

Four-Dimensional Newtonian Relativity

KENNARD CALLENDER

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7303-4848

Santiago de Veraguas, República de Panamá

Abstract

The constancy of the speed of light seems to imply that time and space are not absolute. However, we aim to demonstrate that this is not necessarily the case. In this article, we use Newton's concepts of absolute time and absolute space, along with the hypothesis that physical space is four-dimensional, to construct an alternative formulation of special relativity. We prove this formulation is mathematically equivalent to Einstein's theory by deriving the Lorentz transformation from the Galilean transformation for frames of reference in four-dimensional Euclidean space.

I. INTRODUCTION

According to Newton, time and space are absolute [1]. This means time and space are independent aspects of objective reality. Newton also contended that a physical object is at absolute rest if it is stationary with respect to absolute space or in absolute motion if it is moving with respect to absolute space [2]. For this reason, in his framework, absolute space is the preferred frame of reference [3]. If Newton is correct, then the Galilean transformation should be the set of equations that accurately relate the time and space coordinates of two systems moving at constant velocity relative to each other [4]. The constancy of the speed of light led Einstein to conclude that Newton's views are wrong. However, we can demonstrate that this conclusion is not necessarily true. In this article, we will construct an alternative formulation to the theory of special relativity based on the postulates that time and space are absolute and the hypothesis that physical space is four-dimensional. We will prove the mathematical validity of this formulation by deriving the Lorentz transformation from the Galilean transformation for frames of reference in four-dimensional Euclidean space.

II. POSTULATES

The alternative formulation to the theory of special relativity that we propose is based on the following postulates:

- Time and space are absolute.
- Space is four-dimensional.
- Inertial frames of reference move at the speed of light with respect to absolute space.

The first postulate refers to the same concepts defined by Newton in 1687. The second postulate states our fundamental hypothesis: physical space is a four-dimensional Euclidean space. The third postulate posits that inertial frames of reference (which represent physical objects) are never at rest with respect to absolute space and move only at one speed with respect to it—the speed of light. This proposition is similar to the one obtained from the theory of relativity, which asserts that all objects move through spacetime at the speed of light. The difference between these three postulates and five-dimensional space-time theories, such as Nordström's electromagnetic-gravitational theory [5] and the Kaluza-Klein theory [6–8], is that those formulations do not consider time and space to be absolute, nor do they treat space as Euclidean.

The mathematical formalism of these postulates is the following:

- The coordinates of two systems that move at a constant velocity relative to each other are related by the transformations of the Galilean group (any composition of uniform motions, translations and rotations in four-dimensional Euclidean space [9]).
- Five equations are required to relate the coordinates between two inertial frames of reference (four equations for the spatial coordinates and one equation for the temporal coordinate).
- The speed between any inertial frame of reference and absolute space must be equal to the speed of light.

In addition to suggesting postulates about the nature of time and space, we need to take into account that the theory of relativity is grounded on the presumption that space is three-dimensional. This remark can be stated as follows:

- Special relativity presupposes that space has three dimensions, but if space actually has four dimensions, then that erroneous assumption would have affected the mathematical formulation of the theory.

We shall refer to this statement as the presupposition principle. This principle is inherently different from the aforementioned postulates because it does not describe the physical world. Instead, it highlights the possibility that Einstein could have derived the Lorentz transformation under the wrong assumption about the dimensionality of space.

Let's take a closer look at Einstein's formulation of special relativity [10]. In the third section of his article "On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies," Einstein describes two orthogonal coordinate systems: K and k . Each system contains three axes perpendicular to one another. The axes along the X direction of the two systems coincide, while the axes along the Y and Z directions are parallel. The origin of k moves relative to K at a constant velocity v in the X direction. The coordinates x, y, z and t specify the place and time of an event according to K , whereas the coordinates ξ, η, ζ and τ specify the place and time of the same event according to k . Now, if space is indeed four-dimensional, then it is clear that Einstein did not represent the fourth rectangular component of the position vectors in his formulation (implicitly assigning them a value of zero). Furthermore, in that same section, he set τ as a function of x, y, z and t . However, if time is absolute, then τ cannot be a function of the position coordinates. Thus, we can conclude that Einstein's presumption about the dimensionality of space affected his formulation in two ways:

- He assumed that only four equations (instead of five) are required to relate the coordinates between two inertial frames of reference (three equations for the spatial coordinates and one equation for the temporal coordinate). The equation he discarded was the one that represents that time is absolute.
- He implicitly assigned values of zero to the fourth spatial coordinates of physical events.

