## Theorem for $w^n$ and Fermat's last theorem

Nguyen Van Quang Hue - Vietnam, 2018

#### Abstract

We give expression of  $w^n$  and the possible to apply for solving Fermat's Last theorem

**Theorem 1.**  $w^n = (u \pm v)^n$  can be always expressed as  $(u \pm v)^n = u.F^2 \pm v.G^2$  when n is odd natural number, and can be always expressed as  $(u \pm v)^n = F^2 \pm u.v.G^2$  when n is even natural number.

Proof. n is odd, 
$$n = 2m + 1$$
Write:  $u - v = (\sqrt{u} + \sqrt{v})(\sqrt{u} - \sqrt{v})$ , then:  $(u - v)^{2m+1} = (\sqrt{u} + \sqrt{v})^{2m+1}(\sqrt{u} - \sqrt{v})^{2m+1}$ 

$$= \left[\frac{(\sqrt{u} + \sqrt{v})^{2m+1} + (\sqrt{u} - \sqrt{v})^{2m+1}}{2}\right]^2 - \left[\frac{(\sqrt{u} + \sqrt{v})^{2m+1} - (\sqrt{u} - \sqrt{v})^{2m+1}}{2}\right]^2$$

$$= u \cdot F^2 - v \cdot G^2$$
Write:  $u + v = (\sqrt{u} + i\sqrt{v})(\sqrt{u} - i\sqrt{v})$ , then:  $(u + v)^{2m+1} = (\sqrt{u} + i\sqrt{v})^{2m+1}(\sqrt{u} - i\sqrt{v})^{2m+1}$ 

$$= \left[\frac{(\sqrt{u} + i\sqrt{v})^{2m+1} + (\sqrt{u} - i\sqrt{v})^{2m+1}}{2}\right]^2 - \left[\frac{(\sqrt{u} + i\sqrt{v})^{2m+1} - (\sqrt{u} - i\sqrt{v})^{2m+1}}{2}\right]^2$$

$$= u \cdot F^2 + v \cdot G^2$$
n is even,  $n = 2m$  then:  $(u - v)^{2m} = (\sqrt{u} + \sqrt{v})^{2m}(\sqrt{u} - \sqrt{v})^{2m}$ 

$$= \left[\frac{(\sqrt{u} + \sqrt{v})^{2m} + (\sqrt{u} - \sqrt{v})^{2m}}{2}\right]^2 - \left[\frac{(\sqrt{u} + \sqrt{v})^{2m} - (\sqrt{u} - \sqrt{v})^{2m}}{2}\right]^2$$

$$= F^2 - u \cdot v \cdot G^2$$
And:  $(u + v)^{2m} = (\sqrt{u} + i\sqrt{v})^{2m}(\sqrt{u} - i\sqrt{v})^{2m}$ 

$$= \left[\frac{(\sqrt{u} + i\sqrt{v})^{2m} + (\sqrt{u} - i\sqrt{v})^{2m}}{2}\right]^2 - \left[\frac{(\sqrt{u} + i\sqrt{v})^{2m} - (\sqrt{u} - i\sqrt{v})^{2m}}{2}\right]^2$$

$$= F^2 + u \cdot v \cdot G^2$$
Here:

It impositions we want  $i^2 = u \cdot 1 \cdot i^{4k} = 1 \cdot i^{4k+2} = u \cdot 1 \cdot F - f(u, v) \cdot C - g(u, v)$  will not contain the proof of the contained and  $i \cdot i$  is the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  in the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  in the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  in the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  in the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  in the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  in the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  in the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  in the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  when  $i \cdot i$  is the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  in the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  when  $i \cdot i$  is the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  when  $i \cdot i$  is the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not contain  $i \cdot i$  when  $i \cdot i$  is the proof of  $i \cdot i$  will not  $i \cdot i$  with  $i \cdot i$  will not  $i$ 

i : imaginary unit  $i^2 = -1$ ;  $i^{4k} = 1$ ;  $i^{4k+2} = -1$ , F = f(u, v), G = g(u, v) will not contain i (Since  $i^{4k+1} = i$ ;  $i^{4k+3} = -i$  is lost).

