# The Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis: Unifying Gravity, Electromagnetism, and Quantum Mechanics

### Albers, Eric. eric@ericalbers.com

#### March 20,2024

#### Abstract

The Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH) is a novel approach to unifying the fundamental forces of nature by proposing that spacetime is a superfluid medium. This paper presents a comprehensive overview of the SSH, its mathematical formulation, and its potential implications for our understanding of gravity, electromagnetism, and quantum mechanics.

The SSH describes spacetime as a superfluid governed by a modified non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE), which includes interactions between the superfluid and the electromagnetic field. In this framework, particles and fields emerge as excitations or topological defects within the superfluid, with their properties determined by the dynamics and geometry of the superfluid.

The paper explores the key aspects of the SSH, including the interpretation of matter-antimatter pair creation as the formation of solitons with opposite topological charges, the role of the potential term in the NLSE, and the description of magnetic fields as a manifestation of the superfluid's topological properties. The SSH's implications for light deflection and its relationship to Snell's law are also discussed.

A significant focus of the paper is the coupling between gravity and electromagnetism within the SSH. By introducing a density field and a gravitational field defined as its gradient, the SSH provides a unified description of these fundamental forces. The modified Maxwell's equations and the equations for the coupling between gravity and electromagnetism are derived and analyzed.

Furthermore, the paper demonstrates that the SSH can be aligned with general relativity by carefully choosing the values of its parameters, such as the mass of the superfluid particles and the coupling constants. This alignment highlights the SSH's potential as a generalization of general relativity, capable of describing both classical and quantum phenomena.

The SSH offers a fresh perspective on the nature of spacetime and the unification of the fundamental forces. While still a speculative theory, its mathematical elegance and potential for explaining a wide range of physical phenomena make it a promising avenue for further research. This paper provides a solid foundation for future investigations into the SSH and its implications for our understanding of the universe.

## 1 Introduction

The unification of the fundamental forces of nature has been a central goal of theoretical physics for decades. Despite the remarkable success of the Standard Model in describing the electromagnetic, weak, and strong interactions, it remains disconnected from the theory of gravity, general relativity. The quest for a unified theory that combines quantum mechanics and gravity has led to the development of various approaches, such as string theory and loop quantum gravity, but a complete and experimentally verified theory of quantum gravity remains elusive.

In this paper, we present a novel approach to the unification problem: the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH). This hypothesis proposes that spacetime itself is a superfluid medium, and that the fundamental forces and particles arise as a result of the dynamics and geometry of this superfluid. By describing spacetime as a superfluid, the SSH offers a framework that naturally incorporates quantum mechanics and allows for the emergence of gravity and electromagnetism from a single, unified foundation.

The SSH builds upon the well-established principles of fluid dynamics and quantum mechanics, drawing inspiration from the behavior of superfluid helium and the mathematical framework of the non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE). In this paper, we explore the key aspects of the SSH, including its mathematical formulation, the interpretation of particles and fields as excitations and topological defects within the superfluid, and the coupling between gravity and electromagnetism.

We begin by introducing the modified NLSE that governs the dynamics of the spacetime superfluid and discuss the role of the potential term in determining the properties of the superfluid. We then explore the interpretation of matter-antimatter pair creation as the formation of solitons with opposite topological charges and the description of magnetic fields as a manifestation of the superfluid's topological properties.

A significant portion of the paper is dedicated to the coupling between gravity and electromagnetism within the SSH. By introducing a density field and a gravitational field defined as its gradient, we show how the SSH provides a unified description of these fundamental forces. We derive the modified Maxwell's equations and the equations for the coupling between gravity and electromagnetism, and discuss their implications for our understanding of the nature of spacetime and the fundamental forces.

Furthermore, we demonstrate that the SSH can be aligned with general relativity by carefully choosing the values of its parameters, such as the mass of the superfluid particles and the coupling constants. This alignment highlights the SSH's potential as a generalization of general relativity, capable of describing both classical and quantum phenomena.

The SSH offers a fresh perspective on the nature of spacetime and the unification of the fundamental forces, and has the potential to provide insights into some of the most profound questions in theoretical physics. This paper lays the groundwork for further research into the SSH and its implications, inviting the scientific community to explore this exciting new approach to the unification problem.

## 2 The Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH)

We postulate that spacetime can be described as a superfluid, a quantum fluid that exhibits properties such as zero viscosity and quantized vorticity. In this picture, particles are viewed as soliton-like excitations of the spacetime superfluid, with their properties determined by the topological structure of these excitations. The dynamics of the spacetime superfluid are governed by a non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE), which includes terms that describe the interactions between the solitons and the coupling to electromagnetic fields.

The NLSE for the spacetime superfluid can be written as:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + \mu\psi - g|\psi|^2\psi + V(\psi)\right) \tag{1}$$

where  $\psi$  is the order parameter of the superfluid, m is the mass of the superfluid particles,  $\mu$  is the chemical potential, g is the interaction strength, and  $V(\psi)$  is a non-linear potential that depends on the topological properties of the solitons.

### 3 Soliton Solutions and Particle Properties

We propose that particles, such as electrons and positrons, can be described as soliton solutions of the NLSE, with their properties determined by the topological structure of the solitons. The soliton solutions have the general form:

$$\psi(r,t) = f(r)\exp(i\omega t + iS(r)) \tag{2}$$

where f(r) is the amplitude of the soliton,  $\omega$  is the frequency, and S(r) is the phase function that determines the topological properties of the soliton.

The charge of the particles is related to the winding number of the phase function S(r) around the soliton core. For an electron, the phase function could have a winding number of -1, while for a positron, the phase function could have a winding number of +1. These winding numbers can be interpreted as the topological charges of the solitons, which are related to the concept of magnetic monopoles.

### 4 Matter-Antimatter Pair Creation

In the spacetime superfluid hypothesis (SSH), the creation of matter-antimatter pairs from electromagnetic waves is understood as the formation of soliton-like excitations with opposite topological charges in the superfluid. The positive and negative parts of the electromagnetic wave give rise to solitons with winding numbers of +1 and -1, respectively, which correspond to the positron (anti-electron) and electron.

To describe this process mathematically, we consider the coupling of the electromagnetic field to the spacetime superfluid in the non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE). The NLSE for the macroscopic wave function  $\psi$  of the superfluid, including the electromagnetic coupling term, is given by:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + \mu\psi - g|\psi|^2\psi + V(\psi)\right) + \kappa(E+iB)\psi \tag{3}$$

where  $\mu$  is the chemical potential, g is the interaction strength,  $V(\psi)$  is a potential term, E and B are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively, and  $\kappa$  is a coupling constant that determines the strength of the interaction between the electromagnetic field and the spacetime superfluid.

The soliton solutions to the NLSE in the presence of the electromagnetic field can be written as:

$$\psi_{\pm}(r,t) = f(r)e^{i(\omega t \pm S(r))} \tag{4}$$

where f(r) is the radial profile function,  $\omega$  is the frequency, and S(r) is the phase function that determines the topological charge of the soliton. The  $\pm$  sign corresponds to the positron and electron, respectively.

The topological charge of the soliton is given by the winding number of the phase function S(r) around a closed contour C enclosing the soliton core:

$$Q = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint_C \nabla S(r) \cdot dl \tag{5}$$

For the positron soliton, the phase function has a winding number of +1, while for the electron soliton, the winding number is -1.

The electromagnetic field in the NLSE couples to the spacetime superfluid through the term  $\kappa(E+iB)\psi$ , which represents the interaction energy between the field and the superfluid. This coupling term induces the formation of solitons with opposite topological charges from the positive and negative parts of the electromagnetic wave.

To illustrate this process, consider a linearly polarized electromagnetic wave propagating in the z-direction, with the electric field given by:

$$E(z,t) = E_0 \cos(kz - \omega t)\hat{x} \tag{6}$$

where  $E_0$  is the amplitude, k is the wave number, and  $\omega$  is the angular frequency. The coupling term in the NLSE can be written as:

$$\kappa(E+iB)\psi = \kappa E_0 \cos(kz - \omega t)\psi \tag{7}$$

This term acts as a periodic potential for the spacetime superfluid, with maxima and minima corresponding to the positive and negative parts of the electromagnetic wave.

As the wave propagates through the superfluid, the periodic potential induces the formation of solitons at the maxima and minima of the wave. The solitons formed at the maxima have a winding number of +1 (positrons), while those formed at the minima have a winding number of -1 (electrons). The separation between the solitons is determined by the wavelength of the electromagnetic wave,  $\lambda = 2\pi/k$ .

The formation of the solitons is a non-linear process that depends on the strength of the coupling constant  $\kappa$  and the amplitude of the electromagnetic wave  $E_0$ . For sufficiently strong coupling and high amplitude, the solitons can become stable and propagate independently of the electromagnetic wave.

The energy required to create a soliton pair is related to the rest mass energy of the electron-positron pair,  $2mc^2$ , where m is the mass of the electron and c is the speed of light. This energy is supplied by the electromagnetic wave, which must have a minimum frequency  $\omega_{min}$  given by:

$$\hbar\omega_{min} = 2mc^2 \tag{8}$$

This condition is equivalent to the threshold for pair production in quantum electrodynamics, which requires the photon energy to be greater than the rest mass energy of the electron-positron pair.

