Proof that there are no odd perfect numbers

Kouji Takaki

June 24th, 2019

#### 1. Abstract

For y to be a perfect number, if one of the prime factors is p, the exponent of p is an integer  $n(n \ge 1)$ , the prime factors other than p are  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots p_r$  and the even exponent of  $p_k$  is  $q_k$ ,

$$y/p^n = (1+p+p^2+\cdots+p^n) \prod_{k=1}^r (1+p_k+p_k^2+\cdots+p_k^{q_k})/(2p^n) = \prod_{k=1}^r p_k^{q_k}$$

must be satisfied. Let *m* be non negative integer and *q* be positive integer,

$$n = 4m + 1$$
$$p = 4q + 1$$

Letting *b* and *c* be odd integers, satisfying following expressions,

$$b = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{q_k}$$

$$c = \prod_{k=1}^{r} (1 + p_k + p_k^2 + \dots + p_k^{q_k})/p^n$$

$$2b = c(p^n + \dots + 1)$$

is established. This is a known content. If we define odd integers  $a_x$ ,  $b_x$  as below,

$$a_x = \prod_{k=1}^{r(x)} (p_k^{q_k} + \dots + 1)$$
$$b_x = \prod_{k=1}^{r(x)} p_k^{q_k}$$

It is assumed that  $a_1/a_2 \neq b_1/b_2$  holds when  $b_1 \neq b_2$ . Here, it is assumed that the prime number  $p_k$  and its exponent  $q_k$  do not have to be the same value for  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . By the consideration of this research paper, if this supposition is correct, since it turns out that there is a solution at most one when a is a multiple of  $p^n$  and at this time the value of b diverges to infinity, we have obtained the conclusion that there are no odd perfect numbers.

#### 2. Introduction

The perfect number is one in which the sum of the divisors other than itself is the same value as itself, and the smallest perfect number is

$$1 + 2 + 3 = 6$$

It is 6. Whether an odd perfect number exists or not is currently an unsolved problem.

#### 3. Proof

An odd perfect number is y, one of them is an odd prime number p, an exponent of p is an integer n ( $n \ge 1$ ). Let  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots p_r$  be the odd prime numbers of factors other than p,  $q_k$  the index of  $p_k$ , and variable a be the sum of product combinations other than prime p.

$$a = \prod_{k=1}^{r} (1 + p_k + p_k^2 + \dots + p_k^{q_k}) \dots \textcircled{1}$$

The number of terms N of variable a is

$$N = \prod_{k=1}^{r} (q_k + 1) \dots 2$$

When y is a perfect number,

$$y = a(1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^n) - y (n > 0)$$

is established.

$$a \sum_{k=0}^{n} p^{k} / 2 = y$$
$$a \sum_{k=0}^{n} p^{k} / (2p^{n}) = y/p^{n} \dots 3$$

# 3.1. If $q_k$ has at least one odd integer

Letting the number of terms where  $q_k$  is an odd integer be a positive integer u, because  $y/p^n = \prod_{k=1}^r p_k^{q_k}$  is an odd integer, the denominator on the left side of expression ③ has a prime factor 2, from expression ② variable a has more than u prime factor 2 and variable a is an even integer. Therefore  $\sum_{k=0}^n p^k$  must be an odd integer, n is an even integer and u is 1.

# 3.2. When all $q_k$ are even integers

 $y/p^n$  is an odd integer, the denominator on the left side of expression ③ is an even integer, and since N is and odd integer when  $q_k$  are all even integers, variable a is and odd integer. Therefore  $\sum_{k=0}^n p^k$  is necessary to include one prime factor 2,  $\sum_{k=0}^n p^k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  is established, and n must be an odd integer.

From 3.1, 3.2, in order to have an odd perfect number, only one exponent of the prime factor of y must be an odd integer and variable a must be an odd integer. We consider the case of 3.2 below.

In order for y to be a perfect number, the following expression must be established.

$$y/p^n = (1+p+p^2+\cdots+p^n) \prod_{k=1}^r (1+p_k+{p_k}^2+\cdots+{p_k}^{q_k})/(2p^n) = \prod_{k=1}^r p_k^{q_k}$$

However,  $q_1, q_2, ..., q_r$  are all even integers.