Special cases:

$$u=u_0^2,v=v_0^2$$
: 
$$(u\pm v)^n=(u_0^2\pm v_0^2)^n=u_0^2.F^2\pm v_0^2.G^2=(u_0F)^2\pm (v_0G)^2 \text{ for n is odd } (u\pm v)^n=(u_0^2\pm v_0^2)^n=F^2\pm u_0^2v_0^2.G^2=F^2\pm (u_0v_0G)^2 \text{ for n is even Consequently,}$$

**Theorem 2.** The equation  $x^2 \pm y^2 = z^n$  always has infinitive solutions in integer for any positive integer n

Note:

Above expression is the only way or not, it depends on w ( even or odd), u and v ( square  $e^2$  or not square  $e, fe^2$ ).

So that, be carefully when apply for specific case.

For the case w is odd, u and v are squares,  $u = a^2$ ,  $v = b^2$ , a and b different parity,  $w = a^2 - b^2$ , Above expression is the only way.

However, the case below:

$$3 = 5 - 2$$
, then  $3^3 = (5 - 2)^3 = \left[\frac{(\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{2})^3 + (\sqrt{5} - \sqrt{2})^5}{2}\right]^2 - \left[\frac{(\sqrt{5} + \sqrt{2})^3 - (\sqrt{5} - \sqrt{2})^3}{2}\right]^2 = 5.11^2 - 2.17^2$ 

But, there is other way such that:

$$3^3 = (5-2)^3 = 5.5^2 - 2.7^2$$
.

#### 1 Applying for FLt

$$x^n + y^n = z^n \tag{1}$$

n is odd, n = 2m + 1

The left hand side:

$$x^{2m+1} + y^{2m+1} = (x+y)(x^{2m} - x^{2m-1}y + x^{2m-2}y^2 - \dots + y^{2m})$$
 (2)

we can write  $:x^{2m+1}+y^{2m+1}=(x+y)Q$ , here  $:Q=x^{2m}-x^{2m-1}y+x^{2m-2}y^2-\ldots+y^{2m}$  to consider FLt, it is enough to consider n prime, n=p.

Assume x and y are odd, we express Q as one of two formulas below:

$$Q_p = M^2 + pN^2 \tag{3}$$

or;

$$Q_p = M^2 - pN^2 \tag{4}$$

Here: M = f(a, b), N = g(a, b), a + b = x, a - b = y. M and N are coprime.

For p = 3:

 $Q_3 = a^2 + 3b^2$ 

For p = 5:

$$Q_5 = (a^2 + 5b^2)^2 - 5(2b^2)^2$$

For p = 7:

$$Q_7 = a^2(a^2 + 7b^2)^2 + 7b^2(b^2 - a^2)^2$$

For p = 11:

$$Q_{11} = a^2(a^4 - 22a^2b^2 - 11b^4)^2 + 11b^2(b^4 + 2a^2b^2 - 3a^4)^2$$

• • •

Since  $x^p + y^p = z^p$ , then  $Q_p = w^p$  or  $Q_p = pw^p$ 

w is not divisible by p.

Two equations must be considered:

$$M^{2} + pN^{2} = w^{p}(orM^{2} - pN^{2} = w^{p})$$
(5)

$$M^{2} + pN^{2} = pw^{p}(orM^{2} - pN^{2} = pw^{p})$$
(6)

For (6),  $M = pM_0$ , it yields: $pM_0^2 + N^2 = w^p$  (or  $pM_0^2 - N^2 = w^p$ )

### 2 The algorithm

Express  $w^p$  as:

$$w^p = M'^2 + pN'^2 (7)$$

or

$$w^p = M'^2 - pN'^2 (8)$$

Apply theorem above, let  $w = c^2 + pd^2$  or  $w = c^2 - pd^2$ 

For p = 3: 
$$w^3 = (c^2 + 3d^2)^3 = (c + i\sqrt{3}d)^3(c - i\sqrt{3}d)^3$$
 
$$= [\frac{(c + i\sqrt{3}d)^3 + (c - i\sqrt{3}d)^3}{2}]^2 - [\frac{(c + i\sqrt{3}d)^3 - (c - i\sqrt{3}d)^3}{2}]^2$$
 
$$= c^2(c^2 - 9d^2)^2 + 3.3^2d^2(c^2 - d^2)^2$$
 
$$(a = c(c^2 - 9d^2) \text{ and } b = 3d(c^2 - d^2); \text{ Euler' proof-1770 year)}$$