Once formed, the soliton pairs can interact with each other and with the spacetime superfluid through the non-linear terms in the NLSE. These interactions can lead to the annihilation of soliton pairs, the formation of bound states (positronium), and the emission of electromagnetic radiation.

The SSH description of matter-antimatter pair creation provides a new perspective on this fundamental process, linking it to the topological properties of the spacetime superfluid and the dynamics of soliton-like excitations. This description offers a potential mechanism for the generation of primordial matter-antimatter asymmetry in the early universe, as well as new insights into the nature of antimatter and its interaction with gravity.

### 4.1 Potential Term $V(\psi)$

The potential term  $V(\psi)$  in the non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) plays a crucial role in determining the properties and dynamics of the spacetime superfluid. The specific form of the potential term depends on the physical assumptions and constraints of the model, as well as the desired behavior of the superfluid and its excitations.

In the context of the spacetime superfluid hypothesis (SSH), the potential term should be chosen to satisfy the following requirements:

- Lorentz invariance: The potential term should be a Lorentz scalar to ensure that the NLSE is consistent with the principles of special relativity.
- Gauge invariance: The potential term should be invariant under local phase transformations of the wave function,  $\psi \to e^{i\alpha(x)}\psi$ , to ensure that the NLSE is compatible with the gauge symmetry of electromagnetism.
- **Stability**: The potential term should allow for stable soliton solutions that can represent particles and topological defects in the spacetime superfluid.
- Symmetry breaking: The potential term should support the spontaneous breaking of symmetries, such as the U(1) symmetry associated with the conservation of particle number, to allow for the emergence of superfluid phases and the formation of topological defects.

One possible form of the potential term that satisfies these requirements is the "Mexican hat" potential, which is commonly used in the Ginzburg-Landau theory of superconductivity and the Higgs mechanism in particle physics. The Mexican hat potential can be written as:

$$V(\psi) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2 |\psi|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda |\psi|^4$$
(9)

where  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  are real parameters that determine the shape of the potential.

Another possible form of the potential term is the sine-Gordon potential, which is used in the description of one-dimensional solitons and the theory of Josephson junctions. The sine-Gordon potential can be written as:

$$V(\psi) = \frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} (1 - \cos(\beta \psi))$$
(10)

It is important to note that the choice of the potential term  $V(\psi)$  in the SSH is still an open question and requires further theoretical and experimental investigation. The specific form of the potential term may depend on the physical regime and the scale of the phenomena being described, as well as the assumptions and constraints of the model.

Moreover, the potential term may include additional contributions, such as higher-order terms in  $|\psi|$ , derivative terms, or non-local terms, which could reflect the complex dynamics and interactions of the space-time superfluid. These contributions may be necessary to describe the full range of phenomena in the SSH, from the microscopic scale of particle physics to the macroscopic scale of cosmology.

The potential term  $V(\psi)$  in the SSH should be chosen to satisfy the requirements of Lorentz invariance, gauge invariance, stability, and symmetry breaking, and should allow for the formation of stable soliton solutions that can represent particles and topological defects in the spacetime superfluid. The Mexican hat potential and the sine-Gordon potential are two possible forms of the potential term that have been studied in the context of the SSH, but the specific form of the potential term is still an open question that requires further investigation. The study of the potential term in the SSH is an important area of research that could provide new insights into the fundamental nature of space, time, and matter.

### 5 Magnetic Fields in the SSH

In the context of the SSH, magnetic fields can be understood as a manifestation of the topological properties of the superfluid and the dynamics of the soliton-like excitations that represent particles.

According to the hypothesis, the spacetime superfluid is described by an order parameter  $\psi$  that obeys a non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE). The NLSE includes a coupling term between the electromagnetic field and the superfluid, which can be written as:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + \mu\psi - g|\psi|^2\psi + V(\psi)\right) + \kappa(E+iB)\psi \tag{11}$$

where E and B are the electric and magnetic fields, respectively, and  $\kappa$  is a coupling constant. The magnetic field B can be related to the vector potential A through the relation:

$$B = \nabla \times A \tag{12}$$

In the SSH, the vector potential A can be associated with the phase function S(r) of the soliton solutions that represent particles. Specifically, we can propose that the vector potential is proportional to the gradient of the phase function:

$$A = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla S(r) \tag{13}$$

where  $\hbar$  is the reduced Planck constant, and q is a constant that determines the strength of the coupling between the vector potential and the phase function.

Using this relation, we can express the magnetic field B in terms of the phase function S(r):

$$B = \nabla \times A = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla \times \nabla S(r) \tag{14}$$

This equation suggests that magnetic fields can arise from the vorticity of the phase function S(r) of the soliton solutions. In other words, magnetic fields are generated by the topological properties of the solitons that represent particles in the spacetime superfluid.

For example, if we consider an electron represented by a soliton with a phase function  $S(r) = -\theta$ , where  $\theta$  is the azimuthal angle, the magnetic field would be:

$$B = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla \times \nabla(-\theta) = \frac{\hbar}{q} \frac{1}{r} \hat{z}$$
(15)

where  $\hat{z}$  is the unit vector in the z-direction. This magnetic field has the form of a magnetic monopole, with a strength proportional to the constant  $\hbar/q$ .

Similarly, for a positron represented by a soliton with a phase function  $S(r) = +\theta$ , the magnetic field would have the opposite sign:

$$B = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla \times \nabla(+\theta) = -\frac{\hbar}{q} \frac{1}{r} \hat{z}$$
(16)

This suggests that the magnetic fields of electrons and positrons have opposite signs, which is consistent with the idea that they are antiparticles.

The SSH also provides a framework for understanding the dynamics of magnetic fields and their interactions with particles. The coupling term in the NLSE,  $\kappa(E + iB)\psi$ , describes how the electromagnetic field influences the dynamics of the solitons that represent particles. The motion of these solitons in the presence of electromagnetic fields can give rise to the observed behavior of charged particles, such as their deflection by magnetic fields.

Furthermore, the hypothesis suggests that the magnetic fields generated by the topological properties of the solitons can interact with each other, leading to the formation of complex magnetic field structures. The interactions between the solitons, as described by the non-linear terms in the NLSE, could give rise to the observed properties of magnetic materials and the collective behavior of charged particles.

In summary, the SSH provides a new perspective on the origin and nature of magnetic fields, by relating them to the topological properties of the soliton-like excitations that represent particles in the superfluid. The magnetic fields are generated by the vorticity of the phase function of the solitons, and their dynamics and interactions are described by the coupling terms in the NLSE.

This framework offers a unified description of particles, fields, and their interactions, and could potentially provide new insights into the fundamental nature of electromagnetism and its relationship to the structure of spacetime. However, further research is needed to develop the mathematical details of the theory, explore its predictions, and compare them with experimental observations.

## 6 Modified Maxwell's Equations

To modify Maxwell's equations to take into account the SSH, we need to incorporate the effects of the superfluid on the electromagnetic fields and the sources of these fields. The modifications will involve the introduction of additional terms in the equations that represent the coupling between the superfluid and the electromagnetic fields.

Let's start with the standard form of Maxwell's equations in differential form:

- 1. Gauss's law for electric fields:  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \rho_e / \varepsilon_0$
- 2. Gauss's law for magnetic fields:  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$
- 3. Faraday's law of induction:  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$
- 4. Ampère's circuital law (with Maxwell's correction):  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}_e + \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$

where **E** is the electric field, **B** is the magnetic field,  $\rho_e$  is the electric charge density,  $\mathbf{J}_e$  is the electric current density,  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of free space, and  $\mu_0$  is the permeability of free space.

In the SSH, the electromagnetic fields are coupled to the superfluid through the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  and the phase function  $S(\mathbf{r})$  of the soliton solutions:

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla S(\mathbf{r})$$

The magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  is related to the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  by:

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla \times \nabla S(\mathbf{r})$$

To modify Maxwell's equations, we introduce the following terms:

- 1. Superfluid current density:  $\mathbf{J}_s = \rho_s \mathbf{v}_s$ , where  $\rho_s$  is the superfluid density, and  $\mathbf{v}_s$  is the superfluid velocity. The superfluid velocity is related to the phase function  $S(\mathbf{r})$  by:  $\mathbf{v}_s = \frac{\hbar}{m} \nabla S(\mathbf{r})$ , where *m* is the mass of the superfluid particle.
- 2. Superfluid charge density:  $\rho_s = -\varepsilon_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_s$ , where  $\mathbf{E}_s$  is the electric field generated by the superfluid. The electric field  $\mathbf{E}_s$  is related to the phase function  $S(\mathbf{r})$  by:  $\mathbf{E}_s = -\frac{\hbar}{q} \frac{\partial (\nabla S(\mathbf{r}))}{\partial t}$ .

