Proof that there are no odd perfect numbers

Kouji Takaki

August 13th, 2019

#### 1. Abstract

For y to be a perfect number, if one of the prime factors is p, the exponent of p is an integer  $n(n \ge 1)$ , the prime factors other than p are  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots p_r$  and the even exponent of  $p_k$  is  $q_k$ ,

$$y/p^{n} = (1+p+p^{2}+\dots+p^{n})\prod_{k=1}^{r} (1+p_{k}+p_{k}^{2}+\dots+p_{k}^{q_{k}})/(2p^{n}) = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_{k}^{q_{k}}$$

must be satisfied. Let m be a non negative integer and q be a positive integer,

n = 4m + 1p = 4q + 1

Letting *a*, *b* and *c* be odd integers, satisfying following expressions,

$$a = \prod_{k=1}^{r} (1 + p_k + {p_k}^2 + \dots + {p_k}^{q_k})$$
$$b = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{q_k}$$
$$c = a/p^n$$
$$2b = c(p^n + \dots + 1)$$

is established. This is a known content. Let *v* be a rational number,

$$v = \prod_{k} (1 + p_k + p_k^2 + \dots + p_k^{q_k}) / \prod_{k} p_k^{q_k}$$

holds, assume that v is not an integer. By the consideration of this research paper, since it turned out that if the above supposition holds, by the uniqueness of a/b there is at most one solution that satisfies this equation for p. Since by the uniqueness of  $a(p^n + \dots + 1)/(bp^n)$  we proved that there is no solution to this equation other than (a, b, p, n) = (1, 1, 1, 1), we have obtained a conclusion that there are no odd perfect numbers.

### 2. Introduction

The perfect number is one in which the sum of the divisors other than itself is the same value as itself, and the smallest perfect number is

## 1 + 2 + 3 = 6

It is 6. Whether an odd perfect number exists or not is currently an unsolved problem.

#### 3. Proof

An odd perfect number is y, one of them is an odd prime number p, an exponent of p is an integer n  $(n \ge 1)$ . Let  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots p_r$  be the odd prime numbers of factors other than p,  $q_k$  the index of  $p_k$ , and variable a be the sum of product combinations other than prime p.

$$a = \prod_{k=1}^{r} (1 + p_k + p_k^2 + \dots + p_k^{q_k}) \dots (1)$$

The number of terms N of variable a is

$$N = \prod_{k=1}^{r} (q_k + 1) \dots @$$

When y is a perfect number,

$$y = a(1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^n) - y \ (n > 0)$$

is established.

$$a\sum_{k=0}^{n} p^{k}/2 = y$$
$$a\sum_{k=0}^{n} p^{k}/(2p^{n}) = y/p^{n} \dots (3)$$

## 3.1. If $q_k$ has at least one odd integer

Letting the number of terms where  $q_k$  is an odd integer be a positive integer u, because  $y/p^n = \prod_{k=1}^r p_k^{q_k}$  is an odd integer, the denominator on the left side of the expression ③ has a prime factor 2, from the expression ② variable a has more than u prime factor 2 and variable a is an even integer. Therefore,  $\sum_{k=0}^n p^k$  must be an odd integer, n is an even integer and u is 1.

## 3.2. When all $q_k$ are even integers

 $y/p^n$  is an odd integer, the denominator on the left side of the expression ③ is an even integer, and since N is an odd integer when  $q_k$  are all even integers, variable a is an odd integer. Therefore,  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} p^k$  is necessary to include one prime factor 2,  $\sum_{k=0}^{n} p^k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  is established, and n must be an odd integer.

From 3.1, 3.2, in order to have an odd perfect number, only one exponent of the prime factor of y must be an odd integer and variable a must be an odd integer. We consider the case of 3.2 below.

In order for y to be a perfect number, the following expression must be established.

$$y/p^{n} = (1+p+p^{2}+\dots+p^{n})\prod_{k=1}^{r} (1+p_{k}+p_{k}^{2}+\dots+p_{k}^{q_{k}})/(2p^{n}) = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_{k}^{q_{k}}$$

However,  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r$  are all even integers.

