

Eckhard Hitzer, General two-sided quaternion Fourier transform, convolution and Mustard convolution, submitted on 15 Jan. 2016 to Special Issue of Adv. in App. Cliff. Algs. Revised 21 Jan. 2016.

General two-sided quaternion Fourier transform, convolution and Mustard convolution

Eckhard Hitzer

Soli Deo Gloria.

Abstract. In this paper we use the general two-sided quaternion Fourier transform (QFT), and relate the classical convolution of quaternion-valued signals over \mathbb{R}^2 with the Mustard convolution. A Mustard convolution can be expressed in the spectral domain as the point wise product of the QFTs of the factor functions. In full generality do we express the classical convolution of quaternion signals in terms of finite linear combinations of Mustard convolutions, and vice versa the Mustard convolution of quaternion signals in terms of finite linear combinations of classical convolutions.

Keywords. Convolution, Mustard convolution, two-sided quaternion Fourier transform, quaternion signals, spatial domain, frequency domain.

1. Introduction

The two-sided quaternionic Fourier transformation (QFT) was introduced in [8] for the analysis of 2D linear time-invariant partial-differential systems. Subsequently it has been further studied in [4] and applied in many fields, including color image processing [25], edge detection and image filtering [7, 24], watermarking [1], pattern recognition [22, 26], quaternionic multiresolution analysis (a generalization of discrete wavelets) [2], speech recognition [3], noise removal from video images [20], and efficient and robust image feature detection [11].

This led to further theoretical investigations [12, 13], where a special split of quaternions was introduced, then called \pm split. An interesting physical consequence was that this split resulted in a left and right traveling multi-vector wave packet analysis, when generalizing the QFT to a full space-time

In memory of Mrs. Lucy Baker, †31 December 2015, who worked with Seeds Of Hope Foundation, Mumbai, India. The use of this paper is subject to the *Creative Peace License* [15].

Fourier transform (SFT). Later [14, 16, 19] this split has been analyzed further, interpreted geometrically and generalized to a freely steerable split of \mathbb{H} into two orthogonal 2D analysis planes, then appropriately named *orthogonal 2D planes split* (OPS).

A key strength of the classical complex Fourier transform is its easy and fast application to filtering problems. The convolution of a signal with its filter function becomes in the spectral domain a point wise product of the respective Fourier transformations. This is not the case for the convolution of two quaternion-valued signals over \mathbb{R}^2 , due to non-commutativity. Yet it is possible to define from the point wise product of the QFTs of two quaternion signals a new type of convolution, called Mustard convolution [23]. This Mustard convolution can be expressed in terms of sums of classical convolutions and vice versa. For the left-sided QFT this has recently been carried out in [6]. We expand this work in full generality to the two-sided QFT, making significant and efficient use of the two-sided orthogonal planes split of quaternions.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces quaternions and reviews the general orthogonal two-dimensional planes split of quaternions. Section 3 introduces to the general form of the two-sided version of the quaternion Fourier transform, and a related mixed exponential-sine transform. Section 4 introduces the Mustard type convolutions based on the QFT and contains the main results of this paper. That is the formulation of the QFT of the classical convolution of quaternion signals in Theorem 4.1, and specialized to the simpler case of only one transform axis in Corollary 4.2. Moreover, the expression of the classical convolution of quaternion signals in terms of the Mustard type convolutions is given in Theorem 4.3, for only one transform axis in Corollary 4.5, and using only standard Mustard convolutions fully general and explicit in Theorem 4.6. Furthermore, for two perpendicular transform axis the classical convolution is expressed by standard Mustard convolutions in Theorem 4.7. Finally the Mustard convolution is fully expanded in terms of classical convolutions in Theorem 4.8.

2. Quaternions and their orthogonal planes split

2.1. Gauss, Rodrigues and Hamilton's quaternion algebra

Gauss, Rodrigues and Hamilton's four-dimensional (4D) quaternion algebra \mathbb{H} is defined over \mathbb{R} with three imaginary units:

$$\begin{aligned} ij &= -ji = k, \quad jk = -kj = i, \quad ki = -ik = j, \\ i^2 &= j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

The explicit form of a quaternion $q \in \mathbb{H}$ is

$$q = q_r + q_i i + q_j j + q_k k \in \mathbb{H}, \quad q_r, q_i, q_j, q_k \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.2)$$

We have the isomorphisms $Cl(3, 0)^+ \cong Cl(0, 2) \cong \mathbb{H}$, i.e. \mathbb{H} is isomorphic to the algebra of rotation operators in $Cl(3, 0)$. The *quaternion conjugate*

(equivalent to Clifford conjugation in $Cl(3, 0)^+$ and $Cl(0, 2)$) is defined as

$$\bar{q} = q_r - q_i \mathbf{i} - q_j \mathbf{j} - q_k \mathbf{k}, \quad \overline{pq} = \bar{q} \bar{p}, \quad (2.3)$$

which leaves the scalar part q_r unchanged. This leads to the *norm* of $q \in \mathbb{H}$

$$|q| = \sqrt{q\bar{q}} = \sqrt{q_r^2 + q_i^2 + q_j^2 + q_k^2}, \quad |pq| = |p| |q|. \quad (2.4)$$

The part $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{V}(q) = V(q) = q - q_r = \frac{1}{2}(q - \bar{q}) = q_i \mathbf{i} + q_j \mathbf{j} + q_k \mathbf{k}$ is called a *pure* quaternion (part), or *vector* part. It squares to the *negative* number $-(q_i^2 + q_j^2 + q_k^2)$. Every unit quaternion $\in \mathbb{S}^3$ (i.e. $|q| = 1$) can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} q &= q_r + q_i \mathbf{i} + q_j \mathbf{j} + q_k \mathbf{k} = q_r + \sqrt{q_i^2 + q_j^2 + q_k^2} \hat{\mathbf{q}} \\ &= \cos \alpha + \hat{\mathbf{q}} \sin \alpha = \exp(\alpha \hat{\mathbf{q}}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \alpha &= q_r, \quad \sin \alpha = \sqrt{q_i^2 + q_j^2 + q_k^2}, \\ \hat{\mathbf{q}} &= \mathbf{q} / |q| = (q_i \mathbf{i} + q_j \mathbf{j} + q_k \mathbf{k}) / \sqrt{q_i^2 + q_j^2 + q_k^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbf{q}}^2 = -1, \quad \hat{\mathbf{q}} \in \mathbb{S}^2. \quad (2.7)$$

