# Some interesting correlations between cosmological red-shift and the strength-ratio of gravitational and electric forces

### Hasmukh K. Tank

Indian Space Research Organization, 22/693 Krishna Dham-2, Ahmedabad-380015 India

#### Abstract:

This letter reports interesting correlations between cosmological red-shift and the strength-ratio of gravitational and electric forces, which may prove to be a clue to deeper understanding of gravitation and cosmology. Cosmological red-shift, smaller than unity,  $z_c = \Delta \lambda / \lambda_0 = [G m_p^2 / h c]$  times the luminosity-distance measured in the units of a wavelength  $[D/\lambda_C]$  where  $\lambda_C = (h/m_p c)$ , the Compton-wavelength of a fundamental-particle pi-meson. Also, the energy lost by cosmologically red-shifted photon can be viewed as due to deceleration experienced by the photon; Energy lost  $(h \Delta f) = mass (h f / c^2)$  times the acceleration  $(H_0 c)$  times the luminosity-distance D; where the rate of deceleration  $(H_0 c)$  turns out to be equal to the accelerated-expansion of the universe! Thus this letter provides some interesting correlations for the experts to think further.

#### **Detailed description:**

The 'cosmological red shift' less than unity, is generally expressed as:

 $z_{\rm c} = \Delta \lambda / \lambda_0 = H_0 D / c...(1).$ 

The right-hand-side of expression-1 can be written as:

$$H_0 D / c = h H_0 / (h c / D)$$

Now, Steven Weinberg [1] has found an interesting relation that:

 $m_p^3 = h^2 H_0 / c G$ , where,  $m_p$  is mass of a fundamental-particle, pi meson.

i.e.  $G m_p^2 / (h / m_p c) = h H_0$ .....(2).

So, from the expressions 1 and 2, we get:

 $z_{\rm c} = \Delta \lambda / \lambda_0 = [G m_p^2 / (h / m_p c)] / [h c / D].$ (3).

i.e.  $z_c = \Delta \lambda / \lambda_0 = [G m_p^2 / h c] [D / (h / m_p c)]$ , where  $(h / m_p c)$  is a unit of distance, measured in terms of Compton-wavelength of pi-meson; and the constant  $[G m_p^2 / h c]$  denotes the strength-ratio of gravitational and electric forces.

Or, in terms of energy:

$$z_{\rm c} = h \Delta v / h v = [G m_p^2 / h c] [D / (h / m_p c)]. \dots (4).$$

That is, the reduction in energy of photon due to cosmological-red-shift is proportional to the strength-ratio of gravitational and electric forces.

Alternatively, let us define  $z_e$  as:

$$z_e = [e^2 / r_e] - [e^2 / (r_e + D)] / [e^2 / (r_e + D)],$$

where e is electric-charge,  $r_e$  is 'classical radius of electron' and D is 'luminosity distance'

i.e. 
$$z_e = e^2 [r_e + D - r_e] [r_e + D] / [r_e (r_e + D) e^2].$$

i.e.  $z_e = D / r_e$ .

From Dirac's Large-Number-Coincidence, we know, that:

$$(G m_e m_p / e^2) = (r_e / R_0) = (m_p / M_0)^{1/2} = 10^{-40}$$

Where  $M_0$  is total mass, and  $R_0$  radius of the universe.

i.e.  $z_e = 10^{40} (D/R_0)$ . (5)

Because:  $H_0 R_0 = c$  and  $z_c = H_0 D / c = D / R_0$ .....(6)

Comparing the expressions (5) and (6), we get:

 $z_{\rm c} = 10^{-40} z_{\rm e}$  .....(7)

That is: 'cosmological-red-shift, at a distance D is  $(G m_e m_p / e^2)$  times the reduction expected from the 'electrostatic potential energy of an electron at that distance D.

(ii) We can express the cosmological red-shift  $z_c$  in terms of de-acceleration experienced by the photon: [2]

For  $z_c$  smaller than unity:

 $z_c = (f_0 - f) / f = H_0 D / c$ 

i.e.  $(h \Delta f / h f) = H_0 D / c$ 

i.e. 
$$h \Delta f = (h f / c^2) (H_0 c) D$$
 .....(8)

That is, the loss in energy of the photon is equal to its mass  $(hf/c^2)$  times the deceleration  $a = H_0 c$ , times the distance *D* travelled by it. Where:  $H_0$  is Hubble-parameter. And the value of constant deceleration *a* is:  $a = H_0 c$ ,  $a = 6.87 \times 10^{-10}$  meter/sec<sup>2</sup>, equal to the rate of said accelerated expansion of the universe!

## **Discussion:**

Supposing there were only two atoms, of appropriate masses, in the universe, such that a photon emitted by atom-1, by partly converting its electrostatic potential-energy into a less exited atom and a photon, which gets cosmologically red-shifted while travelling a distance D and then gets absorbed by the other atom-2. This system of two atoms lost a part of their electrostatic potential energy. Will this lost energy manifest as 'gravitational-potential-energy' of the system of these two atoms?

#### Summary:

We presented here two interesting correlations, which are likely to be the clue for deeper understanding of gravitation and cosmology.

# **References:**

Steven Weinberg "Gravitation and Cosmology" (1972) John Willy and Sons, New York

Tank, Hasmukh K. (2011) "Some clues to understand MOND and the accelerated expansion of the universe" AP&SS **336** No.2 p 341-343