

ULTRALIGHT GRAVITONS WITH TINY ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENT ARE SEEPING FROM THE VACUUM

Evgeny A. Novikov

University of California - San Diego, BioCircuits Institute, La Jolla, CA 92093 -0328; E-mail: enovikov@ucsd.edu

Abstract

Mass and electric dipole moment of graviton are calculated. The calculated values are small, but finite. This can explain the baryon asymmetry of the universe. The calculations are based on quantum modification of the general relativity, which takes into account production/absorption of gravitons. The theory (without fitting) is in good quantitative agreement with cosmic data.

Key words: graviton; cosmology; age of the universe; interface between gravitons and ordinary matter.

Quantum modification of the general relativity (QMOGER), which takes into account production/absorption of dark matter by the vacuum, was introduced in [1] and developed in [2-4]. These works were presided by invention of new type of fluid, namely, dynamics of distributed sources/sinks [5].

From that theory, the characteristic mass of dark matter particles (DMP), which we considered below as gravitons, was calculated:

$$m_0 = \hbar(G_*\rho_0)^{1/2} \sim 0.5 \cdot 10^{-66} \text{ gram} \quad (1)$$

Here \hbar is the Planck constant, $G_* = Gc^{-4}$ (G - gravitational constant, c - speed of light) and ρ_0 is averaged mass density of the universe. According to WMAP data, we use $\rho_0 \approx 0.26 \cdot 10^{-29} \text{ gcm}^{-3}$, which includes dark and ordinary matter, but not dark energy. In this theory, flatness of the universe is supported by the divergency terms in QMOGER equations [1-4].

According to (1), DMP are ultralight and their uncertainty of position [3, 4] $L_* = c(G_*\rho_0)^{-1/2} \approx 76$ billion light years (*bly*) is of the same order as size of the visible universe $a_0 \approx 46,5 \text{ bly}$. So, we can say, that mass m_0 predetermined the size of universe (see details below). It also means that universe has a halo of DMP. This halo potentially can influence the visible part of universe, producing effects similar to influence of hypothetical multiverse.

Concentration of particles n and characteristic scale l are:

$$n = \rho_0/m_0 \sim 0.5 \cdot 10^{37} \text{ cm}^{-3}, \quad l = n^{-1/3} \sim 0,27 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ cm}. \quad (2)$$

QMOGER equations have exact Gaussian solution for the scale factor [2-4]:

$$a(\tau) = a_0 \exp[H_0\tau - 2\pi(\tau/L_*)^2], \quad \tau = ct. \quad (3)$$

Here subscript 0 indicates present epoch ($\tau = 0$) and H_0 is the Hubble constant. Solution (3) corresponds to continuous and metric-affecting production of matter out of vacuum, with its density ρ_0 being retain constant during the expansion of spatially flat universe. In this solution there is no critical density

of the universe, which is a kind of relief. Formula (3) does not have any fitting parameters and shows good quantitative agreement with cosmological observations (SnIa, SDSS-BAO and reduction of acceleration of the expanding Universe [6])[2, 3].

The solution (3) is shown [2] to be stable in the regime of cosmological expansion until t_{\max} about 34 billion years from now. After that time, the solution becomes unstable and characterizes the inverse process of dark matter particle absorption by the vacuum in the regime of contraction of the universe. This can imply the need for considering the change of regime (3) at $t > t_{\max}$ to a different evolutionary regime, possibly, with the more general model from [1].

In this theory there is no Big Bang at the beginning, but some local bangs during the evolution of the universe are probable [4]. From (3) it was calculated [4] that $a(\tau_1) = l_P = (G_* \hbar c)^{1/2}$ (Planck scale) corresponds to $t_1 \approx -327$ billion years. After that it took about 43 billion years of "incubation" (see below) to accommodate universe for production of particles with mass m_0 , when $a(\tau_2) = l$ [4].

It seems interesting to identify dark matter particles with gravitons (see also recent paper [7]). So, gravitons have small, but finite mass (1) and huge concentration (2). The "ordinary" matter (OM) in this theory was synthesized from dark matter in galaxies [4]. Averaged concentration (2) is not only enormous, but also constant. It means, that these particles somehow communicate with each other (perhaps, even superluminally) and polarize vacuum in order to maintain averaged distance l (2). Remember, that we are dealing with unusual fluid [5]. The thermal de Broglie wavelength for the temperature of the universe $T \approx 2.73K$ is substantially bigger than l : $\hbar c / k_B T \approx 29.6$ (k_B - Boltzmann constant). So, the quantum effects, such as Bose-Einstein condensate can dominate. In the areas of gravitational condensation (future galaxies) the density was even much higher. With certain critical density, we can expect local bangs of multiple collisions with formation of new particles in some sort of "natural selection". During the steady and stable expansion of the universe, the OM was synthesized in this way, probably, starting with light particles.

