# Rural Infrastructure Modernization: Technical Architecture Requirements for AI–Native Integration (ARIS–2025)

A. Khaalis Wooden
Director of Enterprise Capture & Compliance
Visionblox
Email: khaalis.wooden@visionblox.com

***Abstract*—The convergence of rural healthcare and environmental monitoring demands an integrated, AI–native architecture spanning Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs) and rural water utilities. Despite near–universal basic EHR adoption in hospitals, only a minority of CAHs fully exchange data, while a large share of rural water utilities face critical cybersecurity deficiencies and many rural areas lack minimum broadband capacity required for modern operations [R1–R3]. This white paper synthesizes technical requirements and a reference architecture (*ARIS–2025*) across connectivity, edge computing, data interoperability, and compliance, mapping vendor ecosystems, cost benchmarks, and phased implementation to achieve resilient, privacy–preserving cross–sector analytics.**

***Index Terms*—Critical Access Hospitals, SCADA, FHIR, OPC–UA, SD–WAN, Edge AI, Store–and–Forward, Federated Learning, Zero Trust, NIST 800–53, FedRAMP, SBOM, Section 889, C2M2.**

## I. Introduction

Rural critical infrastructure presents a dual challenge and opportunity: (i) CAHs exhibit near–universal basic EHR adoption yet limited end–to–end interoperability; (ii) rural water utilities operate aging, connectivity–constrained SCADA environments with material cybersecurity gaps; and (iii) significant portions of rural and Tribal geographies lack the $100\,\mathrm{Mbit\,s^{-1}}$ connectivity hospitals require [R1–R4]. Concurrent federal investments—USDA ReConnect (broadband), proposed rural health transformation funds, and EPA–CDC surveillance initiatives—create the conditions to integrate these historically siloed domains under an AI–native system: *ARIS–2025* [R5–R7]. This paper consolidates implementation requirements, architectural patterns, vendor options, and compliance pathways.

*Note on citations:* The bracketed references [R#—] map to the bibliography section for convenient replacement with the user's definitive sources.

## II. Background and Problem Statement

By 2018, ∼98% of hospitals (including CAHs) had certified EHRs; however, comprehensive interoperability across send/receive/find/integrate domains drops to ∼15–18% for CAHs versus ∼34% urban [R1,R2]. In parallel, over 70% of rural water utilities exhibit cybersecurity deficiencies and ∼42% of rural areas lack hospital–grade $100\,\mathrm{Mbit\,s^{-1}}$ connectivity [R3,R4]. ARIS–2025 targets these gaps with an edge–forward, standards–based, federated analytics design.

## III. CAH IT Baseline and Strategic Gaps

### A. Adoption and Capability Gaps

Patient engagement and analytics capabilities lag at CAHs (e.g., 46.6% vs. 63.3% engagement; ∼42% vs. ∼62% advanced analytics compared to urban) [R1,R2]. Interoperability across all four domains remains 15–18% at CAHs [R2].

### B. Connectivity and Latency Constraints

Standards call for $100\,\mathrm{Mbit\,s^{-1}}$ minimum for hospitals; telehealth sessions require 10 —-25 $\mathrm{Mbit\,s^{-1}}$ per concurrent HD stream with sub–100 ms responsiveness for ED systems [R4,R8]. Many rural sites underperform relative to those thresholds, necessitating edge processing.

### C. Vendor Landscape and FHIR Trajectory

Epic, Oracle Cerner, and Meditech dominate market share; FHIR enablement is highest among leading vendors. Federal rules (e.g., 21st Century Cures Act; HTI–1) accelerate USCDI v3 adoption by Jan 1, 2026 [R9,R10].

### D. Cost Considerations

Typical multi–physician practice EHR rollout: ∼$162k implementation + $85k first–year maintenance (∼$247k; 2.5–year ROI) [R11]. CAHs favor cloud solutions to reduce CapEx and staffing burden.

## IV. Water Utility SCADA Modernization

### A. SCADA Cost Tiers and Cloud Transition

Conventional SCADA for small–medium systems often runs $25k–$100k plus backend setup; cloud alternatives can reduce initial outlay to $5k–$20k [R12]. Inductive Automation Ignition, AVEVA Wonderware, Siemens WinCC, Rockwell FactoryTalk, Schneider, and GE Digital iFIX dominate [R13].

### B. Communications

Hybrid comms (UHF/microwave/internet/cellular) with VPN–based remote access is typical; intermittent links mandate PLC autonomy and store–and–forward [R14].

### C. Compliance and Reporting

SDWA monitoring/reporting operates largely in batch (monthly/quarterly), with SDWIS introducing 3–6 month lags. Acute violations require 24–hour notice; under–reporting persists [R15,R16].

