Proof that there are no odd perfect numbers

Kouji Takaki

April 30th, 2019

1. Abstract

For *y* to be a perfect number, if one of the prime factors is *p*, the exponent of *p* is an integer $n(n \ge 1)$, the prime factors other than *p* are $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots p_r$ and the even exponent of p_k is q_k ,

$$y/p^{n} = (1+p+p^{2}+\dots+p^{n})\prod_{k=1}^{r} (1+p_{k}+p_{k}^{2}+\dots+p_{k}^{q_{k}})/(2p^{n}) = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_{k}^{q_{k}}$$

must be satisfied. Let m and q be non-negative integers,

$$n = 4m + 1$$
$$p = 4q + 1$$

Letting b and c be odd integers, satisfying following expressions,

$$b = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{q_k}$$

$$c = \prod_{k=1}^{r} (1 + p_k + p_k^2 + \dots + p_k^{q_k})/p^n$$

$$2b = c(p^n + \dots + 1)$$

is established. This is a known content. By the consideration of this research paper, since it turns out that contradiction arises from the n order equation of p, we have obtained the conclusion that there are no odd perfect numbers.

2. Introduction

The perfect number is one in which the sum of the divisors other than itself is the same value as itself, and the smallest perfect number is

$$1 + 2 + 3 = 6$$

It is 6. Whether an odd perfect number exists or not is currently an unsolved problem.

3. Proof

An odd perfect number is y, one of them is an odd prime number p, an exponent of p is an integer n $(n \ge 1)$. Let $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots p_r$ be the odd prime numbers of factors other than p, q_k the index of p_k , and variable a be the sum of product combinations other than prime p.

$$a = \prod_{k=1}^{r} (1 + p_k + p_k^2 + \dots + p_k^{q_k}) \dots (1)$$

The number of terms N of variable a is

$$N = \prod_{k=1}^{r} (q_k + 1) \dots @$$

When y is a perfect number,

$$y = a(1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^n) - y (n > 0)$$

is established.

$$a \sum_{k=0}^{n} p^{k} / 2 = y$$
$$a \sum_{k=0}^{n} p^{k} / (2p^{n}) = y / p^{n} \dots (3)$$

3.1. If q_k has at least one odd integer

Letting the number of terms where q_k is an odd integer be a positive integer u, because $y/p^n = \prod_{k=1}^r p_k^{q_k}$ is an odd integer, the denominator on the left side of expression ③ has a prime factor 2, from expression ② variable a has more than u prime factor 2 and variable a is an even integer. Therefore $\sum_{k=0}^n p^k$ must be an odd integer, n is an even integer and u is 1.

3.2. When all q_k are even integers

 y/p^n is an odd integer, the denominator on the left side of expression ③ is an even integer, and since N is and odd integer when q_k are all even integers, variable a is and odd integer. Therefore $\sum_{k=0}^{n} p^k$ is necessary to include one prime factor 2, $\sum_{k=0}^{n} p^k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ is established, and n must be an odd integer.

From 3.1, 3.2, in order to have an odd perfect number, only one exponent of the prime factor of y must be an odd integer and variable a must be an odd integer. We consider the case of 3.2 below.

In order for y to be a perfect number, the following expression must be established.

$$y/p^{n} = (1+p+p^{2}+\dots+p^{n})\prod_{k=1}^{r} (1+p_{k}+p_{k}^{2}+\dots+p_{k}^{q_{k}})/(2p^{n}) = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_{k}^{q_{k}}$$

However, q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r are all even integers.

Here, let b be an integer

$$b = \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_k^{q_k} \dots \textcircled{4}$$

$$y/p^{n} = a(1+p+p^{2}+\dots+p^{n})/(2p^{n}) = b$$

$$a(p^{n+1}-1)/(2(p-1)p^{n}) = b$$

$$(a-2b)p^{n+1}+2bp^{n}-a = 0 \dots 5$$

Because it is an n+1 order equation of p, the solution of the odd prime p is n+1 at most.

