

A denial does not match a scientific approach.

Maybe the lack of results for several years... is an experimental result.

ICHEP: (International Conference of High Energy Physics), 21-22 September 2017 has produced no publication, no information. The previous ICHEP in August 2016 was almost too silent (see article from 10/08/2016: on my blog).

Never in the present world, physicists of high energy physics, of elementary particles, have had instruments and detectors as powerful and as sensitive to their provisions. Never, they were able to accumulate as much data per week, with such high amounts. But here, **they cannot extract any new significant physics information**, these physicists have nothing to tell us, for the moment they are dumb.

Despite myself, I had been obliged to be very critical early January 2016 on the point of a very large passivity of Fabiola Gianetti (Director General of CERN): "If new physics is there, we can discover it, but it's in the hands of nature." I said: "First of all, we need to have well-placed thought, good theory."

No scientific attitude cannot justify denial, and on the contrary the right attitude, it is to make a comprehensive assessment. That's obviously what we are entitled to expect because the contribution of European citizens to very significant money providing the investment and operation of CERN, who should not be given in question, must be regularly justified. And physicists have also the task to participate in the cultural elevation of populations.

No denial is justified!

Maybe the lack of results for several years... is a significant experimental result. In fact, for a long time I formulated the hypothesis of the blind spot of human intelligence that matches the events below in the order of $10^{-25}; -26$ s, either, if you convert in terms of space track of the order of $10^{-17}; -18$ m, what I had even pointed out in the article on 21/07/2017.

Indeed, evoke the idea of the blind spot of human intelligence is very (too) iconoclastic, yet I rely basically on a realistic assumption, which is that of the '**Presence**' undoubtedly of the cognitive being who conjecture to understand and tell what is the physical world. And then there is this result confirmed several times that the human being needs on the order of half a

second to be aware of... something. So why, how, because of the intermittent operation of our consciousness, could arise a continuous operation of our intellectual abilities on a topic?

Ref: viXra 1211.0149 and 1301.0157. My blog: mc2est-cesuffisant.overblog.com