With these modifications, Maxwell's equations become:

1. Modified Gauss's law for electric fields:  $\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}_s) = (\rho_e + \rho_s)/\varepsilon_0$ 

- 2. Modified Gauss's law for magnetic fields:  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$
- 3. Modified Faraday's law of induction:  $\nabla \times (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}_s) = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$
- 4. Modified Ampère's circuital law (with Maxwell's correction):  $\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 (\mathbf{J}_e + \mathbf{J}_s) + \mu_0 \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}_s)}{\partial t}$

These modified equations describe the coupling between the electromagnetic fields and the spacetime superfluid. The additional terms  $E_s$ ,  $\rho_s$ , and  $J_s$  represent the contributions of the superfluid to the electric field, the charge density, and the current density, respectively.

The modified Gauss's law for electric fields (equation 1) shows that the total electric field  $(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{E}_s)$  is generated by the total charge density  $(\rho_e + \rho_s)$ , which includes both the electric charge density  $\rho_e$  and the superfluid charge density  $\rho_s$ .

The modified Faraday's law of induction (equation 3) and the modified Ampère's circuital law (equation 4) show that the electric field **E** and the magnetic field **B** are coupled to the superfluid through the additional terms  $\mathbf{E}_s$  and  $\mathbf{J}_s$ .

These modified equations provide a framework for describing the electromagnetic fields in the presence of the spacetime superfluid. They show how the superfluid contributes to the sources of the fields (charge density and current density) and how it modifies the relationships between the fields (Faraday's law and Ampère's law).

To solve these equations and obtain the electromagnetic fields, we need to specify the distribution of the superfluid density  $\rho_s$  and the phase function  $S(\mathbf{r})$ , which determine the superfluid velocity  $\mathbf{v}_s$  and the superfluid electric field  $\mathbf{E}_s$ .

The distribution of  $\rho_s$  and  $S(\mathbf{r})$  can be obtained by solving the non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) for the order parameter  $\psi$  of the superfluid.

The coupled system of the modified Maxwell's equations and the NLSE provides a complete description of the electromagnetic fields and the spacetime superfluid in the context of the hypothesis.

The modified Maxwell's equations presented here are a starting point for exploring the implications of the SSH for electromagnetism and its relationship to gravity. They provide a framework for investigating new phenomena and testing the predictions of the hypothesis against experimental observations.

### 7 Lorentz Transformations in SSH

In the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH), the Lorentz transformations for length and time can be derived by considering the properties of the spacetime superfluid and the dynamics of the solitons representing particles. The key idea is to relate the Lorentz factor  $\gamma$  to the velocity-dependent term in the modified non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE).

Let's start with the NLSE that includes the velocity-dependent term:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi+V(|\psi|^2)\psi-\frac{1}{2}mv^2|\psi|^2\psi$$

We can rewrite this equation in a relativistic form by introducing the proper time  $\tau$  and the four-velocity  $u^{\mu} = (c, \vec{v})$ :

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\tau} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla^{\mu}\psi + V(|\psi|^2)\psi - \frac{1}{2}mc^2(u^{\mu}u_{\mu} - 1)|\psi|^2\psi$$

where  $\nabla_{\mu}$  is the four-gradient operator, and  $u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = c^2$ . The Lorentz factor  $\gamma$  can be expressed in terms of the four-velocity:

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} = \frac{u^0}{c}$$

Now, let's consider the soliton solution representing a particle:

$$\psi_s(x,t) = \sqrt{\rho_s} e^{i\phi_s}$$

The phase of the soliton  $\phi_s$  can be related to the action S of the particle:

$$\phi_s = \frac{S}{\hbar}$$

In the relativistic case, the action is given by:

$$S = -mc \int d\tau$$

This implies that the phase of the soliton is related to the proper time:

$$\phi_s = -\frac{mc}{\hbar} \int d\tau$$

The Lorentz transformations for length and time can be derived by considering the invariance of the phase of the soliton under Lorentz transformations. Let's consider a soliton moving with velocity v relative to the superfluid. The phase of the soliton in the moving frame (denoted by primed coordinates) is:

$$\phi'_s = -\frac{mc}{\hbar} \int d\tau' = -\frac{mc}{\hbar} \int \gamma \left( d\tau - \frac{vdx}{c^2} \right)$$

Using the relation  $d\tau = \gamma^{-1}dt$  and dx = vdt, we can write:

$$\phi'_s = -\frac{mc}{\hbar} \int \left( dt - \frac{vdx}{c^2} \right) = -\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \int dt + \frac{mvx}{\hbar} \int dt$$

The first term represents the phase in the rest frame, while the second term represents the phase shift due to the motion of the soliton.

Now, let's consider the length of an object in the moving frame. The length contraction can be derived by requiring that the phase shift due to the motion of the soliton is the same for both ends of the object:

$$\frac{mvx}{\hbar}\Delta t = \frac{mvx'}{\hbar}\Delta t'$$

where x and x' are the positions of the ends of the object in the rest and moving frames, respectively, and  $\Delta t$  and  $\Delta t'$  are the corresponding time intervals.

Using the relation  $x' = \gamma(x - vt)$ , we can write:

$$x\Delta t = \gamma (x' + v\Delta t')$$

This implies that the length of the object in the moving frame is contracted by the Lorentz factor:

$$L' = \frac{L}{\gamma}$$

where L and L' are the lengths of the object in the rest and moving frames, respectively. Similarly, the time dilation can be derived by considering the phase shift of the soliton at a fixed position:

$$\frac{mvx}{\hbar}\Delta t = \frac{mvx}{\hbar}\Delta t'$$

Using the relation  $\Delta t' = \gamma (\Delta t - vx/c^2)$ , we can write:

$$\Delta t = \gamma \Delta t'$$

This implies that the time interval in the moving frame is dilated by the Lorentz factor:

$$\Delta t' = \frac{\Delta t}{\gamma}$$

Therefore, in the SSH framework, the Lorentz transformations for length and time can be derived from the invariance of the phase of the soliton under Lorentz transformations. The key ingredients are the velocitydependent term in the NLSE, which gives rise to the Lorentz factor, and the relation between the phase of the soliton and the proper time.

### 8 Gravitational Fields in the SSH

In the SSH, gravitational fields can be understood as a manifestation of the variation in the density of the spacetime superfluid. These density variations arise from the presence of soliton-like excitations that represent particles and their interactions.

To incorporate gravitational fields into the mathematical framework of the hypothesis, we introduce a density field  $\rho(x,t)$  that represents the density of the spacetime superfluid at each point in spacetime. The dynamics of the superfluid would then be governed by a modified version of the non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) that includes the density field:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + V(\psi) + \mu(\rho)\psi \tag{17}$$

where  $\mu(\rho)$  is a density-dependent chemical potential that accounts for the interaction between the superfluid and the density field.

The density field  $\rho(x,t)$  would be related to the matter/energy density  $\rho_m(x,t)$  through an equation of state, which could be derived from the properties of the superfluid and the coupling between matter and the superfluid. A simple example could be a linear relationship:

$$\rho(x,t) = \rho_0 + \alpha \rho_m(x,t) \tag{18}$$

where  $\rho_0$  is the background density of the superfluid, and  $\alpha$  is a coupling constant.

The gravitational field g(x,t) could then be defined as the gradient of the density field:

$$g(x,t) = -\nabla\rho(x,t) \tag{19}$$

This equation implies that the gravitational field points in the direction of decreasing superfluid density, which is consistent with the idea that objects are attracted to regions of higher density.

The coupling between the gravitational field and the magnetic field can be introduced through the term  $-\kappa(E^2 - B^2)$  in the Lagrangian density of the superfluid:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i\hbar}{2} (\psi^* \partial_t \psi - \psi \partial_t \psi^*) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} |\nabla \psi|^2 - \mu(\rho) |\psi|^2 + \frac{g}{2} |\psi|^4 - V(\psi) - \kappa (E^2 - B^2)$$
(20)

This term represents the energy density of the electromagnetic field, which contributes to the density variations of the spacetime superfluid.

Moreover, the magnetic field B can be related to the phase function S(r) of the soliton solutions through the vector potential A:

$$B = \nabla \times A = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla \times \nabla S(r) \tag{21}$$

This relation suggests that the topological properties of the solitons, which give rise to magnetic fields, can also influence the density variations of the spacetime superfluid and the gravitational field.

The coupling between gravity and electromagnetism can lead to interesting effects, such as the deflection of light by gravitational fields (gravitational lensing) and the precession of the orbit of charged particles in combined gravitational and magnetic fields.

In the density-based approach to SSH, these effects can be understood as the result of the interplay between the density variations of the superfluid, induced by the presence of solitons, and the electromagnetic fields generated by the topological properties of the solitons.

To fully describe the coupling between gravity and electromagnetism in the context of the density-based approach to SSH, we need to solve the modified NLSE and the equations for the electromagnetic fields simultaneously, taking into account the density field of the superfluid and its coupling to matter and energy.

This density-based approach offers a novel and intuitive way to unify the description of gravity and electromagnetism within the framework of the SSH, by relating both phenomena to the properties and dynamics of a quantum fluid that underlies the structure of spacetime.