 $(ap - 2bp + 2b)p^n = a$ Since ap - 2bp + 2b is an odd integer, a/p^n is an odd integer, which is c. $ap - 2bp + 2b = c \ (c > 0) \dots 6$ (2b - a)p = 2b - c

Since variable a is an odd integer, 2b - a is an odd integer and $2b - a \neq 0$ p = (2b - c)/(2b - a)

```
Since n \ge 1

a - c = cp^n - c \ge cp - c > 0

a > c

is.
```

```
From equation (6)

2b(p-1) - (ap - c) = 0

2b - c(p^{n+1} - 1)/(p-1) = 0

(p^n + \dots + 1)/2 is an odd integer, n = 4m + 1 is required with m as an integer.

2b(p-1) = c(p^{n+1} - 1)

2b = c(p^n + \dots + 1)

2b = c(p + 1)(p^{n-1} + p^{n-3} + \dots + 1) \dots (7)

b is an odd integer when p + 1 is not a multiple of 4. It is necessary that p - 1 be a

multiple of 4. A positive integer is taken as q.

p = 4q + 1

is established.
```

When p > 1 $p^n - 1 < p^n$ $(p^n - 1)/(p - 1) < p^n/(p - 1)$ $p^{n-1} + \dots + 1 < p^n/(p - 1) \dots \otimes$

Since p is an odd prime number satisfying p = 4q + 1 and $p \ge 5$ $p^{n-1} + \dots + 1 < p^n/4$ $2b - a = c(p^n + \dots + 1) - cp^n = c(p^{n-1} + \dots + 1)$ $2b - a < cp^n/4 = a/4$ 2b < 5a/4 $a > 8b/5 \dots @$ Let a_k and b_k be integers and if $a_k = 1 + p_k + p_k^2 + \dots + p_k^{q_k}$, $b_k = p_k^{q_k}$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k} &= 1 + p_{k} + p_{k} + r + p_{k}, \ b_{k} &= p_{k}, \\ a_{k} &= b_{k} < b_{k} / (p_{k} - 1) \\ a_{k} &< b_{k} p_{k} / (p_{k} - 1) \end{aligned}$$
$$a &= \prod_{k=1}^{r} a_{k} < \prod_{k=1}^{r} b_{k} p_{k} / (p_{k} - 1) = b \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_{k} / (p_{k} - 1) \\ a / b &< \prod_{k=1}^{r} p_{k} / (p_{k} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

When r = 1, since a/b < 3/2 is established, it becomes inappropriate contrary to inequality (9).

From $a = cp^{n}$ and expression (7), $2bp^{n} = a(p^{n} + \dots + 1)$ $a(p^{n} + \dots + 1)/(2bp^{n}) = 1 \dots (A)$ When r = 1, $a = (p_{1}q_{1}+1 - 1)/(p_{1} - 1)$ $b = p_{1}q_{1}$

Equation (A) does not hold since there is no odd perfect number when r = 1.

Let R be a rational number, $R = a(p^{n} + \dots + 1)/(2bp^{n})$ Let b' be a rational number and let A and B to be an integer, $b' = (p_{t}^{q_{t}+1} - 1)/(p_{t}^{q_{t}}(p_{t} - 1)) > 1$ $A = (p_{t}^{q_{t}+1} - 1)/(p_{t} - 1)$ $B = p_{t}^{q_{t}}$ Multiplying R by b', there are both cases that p_r increases p or does not change. When multiplied by b', the rate of change of R is $Ap^n(p'^n + \dots + 1)/(Bp'^n(p^n + \dots + 1))$, if p after variation is p'. If the rate of change of R is 1,

$$Ap^{n}({p'}^{n} + \dots + 1)/(B{p'}^{n}(p^{n} + \dots + 1)) = 1$$
$$Ap^{n}({p'}^{n} + \dots + 1) = B{p'}^{n}(p^{n} + \dots + 1)$$

This expression does not hold, since the right side is not a multiple of p when p' > p, and A > B holds when p' = p. Due to this operation, R may be larger or smaller than the original value, since the rate of change of R does not become 1.