For p = 5:

$$\begin{split} w^5 &= (c^2 - 5d^2)^3 = (c + \sqrt{5}d)^5(c - \sqrt{5}d)^5 \\ &= [\frac{(c + \sqrt{5}d)^5 + (c - \sqrt{5}d)^3}{2}]^2 - [\frac{(c + \sqrt{5}d)^5 - (c - \sqrt{5}d)^5}{2}]^2 \\ c^2(c^4 + 50c^2d^2 + 125d^4)^2 - 5.5^2d^2(c^4 + 10c^2d^2 + 5d^4)^2 \\ (a^2 + 5b^2 = c(c^4 + 50c^2d^2 + 125d^4) \text{ and } 2b^2 = 5d(c^4 + 10c^2d^2 + 5d^4); \text{ Dirichlet's proof-1825 year)} \\ \text{and} \end{split}$$

For p = 7:  

$$w^{7} = (c^{2} + 7d^{2})^{7} = (c + i\sqrt{7}d)^{7}(c - i\sqrt{7}d)^{7}$$

$$= \left[\frac{(c + i\sqrt{7}d)^{7} + (c - i\sqrt{7}d)^{7}}{2}\right]^{2} - \left[\frac{(c + i\sqrt{7}d)^{7} - (c - i\sqrt{7}d)^{7}}{2}\right]^{2}$$

$$c^{2}(c^{6} - 3.7^{2}c^{4}d^{2} + 5.7^{3}c^{2}d^{4} - 7^{4}d^{6})^{2} + 7.7^{2}d^{2}(c^{6} - 5.7c^{4}d^{2} + 3.7^{2}c^{2}d^{4} - 7^{2}d^{6})^{2}$$

If it is the only way for the specific case, then there is only one choice, and not more. We obtain the two equations below:

$$M = M'$$

$$N = N'$$

If they have no solution in integer, FLt is true for that case, if they have a solution in integer, then continue consider if it satisfy to condition  $2a = w'^p$  (w'w = z) when  $p \nmid z$  (or  $2a = p^{p-1}w'^p$ , when  $p \mid z$ ) or not.

If the only way is not shown, then the proof of FLt by algorithm above is not completed (flawed)!!

#### 3 About Fermat's margin-notes

Around 1637, Fermat wrote his Last Theorem in the margin of his copy of the Arithmetica next to Diophantu's sum - of- squares problem:

It is impossible to separate a cube in two cubes, or a fourth power into two fourth powers, or in general, any power higher than second, into two like powers. I have discovered a truly marvelous proof of this, which this margin is too narrow to contain.

It is not known whether Fermat had actually a valid proof for all exponents n.

I am Quang, Math independent researcher. In the letter was sent to The Annal of Math in 2015 year, I supposed that the short proof of Flt will appear, and Fermat could have a proof of FLt as he wrote (margin - notes). Indeed the short proof of FLt was found.

In my opinion, Fermat is famous enough , if he had a proof of Flt, publishing a proof of Flt or not, no problem for him, but for us . The short proof could be kept in mind without writing for memory.

#### 4 Acknowledgement

I published a proof of the four color theorem in 2016 year, I think that professional and none -professional mathematicians could understand and verify it . I am very happy if my proof of the four color theorem by induction is correct, was verified and recognized before I publish the short proof of Flt.Thanh you!

#### References

Quang N V, A proof of the four color theorem by induction Vixra: 1601.0247 (CO)

# APPENDIS About proof of the FLt for n = 5

Dirichlet have proved FLt for n=5 by infinitive descent, his proof is correct if  $w=(c^2-5d^2)^5=c^2(c^4+50c^2d^2+125d^4)^2-5.5^2d^2(c^4+10c^2d^2+5d^4)^2$  is the only way for expression  $w=M^2-5N^2$ .\* If the condition\* is true was shown! I give a very simple poof of FLt for n=5 without using infinitive descent below:

Since  $a^2 + 5b^2 = c(c^4 + 50c^2d^2 + 125d^4)$ , and  $2b^2 = 5d(c^4 + 10c^2d^2 + 5d^4)$ , then  $5 \mid b$ , that means x = a + b and y = a - b is not divisible by 5. In other hand, if  $x^5 + y^5 = z^5$ , then one of x,y and z must divisible by y, it yields  $5 \mid z$ 

It gives:

 $5 \mid a^2 + 5b^2$ , hence  $5 \mid a$ , it yields  $5 \mid x$ ;  $5 \mid y$  and  $5 \mid z$ , that means x,y and z have a common factor, a contradiction!

Email: nguyenvquang67@gmail.com quangnhu67@yahoo.com.vn

4