

# Why was that difficult to prove the Goldbach conjecture?

*Óscar E. Chamizo Sánchez.*

*Redonda Kingdom University, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics.*

## **Abstract:**

An ancient conjecture, named after its discoverer as Goldbach conjecture [1][2], that is to say, every even number greater than 2 can be represented by the sum of two primes, is a simple and intractable statement that has been torturing mathematicians for more than 250 years. We wonder if the *divide et impera* method, so useful in programming and algorithmics, could provide some service here. The goal is simplify and separate the whole problem into three independent and fairly manageable subproblems. An approach that, as far as I know, has not been tested before.\*

## **Definitions:**

From now on,  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integer numbers,  $p$  and  $q$  are prime numbers. Note that all prime  $p \geq 5$  is of the form  $6m \pm 1$ ; primes of the form  $6m+1$  are called **right primes**; primes of the form  $6m-1$  are called **left primes**. While  $p$  are prime numbers of any type,  $q$  are primes of type  $6m+1$  or of type  $6m-1$ , as indicated at each time.

**Goldbach conjecture** states that for all  $n$  and all prime  $p$  such that  $3 \leq p \leq 2n-3$ , at least some  $2n-p$  is prime, i.e., not every  $2n-p$  is composite. Let's say: disprove, for all  $n$  and all  $3 \leq p \leq 2n-3$ , that  $2n-p$  is composite.

We shall divide the conjecture into 3 independent subproblems realizing that in three consecutive odd numbers,  $2n-3$ ,  $2n-5$  and  $2n-7$ , one and only one of them must be multiple of 3. So we face:

Case A:  $3 \mid 2n-7$  or  $2n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

Case B:  $3 \mid 2n-5$  or  $2n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

Case C:  $3 \mid 2n-3$  or  $2n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

**Case A:**  $3 \mid 2n-7$ :

$3 \mid 2n-7 \Rightarrow 3 \mid 2n-13, 3 \mid 2n-19$  and so on. Hence  $3 \mid 2n-(6m+1)$  for all  $m$ . Then if  $q$  is a right prime,  $2n-q$  is composite for all  $q$  as being a multiple of 3 and if  $q$  is a left prime,  $2n-q$  is not a multiple of 3. Our goal now is to prove that there are not enough factors  $p_i$  ( $i=1,2,3, \dots, k$ ) from  $p_1=5$  to  $p_k$ , where  $p_k$  is the largest prime  $p_k \leq \sqrt{2n-3}$ , to factorize every  $2n-q$  (with  $q$  of type  $6m-$

1) and  $\sqrt{2n-3} \leq q \leq 2n-3$ .

Sufficient and necessary conditions for all these q to be primes is:

$$6m-1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$$

Now, given the correlative sequence of odd numbers  $2n-3, 2n-5, 2n-7, 2n-9, 2n-11, 2n-13, 2n-15, 2n-a, \dots$ , let be  $2n-a_i$  the odd number containing the first occurrence of prime factor  $p_i$  in that sequence.

Note that:

For each  $p_i$ ,  $a_i$  is unique.

$$3 \leq a_i \leq 2p_i + 1.$$

For some  $i$ ,  $a_i = 3$ ; for some  $i$ ,  $a_i = 5$ ; for some  $i$ ,  $a_i = 11 \pmod{p_i}$ ; for some  $i$ ,  $a_i = 17 \pmod{p_i}$ ; for some  $i$ ,  $a_i = 23 \pmod{p_i}$  and so on.

$2n-q$ , i.e.,  $2n-(6m-1)$ , is composite if and only if exists  $i$  such that  $6m-1 \equiv a_i \pmod{p_i}$ . Indeed. Given the succession  $2n-(6m-1)$ , the answer to how often occurs the factor  $p_i$  in it is  $6p_i$ .

Now, let's state conditions in order to find some  $2n-q$  with  $q=6m-1$  and  $q$  inside the interval  $3 \leq q \leq 2n-3$  that can not be factorized:

- 1)  $q$  is a left prime, i.e.,  $q$  is not multiple of any  $p_i$ , so  $6m-1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p_i}$  for all  $i$ .
- 2) There is no  $p_i$  factor available for  $2n-q$ , so  $6m-1 \not\equiv a_i \pmod{p_i}$  for all  $i$ .