 $\begin{array}{l} {\rm From} \ \ R \neq 1 \ \ and \ \ a = cp^n \ \ for \ \ some \ \ r, \ \ also \ \ multiplying \ \ fractions \ \ b' = A_1/B_1 \ , \\ b'' = A_2/B_2, \ \cdots b''^{\dots'} = A_x/B_x, \ if \ \ R = 1 \ \ holds \ finally, \\ , \ if \ a(p^n + \cdots + 1)/(2bp^n) \times A_1p^n(p_1^{\ n} + \cdots + 1)/(B_1p_1^{\ n}(p^n + \cdots + 1)) \times A_2p_1^{\ n}(p_2^{\ n} + \cdots + 1)/(B_2p_2^{\ n}(p_1^{\ n} + \cdots + 1)) \ \ldots A_xp_{x-1}^{\ n}(p_x^{\ n} + \cdots + 1)/(B_xp_x^{\ n}(p_{x-1}^{\ n} + \cdots + 1)) = 1 \\ a/(2b) \times A_1/B_1 \times A_2/B_2 \ \ldots A_x(p_x^{\ n} + \cdots + 1)/(B_xp_x^{\ n}) = 1 \\ a(p_x^{\ n} + \cdots + 1)A_1A_2 \ \ldots A_x = 2bp_x^{\ n}B_1B_2 \ \ldots B_x \\ cp^n(p_x^{\ n} + \cdots + 1)A_1A_2 \ \ldots A_x = 2bp_x^{\ n}B_1B_2 \ \ldots B_x \end{array}$

When $p_x > p$, it becomes inconsistent since the right side of this expression does not include p as a factor.

When $p_x = p$, $cp^n(p^n + \dots + 1)A_1A_2 \dots A_x = c(p^n + \dots + 1)p^n$ $A_1A_2 \dots A_x = 1$

It becomes contradiction, since this expression is not established. Therefore, $a = cp^n$ holds at one point where R = 1.

Assuming that R = 1 in some r by multiplying fractions $b' = A_1/B_1$, $b'' = A_2/B_2$, \cdots $b'' = A_x/B_x$, if R = 1 holds,

$$1 \times A_{1}p^{n}(p_{1}^{n} + \dots + 1)/(B_{1}p_{1}^{n}(p^{n} + \dots + 1)) \times A_{2}p_{1}^{n}(p_{2}^{n} + \dots + 1)/(B_{2}p_{2}^{n}(p_{1}^{n} + \dots + 1)) \dots A_{x}p_{x-1}^{n}(p_{x}^{n} + \dots + 1)/(B_{x}p_{x}^{n}(p_{x-1}^{n} + \dots + 1)) = 1$$

$$A_{1}A_{2} \dots A_{x}p^{n}(p_{x}^{n} + \dots + 1) = B_{1}B_{2} \dots B_{x}p_{x}^{n}(p^{n} + \dots + 1)$$

When $p_x > p$, it becomes inconsistent since the right side of this expression does not include p as a factor.

When $p_x = p$,

 $\mathbf{A_1}\mathbf{A_2} \dots \mathbf{A_x} = \mathbf{B_1}\mathbf{B_2} \dots \mathbf{B_x}$

is established. It becomes contradiction. Therefore, when n is fixed, the number of values of r for which R = 1 is one or less.

Assuming that R = 1 in some r by multiplying fractions $b' = A_1/B_1$, $b'' = A_2/B_2$, \cdots $b'' = A_x/B_x$ and reciprocal of fraction previously multiplied , if R = 1 holds. At this time, assuming that n also changes, the change rate when multiplying by A_1/B_1 is $A_1p^n(p_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}} + \cdots + 1)/(B_1p_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}}(p^n + \cdots + 1))$

The rate of change when multiplying $p_{r+2}^{q_{r+2}}/(p_{r+2}^{q_{r+2}}+\dots+1)$ after this is $p_1^{q_1}p_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}}(p_{r+2}^{n_{r+2}}+\dots+1)/((p_1^{q_1}+\dots+1)p_{r+2}^{n_{r+2}}(p_{r+1}^{n_{r+1}}+\dots+1))$

$$\begin{split} 1 \times A_1 p^n (p_{r+1}{}^{n_{r+1}} + \dots + 1) / (B_1 p_{r+1}{}^{n_{r+1}} (p^n + \dots + 1)) \times p_1{}^{q_1} p_{r+1}{}^{n_{r+1}} (p_{r+2}{}^{n_{r+2}} + \dots \\ &+ 1) / ((p_1{}^{q_1} + \dots + 1) p_{r+2}{}^{n_{r+2}} (p_{r+1}{}^{n_{r+1}} + \dots + 1)) \dots = 1 \end{split}$$