The left and right *inverse* of a non-zero quaternion is

$$q^{-1} = \bar{q} / |q|^2 = \bar{q} / (q\bar{q}). \quad (2.8)$$

The *scalar part* of a quaternion is defined as

$$S(q) = q_r = \frac{1}{2}(q + \bar{q}). \quad (2.9)$$

with *symmetries* $\forall p, q \in \mathbb{H}$:

$$S(pq) = S(qp) = p_r q_r - p_i q_i - p_j q_j - p_k q_k, \quad S(q) = S(\bar{q}), \quad (2.10)$$

and *linearity*

$$S(\alpha p + \beta q) = \alpha S(p) + \beta S(q) = \alpha p_r + \beta q_r, \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{H}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.11)$$

The *commutator* of any two quaternions $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$ is a pure quaternion (because $S(pq) = S(qp)$) defined as

$$[p, q] = pq - qp, \quad (2.12)$$

For example,

$$[\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}] = \mathbf{i}\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{j}\mathbf{i} = 2\mathbf{k}. \quad (2.13)$$

The commutator $[f, g]$ of any two pure unit quaternions f, g gives therefore another pure quaternion (or zero for $f = \alpha g$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$). It appears in the commutation of exponentials, e.g.,

$$e^{\alpha f} e^{\beta g} = e^{\beta g} e^{\alpha f} + [f, g] \sin(\alpha) \sin(\beta), \quad (2.14)$$

which (in the same form for general multivector square roots of -1) has been used in [18] in order to derive a general convolution theorem for Clifford

Fourier transformations. We furthermore note the useful anticommutation relationships

$$f[f, g] = -[f, g]f, \quad g[f, g] = -[f, g]g, \quad (2.15)$$

and therefore

$$e^{\alpha f}[f, g] = [f, g]e^{-\alpha f}, \quad e^{\beta g}[f, g] = [f, g]e^{-\beta g}. \quad (2.16)$$

The scalar part and the quaternion conjugate allow the definition of the \mathbb{R}^4 *inner product* of two quaternions p, q as

$$p \cdot q = S(p\bar{q}) = p_r q_r + p_i q_i + p_j q_j + p_k q_k \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.17)$$

Accordingly we can interpret the four quaternion coefficients as coordinates in \mathbb{R}^4 . In this interpretation selecting any two-dimensional plane subspace and its orthogonal complement two-dimensional subspace allows to split four-dimensional quaternions \mathbb{H} into pairs of orthogonal two-dimensional planes (compare Theorem 3.5 of [16]).

Definition 2.1 (Orthogonality of quaternions). Two quaternions $p, q \in \mathbb{H}$ are orthogonal $p \perp q$, if and only if $S(p\bar{q}) = 0$.

We will subsequently adopt the following notation for reflecting the argument of functions ([6], Notation 2.4, page 583)

Notation 2.2 (Argument reflection). For a function $h : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ and a multi-index $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$ with $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \{0, 1\}$ we set

$$h^\phi = h^{(\phi_1, \phi_2)}(\mathbf{x}) := h((-1)^{\phi_1} x_1, (-1)^{\phi_2} x_2). \quad (2.18)$$

2.2. General orthogonal two-dimensional planes split (OPS)

Assume in the following an arbitrary pair of pure unit quaternions $f, g, f^2 = g^2 = -1$. The *orthogonal 2D planes split (OPS)* is then defined with respect to any two pure unit quaternions f, g as

Definition 2.3 (General orthogonal 2D planes split [16]). Let $f, g \in \mathbb{H}$ be an arbitrary pair of pure quaternions $f, g, f^2 = g^2 = -1$, including the cases $f \neq \pm g$. The general OPS is then defined with respect to the two pure unit quaternions f, g as

$$q_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(q \pm f g). \quad (2.19)$$

Note that

$$f g q = q_+ - q_-, \quad (2.20)$$

i.e. under the map $f(\cdot)g$ the q_+ part is invariant, but the q_- part changes sign.

Both parts are two-dimensional, and span two completely orthogonal planes. For $f \neq \pm g$ the q_+ plane is spanned by two orthogonal quaternions $\{f - g, 1 + fg = -f(f - g)\}$, the q_- plane is e.g. spanned by $\{f + g, 1 - fg = -f(f + g)\}$. For $g = f$ a fully *orthonormal* four-dimensional basis of \mathbb{H} is (R acts as rotation operator (rotor))

$$\{1, f, \mathbf{j}', \mathbf{k}'\} = R^{-1}\{1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}\}R, \quad R = \mathbf{i}(\mathbf{i} + f), \quad (2.21)$$




FIGURE 1. Geometric pictures of the involutions $i(.)j$ and $f(.)g$ as half turns.

and the two orthogonal two-dimensional planes basis:

$$q_+\text{-basis: } \{j', k' = fj'\}, \quad q_-\text{-basis: } \{1, f\}. \quad (2.22)$$

Note the notation for normed vectors in [21] $\{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4\}$ for the resulting total *orthonormal basis of \mathbb{H}* . In (2.22), the q_- part commutes with f and is also known as simplex part of q , whereas the q_+ anticommutes with f , and $-q_+j'$ is known as perplex part of q , see [9].

Lemma 2.4 (Orthogonality of two OPS planes [16]). *Given any two quaternions q, p and applying the OPS with respect to any two pure unit quaternions f, g we get zero for the scalar part of the mixed products*

$$Sc(p_+ \tilde{q}_-) = 0, \quad Sc(p_- \tilde{q}_+) = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

Next we mention the possibility to perform a split along any given set of two (two-dimensional) analysis planes. It has been found, that any two-dimensional plane in \mathbb{R}^4 determines in an elementary way an OPS split and vice versa, compare Theorem 3.5 of [16].