Here, let b be an integer

$$b = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{q_k} \dots \textcircled{4}$$

A following expression is established.

$$y/p^n = a(1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^n)/(2p^n) = b$$

$$a(p^{n+1}-1)/(2(p-1)p^n) = b$$

$$(a-2b)p^{n+1} + 2bp^n - a = 0 \dots 5$$

Because it is an n+1 order equation of p, the solution of the odd prime p is n+1 at most.

$$(ap - 2bp + 2b)p^n = a$$

Since ap - 2bp + 2b is an odd integer,  $a/p^n$  is an odd integer, which is c.

$$ap - 2bp + 2b = c (c > 0) \dots$$

$$(2b - a)p = 2b - c$$

Since variable a is an odd integer, 2b-a is an odd integer and  $2b-a \neq 0$  p = (2b-c)/(2b-a)

Since  $n \ge 1$ 

$$a - c = cp^n - c \ge cp - c > 0$$

a > c

is.

From equation 6

$$2b(p-1) - (ap - c) = 0$$

$$2b - c(p^{n+1} - 1)/(p - 1) = 0$$

 $(p^n + \cdots + 1)/2$  is an odd integer, n = 4m + 1 is required with m as an integer.

$$2b(p-1) = c(p^{n+1} - 1)$$

$$2b = c(p^n + \dots + 1)$$

$$2b = c(p+1)(p^{n-1} + p^{n-3} + \dots + 1) \dots$$

b is an odd integer when p + 1 is not a multiple of 4. It is necessary that p - 1 be a multiple of 4. A positive integer is taken as q.

$$p = 4q + 1$$

is established.

When p > 1

$$p^n - 1 < p^n$$

$$(p^n - 1)/(p - 1) < p^n/(p - 1)$$

$$p^{n-1} + \dots + 1 < p^n/(p-1) \dots \otimes$$

Since p is an odd prime number satisfying p = 4q + 1 and  $p \ge 5$ 

$$p^{n-1} + \cdots + 1 < p^n/4$$

$$2b - a = c(p^n + \dots + 1) - cp^n = c(p^{n-1} + \dots + 1)$$

$$2b - a < cp^{n}/4 = a/4$$

Let  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  be integers and if

$$a_k = 1 + p_k + p_k^2 + \dots + p_k^{q_k}, \ b_k = p_k^{q_k},$$

$$a_k - b_k < b_k/(p_k - 1)$$

$$a_k < b_k p_k / (p_k - 1)$$

$$a = \prod_{k=1}^{r} a_k < \prod_{k=1}^{r} b_k p_k / (p_k - 1) = b \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k / (p_k - 1)$$
$$a/b < \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k / (p_k - 1)$$

When r = 1, since a/b < 3/2 is established, it becomes inappropriate contrary to inequality @.

From expression ⑦,

$$b = c(p+1)/2 \times (p^{n-1} + p^{n-3} + \dots + 1)$$

holds. Since (p+1)/2 is the product of only prime numbers of b, let  $d_k$  be the index,

$$(p+1)/2 = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{d_k}$$

$$p = 2 \prod\nolimits_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{\ d_k} - 1$$

From  $a = cp^n$  and expression  $\bigcirc$ ,

$$2bp^n = a(p^n + \dots + 1)$$

$$a(p^{n} + \dots + 1)/(2bp^{n}) = 1 \dots (A)$$

When r = 1,

$$a = (p_1^{q_1+1} - 1)/(p_1 - 1)$$

$$b = p_1^{q_1}$$

Equation (A) does not hold since there is no odd perfect number when r = 1.

Let R be a rational number,

$$R = a(p^n + \dots + 1)/(2bp^n)$$

Let b' be a rational number and let A and B to be an integer,

$$b' = (p_k^{q_k+1} - 1)/(p_k^{q_k}(p_k - 1)) > 1$$

$$A = (p_k^{q_k+1} - 1)/(p_k - 1)$$

$$B = p_k^{q_k}$$

Multiplying R by b', there are both cases that  $p_k$  increases p or does not change. When multiplied by b', the rate of change of R is  $Ap^n(p'^n + \dots + 1)/(Bp'^n(p^n + \dots + 1))$ , if p after variation is p'. If the rate of change of R is 1,

$$Ap^{n}(p'^{n} + \dots + 1)/(Bp'^{n}(p^{n} + \dots + 1)) = 1$$

$$Ap^{n}(p'^{n} + \dots + 1) = Bp'^{n}(p^{n} + \dots + 1)$$

This expression does not hold, since the right side is not a multiple of p when p' > p, and A > B holds when p' = p. Due to this operation, R may be larger or smaller than the original value, since the rate of change of R does not become 1.