Here, let b be an integer

$$b = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{q_k} \dots \textcircled{4}$$

$$y/p^{n} = a(1+p+p^{2}+\dots+p^{n})/(2p^{n}) = b$$
  
$$a(p^{n+1}-1)/(2(p-1)p^{n}) = b$$
  
$$(a-2b)p^{n+1}+2bp^{n}-a = 0 \dots 5$$

Because it is an n+1 order equation of p, the solution of the odd prime p is n+1 at most.

 $(ap - 2bp + 2b)p^n = a$ Since ap - 2bp + 2b is an odd integer,  $a/p^n$  is an odd integer, which is c.  $ap - 2bp + 2b = c \ (c > 0) \dots 6$ (2b - a)p = 2b - c

Since variable a is an odd integer, 2b - a is an odd integer and  $2b - a \neq 0$ p = (2b - c)/(2b - a)

```
Since n \ge 1

a - c = cp^n - c \ge cp - c > 0

a > c

is.
```

```
From the equation (6)

2b(p-1) - (ap - c) = 0

2b - c(p^{n+1} - 1)/(p-1) = 0

(p^n + \dots + 1)/2 is an odd integer, n = 4m + 1 is required with m as an integer.

2b(p-1) = c(p^{n+1} - 1)

2b = c(p^n + \dots + 1)

2b = c(p + 1)(p^{n-1} + p^{n-3} + \dots + 1) \dots (7)

b is an odd integer when p + 1 is not a multiple of 4. It is necessary that p - 1 be a

multiple of 4. A positive integer is taken as q.

p = 4q + 1

is established.
```

When p > 1  $p^n - 1 < p^n$   $(p^n - 1)/(p - 1) < p^n/(p - 1)$  $p^{n-1} + \dots + 1 < p^n/(p - 1) \dots \otimes$ 

Since p is an odd prime number satisfying p = 4q + 1 and  $p \ge 5$   $p^{n-1} + \dots + 1 < p^n/4$   $2b - a = c(p^n + \dots + 1) - cp^n = c(p^{n-1} + \dots + 1)$   $2b - a < cp^n/4 = a/4$  2b < 5a/4 $a > 8b/5 \dots @$  Let  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  be integers and if

$$a_{k} = 1 + p_{k} + p_{k}^{2} + \dots + p_{k}^{q_{k}}, \ b_{k} = p_{k}^{q_{k}},$$

$$a_{k} - b_{k} < b_{k}/(p_{k} - 1)$$

$$a_{k} < b_{k}p_{k}/(p_{k} - 1)$$

$$a = \prod_{k=1}^{r} a_{k} < \prod_{k=1}^{r} b_{k}p_{k}/(p_{k} - 1) = b \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_{k}/(p_{k} - 1)$$

 $a/b < \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k/(p_k - 1)$ When r = 1, since a/b < 3/2 is established, it becomes inappropriate contrary to inequality (9).

From the expression  $\bigcirc$ ,

 $b = c(p+1)/2 \times (p^{n-1} + p^{n-3} + \dots + 1)$ 

holds. Since (p+1)/2 is the product of only prime numbers of b, let  $d_k$  be the index,

$$(p+1)/2 = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{d_k}$$
$$p = 2 \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{d_k} - 1$$

From  $a = cp^{n}$  and the expression (7),  $2bp^{n} = a(p^{n} + \dots + 1)$   $a(p^{n} + \dots + 1)/(2bp^{n}) = 1 \dots (A)$ When r = 1,  $a = (p_{1}q_{1}+1 - 1)/(p_{1} - 1)$  $b = p_{1}q_{1}$ 

The equation (A) does not hold since there is no odd perfect number when r = 1.

