

Affirmative resolve of the Riemann Hypothesis

T.Nakashima

Abstract

In this paper, we prove the proposition about the Mobius function equivalent to the Riemann Hypothesis.

1

Handles propositions equivalent to the Riemann Hypothesis. I express the Riemann Hypothesis as R.H, and the Mobius function as $\mu(n)$.

Next theorem is well-known

Theorem

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \mu(n) = O(m^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}) \Leftrightarrow R.H$$

I will prove Left hand formula.

Lemma1.1

$$\sum_{n|m} \mu(n) = 1(m=1), \sum_{n|m} \mu(n) = 0(m \neq 1)$$

Proof. First, if $m = 1$, it is $\sum_{n|m} \mu(n) = \mu(1) = 1$. Second case. There is a little explanation for this. Let m 's prime factorization be $m = p_1^{n_1} p_2^{n_2} p_3^{n_3} \cdots p_k^{n_k}$. Then it becomes $\sum_{n|m} \mu(n) =_k C_0 -_k C_1 +_k C_2 -_k C_3 + \cdots -_k C_k = (1-1)^k = 0$. \square

Theorem1

$$\sum_{n \leq m} \mu(n) \left[\frac{m}{n} \right] = 1$$

Proof. $\sum_{m'=1}^m \sum_{n|m'} \mu(n) = 1$ is from Lemma1.1

$$1 = \sum_{m'=1}^m \sum_{n|m'} \mu(n) = (\mu(1)) + (\mu(1) + \mu(2)) + (\mu(1) + \mu(3))$$

$$+(\mu(1) + \mu(2) + \mu(4)) + \dots$$

See $\mu(n)$ in this expression as a character. $\mu(1)$ appears m times in the expression. $\mu(2)$ appears $\lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil$ times that is a multiple of 2 less than m . In general, the number of occurrences of $\mu(n)(n < m)$ in this expression is the number $\lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil$ that is a multiple of n below m . I get $\sum_{n \leq m} \mu(n) \lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil = 1$. \square

example

$$m = 10 \text{ case, } 10 - 5 - 3 - 2 + 1 - 1 + 1 = 1.m = 13 \text{ case, } 13 - 6 - 4 - 2 + 2 - 1 + 1 - 1 - 1 = 1 \text{ etc..}$$

Theorem2

$$\sum_{n=1}^x \frac{m}{n} \mu(n) \text{ changes sign at } n_0 \in [m^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon')}, m^{\frac{1}{2}}] (m > \exists m_{\epsilon'})$$

Proof. $\sum_{n=1}^x \frac{m}{n} \mu(n)$ changes sign in the interval $[m^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\epsilon')}, m^{\frac{1}{2}}]$, $m > \exists m_{\epsilon'} ([1])$. \square

lemma3.1

$$-1 < f(n) < 1, (n = 1, \dots, m) \Rightarrow \left| \sum_{n=1}^m f(n) \right| < m$$

Proof. negative terms sum is (I call it F_1) satisfy $|F_1| < m$. positive terms sum is (I call it F_2) satisfy $|F_2| < m$. $|F_1 + F_2| < m$. In other words, summation of m elements that is absolute value 1 or less is less than m . \square

Theorem3

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^m \mu(n) \right| < Km^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$$

and R.H. is true.

Proof. From theorem1

$$\sum_{n \leq n_0} \mu(n) \lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil + \sum_{n_0 < n \leq m} \mu(n) \lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil = 1$$

($n_0 < \sqrt{m}$ is the sign change point of $\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{m}{n} \mu(n)$.) By lemma3.1, Using $\sum_{n \leq n_0} \mu(n) \lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil$ and $\sum_{n \leq n_0} \mu(n) \frac{m}{n}$. These are $n_0 (< \sqrt{m})$ terms, so the difference of size is less than \sqrt{m} .

The following is obtained by calculation for $\sum_{n_0 < n \leq m} \mu(n) \lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil$. $\lceil \sqrt{m} \rceil$ term

is sum of all terms satisfy $[\frac{m}{n}] = [\sqrt{m}] - 1$, $m/\sqrt{m} = \sqrt{m} \geq [\sqrt{m}]$ and $m/(m/(\sqrt{m}-1)) = \sqrt{m}-1 \geq [\sqrt{m}-1]$, $(m/(m/(\sqrt{m}-1))+1) = m(\sqrt{m}-1)/(m+\sqrt{m}-1) < \sqrt{m}-1$, so the range is \sqrt{m} to $m/(\sqrt{m}-1)$. Next term is sum of all terms satisfy $[\frac{m}{n}] = [\sqrt{m}] - 2$, $m/(m/(\sqrt{m}-2)) \geq [\sqrt{m}-2]$. The range is $m/(\sqrt{m}-1)$ to $m/(\sqrt{m}-2)$. The last term satisfy $[\frac{m}{n}] = 1$, that is $\frac{m}{2}$ to m .

$$\sum_{n_0 < n \leq m} \mu(n) \left[\frac{m}{n} \right] = ([m/(n_0)] - 1) \times \sum_{m/([m/(n_0)]) < n \leq m/([m/(n_0)]-1)} \mu(n) + \dots +$$

$$([\sqrt{m}]) \times \sum_{m/(\sqrt{m}+1) < n \leq m/\sqrt{m}} \mu(n) + ([\sqrt{m}] - 1) \times \sum_{\sqrt{m} < n \leq m/(\sqrt{m}-1)} \mu(n) + ([\sqrt{m}] - 2) \times$$

$$\sum_{m/(\sqrt{m}-1) < n \leq m/(\sqrt{m}-2)} \mu(n) + \dots + 1 \times \sum_{m/2 < n \leq m} \mu(n)$$