Let us turn to the geometric interpretation of the map $f(.)g$. It *rotates* the q_- plane by 180° around the q_+ axis plane. This is in perfect agreement with Coxeter's notion of *half-turn* [5], see the right side of Fig. 1. The following *identities* hold

$$e^{\alpha f} q_{\pm} e^{\beta g} = q_{\pm} e^{(\beta \mp \alpha)g} = e^{(\alpha \mp \beta)f} q_{\pm}. \quad (2.24)$$

This leads to a straightforward geometric interpretation of the integrands of the quaternion Fourier transform (QFT or OPS-QFT) with two pure unit quaternions f, g in [16]. Particularly useful cases of (2.24) are $(\alpha, \beta) = (\pi/2, 0)$ and $(0, \pi/2)$:

$$f q_{\pm} = \mp q_{\pm} g, \quad q_{\pm} g = \mp f q_{\pm}. \quad (2.25)$$

We further note, that with respect to any pure unit quaternion $f \in \mathbb{H}$, $f^2 = -1$, every quaternion $A \in \mathbb{H}$ can be similarly split into *commuting* and *anticommuting* parts [17].

Lemma 2.5 (Commuting and anticommuting with pure unit quaternion [17]). *Every quaternion $A \in \mathbb{H}$ has, with respect to any pure unit quaternion $f \in \mathbb{H}$, $f^2 = -1$, i.e., $f^{-1} = -f$, the unique decomposition denoted by*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{+f} &= \frac{1}{2}(A + f^{-1}Af), & A_{-f} &= \frac{1}{2}(A - f^{-1}Af) \\ A &= A_{+f} + A_{-f}, & A_{+f}f &= fA_{+f}, & A_{-f}f &= -fA_{-f}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Note, that in Lemma 2.5 the commuting part A_{+f} is also known as simplex part of A , and the anticommuting part is up to a pure quaternion factor the perplex part of A , see [9].

3. The general two-sided QFT

Definition 3.1 (Two-sided QFT with respect to two pure unit quaternions f, g [16]). Let $f, g \in \mathbb{H}$, $f^2 = g^2 = -1$, be any two pure unit quaternions. The two-sided quaternion Fourier transform (QFT) with respect to f, g is

$$\mathcal{F}\{h\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{h\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-fx_1\omega_1} h(\mathbf{x}) e^{-gx_2\omega_2} d^2\mathbf{x}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$, $d^2\mathbf{x} = dx_1dx_2$ and $\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

The QFT can be inverted with

$$h(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\{h\}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{fx_1\omega_1} \mathcal{F}\{h\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) e^{gx_2\omega_2} d^2\boldsymbol{\omega}, \quad (3.2)$$

with $d^2\boldsymbol{\omega} = d\omega_1d\omega_2$.

Remark 3.2. *Note, that the general pair of pure unit quaternions f, g in Definition 3.1 includes orthogonal pairs $f \perp g$, non-orthogonal pairs $f \not\perp g$, and parallel pairs $f = \pm g$ (only one transform axis). In the rest of this paper the theorems will be valid for fully general pairs f, g , if not otherwise specified. To avoid clutter we often omit the indication of the pair f, g as in $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{f,g}$.*

Linearity of the integral (3.1) allows us to use the OPS split $h = h_- + h_+$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{h\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) &= \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{h_-\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) + \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{h_+\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \\ &= \mathcal{F}_-^{f,g}\{h\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) + \mathcal{F}_+^{f,g}\{h\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

since by its construction the operators of the QFT $\mathcal{F}^{f,g}$, and of the OPS with respect to f, g commute. From (2.24) follows

Theorem 3.3 (QFT of h_{\pm} [16]). *The QFT of the h_{\pm} OPS split parts, with respect to two linearly independent unit quaternions f, g , of a quaternion module function $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$ have the quasi-complex forms*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\pm}^{f,g}\{h\} &= \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{h_{\pm}\} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} h_{\pm} e^{-g(x_2\omega_2 \mp x_1\omega_1)} d^2x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-f(x_1\omega_1 \mp x_2\omega_2)} h_{\pm} d^2x. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

We further define for later use the following two mixed *exponential-sine* Fourier transforms

$$\mathcal{F}^{f,\pm s}\{h\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-fx_1\omega_1} h(\mathbf{x})(\pm 1) \sin(-x_2\omega_2) d^2\mathbf{x}, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{\pm s,g}\{h\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\pm 1) \sin(-x_1\omega_1) h(\mathbf{x}) e^{-gx_2\omega_2} d^2\mathbf{x}. \quad (3.6)$$

With the help of

$$\begin{aligned} \sin(-x_1\omega_1) &= \frac{f}{2}(e^{-fx_1\omega_1} - e^{fx_1\omega_1}), \\ \sin(-x_2\omega_2) &= \frac{g}{2}(e^{-gx_2\omega_2} - e^{gx_2\omega_2}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

we can rewrite the above mixed exponential-sine Fourier transforms in terms of the QFTs of Definition 3.1 as

$$\mathcal{F}^{f,\pm s}\{h\} = \pm(\mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{h\frac{g}{2}\} - \mathcal{F}^{f,-g}\{h\frac{g}{2}\}), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{\pm s,g}\{h\} = \pm(\mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{\frac{f}{2}h\} - \mathcal{F}^{-f,g}\{\frac{f}{2}h\}). \quad (3.9)$$

We further note the following useful relationships using the argument reflection of Notation 2.2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{-f,g}\{h\} &= \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{h^{(1,0)}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}^{f,-g}\{h\} &= \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{h^{(0,1)}\}, \\ \mathcal{F}^{-f,-g}\{h\} &= \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{h^{(1,1)}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

and similarly

$$\mathcal{F}^{f,-s}\{h\} = \mathcal{F}^{f,s}\{h^{(0,1)}\}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{-s,g}\{h\} = \mathcal{F}^{s,g}\{h^{(1,0)}\}. \quad (3.11)$$

4. Convolution and Mustard convolution

We define the *convolution* of two quaternion signals $a, b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{H})$ as

$$(a \star b)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} a(\mathbf{y})b(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})d^2\mathbf{y}, \quad (4.1)$$

provided that the integral exists.

The *Mustard* convolution [23] of two quaternion signals $a, b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{H})$ is defined as

$$(a \star_M b)(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathcal{F}^{f,g})^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{a\}\mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{b\}). \quad (4.2)$$

provided that the integral exists. The Mustard convolution has the conceptual and computational advantage to simply yield as spectrum in the QFT Fourier domain the *point wise product* of the QFTs of the two signals, just as the classical complex Fourier transform. On the other hand, by its very definition, the Mustard convolution *depends* on the choice of the pair of pure unit quaternions f, g in the Definition 3.1 of the QFT.