In the next section, we will show how the Lorentz transformation emanates from these two statements.

The three postulates presented here, in conjunction with the presupposition principle, constitute the four-dimensional Newtonian formulation of special relativity. We want to emphasize that the postulates are proposed as a description of reality, whereas the presupposition principle is offered as an explanation of where the Lorentz transformation emerges from. Consequently, this formulation contains two sets of equations: the first one gives a mathematical description of the physical world as it actually is (the Galilean transformation for frames of reference in four-dimensional Euclidean space), while the second one arises from the presumption that space is three-dimensional (the Lorentz transformation). It is the latter set of equations that is mathematically equivalent to the theory of special relativity.

III. DERIVATION

In this section, we will prove that the four-dimensional Newtonian formulation of special relativity is mathematically equivalent to Einstein's theory. To do this, we will use four rectangular coordinate systems: S, A, A' and S'. Each system contains four coordinates that specify the position of physical events in four-dimensional Euclidean space and a time coordinate that specifies the instant in which those events take place. Hence, the coordinates of an arbitrary event E are

- (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, t) according to S
- (X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, T) according to A
- $(X'_1, X'_2, X'_3, X'_4, T')$ according to A'
- $(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4, t')$ according to S'

The Lorentz transformation that we will derive is the Lorentz boost in the x_1 direction. We will derive it from a composition of three transformations of the Galilean group (two uniform motions and a rotation in four-dimensional Euclidean space). The configuration of that composition is the following: The coordinate systems A and A' are fixed with respect to absolute space, and their axes are rotated according to

$$X_1 = X'_1 \cos \theta + X'_4 \sin \theta \quad (1)$$

$$X_2 = X'_2 \quad (2)$$

$$X_3 = X'_3 \quad (3)$$

$$X_4 = -X'_1 \sin \theta + X'_4 \cos \theta \quad (4)$$

$$T = T' \quad (5)$$

where θ is the angle of rotation (a constant value between -90° and 90°). The coordinate system S represents an inertial frame of reference. It moves along the common axis X_4-x_4 . According to the postulates we propose, inertial frames of reference move at the speed of light with respect to absolute space. Therefore, the Galilean transformation equations are

$$X_1 = x_1 \quad (6)$$

$$X_2 = x_2 \quad (7)$$

$$X_3 = x_3 \quad (8)$$

$$X_4 = x_4 + ct \quad (9)$$

$$T = t \quad (10)$$

where c is the speed of light. Likewise, the coordinate system S', which also represents an inertial frame of reference, moves at the speed of light along the common axis $X'_4-x'_4$. Thus, the Galilean transformation equations for this case are

$$X'_1 = x'_1 \quad (11)$$

$$X'_2 = x'_2 \quad (12)$$

$$X'_3 = x'_3 \quad (13)$$

$$X'_4 = x'_4 + ct' \quad (14)$$

$$T' = t' \quad (15)$$

These equations (1–15) completely specify the configuration of our system. Our objective now is to find a mathematical relation between S and S' that contains the velocity component of S' with respect to S along the x_1 axis. We start by substituting equations 6–15 into equations 1–5:

$$x_1 = x'_1 \cos \theta + (x'_4 + ct') \sin \theta \quad (16)$$

$$x_2 = x'_2 \quad (17)$$

$$x_3 = x'_3 \quad (18)$$

$$(x_4 + ct) = -x'_1 \sin \theta + (x'_4 + ct') \cos \theta \quad (19)$$

$$t = t' \quad (20)$$

Then we use equation 20 to interchange t and t' in equations 16 and 19:

$$x_1 = x'_1 \cos \theta + (x'_4 + ct) \sin \theta \quad (21)$$

$$x_2 = x'_2 \quad (22)$$

$$x_3 = x'_3 \quad (23)$$

$$(x_4 + ct') = -x'_1 \sin \theta + (x'_4 + ct) \cos \theta \quad (24)$$

$$t = t' \quad (25)$$

The velocity \vec{v} of S' relative to S is

$$\vec{v} = v_1 \hat{x}_1 + v_4 \hat{x}_4 \quad (26)$$

$$v_1 = \frac{dx_1}{dt} = c \sin \theta \quad (27)$$

$$v_4 = \frac{dx_4}{dt} = c (\cos \theta - 1) \quad (28)$$

where v_1 is the velocity component of S' with respect to S along the x_1 axis (derived from equation 21), v_4 is the velocity component of S' with respect to S along the x_4 axis (derived from equations 24 and 25), \hat{x}_1 is the unit vector along the x_1 axis and \hat{x}_4 is the unit vector along the x_4 axis. The derivatives of x'_1 and x'_4 with respect to t are zero because the velocity of S' with respect to itself is equal to zero. This result is useful because equation 27 allows us to write $\sin \theta$ in terms of v_1 :

$$\sin \theta = \frac{v_1}{c} \quad (29)$$

The Pythagorean trigonometric identity tells us that

$$\cos \theta = \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \theta} \quad (30)$$