By induction, there are "almost correct" formulas. $|N \times \sum_{m/(N+1) < n \leq m/N} \mu(n)| < \frac{N}{N+1} K \left(\frac{m}{N} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon')} |1 \times \sum_{m/2 < n \leq m} \mu(n)| < \frac{1}{2} (K(m-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon')} + 1)$

example: $m = 10000$ case,

$$-95 = 107 + 106 + 105 + 0 - 103 + 0 + 0 + 0 - 99 - 98 - 97 + 0 - 95 + 94 - 93 + 0 - 91$$

$$+ 0 + 0 - 88 - 87 + 86 + 0 + 0 + 84 \times 2 + \dots$$

(84×2 means $\mu(118) = 1$, $[10000/118] = 84$, $\mu(119) = 1$, $[10000/119] = 84$) will transform

$$-95 + 85 - 84 = 107 + 106 + 105 + 0 - 103 + 0 + 0 + 0 - 99 - 98 - 97 + 0 - 95 + 94 - 93 + 0$$

$$-91 + 0 + 0 - 88 - 87 + 86 + 0 + 85 + 84 \times 2 - 84 + \dots$$

$$([\sqrt{m}] - 1) \times \sum_{\sqrt{m} < n \leq m/(\sqrt{m}-1)} \mu(n)$$

From here. (It might be 0.) $|\sum_{\sqrt{m} < n \leq m/(\sqrt{m}-1)} \mu(n)|$ is less than $\frac{1}{[\sqrt{m}-1]} K \left[\frac{m}{n_0} \right]$
Later, I calculate real example.

example: $m = 100$ case.

$$-6 = 10 - 9 + 0 + 0 + 6 - 5 \times 2 - 4 - 3 + 2 + 1 \times 4$$

$1 \times 4 - 4 + 2 - 3$, $4 - 1 + 1 - 1 = 3$ give the almost value of $\sum_{[100/n_0] < n \leq 100} \mu(n)$.
Actually, $\sum_{9 < n \leq 100} \mu(n) = 2$. This gives $|\sum_{[100/n_0] < n \leq 100} \mu(n)| < K[100/n_0] =$

$K \times 10$,

example: $m = 10000$ case.

$$\begin{aligned}
-95 &= 107 + 106 + 105 + 0 - 103 + 0 + 0 + 0 - 99 - 98 - 97 + 0 - 95 + 94 - 93 + 0 - 91 \\
&\quad + 0 + 0 - 88 - 87 + 86 + 0 + 0 + 84 \times 2 + 0 + 0 + 81 \times 2 + 0 + 0 - 78 + 77 - 76 \times 2 + 75 \\
&\quad + 74 + 0 - 72 \times 2 - 71 + 70 \times 2 + 69 + 68 \times 2 - 67 - 66 + 0 + 0 + 0 + 62 \times 2 - 61 + 0 - 59 \\
&\quad - 58 - 57 \times 2 + 56 \times 2 - 55 \times 2 + 54 + 0 - 52 \times 2 - 51 - 50 \times 2 + 49 \times 3 + 48 \times 2 + 47 + 46 \\
&\quad \times 4 + 45 \times 2 - 44 - 43 \times 3 + 0 - 41 \times 2 + 40 + 0 - 38 + 0 - 36 \times 2 - 35 \times 3 - 34 + 33 \times 6 \\
&\quad - 32 - 31 + 30 \times 4 + 29 \times 3 - 28 \times 4 - 27 + 0 + 25 \times 3 + 0 - 23 \times 6 + 22 - 21 \times 2 + 0 + 19 \\
&\quad \times 4 + 18 \times 7 + 17 - 16 \times 9 - 15 \times 9 + 14 \times 9 + 0 + 0 + 11 \times 2 + 10 \times 3 - 9 \times 15 + 8 \times 10 + 7 \\
&\quad \times 12 - 6 \times 20 + 5 \times 16 - 4 \times 6 + 3 \times 18 - 2 \times 15 - 1 \times 25
\end{aligned}$$

$-1 \times 25 + 3 \times 8 - 2 \times 15 + 3 \times 10, -25 + 8 - 15 + 10 = -22$ gives the almost value of $\sum_{94 < n \leq 10000} \mu(n) = -22$. This gives $|\sum_{[100/n_0] < n \leq 100} \mu(n)| < K[100/n_0] = K \times 107$.

$$\frac{1}{4}K\left(\frac{m}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon')} \frac{A \times 2 + B \times 1}{A + B} < Km^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon')} - Km^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}K((m-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon')} + 1) \frac{A(1 - \frac{1}{2}) + B(1 - \frac{1}{3})}{A + B} < Km^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon')} - Km^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$$

These formulas hold. If there is needed 4 or more terms, "almost correct" formula can be taken as flexible. It becomes to 3 terms case.

$$|\sum_{n \leq m} \mu(n)| < Km^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon} + K[m^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon')}] - Km^{\frac{1}{4}+\epsilon}$$

$$|\sum_{n \leq m} \mu(n)| < Km^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$$

So R.H. is got. \square

Special thanks: I was very grateful to my friend H. Tokitu for translating in English. I would like to express my gratitude to him.

References

- [1] Oscillatory properties of arithmetical functions. I Kaczorowski and Pintz (Acta Math. Hungar. 48 (1986))173-185