Prime condition for $6m-1$	No factor available condition for $2n-(6m-1)$
$6m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$	$6m \not\equiv (a_1+1) \pmod{5}$
$6m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{7}$	$6m \not\equiv (a_2+1) \pmod{7}$
$6m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{11}$	$6m \not\equiv (a_3+1) \pmod{11}$
$6m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{13}$	$6m \not\equiv (a_4+1) \pmod{13}$
.....	.....
$6m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_k}$	$6m \not\equiv (a_k+1) \pmod{p_k}$

Hence for each  $p_i$  there are *at least*  $p_i-2$  remainders moduli  $p_i$  that fullfill the conditions. That amounts up to a minimum of  $(p_1-2)(p_2-2)(p_3-2)\dots(p_k-2)$ , id est,  $3.5.9.11\dots(p_k-2)$  different systems of linear congruences with prime moduli. The chinese remainder theorem ensures that each one of them has a different and unique solution moduli  $5.7.11.13\dots p_k$ .

It's necessary then to prove that exists at least a multiple of 6 that fullfills the preceding conditions inside the interval:

$$\sqrt{2n-3} < 6m < 2n$$

So let's prove that at least one in 3.5.9.11...(p<sub>k</sub>-2) solutions from 5.7.11.13...p<sub>k</sub> possible systems lies inside the aforementioned interval.

Let be M the highest number of consecutive occurrences of 6m that do not fullfill the conditions.<sup>1</sup> Is not easy to figure out the value of M, given the unpredictable nature of prime number distribution. But we can prove that exists an upper bound S for M such that for sufficient large n:

$$S < \left\lceil \frac{2n - \sqrt{2n-3}}{6} \right\rceil \quad (1)$$

Given p<sub>k</sub>, an upper bound for the total number of occurrences of each one of the two remainders moduli p are  $2 \left\lfloor \frac{p_k}{p} \right\rfloor$ . So

$$S = 2 \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p_k}{5} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{p_k}{7} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{p_k}{11} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{p_k}{13} \right\rfloor + \dots + \left\lfloor \frac{p_k}{p_{k-1}} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)$$

is an upper bound for M:

<b>k</b>	<b>p<sub>k</sub></b>	<b>M</b>	<b>S</b>
1	5	2	2
2	7	4	6
3	11	8	11
4	13	13	16
5	17	19	24
6	19	22	28

In turn:

$$\left\lfloor \frac{p_k}{5} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{p_k}{7} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{p_k}{11} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{p_k}{13} \right\rfloor + \dots + \left\lfloor \frac{p_k}{p_{k-1}} \right\rfloor + 1 <$$

$$\frac{p_k}{2} + \frac{p_k}{3} + \frac{p_k}{5} + \frac{p_k}{7} + \frac{p_k}{11} + \dots + \frac{p_k}{p_{k-1}} + 1 =$$

---

<sup>1</sup> For all those who, like myself, enjoy practical questions that sometimes shed light on some more abstract matter of discussion, the problem to determine an accurate value for **M** is the same as the following: Suppose you may not work on 2 predetermined days in five, 2 predetermined days in seven, 2 days in 11, 2 in 13 and so on until 2 days in p<sub>k</sub> days. What is the maximum number, as a function of p<sub>k</sub>, of consecutive days off?

$$p_k \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{11} \dots + \frac{1}{p_{k-1}} + \frac{1}{p_k} \right\}$$

The series between brackets is the well known partial summation of the reciprocal of the primes whose divergence was proved by Euler in 1737 together with the relationship:

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{p} \approx \log \log(x) \quad (2)$$

Taking  $x=p_k$  and given that an upper bound for all  $x > e^4$  in (2) is  $\log \log x + 6$  [3] allows us to state:

$$S < 2p_k(\log \log p_k + 6)$$

Now it's immediate to conclude, since  $p_k \leq \sqrt{2n-3}$ , that (1) holds for, let's say, every  $2n \geq 10^6$ .

For every  $2n < 10^6$  the verification of the conjecture have already been settled.

That completes the demonstration.

Hence, for all  $2n$  such that  $3 \mid 2n-7$ , i.e., for all  $2n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , exists some  $2n-q$  that can not be factorized, so  $2n-q$  is prime and the conjecture holds for all  $2n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**Case B:**  $3 \mid 2n-5$ :

$3 \mid 2n-5 \Rightarrow 3 \mid 2n-11, 3 \mid 2n-17$  and so on. Hence  $3 \mid 2n-(6m-1)$  for all  $m$ . If  $q$  is a left prime,  $2n-q$  is composite for all  $q$  as being a multiple of 3 and if  $q$  is a right prime,  $2n-q$  is not a multiple of 3.