Hence, we can also write $\cos \theta$ in terms of v_1 by substituting equation 29 into equation 30:

$$\cos \theta = \sqrt{1 - v_1^2/c^2} \quad (31)$$

The gamma factor for the Lorentz boost in the x_1 direction is given by

$$\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v_1^2/c^2}} \quad (32)$$

Consequently, we have that

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1}{\gamma} \quad (33)$$

Lastly, we substitute equations 29 and 33 into equations 21 and 24, which allows us to rewrite equations 21–25 as follows:

$$x_1 = \frac{x'_1}{\gamma} + (x'_4 + ct) \frac{v_1}{c} \quad (34)$$

$$x_2 = x'_2 \quad (35)$$

$$x_3 = x'_3 \quad (36)$$

$$(x_4 + ct') = -x'_1 \frac{v_1}{c} + \frac{(x'_4 + ct)}{\gamma} \quad (37)$$

$$t = t' \quad (38)$$

Equations 34–38 provide the mathematical relationship between S and S' that we were looking for. According to the postulates we propose, they represent the physical reality of the system (describing events that occur in four-dimensional Euclidean space). Now we proceed to show how the Lorentz transformation emerges from applying the presupposition principle to this set of equations.

As stated in the previous section, Einstein's presumption about the dimensionality of space made him conclude that only four equations are required to relate the coordinates between two inertial frames of reference. The equation he discarded was the one that states that time is absolute. For this reason, we discard equation 38 from the set of equations 34–38. This gives

$$x_1 = \frac{x'_1}{\gamma} + (x'_4 + ct) \frac{v_1}{c} \quad (39)$$

$$x_2 = x'_2 \quad (40)$$

$$x_3 = x'_3 \quad (41)$$

$$(x_4 + ct') = -x'_1 \frac{v_1}{c} + \frac{(x'_4 + ct)}{\gamma} \quad (42)$$

Einstein's assumption also led him to implicitly assign values of zero to the fourth spatial coordinates of physical events. Mathematically this means that

$$x_4 = 0 \quad (43)$$

$$x'_4 = 0 \quad (44)$$

Substituting equations 43 and 44 into equations 39–42 gives

$$x_1 = \frac{x'_1}{\gamma} + v_1 t \quad (45)$$

$$x_2 = x'_2 \quad (46)$$

$$x_3 = x'_3 \quad (47)$$

$$ct' = -\frac{v_1}{c} x'_1 + \frac{ct}{\gamma} \quad (48)$$

The coordinate t' needs to be written in terms of the coordinates x_1 and t . Hence, we solve for x'_1 in equation 45 and substitute it into equation 48 (Appendix A). After doing this, we get

$$x_1 = \frac{x'_1}{\gamma} + v_1 t \quad (49)$$

$$x_2 = x'_2 \quad (50)$$

$$x_3 = x'_3 \quad (51)$$

$$ct = \frac{v_1}{c} x_1 + \frac{ct'}{\gamma} \quad (52)$$

The last step of the derivation is to solve for the coordinates x'_1 , x'_2 , x'_3 and t' in equations 49–52, respectively:

$$x'_1 = \gamma(x_1 - v_1 t) \quad (53)$$

$$x'_2 = x_2 \quad (54)$$

$$x'_3 = x_3 \quad (55)$$

$$t' = \gamma \left(t - \frac{v_1 x_1}{c^2} \right) \quad (56)$$

Equations 53–56 correspond to the Lorentz transformation of inertial frames of reference that move relative to each other at a constant velocity v_1 along their common x_1 - x'_1 axis. The more general form can be obtained by extending this procedure. Thus, we have proven that the Lorentz transformation can be derived from the Galilean transformation for frames of reference in four-dimensional Euclidean space.

As a final note, we want to emphasize that the Galilean transformation given by equations 34–38 describes a single event. However, when equation 38 is discarded and the mathematical conditions of the presupposition principle are imposed, then the resulting equations describe two events that occur at the same place in absolute space but at different times (Appendix B). That would be the interpretation of this result from a mathematical perspective. From a physical perspective, this result tells us that the effects from the Lorentz transformation (such as time dilation, length contraction and the constancy of the speed of light) are actually depth measurement effects that are being interpreted as real effects because the fourth spatial dimension is not being taken into account. We will address these remarks more profoundly in a future paper.