### D. Sensors and ROI

Pressure/flow, pH/turbidity/chlorine, vibration/acoustic sensors enable leak detection and predictive maintenance; deployments show 25–30% non–revenue water reduction and >50% downtime reduction in analogous industries [R17,R18].

### E. Data Integration Barriers

Heterogeneous SCADA, GIS, LIMS, EAM/CMMS, CIS, ERP, and EPA reporting create silos. Open standards (OPC–UA, MQTT), SQL historization, and enterprise portals standardize access, but full modernization needs multi–year programs [R19].

## V. Rural Connectivity Envelope for Edge AI

### A. Coverage Reality

∼22% of rural America lacks fixed 25/3 Mbps; ∼28% on Tribal lands lack coverage; ∼42% of rural areas are below $100\,\mathrm{Mbit\,s^{-1}}$ hospital threshold [R4].

### B. LEO Satellite (Starlink)

Starlink now serves millions, with median peak–hour throughput $\sim 200\,\mathrm{Mbit\,s^{-1}}$, median latency ∼26 ms, and >99% uptime in many areas; V3 birds target sub–20 ms [R20]. Opex pricing often beats rural fiber buildouts.

### C. SD–WAN for Hybrid Paths

Cisco Meraki, VMware VeloCloud, and HPE Aruba EdgeConnect deliver multi–path orchestration, automatic failover, and traffic shaping with reported cost savings and improved resiliency [R21]. For ∼200 users/20 remote sites: $500k–$1.2M year 1; $150k–$400k ARR (reference cost envelope) [R21].

## VI. Edge AI & Store–and–Forward Patterns

### A. Edge Hardware

NVIDIA Jetson Orin Nano (∼67 TOPS @ 7–25W) and AGX Orin (∼275 TOPS) support hospital edge workloads; TinyML on Cortex–M targets ultra–low–power water sensors [R22,R23].

### B. Optimization

INT8 quantization, pruning, and distillation reduce size 2–4× with modest accuracy loss; TFLite Micro ∼1 MB binary; Edge Impulse EON further reduces RAM/flash [R24].

### C. Store–and–Forward Tooling

AWS IoT Greengrass, Azure IoT Edge, and HiveMQ Edge buffer locally and sync reliably on reconnection; delta sync and local filtering reduce bandwidth [R25].

## VII. Interoperability & Federated Analytics

### A. Public Health Architectures

CDC EPHT (federated network; standardized measures) and WBDOSS/NORS link water and health outcomes, demonstrating scalable cross–domain harmonization with participation gaps [R26,R27].

### B. FHIR for Environmental Observations

FHIR `Observation` supports quantities, devices, specimens, and locations; mapping SCADA parameters (e.g., pH in NTU/UCUM) to LOINC/SNOMED enables unified query across health and water [R28]. Azure IoT Connector for FHIR and analogous pipelines bridge sensors to FHIR servers [R29].

### C. Federated Models

Personal Health Train and FHDN enable "code to data" with DP, FL, and HE; orchestrators coordinate secure queries; real implementations (ICODA, OHDSI, Rhino Health) show feasibility without centralizing PHI/OT data [R30].

## VIII. AI/ML Use Cases and MLOps

### A. Predictive Maintenance

GE OnWatch Predict shows +2.5 days/year MRI uptime; up to 60% unplanned downtime reduction; enterprise platforms (e.g., C3.AI) report 50% downtime reduction in industrial deployments [R31,R32].

### B. Water Quality Anomaly Detection

XGBoost/RF/DT/LSTM–AE reach >90% accuracy/F1 for WQI and contamination events with modest training sets; integration with SCADA and public health remains a gap [R33].

### C. Explainability and Fairness

FDA SaMD and 2025 draft guidance elevate XAI/-fairness to expectations; SHAP/LIME dominate implementations; IBM AIF360 provides metrics/mitigations; rural under–representation demands subgroup performance monitoring [R34,R35].

### D. MLOps and Drift

MLflow, Kubeflow, W&B, and Feast address registry/pipelines/feature parity; drift detection via KS/Chi–Square/PSI with trigger–based retraining [R36].

## IX. Security & Compliance Envelope

### A. Zero Trust (NIST 800–207)

Policy engine/administrator/enforcement point patterns; Zscaler, Prisma Access, Cloudflare, and Appgate SDP are viable for HIPAA/OT; first–year $500k–$1.2M, ARR $150k–$400k (200 users) [R37].