### 9 Mathematical Representation of Time Dilation in SSH

In the SSH, the spacetime superfluid is described by a complex order parameter  $\psi(x,t)$ , which obeys a modified non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE):

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + V(|\psi|^2)\psi$$

where  $\hbar$  is the reduced Planck constant, m is the mass of the superfluid particles, and  $V(|\psi|^2)$  is a density-dependent potential.

The density of the spacetime superfluid is given by  $\rho(x,t) = |\psi(x,t)|^2$ . To incorporate the effects of time dilation, we introduce a metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  that describes the geometry of the spacetime superfluid. In the weak field limit, we can write the metric tensor as:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

where  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  is the Minkowski metric (flat spacetime) and  $h_{\mu\nu}$  is a small perturbation related to the density variations of the superfluid.

The relationship between the density and the metric perturbation can be expressed as:

$$h_{00} = -\frac{2V(|\psi|^2)}{c^2}$$

where c is the speed of light. This equation implies that regions of higher density correspond to a stronger gravitational field.

The proper time  $\tau$  experienced by a particle moving through the spacetime superfluid is given by the line element:

$$d\tau^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} = (1+h_{00})dt^2 - (dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$$

Assuming the particle is moving slowly (i.e.,  $dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \ll c^2 dt^2$ ), we can express the proper time as:

$$d\tau = \sqrt{1 + h_{00}} dt \approx \sqrt{1 - \frac{2V(|\psi|^2)}{c^2}} dt$$

This equation shows that the proper time depends on the density of the spacetime superfluid through the potential  $V(|\psi|^2)$ .

To make the connection with time dilation more explicit, we can define a critical density  $\rho_c$  such that:

$$\frac{V(|\psi|^2)}{c^2} = \frac{\rho(x,t)}{\rho_c}$$

Then, the proper time can be written as:

$$d au = \sqrt{1 - rac{
ho(x,t)}{
ho_c}} dt$$

This equation demonstrates that as the density of the spacetime superfluid approaches the critical value, the proper time progression slows down, representing the effects of time dilation.

The critical density  $\rho_c$  can be determined by considering the specific form of the potential  $V(|\psi|^2)$  and the parameters of the SSH. For example, if we assume a quadratic potential:

$$V(|\psi|^2) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda|\psi|^2$$

where  $\lambda$  is a constant parameter, then the critical density would be:

$$\rho_c = \frac{c^2}{2\lambda}$$

This expression relates the critical density to the fundamental constants of the SSH, such as the speed of light and the parameter  $\lambda$ .

To determine the motion of particles in the presence of density variations, we can derive the geodesic equation from the variational principle:

$$\delta \int d\tau = 0$$

which leads to:

$$\frac{d^2 x^{\mu}}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta} \frac{dx^{\alpha}}{d\tau} \frac{dx^{\beta}}{d\tau} = 0$$

where  $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\alpha\beta}$  are the Christoffel symbols.

These equations describe the motion of particles in the presence of density variations and the resulting time dilation effects.

To test the predictions of the SSH regarding time dilation, we can consider various experimental scenarios, such as gravitational redshift, gravitational time delay, and atomic clock experiments. By comparing the predictions of the SSH with experimental data, we can test the validity of the hypothesis and its ability to describe the effects of time dilation in a unified framework of gravity and quantum mechanics.

### 10 Speed of Light as Maximum Velocity in SSH

In the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH) framework, the speed of light being the maximum velocity possible can be represented mathematically by considering the properties of the spacetime superfluid and the dynamics of the solitons representing particles.

Let's start with the modified non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) that governs the dynamics of the spacetime superfluid:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + V(|\psi|^2)\psi - \frac{1}{2}mv^2|\psi|^2\psi$$

where  $\psi(x,t)$  is the complex order parameter, *m* is the mass of the superfluid particles,  $V(|\psi|^2)$  is a density-dependent potential, and *v* is the velocity of the soliton relative to the superfluid.

The speed of light c can be introduced into the NLSE by considering the relativistic energy-momentum relation:

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4$$

where E is the energy of the soliton, p is its momentum, and m is its rest mass. Using the de Broglie relations  $E = i\hbar\partial_t$  and  $p = -i\hbar\nabla$ , we can rewrite the NLSE in a relativistic form:

$$-\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} = -c^2 \hbar^2 \nabla^2 \psi + m^2 c^4 \psi + 2mV(|\psi|^2)\psi - m^2 v^2 c^2 |\psi|^2 \psi$$

This equation has the form of a relativistic wave equation, with the speed of light c appearing explicitly.

To see how the speed of light emerges as the maximum velocity possible, let's consider the dispersion relation for the soliton. The dispersion relation relates the energy and momentum of the soliton and can be obtained by substituting a plane wave solution  $\psi \propto e^{i(kx-\omega t)}$  into the NLSE:

$$\hbar^2 \omega^2 = c^2 \hbar^2 k^2 + m^2 c^4 + 2m V(|\psi|^2) - m^2 v^2 c^2 |\psi|^2$$

where  $\omega$  is the angular frequency and k is the wavenumber of the soliton.

In the limit of small velocities  $(v \ll c)$  and weak potentials  $(V \ll mc^2)$ , the dispersion relation reduces to:

$$\hbar^2 \omega^2 \approx c^2 \hbar^2 k^2 + m^2 c^4$$

This is the standard relativistic dispersion relation, which implies that the group velocity of the soliton is given by:

$$v_g = \frac{d\omega}{dk} = \frac{c^2k}{\omega} = \frac{c^2p}{E}$$

As the momentum of the soliton approaches infinity  $(p \to \infty)$ , the group velocity approaches the speed of light:

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} v_g = c$$

Therefore, in the SSH framework, the speed of light emerges as the maximum velocity possible due to the relativistic dispersion relation of the solitons representing particles. As the momentum of the soliton increases, its group velocity approaches the speed of light but can never exceed it.

To further explore the implications of this result, one could consider the behavior of solitons in the presence of strong potentials or high velocities. In these cases, the full dispersion relation would need to be used, and deviations from the standard relativistic dispersion relation could arise.

# 11 Thomas Precession in the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH)

The Thomas precession is a relativistic effect that arises when a particle is subjected to a non-inertial frame of reference, such as a rotating coordinate system. In the context of the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH), the Thomas precession can be understood as a consequence of the coupling between the soliton representing the particle and the spacetime superfluid.

To explore the implications of the SSH for the Thomas precession, let's consider a soliton moving in a rotating frame of reference. The NLSE in the rotating frame can be written as:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + V(|\psi|^2)\psi - \frac{1}{2}mv^2|\psi|^2\psi - \vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{L}\psi$$

where  $\vec{\Omega}$  is the angular velocity of the rotating frame, and  $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$  is the orbital angular momentum of the soliton.

The additional term  $-\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{L}\psi$  represents the coupling between the soliton and the rotating frame. This term can be interpreted as a gauge potential  $\vec{A} = m\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{r}$ , which modifies the momentum of the soliton:

$$\vec{p} \to \vec{p} - m\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{r}$$

The modified momentum leads to a precession of the soliton's orbit, known as the Thomas precession. The precession angular velocity can be calculated using the formula:

$$\vec{\omega}_T = \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma + 1} \vec{v} \times \bar{a}$$

where  $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$  is the Lorentz factor,  $\vec{v}$  is the velocity of the soliton, and  $\vec{a}$  is its acceleration.

In the SSH framework, the Thomas precession can be understood as a result of the interaction between the soliton and the spacetime superfluid. The rotating frame induces a flow in the superfluid, which in turn affects the motion of the soliton. The coupling between the soliton and the superfluid flow leads to the precession of the soliton's orbit.

To further explore the implications of the SSH for the Thomas precession, we will consider the following:

- Derive the expression for the Thomas precession angular velocity using the NLSE in the rotating frame and compare it with the standard relativistic formula.
- Investigate the dependence of the Thomas precession on the properties of the spacetime superfluid, such as its density and coherence length.

- Explore the effects of the Thomas precession on the stability and interactions of solitons in the SSH framework.
- Consider the implications of the SSH for other relativistic effects related to non-inertial frames, such as the Sagnac effect and the Unruh effect.

### 11.1 Derivation of Thomas Precession Angular Velocity

To derive the Thomas precession angular velocity, we start with the NLSE in the rotating frame:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + V(|\psi|^2)\psi - \frac{1}{2}mv^2|\psi|^2\psi - \vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{L}\psi$$

where  $\vec{\Omega}$  is the angular velocity of the rotating frame, and  $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$  is the orbital angular momentum of the soliton.

The additional term  $-\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{L}\psi$  can be written as:

$$-\vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{L}\psi=-i\hbar\vec{\Omega}\cdot(\vec{r}\times\nabla)\psi=-i\hbar\vec{r}\cdot(\vec{\Omega}\times\nabla)\psi$$

This term represents a gauge potential  $\vec{A} = m\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{r}$ , which modifies the momentum of the soliton:

$$\vec{p} 
ightarrow \vec{p} - m \vec{\Omega} imes \vec{r}$$

The modified momentum leads to a precession of the soliton's orbit, with an angular velocity given by:

$$\vec{\omega}_T = \frac{1}{2}\vec{v} \times (\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{v})$$

where  $\vec{v}$  is the velocity of the soliton.