Following the same thought process than before, with  $q$  a right prime of the form  $6m+1$ , it's straightforward to conclude that the conjecture holds for all  $2n$  such that  $3 \mid 2n-5$ , i.e., for all  $2n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

**Case C:**  $3 \mid 2n-3$ :

$3 \mid 2n-3 \Rightarrow 3 \nmid 2n-(6m \pm 1)$  for all  $m$ . All elements of the sequence:

$$2n-5, 2n-7, 2n-11, 2n-13, 2n-17, 2n-19, 2n-23, 2n-29, 2n-31, 2n-37, \dots 2n-q$$

where  $q \geq 5$  is a prime, must be factorized. There are  $k$  consecutive primes  $p_i$  ( $i=1,2,3, \dots, k$ ) from  $p_1=5$  to  $p_k$ , where  $p_k$  is the largest prime  $p_k \leq \sqrt{2n-3}$ ,

available for that factorization.

Now, given the correlative sequence of odd numbers  $2n-3, 2n-5, 2n-7, 2n-9, 2n-11, 2n-13, 2n-15, 2n-a\dots$ , let be  $2n-a_i$  the number containing the first occurrence of prime factor  $p_i$  in that sequence.

Notice that:

For each  $p_i$ ,  $a_i$  is unique.

$$3 \leq a_i \leq 2p_i + 1.$$

For some  $i$ ,  $a_i = 3$ ; for some  $i$ ,  $a_i = 5$ ; for some  $i$ ,  $a_i = 11 \pmod{p_i}$ ; for some  $i$ ,  $a_i = 17 \pmod{p_i}$ ; for some  $i$ ,  $a_i = 23 \pmod{p_i}$  and so on.

$2n-q$ , i.e.,  $2n-(6m \pm 1)$ , is composite if and only if exists  $i$  such that  $6m \pm 1 \equiv a_i \pmod{p_i}$ .

Conditions in order to find some  $2n-q$  with  $q=6m \pm 1$  and  $q$  inside the interval  $\sqrt{2n-3} < q < 2n - 3$  that can not be factorized:

Prime condition for $6m \pm 1$	No factor available condition for $2n-(6m \pm 1)$
$6m \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$	$6m \not\equiv (a_1 \pm 1) \pmod{5}$
$6m \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{7}$	$6m \not\equiv (a_2 \pm 1) \pmod{7}$
$6m \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{11}$	$6m \not\equiv (a_3 \pm 1) \pmod{11}$
$6m \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{13}$	$6m \not\equiv (a_4 \pm 1) \pmod{13}$
.....	.....
$6m \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p_k}$	$6m \not\equiv (a_k \pm 1) \pmod{p_k}$

Hence for each  $p_i$  there are *at least*  $2(p_i-2)$  remainders moduli  $p_i$  that fullfill the conditions. That amounts up to a minimum of  $2(p_1-2)(p_2-2)(p_3-2)\dots(p_k-2)$ , id est,  $2.3.5.9.11\dots(p_k-2)$  different systems of linear congruences with prime moduli. The chinese remainder theorem ensures that each one of them has a different and unique solution moduli  $5.7.11.13\dots p_k$ .

Interesting to note here that this result is fully consistent with the fact that there are now twice as many composite numbers to factorize with the same number of factors than before (Cases **A** and **B**)

It's necessary then to prove that exists at least a multiple of 6 that fullfills the preceding conditions inside the interval:

$$\sqrt{2n-3} < 6m < 2n$$

The same considerations apply as in relation to the previous point, as to conclude that:

$$S < p_k(\log \log p_k + 6)$$

is an upper bound for the highest number of consecutive occurrences of  $6m$  that do not fulfill the previous conditions. Hence, as before, the conjecture also holds for every  $2n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

\*The foundations and conclusions of this paper are an adaptation in a more intuitive way of two previous papers available here: [4] and here: [5].

---

February, 18, 2024.  
[roundkuniversity@gmail.com](mailto:roundkuniversity@gmail.com)  
**PA<sup>3</sup>**.

### References:

- [1] Christian Goldbach, *Letter to L. Euler, June 7 (1742)*.
- [2] Vaughan, Robert. Charles. *Goldbach's Conjectures: A Historical Perspective. Open problems in mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2016. 479-520.
- [3] Pollack, Paul. *Euler and the partial sums of the prime harmonic series*. University of Georgia. Athens. Georgia.
- [4] <https://vixra.org/pdf/2312.0021v1.pdf>
- [5] <https://vixra.org/pdf/2407.0023v1.pdf>