left[\frac{x}{2q}\right]+1\right)}{2} \ge \frac{\delta x^2}{4\log^2 x} + O\left(\frac{xq}{\log^2 x}\right)$$

The second part.

When $1 \le k \le q - 1$, we have

$$\sum_{3 \le p \le x} e\left(\frac{pk}{q}\right) = \sum_{\substack{3 \le p \le x\\(p,q)=1}} e\left(\frac{pk}{q}\right) + 1 = 1 + \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} e\left(\frac{ak}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{3 \le p \le x\\p \equiv a(q)}} 1$$

by Lemma 3, Lemma 4, Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, the above formula $% \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{\rm{T}}}}_{{\rm{T}}}} \right)$

$$=1+\sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q}e\left(\frac{ak}{q}\right)\left(\frac{Lix}{\varphi(q)}-\frac{\chi(a)}{\varphi(q)}\int_{2}^{x}\frac{u^{\beta-1}}{\log u}du+O\left(x\exp(-c_{2}\sqrt{\log x})\right)\right)$$

$$=\frac{\mu(q)Lix}{q-1}-\frac{\tau(\chi)\chi(k)}{q-1}\int_{2}^{x}\frac{u^{\beta-1}}{\log u}du+O\left(qx\exp(-c_{2}\sqrt{\log x})\right)$$

$$= -\frac{i\sqrt{q}\,\chi(k)}{q-1}\int_2^x \frac{u^{\beta-1}}{\log u}du + O\left(\frac{x}{q\log x} + qx\exp(-c_2\sqrt{\log x})\right)$$

therefore

$$\left(\sum_{3 \le p \le x} e\left(\frac{pk}{q}\right)\right)^2 = \left(-\frac{i\sqrt{q}\,\chi(k)}{q-1}\int_2^x \frac{u^{\beta-1}}{\log u}du\right)^2$$
$$+O\left(\frac{x^2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}}\log^2 x} + q^2x^2\exp(-c_2\sqrt{\log x}\right)$$

$$= -\frac{q}{(q-1)^2} \left(\int_2^x \frac{u^{\beta-1}}{\log u} du \right)^2 + O\left(\frac{x^2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}} \log^2 x} + q^2 x^2 \exp(-c_2 \sqrt{\log x})\right)$$

$$= -\frac{q}{\beta^2 (q-1)^2} \frac{x^{2\beta}}{\log^2 x} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{q \log^3 x} + \frac{x^2}{q^{\frac{3}{2}} \log^2 x} + q^2 x^2 \exp(-c_2 \sqrt{\log x})\right)$$

therefore

$$\sum_{k=1}^{q} \left(\sum_{3 \le p \le x} e\left(\frac{pk}{q}\right)\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{3 \le p \le x} 1\right)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \left(\sum_{3 \le p \le x} e\left(\frac{pk}{q}\right)\right)^2$$

by Lemma 2, the above formula

$$=\frac{x^2}{\log^2 x} - \frac{q \, x^{2\beta}}{\beta^2 (q-1) \log^2 x} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{\log^3 x} + \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{q} \log^2 x} + q^3 x^2 \exp(-c_3 \sqrt{\log x})\right)$$

We integrated the first part and second part

$$\frac{x^{2\beta}}{\log^2 x} \le (1 - \frac{\delta}{4}) \frac{x^2}{\log^2 x} + O\left(\frac{x^2}{\log^3 x} + \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{q}\log^2 x} + q^3 x^2 \exp(-c_3\sqrt{\log x})\right)$$

$$x^{2\beta-2} \le 1 - \frac{\delta}{4} + O\left(\frac{1}{\log x} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} + q^3 \log^2 x \exp(-c_3 \sqrt{\log x})\right)$$

we take $\log x = (\frac{4}{c_3} \log q)^2$, then

$$x^{2\beta-2} \le 1 - \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{c_4}{\log^2 q}$$

we take $\log q \ge \sqrt{\frac{8c_4}{\delta}}$, then

$$x^{2\beta-2} \le 1 - \frac{\delta}{8}$$

$$\beta - 1 \le \frac{\log(1 - \frac{\delta}{8})}{2\log x} = -\frac{\log(\frac{8}{8-\delta})}{2\log x}$$

therefore

$$\beta \leq 1 - \frac{c}{\log^2 q}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem.

REFERENCES

[1] Henryk Iwaniec, Emmanuel Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American mathematical Society, 2004.

[2] Hugh L. Montgomery, Robert C. Vaughan, *Multiplicative Number Theory I. Classical Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.