In the relativistic limit, the velocity of the soliton is related to its momentum by:

$$\vec{v} = \frac{c^2 \vec{p}}{E}$$

where  $E = \sqrt{p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4}$  is the energy of the soliton.

Substituting this expression into the formula for the Thomas precession angular velocity, we obtain:

$$\vec{\omega}_T = \frac{c^2}{2E} \vec{p} \times (\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{p})$$
$$= \frac{c^2}{2E} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p}) \vec{\Omega} - (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\Omega}) \vec{p}$$

Using the relation  $\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p} = E^2/c^2 - m^2c^2$ , we can simplify this expression to:

$$\vec{\omega}_T = \frac{E}{2mc^2} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{m^2 c^4}{E^2} \right) \vec{\Omega} - \frac{c^2}{E^2} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\Omega}) \vec{p} \right]$$

In the non-relativistic limit  $(E \approx mc^2)$ , this expression reduces to:

$$\vec{\omega}_T \approx \frac{1}{2}\vec{\Omega} - \frac{1}{2mc^2}(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{\Omega})\vec{p}$$

which is the standard formula for the Thomas precession angular velocity.

Therefore, the SSH framework reproduces the standard relativistic formula for the Thomas precession angular velocity in the appropriate limit.

### 11.2 Investigating the Dependence of Thomas Precession on Spacetime Superfluid Properties

The properties of the spacetime superfluid, such as its density  $\rho_s$  and coherence length  $\xi$ , can affect the Thomas precession through their influence on the soliton dynamics.

The density of the spacetime superfluid determines the effective mass of the soliton:

$$m_{eff} = m + \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a_s}{m}\rho_s$$

where m is the bare mass of the soliton, and  $a_s$  is the scattering length characterizing the interaction between the soliton and the superfluid.

The coherence length of the superfluid, which sets the scale of the spatial variations in the order parameter, can affect the size and shape of the soliton. The soliton size is typically of the order of the coherence length:

$$R_s \sim \xi = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{2m\alpha}}$$

where  $\alpha$  is a parameter characterizing the strength of the nonlinear interaction in the NLSE.

The effect of the superfluid density and coherence length on the Thomas precession can be estimated by substituting the effective mass and soliton size into the expression for the precession angular velocity:

$$\vec{\omega}_T = \frac{E}{2m_{eff}c^2} \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{m_{eff}^2 c^4}{E^2} \right) \vec{\Omega} - \frac{c^2}{E^2} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{\Omega}) \vec{p} \right]$$

where  $E = \sqrt{p^2 c^2 + m_{eff}^2 c^4}$  is the energy of the soliton.

An increase in the superfluid density would lead to a larger effective mass of the soliton, which in turn would reduce the Thomas precession angular velocity. On the other hand, a decrease in the coherence length would result in a smaller soliton size and a higher effective mass, also leading to a reduction in the precession angular velocity.

### 11.3 Exploring the Effects of Thomas Precession on Soliton Stability and Interactions

The Thomas precession can affect the stability and interactions of solitons in the SSH framework by introducing additional terms in the NLSE that describe the coupling between the soliton and the rotating frame.

To investigate the stability of the soliton, one can perform a linear stability analysis of the NLSE in the rotating frame. This involves adding small perturbations to the soliton solution and examining their growth or decay in time.

The perturbations can be written as:

$$\psi(x,t) = [\psi_0(x) + \delta\psi(x,t)]e^{-i\mu t/\hbar}$$

where  $\psi_0(x)$  is the unperturbed soliton solution,  $\delta\psi(x,t)$  is the small perturbation, and  $\mu$  is the chemical potential of the soliton.

Substituting this ansatz into the NLSE in the rotating frame and linearizing the equation, one obtains a set of coupled equations for the perturbation:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\delta\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\delta\psi + [V(|\psi_0|^2) + 2V'(|\psi_0|^2)|\psi_0|^2]\delta\psi + V'(|\psi_0|^2)\psi_0^2\delta\psi^* - \vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{L}\delta\psi \\ -i\hbar\frac{\partial\delta\psi^*}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\delta\psi^* + [V(|\psi_0|^2) + 2V'(|\psi_0|^2)|\psi_0|^2]\delta\psi^* + V'(|\psi_0|^2)(\psi_0^*)^2\delta\psi + \vec{\Omega}\cdot\vec{L}\delta\psi^*$$

The stability of the soliton can be determined by solving these equations and examining the eigenvalues of the perturbation modes. If all eigenvalues have negative imaginary parts, the soliton is stable; otherwise, it is unstable. The Thomas precession term  $-\vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{L} \delta \psi$  can modify the stability properties of the soliton by coupling the perturbation to the angular momentum of the soliton. This coupling can lead to instabilities or stabilization effects, depending on the specific form of the potential  $V(|\psi|^2)$  and the magnitude and direction of the angular velocity  $\vec{\Omega}$ .

Similarly, the Thomas precession can affect the interactions between solitons by modifying the phase of the soliton solutions. The phase modification can lead to changes in the interference patterns and the formation of bound states or repulsive interactions between solitons.

To study the effects of the Thomas precession on soliton interactions, one can use numerical simulations of the NLSE in the rotating frame or analytical techniques such as the variational method or the perturbation theory.

#### 11.4 Implications of SSH for Other Relativistic Effects

The SSH framework can provide new insights into other relativistic effects related to non-inertial frames, such as the Sagnac effect and the Unruh effect.

The Sagnac effect is the phase shift experienced by light or matter waves in a rotating interferometer. In the SSH framework, the Sagnac effect can be understood as a result of the coupling between the soliton representing the light or matter wave and the spacetime superfluid flow induced by the rotation.

The phase shift of the soliton in a rotating frame can be calculated using the NLSE:

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int (\vec{p} - m\vec{\Omega} \times \vec{r}) \cdot d\vec{r} = \frac{2m}{\hbar} \vec{\Omega} \cdot \vec{A}$$

where  $\vec{A}$  is the area enclosed by the interferometer.

This expression is consistent with the standard formula for the Sagnac phase shift, indicating that the SSH framework can reproduce the Sagnac effect.

The Unruh effect is the prediction that an accelerated observer in the vacuum will experience a thermal bath of particles with a temperature proportional to their acceleration. In the SSH framework, the Unruh effect could arise from the interaction between the soliton representing the accelerated observer and the fluctuations of the spacetime superfluid.

The temperature of the thermal bath experienced by the accelerated soliton can be estimated using the Unruh temperature formula:

$$T_U = \frac{\hbar a}{2\pi k_B c}$$

where a is the acceleration of the soliton, and  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant.

To derive this formula in the SSH framework, one would need to study the excitation spectrum of the spacetime superfluid in the presence of an accelerated soliton and calculate the occupation numbers of the excitation modes.

The SSH framework could also provide new insights into the nature of the Unruh effect and its relationship to other phenomena, such as Hawking radiation and the Schwinger effect.

In conclusion, the SSH framework offers a new perspective on the Thomas precession and other relativistic effects related to non-inertial frames. By describing these effects in terms of the interaction between solitons and the spacetime superfluid, the SSH framework provides a unified description of spacetime and matter that could lead to new predictions and insights. Further research is needed to fully explore the implications of the SSH for these phenomena and to test its predictions against experimental data.

Experimental tests of the SSH predictions for the Thomas precession could include precise measurements of the precession rates of particles in accelerators or storage rings, as well as tests of the spin-orbit coupling in atomic and molecular systems. By comparing the observed precession rates with the predictions of the SSH and other theories, one could assess the validity of the hypothesis and its ability to provide a unified description of spacetime and matter.

The SSH framework provides a new perspective on the Thomas precession by attributing it to the interaction between the soliton representing the particle and the spacetime superfluid. The rotating frame induces a flow in the superfluid, which leads to a precession of the soliton's orbit. Further exploration of the SSH implications for the Thomas precession and related relativistic effects could provide new insights into the nature of spacetime and matter.

## 12 Light Deflection

In the spacetime superfluid hypothesis (SSH) theory, the deflection of light can be understood as a result of variations in the density of the spacetime superfluid, similar to how light is refracted when passing through media with different refractive indices, as described by Snell's law.

According to Snell's law, the refraction of light at the interface between two media with different refractive indices is given by:

$$n_1 \sin \theta_1 = n_2 \sin \theta_2$$

where  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  are the refractive indices of the two media, and  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are the angles of incidence and refraction, respectively.

In the context of the SSH theory, we can define an effective refractive index n(x,t) that depends on the local density of the spacetime superfluid  $\rho(x,t)$ . A simple ansatz could be a linear relationship:

$$n(x,t) = n_0 + \beta \rho(x,t)$$

where  $n_0$  is the background refractive index of the spacetime superfluid, and  $\beta$  is a coupling constant that determines the strength of the relationship between the refractive index and the density.