Let R be a rational number,  $R = a(p^{n} + \dots + 1)/(2bp^{n})$ Let b' be a rational number and let A and B to be an integer,  $b' = (p_{k}{}^{q_{k}+1} - 1)/(p_{k}{}^{q_{k}}(p_{k} - 1)) > 1$   $A_{k} = (p_{k}{}^{q_{k}+1} - 1)/(p_{k} - 1)$   $B_{k} = p_{k}{}^{q_{k}}$ 

Multiplying R by b', there are both cases that  $p_k$  increases p or does not change. When multiplied by b', the rate of change of R is  $A_{r+1}p^n(p'^n + \dots + 1)/(B_{r+1}p'^n(p^n + \dots + 1))$ , if p after variation is p'. If the rate of change of R is 1,

 $A_{r+1}p^{n}(p'^{n} + \dots + 1)/(B_{r+1}p'^{n}(p^{n} + \dots + 1)) = 1$  $A_{r+1}p^{n}(p'^{n} + \dots + 1) = B_{r+1}p'^{n}(p^{n} + \dots + 1)$ 

This expression does not hold since the right side is not a multiple of p when p' > p, and  $A_{r+1} > B_{r+1}$  holds when p' = p. Due to this operation, R may be larger or smaller than the original value since the rate of change of R does not become 1.

Assuming that R = 1 in some r, letting x be an integer and by multiplying fractions  $b' = A_{r+1}/B_{r+1}$ ,  $b'' = A_{r+2}/B_{r+2}$ ,  $\cdots b'' = A_x/B_x$  to R. Furthermore, assuming that  $A_{s+1}A_{s+2} \dots A_r$  is not a multiple of p, R is divided by  $A_{s+1}/B_{s+1}$ ,  $A_{s+2}/B_{s+2}$ ,  $\cdots A_r/B_r$ and it is assumed that finally R = 1. At this time, assuming that n changes, the change rate of R by this operation when multiplying by  $A_{r+1}/B_{r+1}$  is  $A_{r+1}p^n(p^{n_{r+1}} + \dots + 1)/(B_{r+1}p^{n_{r+1}}(p^n + \dots + 1))$ 

$$\begin{split} 1\times B_{s+1}p^{n}(p^{n_{s+1}}+\cdots+1)/(A_{s+1}p^{n_{s+1}}(p^{n}+\cdots+1))\times...\times B_{r}p^{n_{r-1}}(p^{n_{r}}+\cdots\\ &+1)/(A_{r}p^{n_{r}}(p^{n_{r-1}}+\cdots+1))\times A_{r+1}p^{n_{r}}(p^{n_{r+1}}+\cdots+1)/(B_{r+1}p^{n_{r+1}}(p^{n_{r}}\\ &+\cdots+1))\times A_{r+2}p^{n_{r+1}}(p^{n_{r+2}}+\cdots+1)/(B_{r+2}p^{n_{r+2}}(p^{n_{r+1}}+\cdots+1))\times...\\ &\times A_{x}p^{n_{x-1}}(p^{n_{x}}+\cdots+1)/(B_{x}p^{n_{x}}(p^{n_{x-1}}+\cdots+1))=1\\ B_{s+1}B_{s+2}\ldots B_{r}A_{r+1}A_{r+2}\ldots A_{x}p^{n-n_{x}}(p^{n_{x}}+\cdots+1)\\ &=A_{s+1}A_{s+2}\ldots A_{r}B_{r+1}B_{r+2}\ldots B_{x}(p^{n}+\cdots+1)\ldots(B) \end{split}$$

When  $n_x < n$ , it becomes contradiction since the right side of above expression does not include factor p.

When  $n_x = n$ ,

$$B_{s+1}B_{s+2} \dots B_r A_{r+1}A_{r+2} \dots A_x = A_{s+1}A_{s+2} \dots A_r B_{r+1}B_{r+2} \dots B_x \dots (C)$$

Let v be a rational number. If

$$v = \prod_{k} (1 + p_k + p_k^2 + \dots + p_k^{q_k}) / \prod_{k} p_k^{q_k}$$

holds, assume that v is not an integer.  $\cdots$ (D)

Let  $e_r$ ,  $f_r$  be odd integers and  $g_r$  be a rational number,

$$e_r = \prod_{k=1}^r (p_k^{q_k} + \dots + 1), f_r = \prod_{k=1}^r p_k^{q_k}, g_r = e_r/f_1$$

holds.