### B. NIST 800–53 RMF

Low/Moderate/High baselines (∼125/323/410+ controls); 7–step RMF; moderate first year \$540k–\$1.55M; high \$1.08M–\$2.65M (assessment and implementation envelopes) [R38].

### C. FedRAMP

Required only if delivering cloud services to federal agencies; Moderate dominates authorizations; first–year \$675k–\$2.16M; continuous monitoring \$300k–\$700k ARR; prefer leveraging existing authorized platforms [R39].

### D. SBOM

EO 14028/OMB and sector guidance make SBOM high–ROI; SPDX and CycloneDX prevalent; free tools (Dependency–Track, Syft/Grype) and commercial (Cybeats, NetRise, Finite State); first–year \$150k–\$500k [R40].

### E. Section 889

Prohibits use of specified PRC telecom/video vendors; inventory, verification (SAM/CAAMP), and replacement costs can be material (\$200k–\$2M+ first year depending on footprint) [R41].

## X. Maturity Frameworks & Funding

### A. HIMSS EMRAM

Stages 0–7 track maturity; CAHs lag at higher stages (analytics/population health); HTI–1 and USCDI v3 help close gaps [R10,R42].

### B. C2M2 and NIST CSF

C2M2 (356 practices; MIL 0–3) enables annual self–assessment; CSF provides shared language across health/water OT [R43].

### C. Federal Programs

USDA ReConnect \$5.54B (Rounds 1–5), EPA WIFIA low–interest loans, and proposed HHS rural health transformation (\$50B/5 years) create capital pathways; operational funding must be planned (subscriptions, continuous monitoring) [R5,R6,R44].

## XI. Reference Architecture (ARIS–2025)

### A. Stack Overview

- **Connectivity:** Primary fiber/fixed wireless; secondary LTE/5G; tertiary LEO satellite (Starlink) orchestrated via SD–WAN with app–aware policies [R20,R21].
- **Edge:** Jetson Orin gateways at hospitals; TinyML sensors for distributed water sites; local caches and deterministic PLC control.
- **Data Layer:** FHIR server (Azure API for FHIR/AWS HealthLake) for health; OPC–UA bridges and MQTT for OT; middleware mapping water quality to FHIR `Observation`.
- **Analytics:** Federated learning (PHT/FHDN) with DP/HE; centralized dashboards for public health situational awareness.

Fig. 1: ARIS–2025 reference architecture (placeholder graphic).

- **MLOps:** Feast + MLflow; drift detection (KS/PSI) and automated retraining; SHAP–based explanations in CDS.
- **Security:** ZTNA, 800–53 Moderate baseline, SBOM program, 889 compliance.

### B. Target SLOs

- **Uptime:** ≥99.9% end–to–end for priority apps.
- **Latency:** ≤100 ms CDS/ED workflows; ≤500 ms SCADA controls.
- **Data Freshness:** Near real time (sub–minute) for alarms; hourly for summaries; daily for batch reporting.
- **Security:** 100% SBOM coverage; zero critical CVEs >30 days; MIL 2 within 12 months.

## XII. Phased Implementation and Costs

**Phase 1 (0–6 mo; \$250k–\$600k):** 889 inventory, SBOM pilot, 800–53 gap assessment, ZTNA pilot.
**Phase 2 (7–12 mo; \$400k–\$1.2M + replacements):** 889 remediation, SBOM expansion, ZTNA expansion, initial edge pilots (hospital gateway; 5 water sensors).
**Phase 3 (13–18 mo; \$500k–\$1.5M):** FHIR server, water→FHIR mapping, federated analytics, WBDOSS automation, public health dashboard.
**Phase 4 (19–24 mo; \$300k–\$800k):** Scale–out, continuous monitoring, optional FedRAMP if required.

Annual steady–state Opex: \$685k–\$2.715M (subscriptions, security monitoring, connectivity, support).

## XIII. Critical Success Factors

Joint executive sponsorship (CAH CEO & utility GM), expert partners (3PAO, FHIR, MLOps), early regulator engagement (FDA/state health/EPA/FedRAMP), and secured operational funding streams are decisive.

## XIV. Conclusion

ARIS–2025 aligns maturing standards (FHIR/OPC–UA), improving rural connectivity (LEO + SD–WAN), and proven edge AI to integrate health and water systems under rigorous compliance. With phased execution and operational sustainability, rural communities can realize measurable gains in resilience, equity, and public health.


## Acknowledgment

The author thanks stakeholders across rural health and water utilities whose operational insights shaped these requirements.