The deflection of light in the presence of spacetime density variations can then be described using a modified version of Snell's law:

$$n(\mathbf{r_1}, t) \sin \theta_1 = n(\mathbf{r_2}, t) \sin \theta_2$$

where  $\mathbf{r_1}$  and  $\mathbf{r_2}$  are the positions of the light ray at the interface between regions with different spacetime densities, and  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are the angles of incidence and refraction, respectively.

To determine the trajectory of light in the presence of spacetime density variations, we can use the principle of least action, which states that light follows the path that minimizes the optical path length S:

$$S = \int n(x,t) ds$$

where ds is the infinitesimal path length.

Using the calculus of variations, we can derive the Euler-Lagrange equation for the light path:

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left(n(x,t)\frac{dx^{\mu}}{ds}\right) = \frac{\partial n(x,t)}{\partial x^{\mu}}$$

where  $x^{\mu}$  are the spacetime coordinates.

This equation determines the geodesic path of light in the presence of spacetime density variations, taking into account the local changes in the effective refractive index.

The solutions to this equation will depend on the specific form of the density field  $\rho(x,t)$ , which can be obtained by solving the modified non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) and the equations of state relating the density field to the matter/energy density.

In the weak field limit, where the spacetime density variations are small compared to the background density, the light deflection can be approximated by integrating the gradient of the density field along the unperturbed light path:

$$\Delta \theta \approx -\frac{\beta}{n_0} \int \nabla_\perp \rho(x,t) dz$$

where  $\Delta \theta$  is the deflection angle,  $\nabla_{\perp}$  is the gradient perpendicular to the light path, and z is the coordinate along the unperturbed light path.

This expression is analogous to the formula for gravitational lensing in general relativity, with the density field playing the role of the gravitational potential.

Moreover, the connection between light deflection and spacetime density variations suggests a deep relationship between the properties of light, the structure of spacetime, and the nature of gravity in the SSH theory.

By relating the deflection of light to the variations in the density of the spacetime superfluid, the SSH theory provides a novel and intuitive explanation for gravitational lensing and other light deflection phenomena, which are traditionally described using the concept of curved spacetime in general relativity.

## 13 Coupling Gravity and Electromagnetism

To solve the modified non-linear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) and the equations for the electromagnetic fields simultaneously and represent a complete mathematical picture of the coupling between gravity and electromagnetism in the context of the density-based approach to the spacetime superfluid hypothesis, we need to follow several steps.

#### Step 1: Define the action and the Lagrangian density

We start by defining the action S, which is the integral of the Lagrangian density L over spacetime:

$$S = \int d^4x \, L$$

The Lagrangian density L includes the terms for the spacetime superfluid, the electromagnetic field, and their coupling:

$$L = \frac{i\hbar}{2}(\psi^*\partial_t\psi - \psi\partial_t\psi^*) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}|\nabla\psi|^2 - \mu(\rho)|\psi|^2 + \frac{g}{2}|\psi|^4 - V(\psi) - \kappa(E^2 - B^2)$$

where  $\mu(\rho)$  is the density-dependent chemical potential, and the other symbols have the same meaning as in the previous equations.

#### Step 2: Vary the action with respect to the order parameter

To obtain the modified NLSE, we vary the action S with respect to the order parameter  $\psi$  and its complex conjugate  $\psi^*$ :

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \psi^*} = 0$$

This leads to the following equation:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + \mu(\rho)\psi - g|\psi|^2\psi + V'(\psi) + \kappa(E - iB)\psi$$

where  $V'(\psi)$  is the derivative of the potential  $V(\psi)$  with respect to  $\psi$ .

#### Step 3: Define the density field and the gravitational field

The density field  $\rho(x,t)$  is related to the matter/energy density  $\rho_m(x,t)$  through an equation of state, such as:

$$\rho(x,t) = \rho_0 + \alpha \rho_m(x,t)$$

where  $\rho_0$  is the background density of the superfluid, and  $\alpha$  is a coupling constant.

The gravitational field g(x, t) is defined as the gradient of the density field:

$$g(x,t) = -\nabla\rho(x,t)$$

#### Step 4: Couple the electromagnetic field to the spacetime superfluid

To couple the electromagnetic field to the spacetime superfluid, we introduce the vector potential A and relate it to the phase function S(r) of the soliton solutions:

$$A = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla S(r)$$

The magnetic field B can be calculated from the vector potential as:

$$B = \nabla \times A = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla \times \nabla S(r)$$

The electric field E can be calculated from the vector potential and the scalar potential  $\phi$  as:

$$E = -\nabla\phi - \frac{\partial A}{\partial t}$$

#### Step 5: Solve the coupled equations

The final step is to solve the coupled equations for the order parameter  $\psi$ , the density field  $\rho(x,t)$ , and the electromagnetic potentials A and  $\phi$ .

This is a highly non-linear and complex problem that requires advanced mathematical techniques, such as numerical simulations, perturbation methods, and symmetry analysis.

Once the solutions are obtained, they can be used to calculate observables, such as the motion of particles in the presence of gravitational and electromagnetic fields, the deflection of light by gravitational lensing, and the precession of the orbits of charged particles.

The coupling between gravity and electromagnetism in this approach is mediated by the density field  $\rho(x,t)$ , which is related to the matter/energy density  $\rho_m(x,t)$  through the equation of state, and by the gravitational field g(x,t), which is defined as the gradient of the density field.

This density-based approach provides a novel and intuitive way to describe the coupling between gravity and electromagnetism within the framework of the SSH, by relating both phenomena to the properties and dynamics of a quantum fluid that underlies the structure of spacetime.

# 14 Alignment of the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis with General Relativity

The Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH) proposes a novel framework in which spacetime is treated as a superfluid medium. This hypothesis extends beyond the standard formulation of General Relativity (GR) by introducing additional degrees of freedom and interactions. A pivotal aspect of SSH is its potential alignment with GR under specific conditions, essentially by adjusting the parameters within SSH to emulate GR's predictions in the corresponding limit. This alignment underscores the versatility and depth of SSH, illustrating its capacity to generalize and encompass the principles of GR.

### 14.1 Non-linear Schrödinger Equation in SSH

The foundational equation of SSH, the modified Non-linear Schrödinger Equation (NLSE), governs the dynamics of the spacetime superfluid. The equation is expressed as:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2\psi + \mu(\rho)\psi - g|\psi|^2\psi + V'(\psi) + \kappa(E - iB)\psi$$
(22)

where  $\psi$  denotes the superfluid's order parameter,  $\mu(\rho)$  the density-dependent chemical potential, g the interaction strength,  $V'(\psi)$  the derivative of a potential term, and  $\kappa$  a coupling constant with E and B representing the electric and magnetic fields respectively.

#### 14.2 Aligning Parameters with General Relativity

To reconcile SSH with GR, specific parameter adjustments are necessary:

- Setting the mass m of superfluid particles significantly large to minimize the quantum pressure term's influence.
- Adjusting g and  $V(\psi)$  to reflect a simple fluid-like equation of state.
- Choosing a minimal  $\kappa$  value to effectively decouple the superfluid from the electromagnetic field.

These adjustments ensure the NLSE converges towards the classical fluid dynamics equations, aligning SSH closely with GR's hydrodynamics.

### 14.3 Einstein Field Equations and SSH

The gravitational field within SSH is linked to spacetime superfluid density variations via a form of the Einstein field equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}T_{\mu\nu}$$
(23)

Here,  $R_{\mu\nu}$ , R, and  $g_{\mu\nu}$  represent the Ricci tensor, Ricci scalar, and metric tensor respectively. The energy-momentum tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$  mirrors that of a perfect fluid in GR, highlighting the parallels between the two theories.

#### 14.4 The Maxwell Equations within SSH

SSH incorporates the Maxwell equations through the NLSE and the energy-momentum tensor. To achieve congruence with GR, the coupling constant  $\kappa$  is minimized, allowing the electromagnetic field to become effectively decoupled from the superfluid. Consequently, the Maxwell equations in SSH align with those in curved spacetime:

$$\nabla_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu} = \mu_0 J^{\nu} \tag{24}$$

$$\nabla_{[\mu}F_{\nu\lambda]} = 0 \tag{25}$$

### 14.5 Alignment Thoughts

Through strategic parameter adjustments, SSH can emulate GR's predictions in appropriate limits, demonstrating its capacity as a generalization of GR. This alignment not only validates SSH's theoretical robustness but also opens avenues for exploring gravitational phenomena within a quantum framework.

### 15 Magnetic Fields and Gravity

In the framework of the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH), magnetic fields are conceptualized as flows or currents within the spacetime superfluid. This innovative interpretation emerges from the unique coupling between the electromagnetic field and the superfluid in the SSH. The electromagnetic interaction is mathematically represented as follows:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + \mu - g|\psi|^2 + V(\psi)\right)\psi + \kappa(E + iB)\psi$$
(26)

Here,  $\psi$  denotes the superfluid's complex order parameter, with E and B representing the electric and magnetic fields respectively, and  $\kappa$  is the coupling constant.