$$\begin{split} g_{r+1} &= e_{r+1}/f_{r+1} = e_r/f_r \times (p_{r+1}{}^{q_{r+1}} + \dots + 1)/p_{r+1}{}^{q_{r+1}} > e_r/f_r = g_r \\ \text{Let } q_1' \text{ be an even integer and } q_1' > q_1 \text{ holds. Let } g_r \text{ be } g_r' \text{ when } q_1 \text{ becomes } q_1', \\ g_r' &= (p_1{}^{q_1}(p_1{}^{q_1'} + \dots + 1)/p_1{}^{q_1'}(p_1{}^{q_1} + \dots + 1))g_r > g_r \\ \text{ is established.} \end{split}$$

When  $h_k < 0$ , multiply both sides by  $p_k^{-h_k}$  so that both sides become integers. If the supposition (D) holds, there is at least one prime number from  $p_{r+1}$  to  $p_s$  on the left side.  $a = (p_1^{q_1} + \dots + 1) \dots (p_r^{q_r} + \dots + 1) = cp^n$  holds and from the expression  $\overline{O}$ , c must be a product of primes from  $p_1$  to  $p_r$ . Thereby, the above equation does not hold since it is inappropriate when there is even one prime number other than  $p_1$  to  $p_r$ . When changing the value of  $p_k$ , it is equivalent to dividing by  $p_k^{q_k}$  and then multiplying by new  $p_k^{q_k}$ , so it is sufficient to consider only the changes of  $q_k$  and r. From above, since  $g_r$  does not chord the original value when  $q_k$  or r is increased or decreased, it takes unique values for the variables  $p_k$ ,  $q_k$ , r. From the above proof,

 $\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{A}_{1}\mathbf{A}_{2} \dots \mathbf{A}_{s}/\mathbf{B}_{1}\mathbf{B}_{2} \dots \mathbf{B}_{s} \times \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{r+1}}\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{r+2}} \dots \mathbf{A}_{x}/\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{r+1}}\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{r+2}} \dots \mathbf{B}_{x}$ 

 $g_r$  must be represented uniquely, and the expression (C) does not satisfied. When dividing by the prime number in the expression of p, a contradiction arises since the prime number not included in b is in the expression of p. Therefore, when p holds  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $p \geq 5$ , the number of the solution (a, b, p, n) satisfying R = 1 is at most one.

Since (a, b, p, n) = (1,1,1,1) is inappropriate solution and the expression (C) becomes contradiction, there is one solution when  $n_x = n = 1$ . Therefore, if the supposition (D) holds, there are no odd perfect numbers when n = 1.

Define the operation [multiplication] and the operation [division] as follows. Assuming that p in the equation of R is replaced by p' by multiplying  $A_i/B_i$ , define operation [multiplication] to R as follows.

$$\mathbf{p}' = 2 \prod_{k=1}^{r} \mathbf{p}_k^{d_k} \times \mathbf{p}_i^{d_i} - 1$$

 $0 \leq d_i \leq q_i$ 

Here, let i be i > r. Suppose operation [division] is division by  $A_j/B_j$  for R, and if  $p_j$  is included in p in the expression R,  $p_j$  is deleted as  $d_j = 0$ . Here, assuming that j satisfies  $1 \le j \le r$ .

In the proof of the expression (B), it is assumed that p changes on the way, and finally p becomes  $p_x$ .

