

# On the Cold Big Bang Cosmology and the Flatness Problem

Armando V.D.B. Assis\*, \*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina - UFSC, Trindade 88040-900, Florianópolis, SC, Brazil.  
armando.assis@pgfsc.ufsc.br

In my papers [3] and [4], I obtain a Cold Big Bang Cosmology, fitting the cosmological data, with an absolute zero primordial temperature, a natural cutoff for the cosmological data to a vanishingly small entropy at a singular microstate of a comoving domain of the cosmological fluid. Now, in this brief paper, we show the energy density of the  $t$ -sliced universe must actually be the critical one, following as consequence of the solution in [3] and [4]. It must be pointed out that the result obtained here in this paper on the flatness problem does not contradict the solution in [3], viz., does not contradict the open universe, with  $k = -1$ , obtained in [3], since the solution in [3] had negative pressure and negative total cosmological energy density, hence lesser than the critical positive density. The critical density we obtain here is due to the positive fluctuations I previously discussed regarding the Heisenberg mechanism in [4]. Hence, the energy density due to fluctuation turns out to be positive, the critical one, this being calculated in [4] from the fluctuations within the  $t$ -sliced spherical shell at its  $t$ -sliced hypersurface, being the total energy density that generates the fluctuations, actually, negative in [3] and [4], hence, again, lesser than the critical one and supporting  $k=-1$ . These results are complementary and support my previous results.

## 1 Explaining the observed critical energy density for the cosmological substratum

The proof is straightforward. Recalling the  $t$ -sliced spherical shell defined in [4], we proved its energy at the instant  $t$  of the cosmological time is given by:

$$N_t \delta E_\rho = E^+(t) = \frac{E_0^+}{\sqrt{1 - \dot{R}^2/c^2}}, \quad (1)$$

being the Eq. (6) in [4] and the Eqs. (56) and (59) in [3]. From the Eq. (36) in [3], the Eq. (1) is simply rewritten:

$$E^+ = \frac{c^4 R}{2G}, \quad (2)$$

from which the energy density of the  $t$ -sliced spherical shell pertaining to its  $t$ -sliced hypersurface of simultaneity, being full of its  $t$ -simultaneous cosmological points of the substratum, turns out to be given by:

$$\rho_t = \frac{c^4 R}{2G} \frac{3}{4\pi R^3} = \frac{3c^4}{8\pi G} \frac{1}{R^2}. \quad (3)$$

Now, once defined the Hubble parameter for the spherical shell of the  $t$ -sliced hypersurface, centered at its comoving origin, namely  $H_t$ :

$$H_t = \frac{\dot{R}}{R}, \quad (4)$$

one obtains the energy density of the  $t$ -sliced spherical shell pertaining to its  $t$ -sliced hypersurface of simultaneity from the Eqs. (3) and (4):

$$\rho_t = \frac{3c^2 H_t^2}{8\pi G} \frac{c^2}{\dot{R}^2}. \quad (5)$$

Now, Eq. (35) in [3] shows  $\dot{R}$  very rapidly reaches  $c$ . Hence, the energy density  $\rho_t$  of the  $t$ -sliced spherical shell pertaining to its  $t$ -sliced hypersurface of simultaneity actually is the very critical energy, viz.:

$$\rho_t \rightarrow \rho_{\text{crit}} = \frac{3c^2 H_t^2}{8\pi G}, \quad (6)$$

very rapidly, and it will continue being the critical energy density  $\rho_{\text{crit}}$  forever\*. The time domain within which  $\rho_t$  deviates from the critical is  $t \approx 0$ , at which it reads:

$$\rho_0 = \frac{3c^7}{16\pi G^2 h} \approx 10^{111} \text{Jm}^{-3}, \quad (7)$$

provided the energy density  $\rho_t$  of the  $t$ -sliced spherical shell being given by the Eq. (3) and with the  $R_0 = \sqrt{2Gh/c^3}$  obtained in [3]. The Hubble parameter vanishes at  $t = 0$  in our model<sup>†</sup>.

## Conclusion

We conclude the cosmological model obtained in [3] and [4] provides the critical energy density for the  $t$ -sliced spherical shell pertaining to its  $t$ -sliced hypersurface of simultaneity of the cosmological substratum.

## Acknowledgments

A.V.D.B.A is grateful to Y.H.V.H and CNPq for financial support.

Submitted on June 21, 2011 / Accepted on June 21, 2011

\*It will continue being given by the Eq. (6), but its value tends to vanish, as one easily infers from the Eq. (3). See [3] and [4].

<sup>†</sup>See [3] and [4].

## References

1. Bondi H. *Cosmology*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 2010.
  2. Bondi H. Negative mass in General Relativity. *Review of Modern Physics*, 1957, v. 29 (3), 423–428.
  3. Assis AVDB. On the Cold Big Bang Cosmology *Progress in Physics*, 2011, v. 2 (2011), 58–63.
  4. Assis AVDB. A Note on the Quantization Mechanism within the Cold Big Bang Cosmology *Progress in Physics*, 2011, v. 4 (2011), 40–41.
  5. Carrol S. *Spacetime and Geometry. An Introduction to General Relativity*. Addison Wesley, San Francisco, 2004.
-