

MODULAR LOGARITHM UNEQUAL

WU SHENG-PING

ABSTRACT. The main idea of this article is simply calculating integer functions in module. The algebraic in the integer modules is studied in completely new style. By a careful construction, a result is proven that two finite numbers are with unequal logarithms in a corresponding module, and is applied to solving a kind of high degree diophantine equation.

In this paper, p is prime, C means a constant. All numbers that are indicated by Latin letters are integers unless with further indication.

1. FUNCTION IN MODULE

Theorem 1.1. *Define the congruence class [1] in the form:*

$$\begin{aligned} [a]_q &:= [a + kq]_q, \forall k \in \mathbf{Z} \\ [a = b]_q &: [a]_q = [b]_q \\ [a]_q [b]_{q'} &:= [x]_{qq'} : [x = a]_q, [x = b]_{q'}, (q, q') = 1 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} [a + b]_q &= [a]_q + [b]_q \\ [ab]_q &= [a]_q \cdot [b]_q \\ [a + c]_q [b + d]_{q'} &= [a]_q [b]_{q'} + [c]_q [d]_{q'}, (q, q') = 1 \\ [ka]_q [kb]_{q'} &= k[a]_q [b]_{q'}, (q, q') = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2. *The integer coefficient power-analytic functions modulo p are all the functions from mod p to mod p*

$$\begin{aligned} [x^0 = 1]_p \\ [f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} f(n)(1 - (x - n)^{p-1})]_p \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.3. *(Modular Logarithm) Define*

$$\begin{aligned} [1\mathbf{m}_a(x) := y]_{p^{m-1}(p-1)} &: [a^y = x]_{p^m} \\ [E := \sum_{i=0}^{m'} p^i / i!]_{p^m} \\ 1 &\ll m \ll m' \end{aligned}$$

then

$$[E^x = \sum_{i=0}^{m'} x^i p^i / i!]_{p^m}$$

Date: Jan 27, 2020.

Key words and phrases. Diophantine Equation; Discrete Logarithm.

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathbf{1m}_E(1 - xp) &= - \sum_{i=1}^{m'} (xp)^i / (ip)]_{p^{m-1}} \\
[Q(q)\mathbf{1m}(1 - xq) &= - \sum_{i=1}^{m'} (xq)^i / i]_{q^m} \\
Q(q) &:= \prod_{p|q} [p]_{p^m}
\end{aligned}$$

Define

$$[\mathbf{1m}(x) := \mathbf{1m}_e(x)]_{p^{m-1}}$$

e is the generating element in mod p and meets

$$[e^{1-p^{m'}} = E]_{p^m}$$

It's proven by comparing to the Taylor expansions of real exponent and logarithm (especially on the coefficients).

Definition 1.4.

$$[\mathbf{1m}(px) := p\mathbf{1m}(x)]_{p^m}$$

Definition 1.5.

$$P(q) := \prod_{p|q} p$$

Definition 1.6.

$${}_q[x] := y : [x = y]_q, 0 \leq y < q$$

2. UNEQUAL LOGARITHMS OF TWO NUMBERS

Theorem 2.1. *If*

$$\begin{aligned}
b + a &< q \\
a > b &> 0 \\
(a, b) &= (a, q) = (b, q) = 1
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$[\mathbf{1m}(a) \neq \mathbf{1m}(b)]_q$$

Proof. Define

$$r := P(q)$$

$$\beta := \prod_{p \cdot p|q} [(a/b)^{v_p-1}]_{p^m}, \quad 1 \ll m$$

$$v_p := [p]_{p^m(p-1)}$$

Set

$$0 \leq x, x' < qr + r$$

$$0 \leq y, y' < qr + r$$

$$d := (x - x', q^m)$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(x, y, x', y') = (b, a, b, a)]_r \\
[\beta^2 a^2 x^2 - b^2 y^2 &= \beta^2 a^2 x'^2 - b^2 y'^2 =: 2qrN]_{uq^2r}, \quad u := (2, r) \\
&[\beta ax - by = 0]_{r^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Checking the freedom and determination of $(x, y), (x', y')$, and using the Drawer Principle, we find that there exist *distinct* $(x, y), (x', y')$ satisfying the previous conditions.