Assuming that R=1 in some r, letting x be an integer and by multiplying fractions  $b'=A_{r+1}/B_{r+1}$ ,  $b''=A_{r+2}/B_{r+2}$ ,  $\cdots b''\cdots'=A_x/B_x$  to R, if R=1 holds finally. At this time, assuming that n changes, the change rate of R by this operation when multiplying by  $A_{r+1}/B_{r+1}$  is

$$A_{r+1}p^n(p^{n_{r+1}}+\cdots+1)/(B_{r+1}p^{n_{r+1}}(p^n+\cdots+1))$$

$$\begin{split} 1\times A_{r+1}p^n(p^{n_{r+1}}+\cdots+1)/(B_{r+1}p^{n_{r+1}}(p^n+\cdots+1))\times A_{r+2}p^{n_{r+1}}(p^{n_{r+2}}+\cdots\\ &+1)/(B_{r+2}p^{n_{r+2}}(p^{n_{r+1}}+\cdots+1))\times ...\times A_xp^{n_{x-1}}(p^{n_x}+\cdots\\ &+1)/(B_xp^{n_x}(p^{n_{x-1}}+\cdots+1))=1 \end{split}$$

$$A_{r+1}A_{r+2} \dots A_x p^n (p^{n_x} + \dots + 1) = B_{r+1}B_{r+2} \dots B_x p^{n_x} (p^n + \dots + 1) \dots (B)$$

When  $n = n_x$ 

$$A_{r+1}A_{r+2} ... A_x = B_{r+1}B_{r+2} ... B_x$$

holds. It becomes contradiction. Therefore, there is one solution when p and n are fixed.

The odd integers  $a_x$  and  $b_x$  are defined as follows, and it is assumed that the following proposition holds.

$$a_x = \prod\nolimits_{k=1}^{r(x)} ({p_k}^{q_k} + \cdots + 1)$$

$$b_x = \prod\nolimits_{k=1}^{r(x)} {p_k}^{q_k}$$

When  $b_1 \neq b_2$ ,  $a_1/a_2 \neq b_1/b_2$  holds. ...(C)

Here, it is assumed that the prime number  $p_k$  and its exponent  $q_k$  do not have to be the same value for  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ .

Assuming that R=1 in some r, letting x be an integer and fixing p and n and by multiplying fractions  $b'=A_{r+1}/B_{r+1}$ ,  $b''=A_{r+2}/B_{r+2}$ ,  $\cdots b''\cdots'=A_x/B_x$  to R, and assuming that R=1 holds finally. Dividing by  $A_sA_{s+1}\dots A_r/B_sB_{s+1}\dots B_r$ , from expression (B),

$$B_s B_{s+1} \dots B_r A_{r+1} A_{r+2} \dots A_x = A_s A_{s+1} \dots A_r B_{r+1} B_{r+2} \dots B_x$$

holds. If proposition (C) is correct, it becomes contradiction in this expression. When dividing by a prime number in the expression of p, contradiction arises since the prime number not included in b is in the expression of p. Therefore, when a is divided by p<sup>n</sup>, the number of solutions is one.