When A_z and B_z are reduced when multiplied by the reciprocal, if the products excluding the reduced variable are expressed as $A_1A_2 \dots A_x$ and $B_1B_2 \dots B_x$,

 $A_1A_2 \dots A_x p^n (p_x^{n_x} + \dots + 1) = B_1B_2 \dots B_x p_x^{n_x} (p^n + \dots + 1)$

Since it becomes contradiction like above proof, when n is arbitrary, the number of combinations (a, b, p, n) of solutions with R = 1 is 1 or less.

Assuming that R = 1 in some r and by multiplying R = 1 holds by the reciprocal of the fraction multiplied until then. At this time, it is assumed that n changes, and the changed p is p'_x, and the change rate when multiplied by $p_r^{q_r}/(p_r^{q_r} + \dots + 1)$ is $p_r^{q_r}p^n(p'_{n-1}{}^{n_{n-1}} + \dots + 1)/((p_r^{q_r} + \dots + 1)p'_{n-1}{}^{n_{n-1}}(p^n + \dots + 1))$ Since when r = 0 and n₀ = 1, R = 1, a = 1, b = 1 and p'_0 = 1 hold finally, $1 \times p_r^{q_r}p^n(p'_{n-1}{}^{n_{n-1}} + \dots + 1)/((p_r^{q_r} + \dots + 1)p'_{n-1}{}^{n_{n-1}}(p^n + \dots + 1))$ $\times p_{r-1}{}^{q_{r-1}}p'_{n-1}{}^{n_{n-1}}(p'_{n-2}{}^{n_{n-2}} + \dots + 1)/((p_{r-1}{}^{q_{r-1}} + \dots + 1)p'_{n-2}{}^{n_{n-2}}(p'_{n-1}{}^{n_{n-1}} + \dots + 1)) \dots = 1$ $p^n(p'_0{}^{n_0} + \dots + 1)a/((p^n + \dots + 1)p'_0{}^{n_0}b) = 1$ $p^n = p^n + \dots + 1$

It becomes contradiction since there are no real solution where p > 0. From the above, there are no odd perfect numbers.

4. Complement

From equation (5),

$$\begin{aligned} &2bp^{n}(p-1) = a(p^{n+1}-1) \\ &2 = a(p^{n+1}-1)/(bp^{n}(p-1)) \\ &2 = (p_{1}^{q_{1}+1}-1)(p_{2}^{q_{2}+1}-1) \dots (p_{r}^{q_{r}+1}-1)(p^{n+1}-1) \\ & /(p_{1}^{q_{1}}p_{2}^{q_{2}} \dots p_{r}^{q_{r}}p^{n}(p_{1}-1)(p_{2}-1) \dots (p_{r}-1)(p-1)) \\ &2(p_{1}^{q_{1}+1}-p_{1}^{q_{1}})(p_{2}^{q_{2}+1}-p_{2}^{q_{2}}) \dots (p_{r}^{q_{r}+1}-p_{r}^{q_{r}})(p^{n+1}-p^{n}) \\ &= (p_{1}^{q_{1}+1}-1)(p_{2}^{q_{2}+1}-1) \dots (p_{r}^{q_{r}+1}-1)(p^{n+1}-1) \end{aligned}$$

We consider when
$$r = 2$$
.
 $(p_1^{q_1+1} - 1)(p_2^{q_2+1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1) = 2(p_1^{q_1+1} - p_1^{q_1})(p_2^{q_2+1} - p_2^{q_2})(p^{n+1} - p^n)$
Let s, t, u be integers,
 $s = p_1^{q_1+1} - 1$
 $t = p_2^{q_2+1} - 1$
 $u = p^{n+1} - 1$
are.
 $stu = 2(p_1^{q_1+1} - 1 - (p_1^{q_1} - 1))(p_2^{q_2+1} - 1 - (p_2^{q_2} - 1))(p^{n+1} - 1 - (p^n - 1))$
 $stu = 2(s - (s + 1)/p_1 + 1)(t - (t + 1)/p_2 + 1)(u - (u + 1)/p + 1)$
 $p_1p_2stu = 2((s + 1)p_1 - (s + 1))((t + 1)p_2 + (t + 1))((u + 1)p + (u + 1))$
 $p_1p_2stu = 2(s + 1)(p_1 - 1)(t + 1)(p_2 - 1)(u + 1)(p - 1)$

$$\frac{tu}{(s+1)(t+1)(u+1)} = 2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1 p_2 p)$$