$$\begin{split} A_1 & ... A_r = cp^n \\ 2B_1 & ... B_r = c(p^n + \dots + 1) \\ A_1 & ... A_x = c'p_x^{n_x} \\ 2B_1 & ... B_x = c'(p_x^{n_x} + \dots + 1) \\ \text{It is assumed that the above expressions are satisfied.} \\ B_{s+1}B_{s+2} & ... B_rA_{r+1}A_{r+2} & ... A_x p^n(p_x^{n_x} + \dots + 1) \\ & = A_{s+1}A_{s+2} & ... A_rB_{r+1}B_{r+2} & ... B_x p_x^{n_x}(p^n + \dots + 1) \\ B_{s+1}B_{s+2} & ... B_rA_1 & ... A_rA_{r+1}A_{r+2} & ... A_x p^n(p_x^{n_x} + \dots + 1) \\ & = A_1 & ... A_rA_{s+1}A_{s+2} & ... A_rB_{r+1}B_{r+2} & ... B_x p_x^{n_x}(p^n + \dots + 1) \\ B_{s+1}B_{s+2} & ... B_rc'p_x^{n_x}p^n(p_x^{n_x} + \dots + 1) \end{split}$$

$$= A_1 \dots A_r A_{s+1} A_{s+2} \dots A_r B_{r+1} B_{r+2} \dots B_x p_x^{n_x} (p^n + \dots + 1)$$

$$\begin{split} B_{s+1}B_{s+2} & \dots B_r c' p^n (p_x{}^{n_x} + \dots + 1) = A_1 \dots A_r A_{s+1} A_{s+2} \dots A_r B_{r+1} B_{r+2} \dots B_x (p^n + \dots + 1) \\ B_1 & \dots B_r B_{s+1} B_{s+2} \dots B_r c' p^n (p_x{}^{n_x} + \dots + 1) \\ & = A_1 \dots A_r A_{s+1} A_{s+2} \dots A_r B_1 \dots B_r B_{r+1} B_{r+2} \dots B_x (p^n + \dots + 1) \\ B_1 & \dots B_r B_{s+1} B_{s+2} \dots B_r c' p^n (p_x{}^{n_x} + \dots + 1) \\ & = A_1 \dots A_r A_{s+1} A_{s+2} \dots A_r c' (p_x{}^{n_x} + \dots + 1) / 2 \times (p^n + \dots + 1) \\ B_1 & \dots B_r B_{s+1} B_{s+2} \dots B_r p^n = A_1 \dots A_r A_{s+1} A_{s+2} \dots A_r / 2 \times (p^n + \dots + 1) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} c(p^n+\dots+1)/2\times B_{s+1}B_{s+2}\dots B_rp^n &= cp^nA_{s+1}A_{s+2}\dots A_r/2\times (p^n+\dots+1)\\ B_{s+1}B_{s+2}\dots B_r &= A_{s+1}A_{s+2}\dots A_r \end{split}$$

is established. It becomes contradiction since  $A_k > B_k$  holds when the operations [division] are performed.

Consider a tree whose vertex is (a,b,p,n) = (1,1,1,1), and when the operations [multiplication] are performed, it becomes a child node. For example, consider a child node connected to a vertex as follows.

$$(a, b, p, n) = (13,9,5,5)$$
 as  $p_1 = 3$ ,  $q_1 = 2$  and  $d_1 = 1$   
 $(a, b, p, n) = (13,9,17,9)$  as  $p_1 = 3$ ,  $q_1 = 2$  and  $d_1 = 2$   
 $(a, b, p, n) = (57,49,97,13)$  as  $p_1 = 7$ ,  $q_1 = 2$  and  $d_1 = 2$ 

Suppose that the operations [multiplication] for changing the value of p are performed first, and then the operations [multiplication] for not changing the value of p are performed to create a tree structure. Here, when there is a solution in a certain p and there is a solution even in the other value p', considering a set of line segments connecting these two points in four-dimensional space (a, b, p, n). If R = 1 holds again when performing operation [multiplication] from one point where R = 1,  $1 \times A_{r+1}p^n(p_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}} + \dots + 1)/(B_{r+1}p_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}}(p^n + \dots + 1)) \times A_{r+2}p_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}}(p_{r+2}^{n_{r+2}} + \dots + 1)/(B_{r+2}p_{r+2}^{n_{r+2}}(p_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}} + \dots + 1)) \times \dots \times A_x p_{x-1}^{n_{x-1}}(p_x^{n_x} + \dots + 1)/(B_x p_x^{n_x}(p_{x-1}^{n_{x-1}} + \dots + 1)) = 1$  $A_{r+1}A_{r+2} \dots A_x/(B_{r+1}B_{r+2} \dots B_x) = p_x^{n_x}(p^n + \dots + 1)/(p^n(p_x^{n_x} + \dots + 1))/(B_1B_2 \dots B_rp^n) \dots (E)$  Assume that  $g_r = A_1A_2 \dots A_r(p^n + \dots + 1)/(B_1B_2 \dots B_xp^n)$  holds. Here, it is assumed that  $q_k$  becomes  $q_k - h_k$  by changing  $q_k$  than before and n becomes n - h(n - h > 0) for  $g_r$ .  $h_k$  is an even integer and h is a non-negative integer that is a multiple of 4. Then assuming that r becomes s(s > r),  $g_s = g_r$  and  $g_s$  is not changed, by the same calculation as the proof on page 7,