We additionally define a further type of *exponential-sine* Mustard convolution as

$$(a \star_{Ms} b)(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathcal{F}^{f,g})^{-1}(\mathcal{F}^{f,s}\{a\}\mathcal{F}^{s,g}\{b\}). \quad (4.3)$$

In the following two Subsections we will first express the convolution (4.1) in terms of the Mustard convolution (4.2) and vice versa.

4.1. Expressing the convolution in terms of the Mustard convolution

In this Subsection we assume the use of the two-sided QFT with two pure unit quaternion axis f, g . The definition of the classical convolution (4.1) is independent of application of a QFT. The Mustard convolutions of (4.2) and (4.3) depend on the definition of the QFT and in particular on the choice of the two pure unit quaternion axis f, g . Therefore it is meaningful in the following to distinguish the expression of the classical convolution in terms of Mustard convolutions for the three cases of general pairs f, g , of parallel $f \parallel g$ (i.e. $f = \pm g$), and of orthogonal $f \perp g$, which we consequently state in different theorems and corollaries.

In [6] Theorem 4.1 on page 584 expresses the classical convolution of two quaternion functions with the help of the general left-sided QFT as a sum of 40 Mustard convolutions. In our approach we use Theorem 5.12 on page 327 of [18], which expresses the convolution of two Clifford signal functions (higher dimensional generalizations of quaternion functions) in the Clifford Fourier domain with the help of the general two-sided Clifford Fourier transform (CFT), the latter is in turn a generalization of the QFT. We restate this theorem here again, specialized for quaternion functions and the QFT of Definition 3.1.

Theorem 4.1 (QFT of convolution). *Assuming a general pair of unit pure quaternions f, g , the general two-sided QFT of the convolution (4.1) of two functions $a, b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{H})$ can then be expressed as*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{a \star b\} = & \\ & \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{a_{+f}\}\mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{b_{+g}\} + \mathcal{F}^{f,-g}\{a_{+f}\}\mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{b_{-g}\} \\ & + \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{a_{-f}\}\mathcal{F}^{-f,g}\{b_{+g}\} + \mathcal{F}^{f,-g}\{a_{-f}\}\mathcal{F}^{-f,g}\{b_{-g}\} \\ & + \mathcal{F}^{f,s}\{a_{+f}\}[f, g]\mathcal{F}^{s,g}\{b_{+g}\} + \mathcal{F}^{f,-s}\{a_{+f}\}[f, g]\mathcal{F}^{s,g}\{b_{-g}\} \\ & + \mathcal{F}^{f,s}\{a_{-f}\}[f, g]\mathcal{F}^{-s,g}\{b_{+g}\} + \mathcal{F}^{f,-s}\{a_{-f}\}[f, g]\mathcal{F}^{-s,g}\{b_{-g}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Note that due to the commutation properties of (3.5) and (3.6) we can place the commutator $[f, g]$ also inside the exponential-sine transform terms as e.g. in

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{f,s}\{a_{+f}\}[f, g]\mathcal{F}^{s,g}\{b_{+g}\} &= \mathcal{F}^{f,s}\{a_{+f}[f, g]\}\mathcal{F}^{s,g}\{b_{+g}\} \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{f,s}\{a_{+f}\}\mathcal{F}^{s,g}\{[f, g]b_{+g}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

For the special case of parallel unit pure quaternions $f = \pm g$, the commutator vanishes $[f, g] = 0$, and we get the following corollary. Note that in this case $b_{\pm g} = b_{\pm f}$.

Corollary 4.2 (QFT of convolution with $f \parallel g$). *Assuming a parallel pair of unit pure quaternions $f = \pm g$, the general two-sided QFT of the convolution (4.1) of two functions $a, b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{H})$ can be expressed as*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{a \star b\} = & \\ & \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{a_{+f}\}\mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{b_{+f}\} + \mathcal{F}^{f,-g}\{a_{+f}\}\mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{b_{-f}\} \\ & + \mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{a_{-f}\}\mathcal{F}^{-f,g}\{b_{+f}\} + \mathcal{F}^{f,-g}\{a_{-f}\}\mathcal{F}^{-f,g}\{b_{-f}\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

We can now easily express the convolution of two quaternion signals $\mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{a \star b\}(\omega)$ in terms of only eight Mustard convolutions (4.2) and (4.3).

Theorem 4.3 (Convolution in terms of two types of Mustard convolution). *Assuming a general pair of unit pure quaternions f, g , the convolution (4.1) of two quaternion functions $a, b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{H})$ can be expressed in terms of four Mustard convolutions (4.2) and four exponential-sine Mustard convolutions (4.3) as*

$$\begin{aligned} a \star b = & a_{+f} \star_M b_{+g} + a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-g} + a_{-f} \star_M b_{+g}^{(1,0)} + a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-g}^{(1,0)} \\ & + a_{+f} \star_{Ms} [f, g] b_{+g} + a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_{Ms} [f, g] b_{-g} \\ & + a_{-f} \star_{Ms} [f, g] b_{+g}^{(1,0)} + a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_{Ms} [f, g] b_{-g}^{(1,0)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Remark 4.4. *We use the convention, that terms such as $a_{+f} \star_{Ms} [f, g] b_{+g}$, should be understood with brackets $a_{+f} \star_{Ms} ([f, g] b_{+g})$, which are omitted to avoid clutter.*

Assuming $f \parallel g$, the standard Mustard convolution is sufficient to express the classical convolution.