Focusing on the magnetic field B, its relation to the vector potential A is maintained through the conventional definition  $B = \nabla \times A$ . However, within the SSH paradigm, A gains a physical significance related to the phase  $\theta$  of the superfluid order parameter, expressed in polar form as  $\psi = \sqrt{\rho} \exp(i\theta)$ . The vector potential is thus linked to the phase gradient:

$$A = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla \theta \tag{27}$$

Implying the magnetic field B as a manifestation of the superfluid phase's vorticity:

$$B = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla \times \nabla \theta \tag{28}$$

This framework leads to intriguing implications:

- Quantization of Magnetic Flux: Mirroring superfluid phenomena, magnetic flux quantization in the SSH context suggests potential observables in quantum mechanics from a new perspective.
- Magnetic Monopoles: SSH opens the door to magnetic monopoles as topological defects within the superfluid, akin to vortices in traditional superfluids.
- Unified Electric and Magnetic Fields: SSH treats electric and magnetic fields symmetrically, hinting at a deeper interconnectivity.
- Gravitational Implications: The superfluid interpretation of electromagnetic phenomena suggests novel insights into gravity, potentially illuminating the elusive connection between gravity and the other fundamental forces.

These developments underline SSH's potential to significantly impact our understanding of magnetic fields, gravity, and their interrelation.

# 16 Manipulating Local Spacetime Superfluid Density with Magnetic Configurations

### 16.1 Introduction

The Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH) proposes that spacetime can be described as a superfluid, with gravity and other fundamental forces arising from the dynamics of this superfluid. In this framework, magnetic fields are interpreted as flows or currents of the spacetime superfluid. This suggests the possibility of using specific magnetic configurations to manipulate the local density or pressure of the superfluid, creating effects analogous to buoyancy in a fluid.

#### 16.2 Magnetic Fields as Superfluid Flows

In the SSH, the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  is related to the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  through the relation:

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

The SSH postulates that the vector potential **A** is proportional to the gradient of the phase  $\theta$  of the superfluid order parameter  $\psi$ :

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla \theta$$

where  $\hbar$  is the reduced Planck constant, and q is a parameter that depends on the properties of the superfluid. Substituting this expression into the definition of the magnetic field, we get:

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \frac{\hbar}{q} \nabla \times \nabla \theta$$

This suggests that the magnetic field is related to the vorticity of the phase of the superfluid order parameter.

### 16.3 Magnetic Shell Configuration

Consider a spherical shell with magnets aligned radially, either all pointing inward or all pointing outward. This configuration could create a uniform magnetic field inside the shell, corresponding to a uniform "twisting" of the superfluid phase. The magnetic field inside the shell can be described by:

 $\mathbf{B} = B_0 \hat{r} \quad \text{(for inward-pointing magnets)}$  $\mathbf{B} = -B_0 \hat{r} \quad \text{(for outward-pointing magnets)}$ 

where  $B_0$  is the magnitude of the magnetic field, and  $\hat{r}$  is the unit vector in the radial direction.

#### 16.4 Superfluid Density Modification

The uniform magnetic field inside the shell corresponds to a uniform vorticity of the superfluid phase:

$$abla \times \nabla \theta = \frac{q}{\hbar} B_0 \hat{r} \quad \text{(for inward-pointing magnets)}$$
  
 $abla \times \nabla \theta = -\frac{q}{\hbar} B_0 \hat{r} \quad \text{(for outward-pointing magnets)}$ 

This vorticity could lead to a change in the local density  $\rho$  of the superfluid inside the shell, relative to the density  $\rho_0$  outside the shell.

#### 16.5**Buoyancy Effect**

The change in the local density of the superfluid inside the magnetic shell could create a buoyant force in the presence of an external gravitational field. For a spherical shell of radius R and thickness  $\Delta r \ll R$ , the buoyant force  $F_b$  is given by:

$$F_b = \frac{4}{3}\pi R^3 \Delta \rho g$$

where  $\Delta \rho = \rho_0 - \rho$  is the difference between the outside and inside densities, and g is the gravitational acceleration. If  $\Delta \rho > 0$  (outward-pointing magnets), the shell experiences an upward buoyant force. If  $\Delta \rho < 0$  (inward-pointing magnets), the shell experiences a downward force.

#### **Experimental Considerations** 16.6

Testing this idea experimentally would be challenging, as it requires detecting changes in the local density of the spacetime superfluid. Some possible approaches could include:

- Precision measurements of the gravitational field inside and outside the magnetic shell, looking for small deviations from the expected field.
- Interferometric experiments that measure the phase shift of quantum particles passing through the shell, which could be sensitive to changes in the superfluid density.
- Measurements of the buoyant force on the shell in the presence of a strong gravitational field, using sensitive accelerometers or torsion balances.

#### 17Modifying Einstein's Field Equations for the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH)

To modify Einstein's field equations to take into account the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis (SSH), we need to incorporate the effects of the spacetime superfluid into the description of the curvature of spacetime and the distribution of matter and energy.

Einstein's field equations relate the curvature of spacetime, described by the Einstein tensor  $G_{\mu\nu}$ , to the distribution of matter and energy, described by the stress-energy tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$ :

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \times T_{\mu\nu}$$

where G is Newton's gravitational constant and c is the speed of light.

In the SSH framework, the spacetime superfluid plays a key role in determining the curvature of spacetime and the dynamics of matter and energy. To include the effects of the superfluid in Einstein's field equations, we need to modify the stress-energy tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}$  to include contributions from the superfluid.

One way to do this is to introduce a new term in the stress-energy tensor that represents the energy density and pressure of the superfluid. Let's call this term  $T_{\mu\nu}^{(sf)}$ , where "sf" stands for "superfluid". Then, the modified stress-energy tensor would be:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu} + T^{(sf)}_{\mu\nu}$$

where  $T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)}$  is the stress-energy tensor for ordinary matter and energy, and  $T_{\mu\nu}^{(sf)}$  is the stress-energy tensor for the spacetime superfluid.

The specific form of  $T_{\mu\nu}^{(sf)}$  would depend on the properties of the superfluid and its interaction with matter and energy. One possible approach is to use the hydrodynamic description of superfluids, which relates the energy density and pressure of the superfluid to its velocity and density fields.

In this description, the stress-energy tensor for the superfluid could be written as:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(sf)} = (\rho_{sf} + p_{sf})u_{\mu}u_{\nu} + p_{sf}g_{\mu\nu} + \xi_{\mu\nu}$$

where  $\rho_{sf}$  and  $p_{sf}$  are the energy density and pressure of the superfluid,  $u_{\mu}$  is the four-velocity of the superfluid,  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is the metric tensor, and  $\xi_{\mu\nu}$  is a tensor that describes the non-classical effects of the superfluid, such as its quantum vorticity and topology.

The four-velocity  $u_{\mu}$  and the density  $\rho_{sf}$  of the superfluid would be related to the complex order parameter  $\psi$  that describes the superfluid in the SSH framework. In particular, we could write:

$$\rho_{sf} = |\psi|^2$$
$$u_\mu = \left(\frac{\hbar}{m}\right) \partial_\mu \theta$$

where  $\hbar$  is the reduced Planck constant, m is the mass of the superfluid particle, and  $\theta$  is the phase of the order parameter  $\psi$ .

Substituting these expressions into the stress-energy tensor  $T^{(sf)}_{\mu\nu}$ , and combining it with the stress-energy tensor for ordinary matter  $T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu}$ , we obtain the modified Einstein field equations:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \times \left( T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu} + |\psi|^2 u_\mu u_\nu + p_{sf} g_{\mu\nu} + \xi_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

These modified field equations describe how the curvature of spacetime is related to the distribution of matter and energy, including the contribution from the spacetime superfluid.

To solve these equations and obtain the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$  that describes the geometry of spacetime, we would need to specify the properties of the superfluid, such as its equation of state and its interaction with matter and energy. We would also need to provide boundary conditions and initial conditions for the superfluid field  $\psi$  and the metric tensor  $g_{\mu\nu}$ .

In general, solving these modified field equations would be a complex and challenging task, requiring advanced mathematical techniques and numerical simulations. However, in certain simplified cases, such as in the weak-field limit or in highly symmetric situations, it may be possible to obtain analytical solutions or approximate solutions that provide insight into the effects of the superfluid on the curvature of spacetime and the dynamics of matter and energy.

#### 17.1 Weak-field Limit

In the weak-field limit, we assume that the spacetime metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  can be written as a small perturbation  $h_{\mu\nu}$  around the flat Minkowski metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ :

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \quad \text{with } |h_{\mu\nu}| \ll 1$$

In this limit, the Einstein tensor  $G_{\mu\nu}$  can be approximated to first order in  $h_{\mu\nu}$  as:

$$G_{\mu\nu} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\nu} h^{\alpha}_{\mu} + \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} h^{\alpha}_{\nu} - \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} h - \Box h_{\mu\nu} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \left( \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} - \Box h \right)$$

where  $h = \eta^{\mu\nu} h_{\mu\nu}$  is the trace of the perturbation, and  $\Box = \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}$  is the d'Alembert operator.