These processes were accompanied by radiation, which is reflected in CMB. The equilibrium character of CMB and the small global curvature are naturally explained by the large amount of time available for the evolution. Some peculiarities of CMB can be associated with synthesis of various particles in expanding universe. Particularly, the observed anisotropy of CMB can be connected with nonsynchronous processes in galaxies.

In context of the type of evolution, which is described by exact solution (3), what we call ordinary matter is, in fact, an exotic matter, which was synthesized from gravitons and, so far, constitute about 15% of the total mass of the universe (standard 4% corresponds to inclusion of dark energy). The theory of elementary particles should be modified by considering gravitons as primary basis for all particles. Moreover, we can not be sure that gravitons obeys all the rules of the conventional quantum theory. It is possible, that gravitons produces some quantum effects for "ordinary" matter (see new interpretation of quantum theory [8]).

The baryonic asymmetry of the universe can be explained if gravitons have nonzero electric dipole moment (EDM). From mass m_0 (1), Planck scale $l_P = (\hbar G/c^3)^{1/2}$ and c we have unique expression for EDM:

$$\mu \sim m_0^{1/2} l_P^{3/2} c \sim 2 \times 10^{-72} \text{gram}^{1/2} \text{cm}^{5/2} \text{sec}^{-1} \quad (4)$$

There is also scale $l_0 = m_0 G c^{-2} \sim 10^{-54} \text{cm}$, which is much smaller than l_P . So, we have small nondimensional parameter $\nu = l_0/l_P \sim 10^{-21}$ and more general formula $\mu = m_0^{1/2} l_P^{3/2} c N(\nu)$. We will get (4), assuming that $N(0)$ is finite. Using ν , we have spectrum of mass: $m(\alpha) = m_0 \nu^\alpha$. Scale l_0 and particles with masses smaller than m_0 (for $\alpha > 0$) can be related to indicated above "incubation" period and to mediators between gravitons and OM particles (see below).

In the described theory we got that gravitons constitute omnipresent background in the universe. As a result of gravitational condensation, from that background emerged OM. The indicated above mediators can be produced spontaneously, or during collisions. The "plasma" of gravitons and mediators produces ordinary matter, including photons. So, we got interface between dark and ordinary matter (IDOM). Such interface very likely exists not only in cosmos, but everywhere, including our body and our brain [9]. A model of IDOM is described in [11]. From that model it follows that our subjective experiences are manifestations of IDOM and can be used as a natural detector of interaction between gravitons and ordinary matter.

References

- [1] E. A. Novikov, arXiv:nlin/06080050.
- [2] S. G. Chefranov & E. A. Novikov, J. Exper. Theor.Phys., 111(5),731-743 (2010) [Zhur. Eksper. Theor. Fiz.,138(5), 830-843 (2010)]; arXiv:1012.0241v1 [gr-qc].
- [3] E. A. Novikov & S Chefranov, J. of Cosmology **16**, 6884 (2011).
- [4] E. A. Novikov, Age of the universe and more (submitted for publication), see also:evgenyn.blogspot.com.
- [5] E. A. Novikov, Physics of Fluids **15**, L65 (2003).
- [6] A. Shfieloo, V. Sahni, & A. Starobinsky, arXiv:0903.5141 [astro-ph.CO].
- [7] S. Das, arXiv:1509.02658.
- [8] E. A. Novikov, arXiv:0707.3299.
- [9] It did not escape my attention, that this approach has important philosophical consequences. Particularly, nonmaterial entities can be considered as interfaces (or collections of interfaces) between different types of matter. Also, the approach can be imbedded in a mathematical structure, similar to the category theory [10], with morphisms and formalized interfaces, but that is another story.
- [10] See an excellent review: J. C. Baez and M. Stay, arXiv:0903.0340
- [11] E. A Novikov, Subjective experiences as a manifestation of an interface between dark and ordinary matter (submitted for publication), see also: evgenyn.blogspot.com.