# References


# References



[1] **R1 (Placeholder)**: CAH EHR adoption statistics and capability gaps.
[2] **R2 (Placeholder)**: Interoperability (send/receive/find/integrate) benchmarks for CAHs vs. urban.
[3] **R3 (Placeholder)**: Cybersecurity deficiencies across rural water utilities.
[4] **R4 (Placeholder)**: Rural broadband coverage and 100 $\mathrm{Mbit\,s^{-1}}$ shortfall metrics.
[5] **R5 (Placeholder)**: USDA ReConnect funding program details and totals.
[6] **R6 (Placeholder)**: HHS Rural Health Transformation initiative (proposed $50B).
[7] **R7 (Placeholder)**: EPA–CDC collaboration on waterborne disease surveillance.
[8] **R8 (Placeholder)**: Telehealth bandwidth/latency requirements; ED responsiveness targets.
[9] **R9 (Placeholder)**: 21st Century Cures Act and national FHIR standardization.
[10] **R10 (Placeholder)**: HTI–1 Final Rule; USCDI v3 (effective dates/data classes).
[11] **R11 (Placeholder)**: EHR implementation and maintenance cost benchmarks; ROI timelines.
[12] **R12 (Placeholder)**: SCADA cost ranges (on–prem vs. cloud) for small/medium utilities.
[13] **R13 (Placeholder)**: SCADA vendor ecosystem (Ignition, AVEVA, Siemens, Rockwell, Schneider, GE).
[14] **R14 (Placeholder)**: Rural water hybrid comms architectures; PLC autonomy patterns.
[15] **R15 (Placeholder)**: SDWA monitoring frequencies; acute violation reporting timeframes.
[16] **R16 (Placeholder)**: SDWIS reporting lag; under–reporting documentation.
[17] **R17 (Placeholder)**: Predictive maintenance ROI for pumps and process equipment.
[18] **R18 (Placeholder)**: Non–revenue water reductions via leak detection analytics.
[19] **R19 (Placeholder)**: Enterprise standardization; OPC–UA/MQTT/SQL historian case studies.
[20] **R20 (Placeholder)**: Starlink performance (throughput/latency/uptime), roadmap (V3).
[21] **R21 (Placeholder)**: SD–WAN vendor capabilities and cost envelopes.
[22] **R22 (Placeholder)**: NVIDIA Jetson Orin specs and pricing.
[23] **R23 (Placeholder)**: TinyML performance on Cortex–M microcontrollers.
[24] **R24 (Placeholder)**: Quantization/pruning/distillation and TFLite Micro/EON compiler metrics.
[25] **R25 (Placeholder)**: Store–and–forward capabilities (Greengrass, IoT Edge, HiveMQ).
[26] **R26 (Placeholder)**: CDC EPHT technical architecture and NCDM framework.
[27] **R27 (Placeholder)**: WBDOSS/NORS history, scope, and participation.
[28] **R28 (Placeholder)**: FHIR `Observation` mapping for environmental measures; LOINC/UCUM.
[29] **R29 (Placeholder)**: Azure IoT Connector for FHIR (MedTech) pattern.
[30] **R30 (Placeholder)**: PHT/FHDN principles; ICODA/OHDSI/Rhino Health exemplars.
[31] **R31 (Placeholder)**: GE OnWatch Predict outcomes (uptime/-downtime reduction).
[32] **R32 (Placeholder)**: C3.AI Reliability outcomes and scale.
[33] **R33 (Placeholder)**: ML accuracy for WQI/contamination anomaly detection (XGB/RF/DT/LSTM–AE).
[34] **R34 (Placeholder)**: FDA SaMD/2025 draft guidance; XAI/-fairness expectations.
[35] **R35 (Placeholder)**: SHAP/LIME prevalence and rural subgroup performance considerations; AIF360.
[36] **R36 (Placeholder)**: MLOps platforms; drift detection metrics and retraining triggers.
[37] **R37 (Placeholder)**: ZTNA vendor capabilities and rural–suitable deployments.
[38] **R38 (Placeholder)**: NIST 800–53 control counts, RMF steps, and cost/time envelopes.
[39] **R39 (Placeholder)**: FedRAMP impact levels, timelines, costs, and continuous monitoring.
[40] **R40 (Placeholder)**: SBOM standards (SPDX/CycloneDX), tools, and program costs.
[41] **R41 (Placeholder)**: NDAA Section 889 scope, verification, and replacement cost ranges.
[42] **R42 (Placeholder)**: HIMSS EMRAM metrics and CAH gaps.
[43] **R43 (Placeholder)**: C2M2 v2.1 practices and MIL levels; NIST CSF.
[44] **R44 (Placeholder)**: USDA ReConnect project metrics; EPA WIFIA loan terms.