In the weak-field limit, we can also assume that the superfluid density  $\rho_{sf}$  and pressure  $p_{sf}$  are small, so that the stress-energy tensor  $T_{\mu\nu}^{(sf)}$  can be approximated as:

$$T^{(sf)}_{\mu\nu} \approx \rho_{sf}\eta_{\mu\nu}$$

Substituting these approximations into the modified Einstein field equations, we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\nu} h^{\alpha}_{\mu} + \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\mu} h^{\alpha}_{\nu} - \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} h - \Box h_{\mu\nu} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} \left( \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} h^{\alpha\beta} - \Box h \right) \approx \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \times \left( T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu} + \rho_{sf} \eta_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

These linearized equations describe the propagation of weak gravitational waves in the presence of the spacetime superfluid. The superfluid contributes an additional term to the stress-energy tensor, which acts like a small cosmological constant and can affect the amplitude and wavelength of the gravitational waves.

To solve these equations, we can use the technique of Green's functions, which express the solution as a convolution of the source term with a propagator. For example, in the case of a point mass M located at the origin, the solution for the perturbation  $h_{\mu\nu}$  in the Lorentz gauge  $(\partial_{\mu}h^{\mu\nu} = 0)$  is given by:

$$h_{00}\approx -\frac{2GM}{c^2r}, \quad h_{ij}\approx -\frac{2GM}{c^2r}\times \delta_{ij}$$

where r is the distance from the origin, and  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta. This solution describes the Newtonian gravitational potential around the point mass, with a small correction due to the presence of the superfluid.

### 17.2 Highly Symmetric Solution (Cosmological)

Now let's consider a highly symmetric solution for the modified Einstein field equations, in the context of cosmology. Specifically, we'll look at the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric, which describes a homogeneous and isotropic universe:

$$ds^{2} = -c^{2}dt^{2} + a(t)^{2} \left[ \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - kr^{2}} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2}) \right]$$

where a(t) is the scale factor, and k is the curvature parameter (k = 0, +1, or -1 for a flat, closed, or open universe, respectively).

In this metric, the Einstein tensor  $G_{\mu\nu}$  has the following non-zero components:

$$G_{00} = \frac{3(\dot{a}^2 + kc^2)}{a^2}, \quad G_{ij} = -\left[2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2 + kc^2}{a^2}\right]g_{ij}$$

where  $\dot{a} = \frac{da}{dt}$  and  $\ddot{a} = \frac{d^2a}{dt^2}$ .

For the stress-energy tensor, we assume that both the ordinary matter and the superfluid can be described as perfect fluids, with energy densities  $\rho_m$  and  $\rho_{sf}$ , and pressures  $p_m$  and  $p_{sf}$ , respectively. Then, the non-zero components of the stress-energy tensor are:

$$\begin{split} T_{00}^{(m)} &= \rho_m c^2, \quad T_{ij}^{(m)} = p_m g_{ij} \\ T_{00}^{(sf)} &= \rho_{sf} c^2, \quad T_{ij}^{(sf)} = p_{sf} g_{ij} \end{split}$$

Substituting these expressions into the modified Einstein field equations, we obtain the Friedmann equations:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^2} \times \left(\rho_m + \rho_{sf}\right) - \frac{kc^2}{a^2}$$
$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3c^2} \times \left(\rho_m + \rho_{sf} + 3\frac{p_m}{c^2} + 3\frac{p_{sf}}{c^2}\right)$$

These equations describe the evolution of the scale factor a(t) in the presence of both ordinary matter and the spacetime superfluid. The superfluid contributes additional terms to the energy density and pressure, which can affect the expansion rate and the geometry of the universe.

To solve these equations, we need to specify the equation of state for the superfluid, which relates its pressure  $p_{sf}$  to its energy density  $\rho_{sf}$ . One possible choice is a barotropic equation of state:

$$p_{sf} = w_{sf} \rho_{sf} c^2$$

where  $w_{sf}$  is a constant parameter. For example, if  $w_{sf} = -1$ , the superfluid behaves like a cosmological constant, with a constant energy density and negative pressure. If  $w_{sf} = 0$ , the superfluid behaves like pressureless dust, with an energy density that dilutes as the universe expands.

With this equation of state, the Friedmann equations can be solved analytically for certain special cases, such as a flat universe (k = 0) with only the superfluid  $(\rho_m = p_m = 0)$ . In this case, the solution for the scale factor is:

$$a(t) \propto t^{\frac{2}{3(1+w_{sf})}}$$

For  $w_{sf} = -1$ , this gives an exponentially expanding solution, similar to the de Sitter universe in the standard cosmological model.

For more general cases, the Friedmann equations need to be solved numerically, taking into account the contributions from both ordinary matter and the superfluid, as well as any additional terms that may arise from the non-classical effects of the superfluid (such as the  $\xi_{\mu\nu}$  term in the stress-energy tensor).

These solutions provide a glimpse into how the spacetime superfluid could affect the dynamics of the universe on large scales, and how it could potentially explain some of the observed features of the cosmos, such as the accelerated expansion and the missing mass. However, much more work is needed to fully explore the cosmological implications of the SSH, and to test its predictions against observational data.

One interesting consequence of including the superfluid in Einstein's field equations is that it could potentially provide a mechanism for the accelerated expansion of the universe, which is currently attributed to dark energy. If the superfluid has a negative pressure, similar to the cosmological constant in the standard model of cosmology, then it could drive the expansion of the universe at late times.

Another possibility is that the superfluid could provide a source of dark matter, which is needed to explain the observed rotation curves of galaxies and the large-scale structure of the universe. If the superfluid particles have a non-zero mass and interact weakly with ordinary matter, then they could behave like cold dark matter and contribute to the gravitational potential of galaxies and clusters.

To explore these possibilities and test the predictions of the modified field equations, we would need to compare their results with observational data from cosmology and astrophysics, such as measurements of the cosmic microwave background radiation, the distribution of galaxies and clusters, and the gravitational lensing of light by massive objects.

#### 17.3 Summary

The SSH suggests that magnetic fields can be interpreted as flows of the spacetime superfluid, and that specific magnetic configurations could be used to manipulate the local density or pressure of the superfluid. A spherical shell with radially aligned magnets is one possible configuration that could create a uniform vorticity inside the shell, leading to a change in the superfluid density and a buoyant force. While this idea is speculative and faces significant experimental challenges, it highlights the potential of the SSH to provide new insights into the nature of spacetime and gravity. If such effects could be demonstrated, it would open up new possibilities for controlling and manipulating spacetime at the quantum level. As the SSH continues to be developed and tested, ideas like this one will need to be rigorously analyzed and compared with experimental data. The mathematical framework presented here provides a starting point for further exploration of this concept and its implications for our understanding of the fundamental structure of the universe.

### 18 Conclusion

The Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis presents a novel and compelling approach to the unification of the fundamental forces of nature. By proposing that spacetime is a superfluid medium, the SSH offers a framework that naturally incorporates quantum mechanics and allows for the emergence of gravity and electromagnetism from a single, unified foundation.

Throughout this paper, we have explored the key aspects of the SSH, including its mathematical formulation based on the modified non-linear Schrödinger equation, the interpretation of particles and fields as excitations and topological defects within the superfluid, and the coupling between gravity and electromagnetism. We have shown that the SSH provides a consistent and elegant description of a wide range of physical phenomena, from the creation of matter-antimatter pairs to the deflection of light.

One of the most significant findings of this paper is the demonstration that the SSH can be aligned with general relativity by carefully choosing the values of its parameters. This alignment highlights the SSH's potential as a generalization of general relativity, capable of describing both classical and quantum phenomena. By bridging the gap between quantum mechanics and gravity, the SSH offers a promising avenue for the development of a complete theory of quantum gravity. Furthermore, the SSH provides a new perspective on the nature of spacetime and the fundamental forces. By describing spacetime as a superfluid, the SSH offers a unified framework in which the properties of particles and fields emerge from the dynamics and geometry of the underlying medium. This approach has the potential to shed light on some of the most profound questions in theoretical physics, such as the nature of dark matter and dark energy, the origin of the universe, and the ultimate fate of black holes.

However, it is important to note that the SSH is still a speculative theory, and much work remains to be done to fully develop its mathematical framework, explore its predictions, and test its validity against experimental data. The ideas presented in this paper should serve as a foundation for further research into the SSH and its implications for our understanding of the universe.

In conclusion, the Spacetime Superfluid Hypothesis offers a bold and innovative approach to the unification of the fundamental forces of nature. By describing spacetime as a superfluid medium, the SSH provides a framework that naturally incorporates quantum mechanics and allows for the emergence of gravity and electromagnetism from a single, unified foundation. While still in its early stages, the SSH has the potential to revolutionize our understanding of the nature of spacetime and the fundamental forces, and to provide insights into some of the most profound questions in theoretical physics. We invite the scientific community to explore this exciting new approach and to contribute to its further development.

## References

[1] R.A Pakula, "Solitons and Quantum Behavior," arXiv:1612.00110.