Corollary 4.5 (Convolution in terms of Mustard convolution with parallel axis). *Assuming a parallel pair of unit pure quaternions $f \parallel g$, the convolution (4.1) of two quaternion functions $a, b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{H})$ can be expressed in terms of four Mustard convolutions (4.2) as*

$$a \star b = a_{+f} \star_M b_{+f} + a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-f} + a_{-f} \star_M b_{+f}^{(1,0)} + a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-f}^{(1,0)} \quad (4.8)$$

Furthermore, applying (3.8) and (3.9), we can expand the terms in (4.4) with exponential-sine transforms into sums of products of QFTs. For example, the first term gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}^{f,s}\{a_{+f}\}[f, g] \mathcal{F}^{s,g}\{b_{+g}\} \\ = & \frac{1}{4} (\mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{a_{+f}g\} - \mathcal{F}^{f,-g}\{a_{+f}g\}) (\mathcal{F}^{f,g}\{f[f, g]b_{+g}\} - \mathcal{F}^{-f,g}\{f[f, g]b_{+g}\}) \\ = & \frac{1}{4} \left(\mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}g\} \mathcal{F}\{f[f, g]b_{+g}\} - \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}g\} \mathcal{F}\{f[f, g]b_{+g}^{(1,0)}\} \right. \\ & \left. - \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}^{(0,1)}g\} \mathcal{F}\{f[f, g]b_{+g}\} + \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}^{(0,1)}g\} \mathcal{F}\{f[f, g]b_{+g}^{(1,0)}\} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

For the special case of orthogonal pure unit quaternions $f \perp g$, for example $f = \mathbf{i}$, $g = \mathbf{k}$ [8, 12, 13], or in the generalization to space-time $f = e_4 = e_t$,

$g = e_1 e_2 e_3 = i_3$ in $Cl(3, 1)$ [12, 13], equation (4.9) can be further simplified. Assuming $f \perp g$, we have

$$[f, g] = 2fg \quad f[f, g] = 2ffg = -2g, \quad (4.10)$$

and we can simplify (4.9) to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^{f,s}\{a_{+f}\}[f, g]\mathcal{F}^{s,g}\{b_{+g}\} &= 2\mathcal{F}^{f,s}\{a_{+f}\}fg\mathcal{F}^{s,g}\{b_{+g}\} \\ &= \frac{2}{4} \left(\mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}g\}\mathcal{F}\{(-g)b_{+g}\} - \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}g\}\mathcal{F}\{(-g)b_{+g}^{(1,0)}\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}^{(0,1)}g\}\mathcal{F}\{(-g)b_{+g}\} + \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}^{(0,1)}g\}\mathcal{F}\{(-g)b_{+g}^{(1,0)}\} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}\}\mathcal{F}\{b_{+g}^{(1,0)}\} - \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}\}\mathcal{F}\{b_{+g}\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}^{(0,1)}\}\mathcal{F}\{b_{+g}^{(1,0)}\} + \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}^{(0,1)}\}\mathcal{F}\{b_{+g}\} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}g\}\mathcal{F}\{(-g)b_{+g}\} &= \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}\}g(-g)\mathcal{F}\{b_{+g}^{(1,0)}\} = \mathcal{F}\{a_{+f}\}\mathcal{F}\{b_{+g}^{(1,0)}\}, \\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where we applied for the first equality that for $f \perp g$,

$$e^{\alpha f}g = ge^{-\alpha f}. \quad (4.13)$$

In general equation (4.9) allows us in turn to express the quaternion signal convolution purely in terms of standard Mustard convolutions.

Theorem 4.6 (Convolution in terms of Mustard convolution). *Assuming a general pair of unit pure quaternions f, g , the convolution (4.1) of two quaternion functions $a, b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{H})$ can be expressed in terms of twenty standard Mustard convolutions (4.2) as*

$$\begin{aligned} a \star b &= a_{+f} \star_M b_{+g} + a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-g} + a_{-f} \star_M b_{+g}^{(1,0)} + a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-g}^{(1,0)} \quad (4.14) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (a_{+f}g \star_M fcb_{+g} - a_{+f}g \star_M fcb_{+g}^{(1,0)} - a_{+f}^{(0,1)}g \star_M fcb_{+g} + a_{+f}^{(0,1)}g \star_M fcb_{+g}^{(1,0)}) \\ &+ a_{+f}^{(0,1)}g \star_M fcb_{-g} - a_{+f}^{(0,1)}g \star_M fcb_{-g}^{(1,0)} - a_{+f}g \star_M fcb_{-g} + a_{+f}g \star_M fcb_{-g}^{(1,0)} \\ &+ a_{-f}g \star_M fcb_{+g}^{(1,0)} - a_{-f}g \star_M fcb_{+g} - a_{-f}^{(0,1)}g \star_M fcb_{+g}^{(1,0)} + a_{-f}^{(0,1)}g \star_M fcb_{+g} \\ &+ a_{-f}^{(0,1)}g \star_M fcb_{-g}^{(1,0)} - a_{-f}^{(0,1)}g \star_M fcb_{-g} - a_{-f}g \star_M fcb_{-g}^{(1,0)} + a_{-f}g \star_M fcb_{-g}), \end{aligned}$$

with the abbreviation $c = [f, g]$.

Assuming $f \perp g$, we can eliminate the commutators $c = [f, g]$ with the help of (4.11) in Theorem 4.6, which after cancellations leaves only sixteen

terms.

$$\begin{aligned}
a \star b = & \tag{4.15} \\
& \frac{1}{2} (a_{+f} \star_M b_{+g}^{(1,0)} + a_{+f} \star_M b_{+g} - a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{+g}^{(1,0)} + a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{+g} \\
& + a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-g}^{(1,0)} + a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-g} - a_{+f} \star_M b_{-g}^{(1,0)} + a_{+f} \star_M b_{-g} \\
& + a_{-f} \star_M b_{+g} + a_{-f} \star_M b_{+g}^{(1,0)} - a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{+g} + a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{+g}^{(1,0)} \\
& + a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-g} + a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-g}^{(1,0)} - a_{-f} \star_M b_{-g} + a_{-f} \star_M b_{-g}^{(1,0)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we can combine four pairs of OPS split terms, e.g.,

$$a_{+f} \star_M b_{+g} + a_{+f} \star_M b_{-g} = a_{+f} \star_M b, \text{ etc.} \tag{4.16}$$

This leaves only twelve terms for expressing a classical convolution in terms of a Mustard convolution, assuming $f \perp g$

$$\begin{aligned}
a \star b = & \\
& \frac{1}{2} (a_{+f} \star_M b_{+g}^{(1,0)} + a_{+f} \star_M b - a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{+g}^{(1,0)} + a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b \\
& + a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-g}^{(1,0)} - a_{+f} \star_M b_{-g}^{(1,0)} \\
& + a_{-f} \star_M b_{+g} + a_{-f} \star_M b^{(1,0)} - a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{+g} + a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b^{(1,0)} \\
& + a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b_{-g} - a_{-f} \star_M b_{-g}). \tag{4.17}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we can combine with the help of the involution $f()g$ of (2.20) four pairs of terms like