IV. CONCLUSION

An alternative formulation to the theory of special relativity was constructed from the concepts of absolute time and absolute space, as defined by Newton, and from the hypothesis that physical space is four-dimensional. The formulation contains two sets of equations: the first one describes the physical world as it is, while the second one emerges from the wrong assumption about the dimensionality of space. The second set of equations (the Lorentz transformation) is mathematically equivalent to Einstein's theory. However, the interpretation of those equations is significantly different. The four-dimensional Newtonian formulation of special relativity interprets the effects predicted by the Lorentz transformation as depth measurement effects, whereas special relativity interprets those effects as being real. Therefore, four-dimensional Newtonian relativity proves that the constancy of the speed of light is not necessarily incompatible with the concepts of absolute time and absolute space. Furthermore, and perhaps more significantly, the result presented here could be considered mathematical evidence that physical space is four-dimensional.

DEDICATION

This article is dedicated to the memory of my father, Dr. Lorenzo León Callender López, who always supported me and was there for me. Without him, this work would not have been possible.

APPENDIX A

In this appendix, we provide the steps missing from our derivation of the Lorentz transformation. First, we solve for x'_1 in equation 45:

$$x'_1 = \gamma (x_1 - v_1 t)$$

And then we substitute it into equation 48:

$$ct' = -\frac{v_1}{c} x'_1 + \frac{ct}{\gamma}$$

$$ct' = -\frac{v_1}{c} \gamma (x_1 - v_1 t) + \frac{ct}{\gamma}$$

$$\frac{ct'}{\gamma} = -\frac{v_1}{c} (x_1 - v_1 t) + \frac{ct}{\gamma^2}$$

The square of the gamma factor (equation 32) is given by

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{1}{1 - v_1^2/c^2}$$

Thus we have that

$$\frac{ct'}{\gamma} = -\frac{v_1}{c} (x_1 - v_1 t) + ct \left(1 - \frac{v_1^2}{c^2} \right)$$

$$\frac{ct'}{\gamma} = -\frac{v_1}{c} x_1 + \frac{v_1^2}{c} t + ct - \frac{v_1^2}{c} t$$

$$\frac{ct'}{\gamma} = -\frac{v_1}{c} x_1 + ct$$

$$ct = \frac{v_1}{c} x_1 + \frac{ct'}{\gamma}$$

which is the result we were looking for (equation 52).

APPENDIX B

In this appendix, we will derive the Lorentz transformation without applying the presupposition principle. For this reason, the mathematical relationships between the coordinate systems S, A, A' and S' that we use in this section are equations 1–15. We will also need the set of equations 34–38 and its inverse transform. The inverse transformation of equations 34–38 can be obtained by replacing v_1 with $-v_1$ and by interchanging the coordinates of S and S'. After doing this we get

$$x'_1 = \frac{x_1}{\gamma} - (x_4 + ct') \frac{v_1}{c} \quad (57)$$

$$x'_2 = x_2 \quad (58)$$

$$x'_3 = x_3 \quad (59)$$

$$(x'_4 + ct) = x_1 \frac{v_1}{c} + \frac{(x_4 + ct')}{\gamma} \quad (60)$$

$$t' = t \quad (61)$$

We start our analysis by considering two events that occur at the same place in absolute space but at different times (this means the events must have the same spatial coordinates according to A and A'). We shall refer to them as $E1$ and $E2$. Event $E1$ occurs at the instant when $x_4 = 0$. Its coordinates are

- $(x_1, x_2, x_3, 0, t_{E1})$ according to S
- $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, T_{E1})$ according to A
- $(X'_1, X'_2, X'_3, X'_4, T'_{E1})$ according to A'
- $(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4, t'_{E1})$ according to S'

Similarly, event $E2$ occurs when $x'_4 = 0$. The coordinates of this event are

- $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, t_{E2})$ according to S
- $(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, T_{E2})$ according to A
- $(X'_1, X'_2, X'_3, X'_4, T'_{E2})$ according to A'
- $(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, 0, t'_{E2})$ according to S'