$$\begin{split} g_{s}/g_{r} &= p_{r+1}{}^{q_{r+1}} \times ... \times p_{s}{}^{q_{s}}/((p_{r+1}{}^{q_{r+1}} + \cdots + 1) \times ... \times (p_{s}{}^{q_{s}} + \cdots + 1)) \times p_{1}{}^{q_{1}} \times p_{2}{}^{q_{2}} \times ... \\ &\times p_{r}{}^{q_{r}}p^{n} (p_{1}{}^{q_{1}-h_{1}} + \cdots + 1) ... (p_{r}{}^{q_{r}-h_{r}} + \cdots + 1)(p^{n-h} + \cdots + 1)/(p_{1}{}^{q_{1}-h_{1}} \\ &\times ... \times p_{r}{}^{q_{r}-h_{r}}p^{n-h} (p_{1}{}^{q_{1}} + \cdots + 1) ... (p_{r}{}^{q_{r}} + \cdots + 1)(p^{n} + \cdots + 1)) = 1 \\ p_{r+1}{}^{q_{r+1}} \times ... \times p_{s}{}^{q_{s}} (p_{1}{}^{q_{1}} + \cdots + p_{1}{}^{h_{1}}) ... (p_{r}{}^{q_{r}} + \cdots + p_{r}{}^{h_{r}})(p^{n} + \cdots + p^{h}) \\ &= (p_{1}{}^{q_{1}} + \cdots + 1) ... (p_{r}{}^{q_{r}} + \cdots + 1)(p^{n} + \cdots + 1)(p_{r+1}{}^{q_{r+1}} + \cdots + 1) ... (p_{s}{}^{q_{s}} \\ &+ \cdots + 1) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\text{Since } (p_1{}^{q_1}+\dots+1)\dots(p_r{}^{q_r}+\dots+1)=cp^n \ \text{holds}, \\ &p_{r+1}{}^{q_{r+1}}\times \dots\times p_s{}^{q_s}\big(p_1{}^{q_1}+\dots+p_1{}^{h_1}\big)\dots\big(p_r{}^{q_r}+\dots+p_r{}^{h_r}\big)(p^{n-h}+\dots+1) \\ &=cp^{n-h}(p^n+\dots+1)(p_{r+1}{}^{q_{r+1}}+\dots+1)\dots(p_s{}^{q_s}+\dots+1) \end{split}$$

When  $h_k < 0$ , multiply both sides by  $p_k^{-h_k}$  so that both sides become integers. If the supposition (D) holds, there is at least one prime number from  $p_{r+1}$  to  $p_s$  on the left side. Because c and  $p^n + \dots + 1$  are products of prime numbers from  $p_1$  to  $p_r$  and in the case of s > r + 1, the left side has prime numbers that is not on the right side as a factor, this expression does not hold. In the case of s = r + 1, when  $p \neq p_s$ , this expression does not hold in the same way. When  $p = p_s$  and  $q_s > n - h$ , since there is a prime factor p only on the left side, this expression does not hold. Therefore, since except for the case of s = r + 1,  $p = p_s$  and  $q_s < n - h$   $g_r$  must be uniquely expressed, the expression (E) does not hold. When s = r + 1,  $p = p_s$  and  $q_s < n - h$ , substituting  $B_x = p^{q_s}$  into the expression (E) as x = r + 1,  $A_1A_2 \dots A_r(p^{q_s} + \dots + 1)(p_x^{n_x} + \dots + 1)/(B_1B_2 \dots B_rp^{q_s}p_x^{n_x})$ 