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_{+f} \star_M b_{+g}^{(1,0)} - a_{+f} \star_M b_{-g}^{(1,0)} = a_{+f} \star_M [b_{+g}^{(1,0)} - b_{-g}^{(1,0)}] \\
& = a_{+f} \star_M (f[b_{+g}^{(1,0)} + b_{-g}^{(1,0)}]g) = a_{+f} \star_M fb^{(1,0)}g, \tag{4.18}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the final result we omit the round brackets, i.e. we understand $a_{+f} \star_M fb^{(1,0)}g = a_{+f} \star_M (fb^{(1,0)}g)$. This in turn leaves only eight terms for expressing a classical convolution in terms of a Mustard convolution, assuming $f \perp g$.

$$\begin{aligned}
a \star b = & \frac{1}{2} (a_{+f} \star_M fb^{(1,0)}g + a_{+f} \star_M b - a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M fb^{(1,0)}g + a_{+f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b \\
& + a_{-f} \star_M fbg + a_{-f} \star_M b^{(1,0)} - a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M fbg + a_{-f}^{(0,1)} \star_M b^{(1,0)}). \tag{4.19}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we note, that (4.19) contains pairs of functions $a_{\pm f}$ with unreflected and reflected second argument. Adding these pairs leads to even \oplus or odd \ominus symmetry in the second argument. That is we combine, e.g.

$$a_{+f}^{\oplus} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{+f} + a_{+f}^{(0,1)}), \quad a_{+f}^{\ominus} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{+f} - a_{+f}^{(0,1)}). \tag{4.20}$$

This allows us for $f \perp g$, to write the classical convolution in terms of just four Mustard convolutions.

Theorem 4.7 (Convolution in terms of Mustard convolution with orthogonal axis). *Assuming an orthogonal pair of unit pure quaternions f, g , with $f \perp g$,*

the convolution (4.1) of two quaternion functions $a, b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{H})$ can be expressed in terms of four standard Mustard convolutions (4.2) as

$$a \star b = \frac{1}{2} (a_{+f}^{\ominus} \star_M f b^{(1,0)} g + a_{+f}^{\oplus} \star_M b + a_{-f}^{\ominus} \star_M f b g + a_{-f}^{\oplus} \star_M b^{(1,0)}). \quad (4.21)$$

4.2. Expressing the Mustard convolution in terms of the convolution

Now we will simply write out the Mustard convolution (4.2) and simplify it until only standard convolutions (4.1) remain. In this Subsection we will use the general OPS split of Definition 2.3. Our result should be compared with the Theorem 2.5 on page 584 of [6] for the left-sided QFT with 32 classical convolutions for expressing the Mustard convolution of quaternion functions.

We begin by writing the Mustard convolution (4.2) of two quaternion functions $a, b \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{H})$

$$\begin{aligned} a \star_M b(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{f x_1 \omega_1} \mathcal{F}\{a\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \mathcal{F}\{b\}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) e^{g x_2 \omega_2} d^2 \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-f x_1 \omega_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-f y_1 \omega_1} a(\mathbf{y}) e^{-g y_2 \omega_2} d^2 \mathbf{y} \\ &\quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-f z_1 \omega_1} b(\mathbf{z}) e^{-g z_2 \omega_2} d^2 \mathbf{z} e^{g x_2 \omega_2} d^2 \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{f(x_1 - y_1) \omega_1} (a_+(\mathbf{y}) + a_-(\mathbf{y})) e^{-g y_2 \omega_2} \\ &\quad e^{-f z_1 \omega_1} (b_+(\mathbf{z}) + b_-(\mathbf{z})) e^{g(x_2 - z_2) \omega_2} d^2 \mathbf{y} d^2 \mathbf{z} d^2 \boldsymbol{\omega}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Next, we use the identities (2.24) in order to shift the inner factor $e^{-g y_2 \omega_2}$ to the left and $e^{-f z_1 \omega_1}$ to the right, respectively. We abbreviate $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ to \iiint .

$$\begin{aligned} a \star_M b(\mathbf{x}) &= \quad (4.23) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1 - y_1) \omega_1} e^{f y_2 \omega_2} a_+(\mathbf{y}) b_+(\mathbf{z}) e^{g z_1 \omega_1} e^{g(x_2 - z_2) \omega_2} d^2 \mathbf{y} d^2 \mathbf{z} d^2 \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1 - y_1) \omega_1} e^{f y_2 \omega_2} a_+(\mathbf{y}) b_-(\mathbf{z}) e^{-g z_1 \omega_1} e^{g(x_2 - z_2) \omega_2} d^2 \mathbf{y} d^2 \mathbf{z} d^2 \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1 - y_1) \omega_1} e^{-f y_2 \omega_2} a_-(\mathbf{y}) b_+(\mathbf{z}) e^{g z_1 \omega_1} e^{g(x_2 - z_2) \omega_2} d^2 \mathbf{y} d^2 \mathbf{z} d^2 \boldsymbol{\omega} \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1 - y_1) \omega_1} e^{-f y_2 \omega_2} a_-(\mathbf{y}) b_-(\mathbf{z}) e^{-g z_1 \omega_1} e^{g(x_2 - z_2) \omega_2} d^2 \mathbf{y} d^2 \mathbf{z} d^2 \boldsymbol{\omega} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we abbreviate the inner function products as $ab_{\pm\pm}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) := a_{\pm}(\mathbf{y}) b_{\pm}(\mathbf{z})$, and apply the general OPS split of Definition 2.3 once again to obtain $ab_{\pm\pm}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = [ab_{\pm\pm}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})]_{+} + [ab_{\pm\pm}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})]_{-} = ab_{\pm\pm}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})_{+} + ab_{\pm\pm}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})_{-}$. We omit the square brackets and use the convention that the final OPS split indicated by the final \pm index should be performed last. This allows to further apply (2.24) again in order to shift the factors $e^{\pm g z_1 \omega_1}$