When all the prime numbers are included in  $A_1A_2...A_{s-1}$  are included in the expression of p,

$$p = 2 \prod_{k=1}^{s-1} p_k d_k - 1, \quad d_k > 0$$

It is assumed that  $A_1A_2...A_{s-1}$  is divided by  $p^n$ , let t be an odd integer,

$$A_1 A_2 \dots A_{s-1} = t p^n = t \left( 2 \prod\nolimits_{k=1}^{s-1} p_k^{\ d_k} - 1 \right)^n$$

$$A_2 \dots A_{s-1} \equiv -t \pmod{p_1}$$

$$A_1 A_3 \dots A_{s-1} \equiv -t \pmod{p_2}$$

. . .

$$A_1 \dots A_{s-2} \equiv -t \pmod{p_{s-1}}$$

Let u<sub>k</sub> be odd integers,

$$A_2 ... A_{s-1} = -t + u_1 p_1$$

$$A_1 A_3 \dots A_{s-1} = -t + u_2 p_2$$

. . .

$$A_1 \dots A_{s-2} = -t + u_{s-1}p_{s-1}$$

$$(u_1p_1 - t)A_1 = (u_2p_2 - t)A_2 = \dots = (u_{s-1}p_{s-1} - t)A_{s-1} = tp^n$$
  

$$u_1p_1A_1/t - A_1 = u_2p_2A_2/t - A_2 = \dots = u_{s-1}p_{s-1}A_{s-1}/t - A_{s-1} = p^n$$

$$u_1p_1A_1/t - A_1 = u_2p_2A_2/t - A_2$$

$$u_1p_1A_1 - A_1t = u_2p_2A_2 - A_2t$$

$$u_1p_1A_1 - u_2p_2A_2 = (A_1 - A_2)t$$

Thereby,

$$u_1p_1 = u_2p_2 = \dots = u_{s-1}p_{s-1} = t$$

At this time,

$$p^n = u_1 p_1 A_1 / t - A_1 = 0$$

$$\therefore p = 0$$

It becomes contradiction since  $p \ge 5$  holds. Therefore,  $A_1 A_2 \dots A_{s-1}$  is not a multiple of  $p^n$  only with primes included in the expression of  $A_1 A_2 \dots A_{s-1}$ .

When  $A_1A_2...A_r$  can be divided by  $p^n$ , the combinations of primes are infinite, and there is at most one solution for one of the combinations. Let a set having infinite number of elements which are odd prime multiples of the values of  $B_sB_2...B_r$  be a set P, and consider a set Q having as an element the value of b when a is an odd multiple of  $p^n$ . When b is included in the set P or Q, the number of solutions is one for each set. Since set Q is a proper subset of the sum of all the sets considered as set P, there is at most one solution for all product sets of the set P. Therefore, even if an odd perfect number exists, since its value diverges to infinity, if proposition (C) is correct, there are no odd perfect numbers.

### 4. Complement

From equation 5,

$$\begin{split} 2bp^n(p-1) &= a(p^{n+1}-1) \\ 2 &= a(p^{n+1}-1)/(bp^n(p-1)) \\ 2 &= (p_1^{q_1+1}-1)(p_2^{q_2+1}-1) \dots (p_r^{q_r+1}-1)(p^{n+1}-1) \\ &\qquad \qquad / (p_1^{q_1}p_2^{q_2} \dots p_r^{q_r}p^n(p_1-1)(p_2-1) \dots (p_r-1)(p-1)) \\ 2(p_1^{q_1+1}-p_1^{q_1})(p_2^{q_2+1}-p_2^{q_2}) \dots (p_r^{q_r+1}-p_r^{q_r})(p^{n+1}-p^n) \end{split}$$

We consider when r = 2.

$$({p_1}^{q_1+1}-1)({p_2}^{q_2+1}-1)(p^{n+1}-1)=2({p_1}^{q_1+1}-{p_1}^{q_1})({p_2}^{q_2+1}-{p_2}^{q_2})(p^{n+1}-p^n)$$

=  $(p_1^{q_1+1}-1)(p_2^{q_2+1}-1)...(p_r^{q_r+1}-1)(p^{n+1}-1)$ 

Let s, t, u be integers,

$$s = p_1^{q_1+1} - 1$$

$$t = p_2^{q_2 + 1} - 1$$

$$u = p^{n+1} - 1$$

are.