$$= A_1 A_2 \dots A_r (p^n + \dots + 1) / (B_1 B_2 \dots B_r p^n)$$

 $(p^{q_s} + \dots + 1)(p_x^{n_x} + \dots + 1)/(p^{q_s}p_x^{n_x}) = (p^n + \dots + 1)/p^n$ 

$$(p^{q_s} + \dots + 1)(p_x^{n_x} + \dots + 1)p^{n-q_s} = (p^n + \dots + 1)p_x^{n_s}$$

Since the right side does not have a prime number p as a factor, this expression does not hold.

If one point is (a, b, p, n) = (1,1,1,1) and the supposition (D) holds, when s > r + 1 or  $p \neq p_s$ ,  $g_s \neq g_r$  holds similarly and when s = r + 1 and  $p = p_s$  it becomes inappropriate, since prime number  $p_s$  is 1. If the supposition (D) does not hold, let v be an integer. From the expression (A),

 $a/b = 2p^n/(p^n + \dots + 1) = v$  $2p^n = v(p^n + \dots + 1)$ 

Let w be an integer and if  $v = wp^n$  holds,  $2 = w(p^n + \dots + 1)$ 

When  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $p \ge 5$  and  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n \ge 1$ ,  $p^n + \dots + 1 \ge 6$ 

At this time, it becomes inappropriate, since w is not an integer. Therefore, except for (a, b, p, n) = (1,1,1,1), there is no solution with  $g_r = 2$ . From the above, there are no odd perfect numbers.

### 4. Complement

From the equation (5),  

$$\begin{aligned} 2bp^{n}(p-1) &= a(p^{n+1}-1) \\ 2 &= a(p^{n+1}-1)/(bp^{n}(p-1)) \\ 2 &= (p_{1}^{q_{1}+1}-1)(p_{2}^{q_{2}+1}-1) \dots (p_{r}^{q_{r}+1}-1)(p^{n+1}-1) \\ & /(p_{1}^{q_{1}}p_{2}^{q_{2}} \dots p_{r}^{q_{r}}p^{n}(p_{1}-1)(p_{2}-1) \dots (p_{r}-1)(p-1)) \\ 2(p_{1}^{q_{1}+1}-p_{1}^{q_{1}})(p_{2}^{q_{2}+1}-p_{2}^{q_{2}}) \dots (p_{r}^{q_{r}+1}-p_{r}^{q_{r}})(p^{n+1}-p^{n}) \\ &= (p_{1}^{q_{1}+1}-1)(p_{2}^{q_{2}+1}-1) \dots (p_{r}^{q_{r}+1}-1)(p^{n+1}-1) \end{aligned}$$

We consider when 
$$r = 2$$
.  
 $(p_1^{q_1+1} - 1)(p_2^{q_2+1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1) = 2(p_1^{q_1+1} - p_1^{q_1})(p_2^{q_2+1} - p_2^{q_2})(p^{n+1} - p^n)$   
Let s, t, u be integers,  
 $s = p_1^{q_1+1} - 1$   
 $t = p_2^{q_2+1} - 1$   
 $u = p^{n+1} - 1$   
are.  
 $stu = 2(p_1^{q_1+1} - 1 - (p_1^{q_1} - 1))(p_2^{q_2+1} - 1 - (p_2^{q_2} - 1))(p^{n+1} - 1 - (p^n - 1))$   
 $stu = 2(s - (s + 1)/p_1 + 1)(t - (t + 1)/p_2 + 1)(u - (u + 1)/p + 1)$   
 $pp_1p_2stu = 2((s + 1)p_1 - (s + 1))((t + 1)p_2 + (t + 1))((u + 1)p + (u + 1))$   
 $pp_1p_2stu = 2(s + 1)(p_1 - 1)(t + 1)(p_2 - 1)(u + 1)(p - 1)$ 