$e^{g(x_2-z_2)\omega_2}$ to the left. We end up with the following eight terms

$$\begin{aligned}
a \star_M b(\mathbf{x}) &= \tag{4.24} \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1-y_1-z_1)\omega_1} e^{f(y_2-(x_2-z_2))\omega_2} ab_{++}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})_+ d^2\mathbf{y} d^2\mathbf{z} d^2\omega \\
&+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1-y_1+z_1)\omega_1} e^{f(y_2+(x_2-z_2))\omega_2} ab_{++}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})_- d^2\mathbf{y} d^2\mathbf{z} d^2\omega \\
&+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1-y_1+z_1)\omega_1} e^{f(y_2-(x_2-z_2))\omega_2} ab_{+-}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})_+ d^2\mathbf{y} d^2\mathbf{z} d^2\omega \\
&+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1-y_1-z_1)\omega_1} e^{f(y_2+(x_2-z_2))\omega_2} ab_{+-}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})_- d^2\mathbf{y} d^2\mathbf{z} d^2\omega \\
&+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1-y_1-z_1)\omega_1} e^{f(-y_2-(x_2-z_2))\omega_2} ab_{-+}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})_+ d^2\mathbf{y} d^2\mathbf{z} d^2\omega \\
&+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1-y_1+z_1)\omega_1} e^{f(-y_2+(x_2-z_2))\omega_2} ab_{-+}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})_- d^2\mathbf{y} d^2\mathbf{z} d^2\omega \\
&+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1-y_1+z_1)\omega_1} e^{f(-y_2-(x_2-z_2))\omega_2} ab_{--}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})_+ d^2\mathbf{y} d^2\mathbf{z} d^2\omega \\
&+ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1-y_1-z_1)\omega_1} e^{f(-y_2+(x_2-z_2))\omega_2} ab_{--}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z})_- d^2\mathbf{y} d^2\mathbf{z} d^2\omega.
\end{aligned}$$

We now only show explicitly how to simplify the second triple integral, the others follow the same pattern.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iiint e^{f(x_1-y_1+z_1)\omega_1} e^{f(y_2+(x_2-z_2))\omega_2} [a_+(\mathbf{y})b_+(\mathbf{z})]_- d^2\mathbf{y} d^2\mathbf{z} d^2\omega \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \iint \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{f(x_1-y_1+z_1)\omega_1} d\omega_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{f(y_2+(x_2-z_2))\omega_2} d\omega_2 [a_+(\mathbf{y})b_+(\mathbf{z})]_- d^2\mathbf{y} d^2\mathbf{z} \\
&= \iint \delta(x_1 - y_1 + z_1) \delta(y_2 + (x_2 - z_2)) [a_+(\mathbf{y})b_+(z_1, z_2)]_- d^2\mathbf{y} d^2\mathbf{z} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [a_+(\mathbf{y})b_+(-(x_1 - y_1), x_2 + y_2)]_- d^2\mathbf{y} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [a_+(\mathbf{y})b_+(-(x_1 - y_1), -(x_2 - y_2))]_- d^2\mathbf{y} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [a_+(\mathbf{y})b_+^{(1,1)}(x_1 - y_1, -x_2 - y_2)]_- d^2\mathbf{y} \\
&= [a_+ \star b_+^{(1,1)}(x_1, -x_2)]_-. \tag{4.25}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $a_+ \star b_+^{(1,1)}(x_1, -x_2)$ means to first apply the convolution to the pair of functions a_+ and $b_+^{(1,1)}$, and only then to evaluate them with the argument $(-x_1, x_2)$. So in general $a_+ \star b_+^{(1,1)}(x_1, -x_2) \neq a_+ \star b_+(-x_1, x_2)$. Simplifying the other seven triple integrals similarly we finally obtain the desired decomposition of the Mustard convolution (4.2) in terms of the classical convolution.

Theorem 4.8 (Mustard convolution in terms of standard convolution). *The Mustard convolution (4.2) of two quaternion functions $a, b \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{H})$ can be expressed in terms of eight standard convolutions (4.1) as*

$$\begin{aligned}
a \star_M b(\mathbf{x}) &= \\
&= [a_+ \star b_+(\mathbf{x})]_+ + [a_+ \star b_+^{(1,1)}(x_1, -x_2)]_- \\
&\quad + [a_+ \star b_-^{(1,0)}(\mathbf{x})]_+ + [a_+ \star b_-^{(0,1)}(x_1, -x_2)]_- \\
&\quad + [a_- \star b_+^{(0,1)}(x_1, -x_2)]_+ + [a_- \star b_+^{(1,0)}(\mathbf{x})]_- \\
&\quad + [a_- \star b_-^{(1,1)}(x_1, -x_2)]_+ + [a_- \star b_-(\mathbf{x})]_-. \tag{4.26}
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.9. *If we would explicitly insert according Definition 2.3 $a_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(a \pm fag)$ and $b_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(b \pm fbg)$, and similarly explicitly insert the second level OPS split $[\dots]_{\pm}$, we would obtain up to a maximum of 64 terms. It is therefore obvious how significant and efficient the use of the general OPS split is in this context.*

5. Conclusion

In this paper we have briefly reviewed the algebra of quaternions, their general orthogonal two-dimensional planes split, the general two-sided quaternion Fourier transform, and introduced a related mixed quaternionic exponential-sine Fourier transform. We defined the notions of convolution of two quaternion valued functions over \mathbb{R}^2 , the Mustard convolution (with its QFT as the point wise product of the QFTs of the factor functions), and a special Mustard convolution involving the point wise products of mixed exponential-sine QFTs.

The main results are: An efficient decomposition of the classical convolution of quaternion signals in terms of eight Mustard type convolutions. For the special cases of two parallel (or orthogonal) pure unit quaternion axis in the QFT, only four terms of the standard Mustard convolution are sufficient. Even in the case of two general pure unit quaternion axis in the QFT, the classical convolution of two quaternion signals can always be fully expanded in terms of standard Mustard convolutions. Finally we showed how to fully generally expand the Mustard convolution of two quaternion signals in terms of eight classical convolutions.

In view of the many applications of the QFT explained in the introduction, we expect our new results to be of great interest, especially for filter design and feature extraction in signal and color image processing.

Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank God: *In the beginning God created the heavens and the earth* [10]. He further thanks his family for their kind support, Hiroshi

Suzuki for his gift of friendship, and numerous coffee shops in Tokyo for providing shelter while working.