Since stu/((s + 1)(t + 1)(u + 1)) is a monotonically increasing function for variables s, t and u, if $s \ge 3^{2+1} - 1 = 26$, $p_1 = 3$, $q_1 = 2$ $t \ge 7^{2+1} - 1 = 342$, $p_2 = 7$, $q_2 = 2$ $u \ge 5^2 - 1 = 24$, p = 5, n = 1holds, stu/((s + 1)(t + 1)(u + 1)) \ge 26 \times 342 \times 24/(27 \times 343 \times 25) = 7904/8575 $2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1p_2p) = 2 \times 2 \times 6 \times 4/(3 \times 7 \times 5) = 32/35$

Since stu/((s + 1)(t + 1)(u + 1)) is limited to 1 when s, t and u are infinite, stu/((s + 1)(t + 1)(u + 1)) < 1

If $f(p_1, p_2, p) = 2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1p_2p)$ holds, it is sufficient to consider a combination where $f(p_1, p_2, p) < 1$.

$$\begin{split} f(3,7,5) &= 2 \times 2 \times 6 \times 4/(3 \times 7 \times 5) = 32/35 \\ f(3,11,5) &= 2 \times 2 \times 10 \times 4/(3 \times 11 \times 5) = 32/33 \\ f(3,13,5) &= 2 \times 2 \times 12 \times 4/(3 \times 13 \times 5) = 64/65 \\ f(3,17,5) &= 2 \times 2 \times 16 \times 4/(3 \times 17 \times 5) = 256/255 \\ f(3,7,13) &= 2 \times 2 \times 6 \times 12/(3 \times 7 \times 13) = 96/91 \\ f(3,5,17) &= 2 \times 2 \times 4 \times 16/(3 \times 5 \times 17) = 256/255 \end{split}$$

From the above, when r = 2, a combination $(p_1, p_2, p) = (3,7,5), (3,11,5), (3,13,5)$ can be considered.

Let q_k be 2 and n = 1, if $g(p_1, p_2, p) = (p_1^3 - 1)(p_2^3 - 1)(p^2 - 1)/(p_1^3 p_2^3 p^2)$, $g(3,7,5) = 26 \times 342 \times 24/(3^3 7^3 5^2) = 7904/8575 > 32/35$ $g(3,11,5) = 26 \times 1330 \times 24/(3^3 11^3 5^2) = 55328/59895$ $g(3,13,5) = 26 \times 2196 \times 24/(3^3 13^3 5^2) = 3904/4225$

Since the function g is the minimum in the case of $q_k = 2$ and n = 1, there is no solution q_k and n when g > f, so the case of $(p_1, p_2, p) = (3,7,5)$ becomes unsuitable.

 $stu/((s + 1)(t + 1)(u + 1)) = 2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1p_2p)$ $(p_1^{q_1+1} - 1)(p_2^{q_2+1} - 1)(p^{n+1} - 1)/(p_1^{q_1+1}p_2^{q_2+1}p^{n+1})$ $= 2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1p_2p)$

If $F(p_1, p_2, p) = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1)(p - 1)/(p_1 p_2 p)$, $F(p_1^{q_1+1}, p_2^{q_2+1}, p^{n+1}) = 2F(p_1, p_2, p)$

5. Acknowledgement

In writing this research document, we asked anonymous reviewers to point out several tens of mistakes. We would like to thank you for giving appropriate guidance and counter-arguments.

6. References

Hiroyuki Kojima "The world is made of prime numbers" Kadokawa Shoten, 2017 Fumio Sairaiji Kenichi Shimizu "A story that prime is playing" Kodansha, 2015