$$\frac{(s+1)(t+1)(u+1)}{2(p_1-1)(p_2-1)(p-1)/(p_1p_2p)}$$

Since stu/((s + 1)(t + 1)(u + 1)) is a monotonically increasing function for variables s, t and u, if  $s \ge 3^{2+1} - 1 = 26$ ,  $p_1 = 3$ ,  $q_1 = 2$  $t \ge 7^{2+1} - 1 = 342$ ,  $p_2 = 7$ ,  $q_2 = 2$  $u \ge 5^2 - 1 = 24$ , p = 5, n = 1holds, stu/((s + 1)(t + 1)(u + 1)) \ge 26 \times 342 \times 24/(27 \times 343 \times 25) = 7904/8575  $2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1p_2p) = 2 \times 2 \times 6 \times 4/(3 \times 7 \times 5) = 32/35$ 

Since  $\frac{stu}{(s + 1)(t + 1)(u + 1)}$  is limited to 1 when s, t and u are infinite,  $\frac{stu}{(s + 1)(t + 1)(u + 1)} < 1$ 

If  $f(p_1, p_2, p) = 2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1p_2p)$  holds, it is sufficient to consider a combination where  $f(p_1, p_2, p) < 1$ .  $f(3,7,5) = 2 \times 2 \times 6 \times 4/(3 \times 7 \times 5) = 32/35$   $f(3,11,5) = 2 \times 2 \times 10 \times 4/(3 \times 11 \times 5) = 32/33$   $f(3,13,5) = 2 \times 2 \times 12 \times 4/(3 \times 13 \times 5) = 64/65$   $f(3,17,5) = 2 \times 2 \times 16 \times 4/(3 \times 17 \times 5) = 256/255$   $f(3,7,13) = 2 \times 2 \times 6 \times 12/(3 \times 7 \times 13) = 96/91$   $f(3,5,17) = 2 \times 2 \times 4 \times 16/(3 \times 5 \times 17) = 256/255$ From the above when r = 2 a combination (n - n - n) = (37.5) (3.11.5) (3.13.5) can

From the above, when r = 2, a combination  $(p_1, p_2, p) = (3,7,5), (3,11,5), (3,13,5)$  can be considered.

Let  $q_k$  be 2 and n = 1, if  $g(p_1, p_2, p) = (p_1^3 - 1)(p_2^3 - 1)(p^2 - 1)/(p_1^3 p_2^3 p^2)$ ,  $g(3,7,5) = 26 \times 342 \times 24/(3^3 7^3 5^2) = 7904/8575 > 32/35$   $g(3,11,5) = 26 \times 1330 \times 24/(3^3 11^3 5^2) = 55328/59895$   $g(3,13,5) = 26 \times 2196 \times 24/(3^3 13^3 5^2) = 3904/4225$ Since the function g is the minimum in the case of  $q_k = 2$  and n = 1, there is no solution  $q_k$  and n when g > f, so the case of  $(p_1, p_2, p) = (3,7,5)$  becomes unsuitable.

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{stu}/((s+1)(t+1)(u+1)) = 2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1 p_2 p) \\ & (p_1^{q_1 + 1} - 1)(p_2^{q_2 + 1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1)/(p_1^{q_1 + 1} p_2^{q_2 + 1} p^{n+1}) \\ & = 2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1 p_2 p) \end{aligned}$$

If  $F(p_1, p_2, p) = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1p_2p)$ ,  $F(p_1^{q_1+1}, p_2^{q_2+1}, p^{n+1}) = 2F(p_1, p_2, p)$ 

# 5. Acknowledgement

In writing this research document, we asked anonymous reviewers to point out several tens of mistakes. We would like to thank you for giving appropriate guidance and counter-arguments.

# 6. References

Hiroyuki Kojima "The world is made of prime numbers" Kadokawa Shoten, 2017 Fumio Sairaiji Kenichi Shimizu "A story that prime is playing" Kodansha, 2015