References

- [1] P. Bas, N. Le Bihan and J.M. Chassery, *Color image watermarking using quaternion Fourier transform*. In Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 2003. Proceedings (ICASSP03). 2003 IEEE International Conference on (Vol. 3, pp. III-521).
- [2] E. Bayro-Corrochano, *The theory and use of the quaternion wavelet transform*. J. Math. Imaging Vision, Vol. 24 (2006), pp. 19–35.
- [3] E. Bayro-Corrochano, N. Trujillo and M. Naranjo, *Quaternion Fourier descriptors for the preprocessing and recognition of spoken words using images of spatiotemporal representations*. J. Math. Imaging Vision, Vol. 28 (2007), pp. 179–190.
- [4] T. Bülow, *Hypercomplex Spectral Signal Representations for the Processing and Analysis of Images*. PhD Thesis, Univ. of Kiel, 1999.
- [5] H.S.M. Coxeter, *Quaternions and Reflections*, The American Mathematical Monthly, **53**(3) (Mar., 1946), pp. 136–146.
- [6] H. De Bie, N. De Schepper, T.A. Ell, K. Rubrecht and S.J. Sangwine, *Connecting spatial and frequency domains for the quaternion Fourier transform*, Applied Mathematics and Computation, Vol. 271, (2015) pp. 581–593.
- [7] P. Denis, P. Carré and C. Fernandez-Maloigne, *Spatial and spectral quaternionic approaches for colour images*. Computer Vision and Image Understanding, Vol. 107 (2007), pp. 74–87.
- [8] T.A. Ell, *Quaternionic-Fourier Transform for Analysis of Two-dimensional Linear Time-Invariant Partial Differential Systems*. in Proceedings of the 32nd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, December 15-17, **2** (1993), pp. 1830–1841.
- [9] T.A. Ell and S.J. Sangwine, *Hypercomplex Fourier Transforms of Color Images*. IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, Vol. 16, No. 1, Jan. 2007.
- [10] *Genesis chapter 1 verse 1*, in The Holy Bible, English Standard Version, Crossway Bibles, Good News Publishers, Wheaton, Illinois, 2001.
- [11] C. Guo and L. Zhang, *A novel multiresolution spatiotemporal saliency detection model and its applications in image and video compression*. IEEE Trans. Image Process, Vol. 19 (2010), pp. 185–198.
- [12] E. Hitzer, *Quaternion Fourier Transform on Quaternion Fields and Generalizations*, Adv. in App. Cliff. Alg., **17** (2007), pp. 497–517. DOI: 10.1007/s00006-007-0037-8 , preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.1023> .
- [13] E. Hitzer, *Directional Uncertainty Principle for Quaternion Fourier Transforms*, Adv. in App. Cliff. Alg., **20**(2) (2010), pp. 271–284. DOI: 10.1007/s00006-009-0175-2 , preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.1276> .
- [14] E. Hitzer, *OPS-QFTs: A new type of quaternion Fourier transforms based on the orthogonal planes split with one or two general pure quaternions*. In Numerical Analysis and Applied Mathematics ICNAAM 2011, AIP Conf. Proc. 1389, pp. 280–283 (2011); DOI: 10.1063/1.3636721 , preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.1650> .

- [15] E. Hitzer, *Creative Peace License*, <http://gaupdate.wordpress.com/2011/12/14/the-creative-peace-license-14-dec-2011/>
- [16] E. Hitzer and S.J. Sangwine, *The orthogonal 2D planes split of quaternions and steerable quaternion Fourier transformations*, in: E. Hitzer and S.J. Sangwine (Eds.), *Quaternion and Clifford Fourier Transforms and Wavelets*, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 2013, pp. 15–40. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0603-9_2 , preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.2157> .
- [17] E. Hitzer, J. Helmstetter and R. Ablamowicz, *Square Roots of -1 in Real Clifford Algebras*, in: E. Hitzer and S.J. Sangwine (Eds.), *Quaternion and Clifford Fourier Transforms and Wavelets*, Trends in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 2013, pp. 123–153, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0603-9_7, preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1204.4576> .
- [18] E. Hitzer, *Two-Sided Clifford Fourier Transform with Two Square Roots of -1 in $Cl(p, q)$* Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras, Vol. 24 (2014), pp. 313–332, DOI: 10.1007/s00006-014-0441-9 , preprint: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.2092> .
- [19] E. Hitzer, *The orthogonal planes split of quaternions and its relation to quaternion geometry of rotations*, IOP Jour. of Phys.: Conf. Ser. (JPCS) Vol. 597 (2015) 012042. DOI: 10.1088/1742-6596/597/1/012042. Open Acc. URL: http://iopscience.iop.org/1742-6596/597/1/012042/pdf/1742-6596_597_1_012042.pdf, preprint: <http://vixra.org/abs/1411.0362> .
- [20] L. Jin, H. Liu, X. Xu and E. Song, *Quaternion-based impulse noise removal from color video sequences*. IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology, Vol. 23, 741-755, 2013.
- [21] L. Meister and H. Schaeben, *A concise quaternion geometry of rotations*, Math. Meth. in the Appl. Sci. 28, pp. 101–126, 2005.
- [22] C.E. Moxey, S.J. Sangwine and T.A. Ell, *Hypercomplex correlation techniques for vector images*. IEEE Trans. Signal Process, Vol. 51 (2003), pp. 1941–1953.
- [23] D. Mustard, *Fractional convolution*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. Ser. B Vol. 40 (1998), pp. 257–265.
- [24] S.J. Sangwine, *Color image edge detector based on quaternion convolution*. Electron. Lett., Vol. 34 (1998), pp. 969–971.
- [25] S.J. Sangwine, *Fourier transforms of colour images using quaternion, or hypercomplex, numbers*, Electronics Letters, **32**(21) (1996), pp. 1979–1980.
- [26] R. Souillard and P. Carré, *Quaternionic wavelets for texture classification*. Pattern Recognition Lett., Vol. 32 (2011), pp. 1669–1678.

Eckhard Hitzer

Osawa 3-10-4,

House M 472, 181-0015 Mitaka, Tokyo, Japan.

e-mail: hitzer@icu.ac.jp

Eckhard Hitzer, General two-sided quaternion Fourier transform, convolution and Mustard convolution, submitted on 15 Jan. 2016 to Special Issue of Adv. in App. Cliff. Algs. Revised 21 Jan. 2016.