As stated earlier, these systems are related by equations 1–15. Therefore, we have that

$$t'_{E1} = T'_{E1} = T_{E1} = t_{E1} = \frac{X_4}{c} \quad (62)$$

$$t_{E2} = T_{E2} = T'_{E2} = t'_{E2} = \frac{X'_4}{c} \quad (63)$$

Our goal now is to find the mathematical relation between t'_{E1} and t_{E2} . First, we substitute the coordinates of event $E1$ into equations 57–61

$$x'_1 = \frac{x_1}{\gamma} - v_1 t'_{E1} \quad (64)$$

$$x'_2 = x_2 \quad (65)$$

$$x'_3 = x_3 \quad (66)$$

$$(x'_4 + ct_{E1}) = \frac{v_1}{c} x_1 + \frac{ct'_{E1}}{\gamma} \quad (67)$$

$$t'_{E1} = t_{E1} \quad (68)$$

Then we substitute the coordinates of event $E2$ into equations 34–38

$$x_1 = \frac{x'_1}{\gamma} + v_1 t_{E2} \quad (69)$$

$$x_2 = x'_2 \quad (70)$$

$$x_3 = x'_3 \quad (71)$$

$$(x_4 + ct'_{E2}) = -\frac{v_1}{c}x'_1 + \frac{ct_{E2}}{\gamma} \quad (72)$$

$$t_{E2} = t'_{E2} \quad (73)$$

Next, we solve for x'_1 in equation 69 and substitute it into equation 64. After doing this, we get

$$t'_{E1} = \gamma \left(t_{E2} - \frac{v_1 x_1}{c^2} \right) \quad (74)$$

Lastly, we incorporate equations 69–71 to get our final result:

$$x'_1 = \gamma(x_1 - v_1 t_{E2}) \quad (75)$$

$$x'_2 = x_2 \quad (76)$$

$$x'_3 = x_3 \quad (77)$$

$$t'_{E1} = \gamma \left(t_{E2} - \frac{v_1 x_1}{c^2} \right) \quad (78)$$

And by following a similar procedure, we can also obtain

$$x_1 = \gamma(x'_1 + v_1 t'_{E1}) \quad (79)$$

$$x_2 = x'_2 \quad (80)$$

$$x_3 = x'_3 \quad (81)$$

$$t_{E2} = \gamma \left(t'_{E1} + \frac{v_1 x'_1}{c^2} \right) \quad (82)$$

Equations 75–82 have the same form as the Lorentz boost in the x_1 direction and its inverse. However, these equations make it clear that t'_{E1} and t_{E2} correspond to two different instants in time. Hence, this analysis proves that the Lorentz transformation describes two events that occur at the same place in four-dimensional absolute space but at different times (one event when $x_4 = 0$ and the other one when $x'_4 = 0$).

REFERENCES

- [1] Newton, I. *The Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy*. New-York, D. Adee, 1848, p. 77.
<https://www.loc.gov/item/04014428/>
- [2] Dainton, B. *Time and Space*. 2nd ed., McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010, p. 182.
<https://archive.org/details/timespace0000dain/page/182>
- [3] Dainton, B. *Time and Space*. 2nd ed., McGill-Queen's University Press, 2010, pp. 165–166.
<https://archive.org/details/timespace0000dain/page/165>
- [4] Arnold, V. *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*. 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, 1989, pp. 3–6.
<https://archive.org/details/mathematicalmeth0000arno/page/3>
- [5] Nordström, G. "On the Possibility of a Unification of the Electromagnetic and Gravitation Fields". *Modern Kaluza-Klein Theories*, eds. Appelquist et al., Addison-Wesley, 1987, pp. 50–56.
<https://archive.org/details/modernkaluzaklei0000unse/page/50>
- [6] Kaluza, T. "On the Unity Problem of Physics". *Modern Kaluza-Klein Theories*, eds. Appelquist et al., Addison-Wesley, 1987, pp. 61–68.
<https://archive.org/details/modernkaluzaklei0000unse/page/61>
- [7] Klein, O. "Quantum Theory and Five Dimensional Theory of Relativity". *Modern Kaluza-Klein Theories*, eds. Appelquist et al., Addison-Wesley, 1987, pp. 76–87.
<https://archive.org/details/modernkaluzaklei0000unse/page/76>
- [8] Klein, O. "The Atomicity of Electricity as a Quantum Theory Law". *Modern Kaluza-Klein Theories*, eds. Appelquist et al., Addison-Wesley, 1987, p. 88.
<https://archive.org/details/modernkaluzaklei0000unse/page/88>
- [9] Arnold, V. *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*. 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, 1989, p. 6.
<https://archive.org/details/mathematicalmeth0000arno/page/3>
- [10] Einstein, A. "On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies". *Annalen der Physik*, vol. 17, issue 10, 1905, pp. 891–921.
<https://users.physics.ox.ac.uk/~rtaylor/teaching/specrel.pdf>