$$stu = 2(p_1^{q_1+1} - 1 - (p_1^{q_1} - 1))(p_2^{q_2+1} - 1 - (p_2^{q_2} - 1))(p^{n+1} - 1 - (p^n - 1))$$

$$stu = 2(s - (s + 1)/p_1 + 1)(t - (t + 1)/p_2 + 1)(u - (u + 1)/p + 1)$$

$$pp_1p_2stu = 2((s+1)p_1 - (s+1))((t+1)p_2 + (t+1))((u+1)p + (u+1))$$

$$pp_1p_2stu = 2(s+1)(p_1-1)(t+1)(p_2-1)(u+1)(p-1)$$

$$stu/((s+1)(t+1)(u+1)) = 2(p_1-1)(p_2-1)(p-1)/(p_1p_2p)$$

Since stu/((s+1)(t+1)(u+1)) is a monotonically increasing function for variables s, t and u, if

$$s \ge 3^{2+1} - 1 = 26$$
,  $p_1 = 3$ ,  $q_1 = 2$ 

$$t \ge 7^{2+1} - 1 = 342, p_2 = 7, q_2 = 2$$

$$u \ge 5^2 - 1 = 24$$
,  $p = 5$ ,  $n = 1$ 

holds,

$$stu/((s+1)(t+1)(u+1)) \ge 26 \times 342 \times 24/(27 \times 343 \times 25) = 7904/8575$$

$$2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1p_2p) = 2 \times 2 \times 6 \times 4/(3 \times 7 \times 5) = 32/35$$

Since stu/((s+1)(t+1)(u+1)) is limited to 1 when s, t and u are infinite, stu/((s+1)(t+1)(u+1)) < 1

If  $f(p_1, p_2, p) = 2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1p_2p)$  holds, it is sufficient to consider a combination where  $f(p_1, p_2, p) < 1$ .

$$f(3,7,5) = 2 \times 2 \times 6 \times 4/(3 \times 7 \times 5) = 32/35$$

$$f(3,11,5) = 2 \times 2 \times 10 \times 4/(3 \times 11 \times 5) = 32/33$$

$$f(3,13,5) = 2 \times 2 \times 12 \times 4/(3 \times 13 \times 5) = 64/65$$

$$f(3,17,5) = 2 \times 2 \times 16 \times 4/(3 \times 17 \times 5) = 256/255$$

$$f(3,7,13) = 2 \times 2 \times 6 \times 12/(3 \times 7 \times 13) = 96/91$$

$$f(3,5,17) = 2 \times 2 \times 4 \times 16/(3 \times 5 \times 17) = 256/255$$

From the above, when r = 2, a combination  $(p_1, p_2, p) = (3,7,5), (3,11,5), (3,13,5)$  can be considered.

Let 
$$q_k$$
 be 2 and  $n = 1$ , if  $g(p_1, p_2, p) = (p_1^3 - 1)(p_2^3 - 1)(p^2 - 1)/(p_1^3 p_2^3 p^2)$ ,  $g(3,7,5) = 26 \times 342 \times 24/(3^3 7^3 5^2) = 7904/8575 > 32/35$   $g(3,11,5) = 26 \times 1330 \times 24/(3^3 11^3 5^2) = 55328/59895$   $g(3,13,5) = 26 \times 2196 \times 24/(3^3 13^3 5^2) = 3904/4225$ 

Since the function g is the minimum in the case of  $q_k = 2$  and n = 1, there is no solution  $q_k$  and n when g > f, so the case of  $(p_1, p_2, p) = (3,7,5)$  becomes unsuitable.

$$\begin{split} \text{stu}/((s+1)(t+1)(u+1)) &= 2(p_1-1)(p_2-1)(p-1)/(p_1p_2p) \\ (p_1^{q_1+1}-1)(p_2^{q_2+1}-1)(p^{n+1}-1)/(p_1^{q_1+1}p_2^{q_2+1}p^{n+1}) \\ &= 2(p_1-1)(p_2-1)(p-1)/(p_1p_2p) \end{split}$$

If 
$$F(p_1, p_2, p) = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1p_2p)$$
,  
 $F(p_1^{q_1+1}, p_2^{q_2+1}, p^{n+1}) = 2F(p_1, p_2, p)$ 

# 5. Acknowledgement

In writing this research document, we asked anonymous reviewers to point out several tens of mistakes. We would like to thank you for giving appropriate guidance and counter-arguments.

### 6. References

Hiroyuki Kojima "The world is made of prime numbers" Kadokawa Shoten, 2017 Fumio Sairaiji Kenichi Shimizu "A story that prime is playing" Kodansha, 2015