Presume

$$(qr^n, p^m) || \beta - 1 \wedge (d, p^m) | q/r, \quad n := 0 \vee 1$$

Make

$$(s, t, s', t') := (x, y, x', y') + qZ(b, a(1 \vee \beta), 0, 0)$$

to set

$$[\beta^2 a^2 s^2 - b^2 t^2 = \beta^2 a^2 s'^2 - b^2 t'^2]_{p^m}$$

Make

$$(X, Y, X', Y') := (s, t, s', t') + qZ'(s', -t', s, -t)$$

to set

$$[aX - bY = aX' - bY']_{p^m}$$

hence

$$[\beta^2 a(X + X') = b(Y + Y')]_{p^m}$$

Define

$$V := aX - aX', \quad W := aX + aX'$$

The variables of fraction z, z' meet the equation

$$[(aX + z)^2 - (bY - \beta z')^2 = (aX' + z')^2 - (bY' - \beta z)^2]_{p^m}$$

It's equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & [2(aX - \beta bY')z - 2(aX' - \beta bY)z' + (1 + \beta^2)(z^2 - z'^2) + (1 - \beta^2)VW = 0]_{p^m} \\ & [(1 + \beta)(z + z')V + (1 - \beta^3)(z - z')W + (1 + \beta^2)(z^2 - z'^2) = -(1 - \beta^2)VW]_{p^m} \\ (2.1) \quad & [(z - z' + \frac{1 + \beta}{1 + \beta^2}V)(z + z' + \frac{1 - \beta^3}{1 + \beta^2}W) = \frac{\beta(1 - \beta^2)}{(1 + \beta^2)^2}VW]_{p^m} \end{aligned}$$

In another way

$$(2.2) \quad [(V + z - z')(W + z + z') = (V + \beta(z - z'))(\beta^2 W - \beta(z + z'))]_{p^m}$$

Make by choosing a valid (z, z') to meet 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & [V + z - z' = \beta(V + \beta(z - z'))]_{p^m} \\ & [z - z' = -\frac{1}{1 + \beta}V]_{p^m} \end{aligned}$$

then inevitably

$$\begin{aligned} & [W + z + z' = \beta^{-1}(\beta^2 W - \beta(z + z'))]_{p^m} \\ & [z + z' = -\frac{1 - \beta}{2}W]_{p^m} \end{aligned}$$

It's contradictory to 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\frac{2\beta(\beta^{-1} - \beta)}{(1 + \beta^2)^2}(V + z - z')(W + z + z') = \frac{\beta(1 - \beta^2)}{(1 + \beta^2)^2}VW]_{p^m} \\ & [(V + z - z')(W + z + z') = \frac{1}{2}VW(1 - \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \beta})(1 - \frac{1 - \beta}{2})]_{p^m} \end{aligned}$$

(Reason: Factorization). Therefore

$$(2.3) \quad [x = x']_{(q, p^m)} \vee \neg(qr^n, p^m) || \beta - 1$$

Furthermore

$$(2.4) \quad (qr|\beta - 1 \wedge [x = x']_q) = 0$$

because if not,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\beta ax - by = \beta ax' - by']_{q^{2r}} \\ & [ax - by = ax' - by']_{q^{2r}} \\ & |ax - by - (ax' - by')| < q^{2r} \\ & ax - by = ax' - by' \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$x - x' = 0 = y - y'$$

It contradicts to the previous condition.

So that with the condition 2.3

$$\neg(q, p^m)|\beta - 1 = [x = x']_{(q, p^m)} \wedge \neg(q, p^m)|\beta - 1 \vee [x \neq x']_{(q, p^m)}$$

Wedge with $(qr, p^m)|\beta - 1$

$$(qr, p^m)|\beta - 1 = (qr, p^m)|\beta - 1 \wedge [x = x']_{(q, p^m)}$$

With the condition 2.4

$$(qr|\beta - 1) = 0$$

□

Theorem 2.2. For prime p and positive integer q the equation $a^p + b^p = c^q$ has no integer solution (a, b, c) such that $(a, b) = (b, c) = (a, c) = 1, a, b > 0$ if $p, q > 3$.

Proof. Reduction to absurdity. Make logarithm on a, b in mod c^q . The conditions are sufficient for a controversy. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Z.I. Borevich, I.R. Shafarevich, "Number theory" , Acad. Press (1966)
E-mail address: hiyaho@126.com

TIANMEN, HUBEI PROVINCE, THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA. POSTCODE: 431700